Using data assimilation to investigate the causes of Southern Hemisphere high latitude cooling from 10 to 8 ka BP
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Abstract. From 10 to 8 ka BP (thousand years before present), paleoclimatic records show an atmospheric and oceanic cooling in the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. During this interval, temperatures estimated from proxy data decrease by 0.8 °C over Antarctica and 1.2 °C over the Southern Ocean. In order to study the causes of this cooling, simulations covering the early Holocene have been performed with the climate model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM constrained to follow the signal recorded in climate proxies using a data assimilation method based on a particle filtering approach. The selected proxies represent oceanic and atmospheric surface temperature in the Southern Hemisphere derived from terrestrial, marine and glaciological records. Two mechanisms previously suggested to explain the 10–8 ka BP cooling pattern are investigated using the data assimilation approach in our model. The first hypothesis is a change in atmospheric circulation, and the second one is a cooling of the sea surface temperature in the Southern Ocean. For the ocean hypothesis, the increased West Antarctic freshwater flux constrained by data assimilation (+100 mSv from 10 to 8 ka BP) leads to an oceanic cooling of 0.7 °C and a strengthening of Southern Hemisphere westerlies (+6 %). Thus, according to our experiments, the observed cooling in Antarctic and the Southern Ocean proxy records can only be reconciled with the reconstructions by the combination of a modified atmospheric circulation and an enhanced freshwater flux.

1 Introduction

Over Antarctica, water stable isotope records from deep ice cores show a temperature optimum around 12–10 ka BP (thousand years before present, the notation ka is used hereafter) (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2000, 2011; Stenni et al., 2011), followed by a large cooling of about 1 °C from 10 to 8 ka (Fig. 1), which is the strongest millennial Antarctic temperature fluctuation of the last 10 ka. The mechanisms responsible for this variation have not yet been explored and could be related to changes in atmospheric and/or oceanic circulation, in relationship with changes in orbital forcing and deglacial meltwater fluxes.
Conversely, diatom-based reconstructions of sea ice and oceanic temperatures from Prydz Bay suggest that surface waters off Princess Elizabeth Land were warmer at 8 ka compared to 10 ka (Barbara et al., 2010; Denis et al., 2010). This regional warming was suggested to be related to an increase of the CDW intrusion into the shelf between 10 and 8 ka due to a more southern position of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC).

In the Southern Ocean (SO), in the area between the Antarctic slope front (ASF) and the subtropical front (STF), geological records generally show a large cooling from 10 to 8 ka, similar to the one estimated at the surface of Antarctica (Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004; Hodell et al., 2001; Crosta et al., 2005; Panhke and Sachs, 2006; Nielsen et al., 2004). This shift is thought to be caused by a northward migration of oceanic fronts (south ACC front, polar front, sub-Antarctic front or STF). Associated with this cooling event, diatom records in the marine cores located south of the polar front suggest a northward migration of the sea-ice front during the 10–8 ka period (Nielsen et al., 2004; Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004; Hodell et al., 2001).

By contrast, one pollen record in the Campbell Island area (McGlone et al., 2010) shows a clear warming from 10 to 8 ka. These authors explained this feature by an equatorward migration and a strengthening of the SWW over Campbell Island and, consequently, an increase in the poleward meridional heat transport. This is, however, inconsistent with nearby SST reconstructions (Crosta et al., 2004; Panhke and Sachs, 2006), which depict a clear oceanic cooling during the 10–8 ka period (Fig. 1).

This overview of existing early Holocene SH (Southern Hemisphere) high latitude temperature records shows a large atmospheric and oceanic cooling from 10 to 8 ka. However, the causes of this cooling are not well known. Based on transient climate simulations, mechanisms responsible for Holocene climate variability have been investigated. Using an intermediate complexity ocean–sea ice–atmosphere model without fresh water flux (fwf) forcing due to ice sheet melting, Renssen et al. (2005) showed that the long-term SH high latitude temperature trend during the Holocene (9 ka to present) can be explained by a combination of a delayed response of the Southern Ocean–Antarctic climate to local orbitally-driven insolation changes, modulated by the memory of the system. In their simulations, changes in meridional heat fluxes had a negligible impact, as a result of small change in SWW.

Changes in large-scale ocean circulation, related to meltwater fluxes in the northern or southern latitudes, can also affect both atmospheric and sea surface temperatures in the high southern latitudes. Indeed, the last glacial period is marked by small maxima in Antarctic temperature associated with a bipolar seesaw with Northern Hemisphere temperature (causing an opposite temperature response at both poles, e.g., Crowley, 1992; Stocker, 1998; Capron et al., 2010). Similar mechanisms were suggested to account for

In Antarctic coastal regions, only a few quantitative and qualitative sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice reconstructions are available. They are based on TEX86 (tetraether index of 86 carbon atoms) in the West Antarctic Peninsula (Shevenell et al., 2011) and Adélie Land (Kim et al., 2008, 2012), on marine diatoms in Adélie Land (Crosta et al., 2008; Denis et al., 2009) and in Prydz Bay (Denis et al., 2010; Barbara et al., 2010) and on lake diatoms in Wilkes Land (Verkulich et al., 2002). The reconstructions from Wilkes Land, Adélie Land and the Antarctic Peninsula similarly suggest a cooling between 10 and 8 ka. The cooling along the Wilkes Land and Adélie Land has been related to glacier advance and sea ice expansion, which provided a positive feedback on East Antarctic atmospheric temperature. Along the Antarctic Peninsula, the cooling around 8 ka was suggested to reflect a decrease of southern westerlies wind (SWW), which led to a decrease of circumpolar deep water (CDW) intrusion onto the continental shelf and subsequently a surface cooling (Shevenell et al., 2011).
early interglacial Antarctic warmth (Shakun et al., 2012; Stenni et al., 2011; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2010; Holden et al., 2010). Such bipolar seesaw mechanism inducing aust-
ral warmth may be driven by the impact of the final Laurent-
tide meltwater flux on the Atlantic meridional overturning 
circulation. Additionally, changes in the intensity of convec-
tion in Labrador Sea could also influence high southern lati-
titudes through advective oceanic connections (causing then 
delayed temperature changes of the same sign in both hemi-
spheres, Renssen et al., 2010) and could overwhelm the ef-
effect of the bipolar seesaw in the case of shut down of the 
Labrador Sea deep water formation. This could ultimately 
dominate the impacts of local insolation changes suggested 
by Renssen et al. (2005) and drive Southern Ocean climate 
evolution (Renssen et al., 2010).

Alternatively, the high southern latitude climate can also 
be strongly affected by the melting rate of the Antarctic ice 
sheet, as shown, for instance, in idealized modeling stud-
ies (Swingedouw et al., 2009). Such local freshwater forc-
ing induces a surface atmospheric and oceanic cooling in the 
Southern Hemisphere, with the largest signal in the Southern 
Ocean, where an increase of sea ice cover is simulated, as 
well as a strengthening of westerlies and easterlies. So far, 
this mechanism has not been investigated as an explanation 
for the early Holocene changes around Antarctica.

To combine the information provided by proxy data and a 
cclimate model, data assimilation methods have been adapted 
to the long timescales, providing estimates that are compat-
ible with model physics and available data (e.g. Widmann 
et al., 2010). However, using data assimilation with a high-
resolution climate model is not practically possible today for 
a long timescale because this type of simulation would re-
quire a too large amount of CPU time. Consequently, data as-
similation has been applied here with an earth system model 
of intermediate complexity (EMIC). Although the full com-
plexity of the system is not resolved in an EMIC model, it is 
possible to carry out multiple simulations or large ensembles 
with different initial conditions and different combinations in 
external forcing within a reasonable time. This allows testing 
the physical plausibility of hypotheses suggested to explain 
signals derived from proxy data. However, due to the coarse 
resolution and simplified model physics, results are associ-
ated to large uncertainties. For example, Spence et al. (2012) 
show that the horizontal resolution could be crucial to define 
the right water mass pathways, properties, and the related cli-
matic effects. This leaves room for potential inconsistencies 
between model results and empirical reconstructions.

In the present study, using data assimilation in an EMIC, 
we aim to test the ability of two different hypotheses to ex-
plain this cooling: either a change in the atmospheric circu-
lation as suggested by McGlone et al. (2010) and Shevenell 
et al. (2011), or an oceanic cooling induced here by a change 
in the local ffw. Today, there is no consensus on the melt-
ing of the West Antarctic ice sheet (WAIS) during the early 
Holocene (Bentley, 2010; Stone et al., 2003; Domack et 
al., 2005; Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004; Crespin et al., un-
published data; Pollard and DeConto, 2009; Peltier, 2004; 
Mackintosh et al., 2011) to justify this choice or to discard 
it a priori. Thus, the WAIS melting represents here a work-
ning hypothesis that allows us modifying in a relatively simple 
and straightforward way the oceanic temperature and the cir-
culation in the Southern Ocean. We do not take into account 
any East Antarctic ice sheet (EAIS) melting. This choice is 
justified by arguments pointing out a larger stability of the 
EAIS compared to the WAIS (Bentley, 2010; Sidall et al., 
2012) and weaker EAIS meltwater flux variability compared 
to that of the WAIS (Pollard and DeConto, 2009; Mackintosh 
et al., 2011).

To test these two hypotheses, different time-slice simulations 
are performed with the earth system model of interme-
diate complexity LOVECLIM (LOch-Vecode-Ecbilt-CLio-
aglsm Model; Goosse et al., 2010) for 10 and 8 ka. The base-
line simulations take into account the different boundary con-
ditions. New simulations include a data assimilation method.
The complete description of the experimental design, includ-
ing a brief description of the climate model, the experimen-
tal setup, the data assimilation technique and the proxies se-
lected for data assimilation, is provided in Sect. 2. Section 3 
investigates the impacts of a modification of atmospheric cir-
culation and of WAIS ffw on SH surface climate and sea ice 
cover. Conclusions and perspectives are given in Sect. 4.

2 Experimental design

2.1 Model description

We have performed our experiments with the three-
dimensional Earth climate model of intermediate complex-
ity LOVECLIM. The model configuration includes a rep-
resentation of atmosphere, ocean, sea ice and land surface. 
Each model component is briefly described here. A com-
prehensive description of the model, as well as a descrip-
tion of the model performance for standard cases (present 
climact, last decade, last millennium and last glacial maxi-
mum), is available in Goosse et al. (2010). The atmospheric 
component of LOVECLIM is ECBILT (Opsteegh et al., 
1998). It is a quasi-geostrophic spectral model with 3 ver-
tical levels corresponding to an equivalent horizontal resolu-
tion of 5.6 × 5.6° latitude/longitude. ECBILT is coupled with 
the ocean/sea ice model CLIO (Goosse and Fichefet, 1999; 
Fichefet and Morales Maqueda, 1997). CLIO is a general cir-
culation model with a horizontal resolution of 3 × 3° and a 
vertical resolution ranging from 10 m near surface to 500 m 
at 5500 m depth. LOVECLIM also contains the simple veg-
etation model VECODE (Brovkin et al., 2002) at the same 
resolution of the ECBILT model. Because LOVECLIM is 
much faster than many other three dimensional climate mod-
els, large ensembles of simulations can be carried out for data 
asimilation.
All experiments are 400-yr-long equilibrium runs (or time-slices) with constant forcing. These experiments are driven by orbital forcing (Berger, 1978). Greenhouse gases concentrations are imposed from data of Flückiger et al. (2002). As no ice sheet model is coupled to LOVECLIM in the configuration selected here, ice sheet topography and fσf are prescribed accordingly to the data available at 10 and 8 ka. The ice sheet topography from the reconstruction of Peltier (2004) was adapted to LOVECLIM by Renssen et al. (2009) and the ice sheet does not evolve during the 400 yr of time-slice simulations. For the Laurentide ice sheet melting, fσf from Liciardi et al. (1999) is imposed for the St Lawrence and Hudson River outlets. It amounts to 40 mSv for both outlets at 10 ka and to 10 mSv and 70 mSv at 8 ka, respectively. In the experiments considered here, we have not prescribed additional fσf that could represent other sources, such as the melting of the Greenland and Scandinavian ice sheets at 10 ka. For the Antarctic ice sheet fσf, we only consider a reference value for WAIS fσf prescribed at 50 mSv for both time slices based on Pollard and DeConto (2009). Additional experiments are performed using different fσf in the Southern Ocean (Table 2), as discussed in Sect. 3. This fσf is applied in Amundsen, Bellinghausen and the west part of Weddell Seas. Melting of the East Antarctic ice sheet is neglected (Mackintosh et al., 2011).

2.2 Assimilation method

The data assimilation method used here is the particle filter with resampling (van Leeuwen et al., 2009). A complete description of the procedure and the implementation is given in Dubinkina et al. (2011) but a brief summary is provided here. First, an ensemble of 48 simulations, called “particles” or ensemble members, is initialized by adding a small noise to the atmospheric stream function of a single model state. Each particle is then propagated in time by the climate model. After one year, the likelihood of each particle is computed from the difference between the observed or reconstructed temperatures and the simulated ones. The particles are then resampled according to their likelihood, i.e., to their ability to reproduce the signal derived from the available records. The particles with low likelihood are stopped, while the particles with a high likelihood are copied a number of times proportional to their likelihood in order to keep the total number of particles constant throughout the period covered by the simulations, keeping the new weight of each particle equal to one. A small noise is again added to the atmospheric stream function of each copy to obtain different time developments for the following year. The entire procedure is repeated sequentially every year until the final year of calculation (400 yr here).

2.3 Proxy data

Temperature reconstructions used to constrain model results in the data assimilation experiments come from different archives. For marine and pollen records (Table 1, Fig. 1), the original calibration is retained and the data error is assumed to be 0.7 °C. For ice cores (Table 1, Fig. 1, the data are based on δ18O and δD measurements, scaled to temperature using the classical approach based on the spatial slope of 0.8‰ °C−1 and 6.34‰ °C−1 for δ18O/T and δD/T, respectively (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2008). The uncertainty of temperature estimates remains difficult to fully quantify. Water stable isotope records in ice cores are affected by condensation temperature during precipitation events, but also by changes in ice sheet surface elevation (Siddall et al., 2012). While they are classically related to annual mean surface air temperature, these records are affected by precipitation intermittency or seasonality (Laapple et al., 2011), boundary layer dynamics affecting the relationship between surface and condensation temperature, wind erosion and by changes in moisture sources (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2011). These processes may produce a temporal isotope–temperature relationship, which can be lower than the spatial gradient (Sime et al., 2008). Using the spatial gradient may therefore lead to an underestimation of temperature changes. As uncertainties on central East Antarctic temperature anomalies were suggested to reach 20–30% (Jouzel et al., 2003), we decided to attribute an uncertainty on 10 and 8 ka anomalies of 0.3 °C. These error bars on marine (0.7 °C), pollen (0.7 °C) and ice core (0.3 °C) data are lower than the typical values given in the literature. This is a deliberate choice to strongly constrain the simulations with data assimilation on the Southern Ocean as well as on Antarctica. A reasonable increase of the errors would not change qualitatively our conclusions but could modulate the amplitude of the simulated changes (e.g., Goosse et al., 2012).

In the data assimilation experiments, it is necessary to compare model results and data through anomalies with respect to the preindustrial reference period, here covering years from 1.5 to 0.5 ka. As a consequence, proxy records which do not cover both this reference period and the study period (from 8.5 to 7.5 ka for the time-slice at 8 ka or from 10.5 to 9.5 ka for the time-slice at 10 ka, respectively) with high enough temporal resolution (at least 300 yr) are excluded from our simulations with data assimilation. All the selected data are summarized in Table 1a. Some records rejected for data assimilation are kept for independent validation as well as recently released data (Mulvaney et al., 2012) that were not available to us at the time the simulations were launched (Table 1b). The location of each record is shown in Fig. 1. We have also excluded the records from Byrd, Siple Dome, Plateau Remote and Dominion Range ice cores. The first ones (Byrd and Siple Dome records) may be affected by ice flow dynamics and elevation changes (Sidall et al., 2012).
Table 1. (a) Description of all the proxy records used in the data assimilation experiments. (b) Description of all the proxy records used for model validation. (c) Description of all qualitative proxy types used in the text. The classification of proxy records from the subtropical area or from the Southern Ocean (north of 66° S and south of subtropical front) depends on the type of climate dynamics suggested in the corresponding reference. By default, diatoms are marine diatoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Id</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proxy type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Law Dome</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Courtesy of T. van Ommen, A. Moy et al., personal communication (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vostok</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Vimeux et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Taylor Dome</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Steig et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fuji Dome</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Watanabe et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Masson-Delmotte et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>KMS</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta$D</td>
<td>Nikolaev et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TALDICE</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Stenni et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>EDML</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>Stenni et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>McHoney</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>EPICA Comm. Members (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>TN057-17TC</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>McGlone et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>MD03-2611</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Alkenone</td>
<td>Nielsen et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ODP1084B</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Mg/Ca</td>
<td>Farmer et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ODP 1098</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>TEX86</td>
<td>Shevenell et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(b) Id</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proxy type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>MD97-2121</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Alkenone</td>
<td>Pahnke and Sachs (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>GIK17748-2</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Alkenone</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>IODP1089</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Radiolieran</td>
<td>Cortese et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>MD88-770</td>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>Foraminifera</td>
<td>Salvignac (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>IODP1233</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Alkenone</td>
<td>Kaiser et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>TN057-13-PC4</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Alkenone</td>
<td>Hodell et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>MD97-2101</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>Crosta et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>MD84-551</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>Pichon (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>James Ross Island</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>$\delta$D</td>
<td>Mulvaney et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(c) Id</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proxy type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>MD03-2601</td>
<td>Sea ice</td>
<td>Diatoms/TEX86</td>
<td>Crosta et al. (2008), Denis et al. (2009), Kim et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>JCPC2</td>
<td>Sea ice</td>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>Denis et al. (2010), Barbara et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Lake Figurnoye</td>
<td>Antarctica</td>
<td>Lake Diatoms</td>
<td>Verkulich et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last ones (Plateau Remote and Dominion Range) do not have sufficient resolution (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2000).

These conditions of data selection do not allow keeping any data near the Kerguelen Plateau, along South America, the east Pacific, the west Atlantic and West Antarctica. Therefore, the data assimilation system constrains the model over the Southern Ocean with only 3 records (West Antarctic Peninsula, east Atlantic and Tasmania/New Zealand areas).

2.4 Simulation strategy

Several 400-yr-long equilibrium runs with constant forcing are realized for 10 and 8 ka with and without data assimilation. These simulations are initialized by the results from a long equilibrium run (with a duration of 3000 yr) with constant forcings for 10 and 8 ka, respectively. They all include an ensemble of 48 particles. The simulations without data assimilation, named respectively STD8 and STD10, provide reference climates against which the impact of data assimilation can be assessed. Hereafter, the simulated temperature refers to the mean state of the ensemble. Hereafter, the acronym STD corresponds to the difference between the time-slice simulations (STD8–STD10).

The control simulation used to compute the model anomalies and to compare them with proxy data anomalies in the data assimilation process, is based on a transient simulation carried out over the period 1–2000 CE (current era). For the period 1–850 CE, no volcanic forcing is applied and total solar irradiance and land use change are derived from a linear interpolation between 1 and the value in 850 CE provided in the framework of Paleo Modelling Intercomparison Project Phase 3 (PMIP3, Schmidt et al., 2011). Afterward, all
forcings come from the PMIP3 protocol. The description of these forcings is detailed in Crespin et al. (2013).

First, to test the influence of changes in atmospheric circulation (first hypothesis), we performed simulations with assimilation of atmospheric and sea surface temperature data for both 10 and 8 ka (ATM10 and ATM8, Table 2). In these experiments, the atmospheric stream function is perturbed and the assimilation step (i.e. the selection of the ensemble members based on model-data comparison) is done each year. No modification of the fwf reference is applied in ATM8 and ATM10. When we discuss differences between these new simulations (ATM8–ATM10), the acronym ATM is used for simplicity.

The goal of the second group of experiments with data assimilation is to test the influence of changes in ocean temperatures. This is done by changing the fwf due to the WAIS melting between 10 and 8 ka. The “best guess” fwf for LOVECLIM is estimated using data assimilation. Here, the assimilation time step is 50 yr (instead of 1 yr in the previous experiments). Because the response time of the ocean is much longer than of the atmosphere, a longer period is thus required to estimate the effect of the perturbation. In these experiments, the ensemble members are produced by adding a small noise to the fwf (instead of perturbing the atmospheric stream function as done in the previous experiments). The perturbed fwf applied at time \( t \), \( \text{FWF}(t) \), is derived from an autoregressive process such as

\[
\text{FWF}(t) = \text{FWF}(t - 1) + 0.5 \varepsilon_{\text{FWF}}(t - 1) + \varepsilon_{\text{FWF}}(t),
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{\text{FWF}}(t) \) is a Gaussian noise following the distribution \( N(0, \sigma_{\text{FWF}}) \). \( \sigma_{\text{FWF}} \) is equal to 30 mSv in this study. This method allows extracting a fwf that provides the best agreement with the proxy records. This method is applied in simulations named varFWF8 and varFWF10.

Third, two simulations without data assimilation are then carried out with the fwf estimates derived from varFWF8 and varFWF10 for 8 and 10 ka, respectively. These experiments are named FWF8 and FWF10.

Finally, in order to combine the effects of changes in atmospheric circulation and of an increase of fwf, additional experiments are performed with an atmospheric circulation perturbation and an assimilation time step of 1 yr as for ATM8 and ATM10, and the fwf derived from varFWF8 and varFWF10. These experiments for 8 and 10 ka are named ATMFWF8 and ATMFWF10, respectively. This two-step procedure, required as the current version of the data assimilation method, is not adapted to handle processes characterized by very different timescales. All the simulations, their names, the type of perturbation and the amount of the fwf are described in Table 2. When we discuss the differences (FWF8–FWF10) and (ATMFWF8–ATMFWF10), the acronyms FWF and ATMFWF are used for simplicity.

### Table 2. Description of all the simulations through their name, the value of the WAIS fwf applied, the use of data assimilation (or not) and the target period (8 or 10 ka).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>WAIS fwf (mSv)</th>
<th>Data Assimilation</th>
<th>Date of the time-slice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STD 8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STD 10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM 8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM 10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varFWF8</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varFWF10</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWF 8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWF 10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMFWF 8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMFWF 10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 ka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To compare model results with data, we use a mean absolute error (MAE) metrics:

\[
\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Delta T_{\text{mod}}(i) - \Delta T_{\text{obs}}(i)|,
\]

where \( \Delta T \) is the temperature differences between 8 and 10 ka. \( \Delta T_{\text{obs}}(\Delta T_{\text{mod}}) \) corresponds to temperature difference observed (modelled) at one location. The overbar denotes an average over all the Antarctic or the Southern Ocean data locations.

### 2.5 WAIS fresh water flux

The Southern Hemisphere fwf amount and input locations are not well known. In contrast to the NH (Northern Hemisphere), where the fwf due to ice sheet melting is relatively well documented (Licciardi et al., 1999), there is no consensus between ice sheet modeling and marine \( \delta^{18} \text{O} \) records.

From ice sheet modelling, Pollard and DeConto (2009) diagnosed an amount of 50 mSv for the WAIS melting during the early Holocene (10 to 6 ka). This flux is the one applied in STD simulations. Earlier ice sheet reconstruction (Peltier, 2004) showed a larger melting rate of the Antarctic ice sheet in a period 8 ka, compared to 6 and 10 ka periods. Recent simulations of Mackintosh et al. (2011) exhibit a relatively constant melting rate between 11 and 7 ka, followed by a weaker melting of the Antarctic ice sheet. While these modeling studies converge on a decrease of the melting rate around 6 ka, they diverge on the evolution of melting rate between 10 and 7 ka. Differences between those studies could be explained by differences in forcing methods. All these studies are constrained by different and crude forcings for both atmospheric and oceanic components. The first study is driven by a stacked deep-sea core \( \delta^{18} \text{O} \) record for the oceanic forcing and by a parameterization depending from elevation, orbital configuration and sea level. The reconstruction from Peltier (2004) is constrained by sea level curve and isostasy. The last study is driven by the modern
temperature and precipitation climatology adjusted to follow the Vostok ice core record and forced also by oceanic heat flux driven mainly by changes in far-field ocean temperatures represented by a benthic $\delta^{18}O$ stack. Additionally, Pollard and DeConto (2009) show that the WAIS ice sheet could be very sensitive to these forcings, and particularly to the ocean heat fluxes.

For the WAIS, large regional differences are reported from glaciological studies. A gradual retreat of ice streams of Marie Byrd Land has been suggested (Stone et al., 2003). By contrast, a rapid retreat of the grounding line of the ice stream occupying the George VI Sound is documented around 9.5 ka, followed by stabilization of the ice stream (Bentley, 2010). On the other side of the Antarctic Peninsula, the Larsen B persisted during the Holocene until its recent collapse (Domack et al., 2005). These examples show that the early Holocene history of the WAIS is complex and not sufficiently documented to build a common scenario. Furthermore, the melting rate of an ice shelf is influenced by the bathymetry profile below the ice shelf (Schoof et al., 2007). This point shows that nonclimatic variables could also have a large impact on the location and the timing of ice shelf melting.

Marine observations from foraminifera and diatoms, which could be interpreted as indicators of the amount of fresh water release to the Southern Ocean (Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004), do not show drastic changes in the glacial meltwater inflow between 10 and 8 ka. In the south Atlantic ($50^\circ$-$53^\circ$S, $5^\circ$E), the $\delta^{18}O$ measured in planktic foraminifers demonstrates a small trend toward lighter values between 9 and 7 ka (Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004). Similarly, the $\delta^{18}O$ measured in diatoms evidences a 1.5% decrease over the course of the Holocene, with a small drop during the 10 to 8 ka period (Hodell et al., 2001). In coastal areas, a $\delta^{18}O$ diatom record from West Antarctic Peninsula presents a large drop between 10.5 and 8.9 ka (Pike et al., 2013), while $\delta^{18}O$ diatom records in East Antarctica depict a 500 yr event of light values centered at 9.2 ka (Crespin et al., unpublished data) or a small increase toward enriched values (Berg et al., 2010).

It is therefore difficult to faithfully assess changes in fwf due to WAIS melting between 10 and 8 ka from the existing data. The uncertainties on timing and melting rate are, thus, large enough to justify the study, with an Earth climate model of intermediate complexity such as LOVECLIM, of how modifications of this fwf can affect the early Holocene SH high latitude climate, and which fwf amount leads to the best consistency between the simulated and reconstructed temperature patterns. We are fully aware that all the results are probably model dependent and subject to many limitations due to the model selected resolution, physics, forcings, the data assimilation method and the target data, as discussed in more details below.

### 3 Results and discussion

Running LOVECLIM without data assimilation (STD8 and STD10) does not reproduce the cooling observed at high southern latitudes between 10 to 8 ka in both atmospheric and sea surface temperature. By contrast, the model simulates a warming between the two time-slices (Fig. 2a), especially south of the polar front (up to 0.5°C). The comparison with proxy reconstruction available for these periods shows a relative high MAE of 1.01°C (Antarctica) and 1.26°C (Southern Ocean) (Table 3). This warming is caused by an inflow of warmer North Atlantic deep water (NADW) in the Southern Ocean at 8 ka compared to 10 ka. In both time-slices, the NH fwf is high enough to suppress the convection in the Labrador Sea. By contrast, the convection in Norwegian and Greenland Seas is active for both periods. As the Laurentide ice sheet is smaller at 8 ka than at 10 ka, the North Atlantic surface temperature is warmer. As explained in Renssen et al. (2010), the NADW formed in the Greenland and Norwegian Seas is warmer, inducing a warming at high southern latitudes at 8 ka. As in Renssen et al. (2010), we call this processes, hereafter, an advective teleconnection.

The climate simulated in STD experiments is, thus, not consistent with data. This might be due to several processes such as low frequency internal variability of the system not well taken into account by the model, to inadequate model physics that do not allow a correct response to the forcing, or the realism of the model forcing itself.

Between ATM8 and ATM10, the changes in atmospheric circulation due to data assimilation imply a cooling over Antarctica and off Dronning Maud Land. The cooling simulated along coastal areas of the Bellingshausen Sea and off Adélie Land is not significant (Fig. 2b). In contrast with STD that displays very weak changes in atmospheric circulation, the surface temperature changes simulated by the LOVECLIM model in ATM is due to a weakening of the circumpolar trough, especially in the Ross Sea, Prydz Bay and Weddell Sea areas (Fig. 3b). The atmospheric circulation simulated in ATM8 restrains the inflow of warm air into the Antarctic area and limits also the outflow of cold air out of Antarctica. Consequently, this change in meridional atmospheric circulation leads to a cooling of the Antarctic continent. Even if the magnitude of the cooling (Fig. 2b) is weaker than in the reconstructions (Fig. 1), the simulated surface temperature field over Antarctica matches relatively well the proxy reconstructions (MAE is 0.45°C in ATM, Table 3). However, a warming is still simulated over the Southern Ocean, leading to larger errors (MAE of 1.04°C, Table 3). This warming is slightly reduced compared to the STD experiments (error of 1.26°C in STD, Table 3), but cannot compensate for the upwelling of warmer CDW due to the advective teleconnection at 8 ka. To explain the observed cooling over Southern Ocean seen in the proxy-based temperature reconstructions at 8 ka, another mechanism has to be involved.
The WAIS melting event varFWF10. An increase of fwf during this cold event could be counter-intuitive. However, melting of the ice sheet is not a simple direct response of the surface forcing and the ice sheet responds slowly to climate change (Bentley, 2010). Thus, a long lag could occur between the warm period observed at 10 ka and the peak melting of the ice sheets. In addition, ocean processes linked to a release of fresh water lead to a warming of subsurface water masses (below 100 m) south of 60° S (Swingedouw et al., 2009). A similar subsurface warming has been simulated in northern high latitudes during large melting events (Flückiger et al., 2006). This could create a positive feedback by increasing melting of the ice shelves. Therefore, due to nonlinear ice sheet and oceanic feedbacks, a larger fwf melting during a cold event cannot be discarded. Furthermore, as summarized in Section 2.5, no observations can be used to support or refute the magnitude and sign of

Conclusion and discussion

In the FWF experiments, we emulate the oceanic cooling by an increase of the fwf input. In this way, data assimilation experiment varFWF has been used to select the amount of fresh water release by the WAIS that best fits the surface temperature data at 10 and 8 ka (Fig. 4). At 10 ka, the fwf reconstructed by data assimilation is systematically lower than 50 mSv (variations between 10 mSv and 50 mSv). The mean value is estimated to be 25 mSv instead of 50 mSv for the reference scenario used in STD10 (Fig. 4a). For the 8 ka period, the fwf estimates reach equilibrium after 100 yr. The selected scenario (120 mSv) suggests a larger WAIS melting (+140 %) than the reference one (Fig. 4b). Additional experiments carried out with only assimilation of ice core data (not shown) bring out almost the same scenarios for both periods (50 mSv for 10 ka, and 110 mSv for 8 ka). The WAIS fwf optimised from the simulations varFWF8 and varFWF10 represents our current “best guess” estimate. To explain the cooling in the southern high latitudes during the transition between 10 to 8 ka, the data assimilation method suggests a ~100 mSv increase of WAIS melting from 10 to 8 ka. These fwf estimates are applied in the simulation FW8 and FW10. An increase of fwf during this cold event could be counter-intuitive. However, melting of the ice sheet is not a simple direct response of the surface forcing and the ice sheet responds slowly to climate change (Bentley, 2010). Thus, a long lag could occur between the warm period observed at 10 ka and the peak melting of the ice sheets. In addition, ocean processes linked to a release of fresh water lead to a warming of subsurface water masses (below 100 m) south of 60° S (Swingedouw et al., 2009). A similar subsurface warming has been simulated in northern high latitudes during large melting events (Flückiger et al., 2006). This could create a positive feedback by increasing melting of the ice shelves. Therefore, due to nonlinear ice sheet and oceanic feedbacks, a larger fwf melting during a cold event cannot be discarded. Furthermore, as summarized in Section 2.5, no observations can be used to support or refute the magnitude and sign of

Table 3. Mean absolute error (MAE) in °C of the various simulations (STD, ATM, FWF and ATMFWF) for Antarctic and the oceanic Southern Ocean temperatures. For each region and experiment, the MAE is computed by the average of the absolute value of the deviations between 8 minus 10 ka anomalies from reconstructions and model at the same location. Records considered as Antarctic records or Southern Ocean records are described in Table 1a and b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Antarctica</th>
<th>Southern Ocean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STD</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWF</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMFWF</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2](image_url) Difference of the annual mean atmospheric surface temperature between 8 and 10 ka (8 ka minus 10 ka) for (a) STD, (b) ATM, (c) FWF, (d) ATMFWF. Colored areas correspond to differences significant at the 99 % level according to a Student t test.

![Fig. 3](image_url) Difference of annual geopotential height at 800 hpa (in m) between 8 and 10 ka (8 ka minus 10 ka). (a) STD, (b) ATM, (c) FWF, (d) ATMFWF. Grey areas correspond to nonsignificant differences (99 % Student t test).
Fig. 4. Reconstruction of FWF based on data assimilation for 10 ka (varFWF10 simulation) (a) and 8 ka (varFWF8 simulation) (b). For each time step (50 yr.), the green cross is the mean value and green bar is the standard deviation. The x-axis is the time since the beginning of the experiments. The dashed line is the reference value in 8 and 10 ka.

these changes. We, therefore, consider our results as a rough, first order estimate of FWF amounts (which could be model dependent) but not as a precise FWF reconstruction.

The FWF simulations depict a large cooling over most of the Southern Ocean from 10 to 8 ka, up to $-2^\circ$C between STF and ASF. However, this only produces a slight Antarctic cooling (Fig. 2c). The obtained surface temperature pattern matches well the Southern Ocean proxy, leading to a MAE of 0.77 $^\circ$C, which is better than the one observed in ATM and STD (Table 3). However, over the interior Antarctica, the ice core data suggest a much larger cooling than the one simulated in FWF experiments (MAE of 0.74 $^\circ$C). A consequence of this large Southern Ocean cooling is a deepening of the circumpolar trough and an increase of the SWW (+6%) (Fig. 3c). This strengthening of the westerlies (below 50$^\circ$S) at 8 ka fits the reconstruction of SWW strength performed by McGlone et al. (2010). However, over the Antarctic Peninsula, Shevenell et al. (2011) suggest a decrease of the SWW strength, which is not simulated in FWF.

The ocean and the atmosphere circulation changes have, thus, complementary effects on the surface temperature. The first one leads to a relatively large cooling over the Southern Ocean that is absent in the ATM experiments, and the second one leads to large cooling over the Antarctic continent. Therefore, to decrease both Southern Ocean and Antarctic continent surface temperature as shown in the observations (Fig. 1), one solution is to associate the method used for the ATM simulations with the FWF applied in FWF simulations. When both ATM and FWF are combined (in ATMFWF), a large cooling is produced over the SO (about $-1.6^\circ$C) together with a larger cooling over the Antarctic continent (about $-0.6^\circ$C) compared to STD. This cooling is also larger in Antarctica than the one simulated in ATM alone as shown in Fig. 2d during the transition from 10 to 8 ka. The corresponding minimum errors are 0.38 $^\circ$C for the Antarctic proxy data and 0.66 $^\circ$C for the Southern Ocean data (Table 3). The comparison of the different panels in Fig. 3 highlights that the response of the atmospheric dynamics to the data assimilation in the ATMFWF is roughly the sum of the changes seen in ATM and in FWF.

Data assimilation in ATM, FWF and ATMFWF does not only modify the annual mean state but also the seasonal cycle at all southern latitudes (Fig. 5). In the reference simulation, in central Antarctica (south of 75$^\circ$S), insolation changes between 10 and 8 ka induce a winter cooling (from March to October) and a summer warming (from November to February), with a lag of one month as noticed in previous studies (Crucifix et al., 2002; Renssen et al., 2005). For oceanic regions (between 75$^\circ$S to 55$^\circ$S), the 8 ka time-slice is warmer than the 10 ka time-slice during the entire year. The seasonal timing of the largest warming simulated in STD depends on the latitude. The largest warming occurs from November to January at 75$^\circ$S and from July to August at 55$^\circ$S (Fig. 5).

In FWF (and ATMFWF), the stratification of the surface ocean layer is stronger due to the larger release of fresh water at 8 ka, inducing a reduced vertical transport of heat. In FWF, over Antarctica, the atmosphere is cooled in winter by $-0.3^\circ$C. During summertime (November to February), a weak warming is simulated (about 0.6 $^\circ$C) between 10 and 8 ka. This feature is very similar to the one depicted in STD. By contrast, over the ocean (north of 70$^\circ$S), the surface air is cooled during almost the entire year in FWF. The season characterized by the largest vertical heat exchanges in the ocean in the STD simulation (May to September) is now simulated to be the coldest period in FWF (more than 1.5 $^\circ$C cooling) (Fig. 5).

In ATM (and ATMFWF), the atmospheric circulation reconstruction induces an enhanced seasonal cycle over the Antarctic continent, with similar summer and cooler winter compared to STD and FWF, respectively. Compared to STD and FWF, the change of atmospheric circulation obtained
by data assimilation and its impact on surface temperature is almost the same in ATM and FWF/ATM. From 10 to 8 ka, the atmospheric circulation changes constrained by surface temperature data induce a cooling of $-0.5 \sim -0.3 \degree$C over Antarctica during winter in ATM (ATMFWF) and $-0.2 \sim -0.1 \degree$C over the Southern Ocean during all the year, compared to the transition in STD (FWF) (Fig. 5e, f).

Between $55\degree$ S and $40\degree$ S, the seasonal and interannual variabilities of the surface temperature in the ACC are weak in STD, ATM, FWF and ATMFWF. Modifications of wvf or of the atmospheric circulation only alter the annual mean temperature without changing the amplitude of the seasonal cycle, only the annual temperature is modified.

The changes in surface air temperature due to modifications in atmospheric circulation or due to the cooling of oceanic surface temperatures are associated with a decrease (for both simulations with reference wvf, ATM and STD) and with an increase (for both simulations with modified wvf, FWF and ATMFWF) in sea ice concentration and sea ice duration (Fig. 6), the two variables for which proxy information is available. Reconstructions display an increase of sea ice duration from 10 to 8 ka off the East Antarctic coast (Crosta et al., 2008; Denis et al., 2009; Verkulich et al., 2002) and a congruent northward migration of the sea-ice front from $\sim 55\degree$ S to $\sim 53\degree$ S in the Antarctic Atlantic (Bianchi and Gersonde, 2004; Nielsen et al., 2004).

In each simulation driven by surface temperature data assimilation, sea ice is present all year long in the southern part of Weddell and Ross seas. Consequently, no change is visible in sea ice duration there. The seasonal sea ice cover has different behavior if a cooling and a freshening of the oceanic surface is applied or not. In the STD, a decrease of sea ice duration by 10 days is simulated together with a lower maximum and minimum sea ice extent ($-0.5$ million km$^2$) (Fig. 6a). In the ATM simulation, the atmospheric circulation selected by the particle filter leads to an increase of sea ice duration off the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula, Dronning Maud Land and Wilkes Land (Fig. 6b). This is in agreement with the simulated temperature patterns (Fig. 2b, d). In FWF and ATMFWF simulations, the cooling and freshening of ocean surface from 10 to 8 ka conduct to an increase of sea ice duration by two months, an increase of winter sea ice extent by 2.5 million km$^2$ and a northward migration of the sea-ice front in the Atlantic sector (Fig. 6c, d). However, in some grid points close to Dronning Maud Land, sea ice cover duration is reduced in FWF (Fig. 6c). This is due to warmer summer conditions (not shown here) and advection of warmer air mass, coming from the north, in this area.
The sea ice simulated in FWF and ATMFWF is thus in good qualitative agreement with published proxy records. This suggests that sea ice changes are mainly driven by the oceanic cooling (second hypothesis) rather than by modifications of the atmospheric circulation (first hypothesis) during this period.

4 Conclusions

We have presented simulations performed with an intermediate complexity climate model, including experiments with data assimilation, to study the mechanisms responsible for the reconstructed southern high latitude cooling from 10 to 8 ka. We have tested two hypotheses, without taking into account other factors such as changes in the Antarctic ice sheet topography, or changes in ice shelves. Due to limitations in the data assimilation method, we evaluated their contributions in separate experiments. The good agreement between our final set of simulations (ATMFWF) and proxy data is encouraging and provides a consistent picture of climate change from 10 to 8 ka, for the continent and the Southern Ocean. Our study suggests the following results:

- Interhemispheric oceanic teleconnections (active in both time-slices) and warmer NH high latitude climate at 8 ka, lead to warmer CDW and warmer surface temperatures in the Southern Ocean and over Antarctica at 8 ka compared to 10 ka. This means that the standard model configuration cannot simulate the observed cooling at SH high latitudes (with the exception of winter changes).

- Our data assimilation experiments show that the cooling over the Antarctic continent can be explained by a change in the atmospheric circulation and a modification of the meridional heat transport in the coastal areas. The Southern Ocean cooling is mainly driven by an increase of the fresh water release from the WAIS (+100 mSv). Combination of these two processes gives the best comparison between model and proxy data (in term of MAE).

- The consequences of the oceanic cooling (due to enhanced WAIS fresh water release) on sea ice are compatible with the increase of the simulated sea ice duration observed in the coastal region of East Antarctica and in the Atlantic sector.

This study also presents a way to optimise a key unknown parameter (fwf in our case) to obtain a state compatible with proxy records and constrained by model physics. Nevertheless, the uncertainties on such reconstructions are directly related to the uncertainties on the climate model, on the method, and on data availability. The climate model LOVE-CLIM used in this study is a model with a coarse resolution and simplified physics. The results may be somehow different using a more sophisticated climate model. For example, it has been reported that the simulated impacts of Laurentide melting on oceanic water masses and Northern Hemisphere climate are significantly different in a coarse resolution model and in a high-resolution model (Spence et al., 2012), potentially affecting the model response in the Southern Ocean.

Uncertainties on the location and magnitude of the fwm forcing due to ice sheet melting in Northern Hemisphere remain large. Licciardi et al. (1999) show that the total input into the Arctic Ocean is about 11 mSv at 10 ka, which represents 12% of the total water injected at 10 ka in STD. Clark et al. (2012) report large changes of the Scandinavian ice sheet area between 11 and 10 ka. These sources of melt water in the Northern Hemisphere, which are not incorporated in our set of simulations could further modulate the intensity of bottom water formation in the Norwegian and Greenland seas (Bakker et al., 2012) and affect interhemispheric teleconnections mechanisms (bi-polar seesaw and advective teleconnection). Northern Hemisphere data assimilation may help to constrain those inputs as well as the characteristics of deep water formed in the North Atlantic and, thus, on CDW and the Southern Ocean surface temperature. In our current experimental setup, we cannot modify the characteristics of the CDW at 8 ka compared to 10 ka due to this lack of data assimilation in the NH.

There are also caveats intrinsic to our assimilation method. In the ensemble generation, if we perturb variables related to processes with different timescales, as for example the stream function (1 yr) and the fwm in the Southern Ocean (50 yr), the model, and thus the behaviour of the particle filter, will only be affected by the process that has a timescale similar to the assimilation time step. Consequently, the procedure has to be applied in two separate steps and does not take into account adequately all the interactions between various processes.

Finally, the proxies used cover only a small fraction of the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. Furthermore, they are indirectly related to the freshwater flux to the Southern Ocean. Our experiments suggest that the cooling there, at 8 ka, is a strong feature of the system. We have shown that this can be achieved through an enhanced freshwater flux but additional reconstructions of surface temperature or of variables directly linked with fwm are required to confirm this hypothesis.
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