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Preface
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Constructing the image of Venice and the use of discourse markers in websites and digital travel guidebooks in English, Daniela Cesiri, “Ca’ Foscari” University of Venice
daniela.cesiri@unive.it

The annual survey conducted by the City of Venice Tourist Board states that nearly 10 million tourists visited Venice in 2014 (Miraglia, 2015, p. 12); of these, more than 1.5 million are Italians while nearly 8.5 million are from abroad. The ‘Top 12’ nationalities that visited the city come from European and non-European countries alike, namely they come from – in ranking order – the USA, France, the UK, Germany, China, Japan, Australia, Spain, Brazil, South Korea, Canada and Russia.

These data illustrate the situation that Venetian tourism operators have to face, especially in terms of the language to be used when promoting the city, the territory as well as individual sites, destinations or events. In this context, English performs the function of a *lingua franca* that is used by tourism professionals to successfully communicate their message, addressing not only perspective tourists from English-speaking countries as also tourists of other mother tongues who use English to communicate outside their country.

In addition, it should be noticed that Venice is currently promoted through a wide range of channels, either traditional or innovative, using the Internet as their main ‘market place’. Considering the multifaceted nature of the language in the field of tourism (Dann, 1996) that balances domain-specific and general terms, the present study investigates particular aspects of the language that is used to ‘sell’ the city of Venice, a complex tourist destination, to international visitors.

In this regard, this contribution is a continuation of three previous studies that examine the English language used to promote Venice online. Cesiri (forthc.) investigates the use of the “languaging” technique (Dann, 1996) in two groups of websites in English, one promoting Venice to international tourists and one specifically addressing visitors from English-speaking countries. ‘Languaging’ is generally defined as “the impressive use of foreign words, but also a manipulation of the vernacular, a special choice of vocabulary, and not just for its own sake” (Dann, 1996, p. 184). The analysis of the texts revealed
that the websites make a consistent use of terms in Italian or in the Venetian dialect but
the translations, paraphrases or explanations provided in English do not convey the
cultural importance of the phenomena to which the terms refer, thus making it difficult
for the potential visitor to fully understand and appreciate the local culture.

Cesiri (2016a) conducts a qualitative analysis on a corpus of digital travel guidebooks
(DTGs). Also in this case, the investigation focuses on analysing the instances of the
‘languaging’ technique. The study revealed that, once again, terms in the Venetian
dialect or in Italian are frequently used but they are accompanied by approximate
explanations in English that fail to communicate the real meaning and cultural value of
the original terms. In addition, this group of texts does not clearly differentiate, through
typographical devices, the terms in English from those in other languages, thus
increasing the overall sense of confusion that is generated by the poor rendering of the
local culture.

Finally, Cesiri (2016b) investigates another group of DTGs, tagged via the CLAWS
Part-of-Speech Tagger and searched for lexico-grammatical features by means of
Wordsmith Tools 6.0 (Scott, 2012). The quantitative and qualitative analyses detected
recurrent lexical words and verbs, revealing the stylistic preferences of the authors of
the DTGs and how they managed to convey their promotional message, providing at the
same time effective practical information. In particular, the investigation revealed that –
though the DTGs use a language that is emphatic, showing a clear promotional aim –
the authors keep their style emotionally balanced, thus they manage to give the
impression that, even though they are freely available and linked to a more commercial
website, their DTGs are nevertheless reliable and professionally-made supports to the
tourist experience.

The present study, then, examines the texts already used in these three contributions in
order to conduct a finer-grained analysis on the stylistic features used to promote the
city of Venice. In particular, the use of discourse markers (and any possible pragmatic
implications) will be considered, trying to understand whether expressions in English
manage to convey more successfully the promotional message where the use of the
‘languaging’ techniques somewhat fails. These studies are part of a bigger project that
will seek to understand the nature of the language used to describe this complex