Polymer functionalized nanocomposites for metals removal from water and wastewater: An overview
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A B S T R A C T
Pollution by metal and metalloid ions is one of the most widespread environmental concerns. They are non-biodegradable, and, generally, present high water solubility facilitating their environmental mobilization interacting with abiotic and biotic components such as adsorption onto natural colloids or even accumulation by living organisms, thus, threatening human health and ecosystems. Therefore, there is a high demand for effective removal treatments of heavy metals, making the application of adsorption materials such as polymer-functionalized nanocomposites (PFNCs), increasingly attractive. PFNCs retain the inherent remarkable surface properties of nanoparticles, while the polymeric support materials provide high stability and processability. These nanoparticle-matrix materials are of great interest for metals and metalloids removal thanks to the functional groups of the polymeric matrices that provide specific bindings to target pollutants. This review discusses PFNCs synthesis, characterization and performance in adsorption processes as well as the potential environmental risks and perspectives.
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1. Introduction

As a consequence of the growing pressure on water supply, the use of unconventional water sources such as treated wastewater will be a new norm, especially in historically water-stressed regions (Qu et al., 2013). This has resulted in several technological innovations within the field of wastewater treatment, including advanced oxidation processes, adsorption, and membrane separation (Grassi et al., 2012; Carotenuto et al., 2014) that have been adopted on a case-by-case basis according to processing efficiencies, operational methods, energy requirements, and economic benefit. A promising technological breakthrough is expected from the nanotechnology field, which holds a great potential for advancing water and wastewater treatment with improved treatment efficiency and lower energy consumption, being considered one of the largest engineering innovations since the Industrial Revolution (Wang et al., 2013). Some applications utilize the smoothly scalable size-dependent properties of nanoparticles (NPs) related to their high specific surface area, such as fast dissolution, high reactivity, and strong sorption, whereas others take advantage of their discontinuous properties, such as super-paramagnetism, localized surface plasmon resonance, and quantum confinement effects (Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010; Qu et al., 2013). The nanosize of particles may be of concern about mass transport and excessive pressure drops when applied in fixed-bed or any other flow-through systems, as well as difficulties in separation and reuse, and even possible risk to human health and the ecosystems caused by their potential release into the environment (Zhao et al., 2011).

Polymer-functionalized nanocomposites (PFNCs) incorporate the remarkable features of both NPs and polymers: the unique physical and chemical properties resulting from the large surface area to volume ratios, the high interfacial reactivity of nanofillers, and outstanding mechanical properties and compatibility owing to their polymer matrix (Fan et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2011), being also amenable to regeneration and reuse (Zhou et al., 2009; Nassar et al., 2011).
These features made of a promising class of adsorbent materials for metals removal from water and wastewater (Ghorbani and Eisazadeh, 2013). The overall objective of this review focuses on the PFNCs synthesis, characterization, toxicity, adsorption performance, interaction between the polymeric host and the confined nanoparticles (i.e. surface chemistry, pore size distribution and mechanical strength) considering both surface chemistry before and after being confined in the host, including a perspective of new research trends.

2. Synthesis and characterization of polymer functionalized nanocomposites

In the last decades, several PFNCs have been fabricated for the adsorptive removal of heavy metals from water and wastewater (DeMarco et al., 2003; Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005; Sylvester et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2010). According to the formation processes, PFNCs can be fabricated by i) grafting NPs into polymer structures, or ii) by anchoring polymers to NPs (Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010). As shown in Fig. 1, two methods can be used for their fabrication: i) direct compounding; and ii) in situ synthesis (Zhao et al., 2011).
Depending on the host materials, they can be classified as synthetic (S-PFNCs) and biopolymer (B-PFNCs) functionalized nanocomposites. The synthesis processes of S-PFNCs and B-PFNCs as reported in literature are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

### 2.1. S-PFNCs

Polymeric ion exchangers can be positively or negatively charged (Fig. 2). In a polymeric cation exchanger, negatively charged sulfonic acid groups are covalently attached to the polymer chains, usually polystyrene. Conversely, a polymeric anion exchanger contains a high concentration of non-diffusible positively charged quaternary ammonium functional groups.

Among S-PFNCs, the polystyrene represents the most common host material used to fabricate hybrid adsorbents by grafting NPs into polymers (De Marco et al., 2003; Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005; Pan et al., 2006; Sylvester et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008; Sarkar et al., 2011).

The selective sorption of these hybrid polymers toward heavy metals can be explained on the basis of their specific structure including: i) the negatively charged host material, and ii) the dispersion of the NPs onto the inner surface of the polymers. Such sorption preference is mainly attributed to two mechanisms: i) Donnan’s membrane effect caused by the negatively charged supporting material, and ii) specific affinity between NPs and heavy metals (Pan et al., 2010; Qiu et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2013a). For instance, Pan et al. (2010) described the specific sorption of heavy metals ions onto D001-(Hydrated Iron (III) Oxide) (HFO) as follows: i) the non-diffusible sulfonate functional groups bound to host D001 are non-specific for heavy metals sorption, but they would result in enhanced permeation and pre-enrichment of metal cations prior to sorption onto HFO nanoparticles impregnated in the polymeric framework of D-001 (i.e. favourable for enhanced metal retention by HFO particles); ii) the highly dispersive HFO nanoparticles are expected to exhibit a specific sorption toward heavy metal ions through electrostatic (i.e., ion exchange) and Lewis acid–base (i.e., metal–ligand) interactions.

### Table 2

Synthesis of Biopolymers functionalized nanocomposites (B-PFNCs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer matrix</th>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>B-PNCs</th>
<th>Preparation method</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium alginate</td>
<td>Iron oxide ((\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>CA-((\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Bée et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrated iron oxide ((\text{HFO}))</td>
<td>CA-(\text{HFO})</td>
<td>Direct compounding</td>
<td>Zouboulis and Katsoyiannis, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>CA-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Lim et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxymethyl-(\beta)-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>C-((\beta\text{-CD})-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Badruddoza et al., 2011, 2013a, 2013b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrated iron oxide ((\text{HFO}))</td>
<td>Ce-(\text{HFO})</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Yu et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>Ce-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Guo and Chen 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>Iron oxide ((\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>Ch-((\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Zhou et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>Ch-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Tran et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum Arabic</td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>Ch-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Chang and Chen, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu(^{\text{II}})</td>
<td>Ch-(Cu(^{\text{II}}))</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Chang et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>Ch-(TiO(_2))</td>
<td>Direct compounding</td>
<td>Wu et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(methyl methacrylate) grafted Tragacanth gum</td>
<td>Magnetite ((\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4))</td>
<td>P(MMA)-(g)-TG-(\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4)</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>Razzaz et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-l-cysteine</td>
<td>Iron oxide ((\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>PLCy-((\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3))</td>
<td>In situ synthesis(^2)</td>
<td>White et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^2\) The number is referred to the preparation methods shown in Fig. 1.

---

Fig. 2. Schematic representations of the polymeric cation and anion exchangers used on the S-PFNCs Hydrated iron (III) oxide (HFO) (Modified from Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005).

---
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interactions. Preferable adsorption of Pb(II) and Cd(II) over Ca(II) into D001-Zr(IV) was elucidated on the basis of its specific structure by Hua et al. (2013a). The immobilized sulfonate groups covalently bound to the polystyrene matrix of D001 could enhance pre-concentration and permeation of target ions prior to their effective sequestration by the inside oxide particles thanks to the Donnan's effect. Also, the entrapped hydrated Zr(IV) oxide nanoparticles would provide a specific metal coordination with target ions (i.e., the inner-sphere surface complexation).

Several NPs are used to fabricate this kind of PFNCs, most cases by in situ synthesis (Table 1). HFO, which is innocuous, inexpensive, readily available, and chemically stable over a wide pH range 2–8, is the most common type of NPs used to fabricate S-PFNCs, as shown in Table 1.

Amino-functionalized materials are expected to be highly effective for the removal of heavy metals, since the elimination of anionic metal species can be achieved via electrostatic interaction, ion exchange or hydrogen bonding, whereas the removal of the cationic metal species can be performed via coordination with the amino groups (Zhang et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2012).

The main drawback of this kind of granular type adsorbents is related to their recovery once saturated and their potential leaching. A large variety of fibrous exchangers based on different polymers has been synthesized and tested in different processes to overcome these limitations (Yatsusina et al., 2007). As shown in Table 1, different macroporous polystyrene beads bound with different surface groups (−CH2Cl, −SO3, and −CH2N+(CH3)3) or pore structure have been also tested as host materials for the encapsulation of nZrP (Zhang et al., 2011), nZVI (Jiang et al., 2011), HFO (Wang et al., 2011), and nZrO2 (Zhang et al., 2013). In general, the presence of charged functional groups (−SO3 and −CH2N+(CH3)3) was more favourable than the neutral −CH2Cl group to improve nanoparticles dispersion and, thereby, enhance their reactivity.

2.2. B-PFNCs

Several authors reported that magnetic NPs functionalized with biopolymers such as chitosan (Chang and Chen, 2005; Tran et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2009), alginate (Bée et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2009), gum Arabic (Banerjee and Chen, 2007), cyclodextrins (CDs) (Zhao et al., 2015a) and cellulose (Guo and Chen, 2005) are highly efficient for the removal of toxic metals from aqueous solutions. The main advantages of using iron oxides as composite materials with host materials are the high porosity, magnetic properties, and, usually, good settling properties. Since surface functional group reactions are involved in the sorption processes, higher content of surface functional group sites in a sorbent would lead to a higher sorption capacity for contaminant removal (Nah et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2007). Among biopolymers, chitosan represents a valuable alternative having great potential as a biotechnological solution for wastewater treatment. Chang and Chen (2005) developed a novel B-PFNC by carboxy-methylated chitosan covalently bound on the surface of Fe3O4 NPs (Ch-(Fe3O4)) via in situ synthesis. Chitosan functionalization can be achieved by using environmentally friendly reagents. Zhou et al. (2009) carried out the surface modification of chitosan-coated magnetic NPs (Ch-(γ-Fe2O3)) with α-ketoglutaric acid (α-KA), which is a natural, inexpensive, harmless and biological reagent containing active functional groups like carboxyl groups. Physical characterization confirmed that the chitosan coating process did not alter significantly the γ-Fe2O3 morphology and the superparamagnetic properties of the α-KA-Ch-(γ-Fe2O3) did not change markedly after coating. Bée et al. (2011) developed a B-PFNC by encapsulation of magnetic functionalized NPs in calcium-alginate beads (CA-(γ-Fe2O3)), one of the main components of brown seaweed. Bée et al. (2011) reported that the use of nanosized magnetic material improves the adsorption capacity of the alginate beads because of their large surface area and the presence of surface binding groups due to citrate coating. As shown in Table 2, most B-PFNCs were obtained by in situ synthesis, whereas the alginate based ones were produced via direct compounding. A novel B-PFNC was also developed by Banerjee and Chen (2007) treating Fe3O4 NPs with gum Arabic (GA-(Fe3O4)).

The surface modification did not result in the phase change of Fe3O4 leading to the formation of secondary particles in the range of 13–67 nm. Spherical Fe3O4 bacterioidal cellulose (Ce-(Fe3O4)) B-PFNCs were biosynthesized from Gluconacetobacter xylinum by agitation fermentation (Zhu et al., 2011). The ability of cyclodextrins (CDs), cyclic oligosaccharides consisting of 6 (α), 7 (β), 8 (γ) glucopyranose units linked together via α (1–4) linkages, to complex various metals was found to be highly dependent on the modification of the CDs with suitable functional groups through esterification, oxidation reactions and cross-linking of hydroxyls outside the interior cavity (Norkus, 2009). Carboxymethyl-β-cyclodextrin (C-β-CD) polymer modified (C-β-CD-(Fe3O4)) presented the lowest diameter (8 nm) compared to the other B-PFNCs (Badruddoza et al., 2011a, 2013b). However, in comparison with synthetic complexing agents such as EDTA and DTPA (C-β-CD) showed weaker metal complexing property, and even its metal removal efficiency has been questioned. In order to overcome these drawbacks, Zhao et al. (2015a) fabricated EDTA-β-cyclodextrin by reacting β-cyclodextrin with EDTA as a cross-linker and sodium dihydrogen phosphate (MSP) as a catalyst.

2.3. Characterization

Various and complementary multiscale characterization techniques are required for the analysis of structural, morphological and functional properties of PFNCs. The polymer nanocomposite morphology is mainly investigated by a large variety of microscopy techniques, depending on the scale of interest, and ranging from optical to electron microscopy (SEM and TEM, with related diffraction techniques), and scanning probe microscopy (SPM). When PFNCs are magnetic, vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) is also used for their characterization.

The development of nanocomposite science and technology and the optimization of the functional properties of PFNCs have been possible because of the unparalleled information gathered with the use of these techniques. The information about morphology and structure achieved at the different scales regards not only the structure and distribution of the filler itself, but also the filler–matrix adhesion, and how the presence of the filler impacts on the embedding polymer matrix properties (Michler, 2008).

Currently, there is a growing interest focused on the use of specialized microscopy techniques such as electron tomography and the low voltage approach due to their ability to provide quantitative information about the adhesion and dispersion of the filler in the embedding polymeric matrix (Khare and Burris, 2010). When in situ investigations are applicable and possible, specific information can be obtained on the filler matrix interaction properties thus increasing the comprehension of the mechanisms behind the characteristics enhancement observed for nanocomposites. Other commonly and widely used characterization tools are: i) X-ray diffraction (XRD) for the structural analysis, ii) Fourier transform infrared (FTIR), and Raman spectroscopy X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDX) for the study of the modes of surface groups and the nature of chemical bonds, iii) thermal analysis for the determination of water uptake (TGA), and iv) ionic exchange capacity.
3. Removal of metals by PFNCs

The basic principle for the use of PFNCs for metals removal is adsorption. Overall, various effects contribute determining the whole efficacy of PFNCs action.

3.1. Adsorption isotherms

The investigation of the interactions between adsorbate and adsorbent showed that adsorption isotherms are the most significant. Adsorption isotherms are functional expressions that correlate the amount of solute adsorbed per unit weight of the adsorbent and the concentration of the adsorbate in the bulk solution at a given temperature under equilibrium conditions. The most used models describing the sorption equilibrium of metal ions were developed by Langmuir (Langmuir, 1918; Eq. (1)), Freundlich (Freundlich, 1906; Eq. (2)) and Brunauer, Emmet and Teller (BET), Redlich–Peterson (Eq. (3)) and Dubinin–Radushkevich(D–R), Temkin (4). The Langmuir adsorption model is valid for single-layer adsorption, whereas BET model uses isotherms reflecting apparent multilayer adsorption. Thus, when the limit of adsorption is a monolayer, BET isotherms reduce to Langmuir model. Temkin isotherm contains a factor that explicitly ties into account adsorbent–adsorbate interactions. By ignoring the extremely low and large value of concentrations, the model assumes that heat of adsorption (function of temperature) of all molecules in the layer would decrease linearly rather than logarithmic with coverage. Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm is generally applied to express the adsorption mechanism with a Gaussian energy distribution onto a heterogeneous surface. The model has often successfully fitted high solute activities as well as the intermediate range of concentrations data well.

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{q_m K_L} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln C_e \quad (2)
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{P C_e}{1 + \alpha C_e} \quad (3)
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{R T}{B} \ln k_T + \frac{R T}{B} \ln C_e \quad (4)
\]

In Eq. (1), \(q_e\) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed per mass of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_e\) is the equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in aqueous solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \(q_m\) is the monolayer adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \(K_L\) the Langmuir equilibrium constant. The Freundlich model assumes adsorption can occur in multiple layers, so that saturation cannot occur. In Eq. (2), \(K_F\) is an index of adsorption capacity, and \(n\) is the Freundlich constant (index of adsorption intensity or surface heterogeneity).

In Eq. (3) \(P (L mg^{-1})\) and \(\alpha (L mg^{-1})\) are the isotherm constants of Redlich–Peterson isotherm model and \(B\) is the exponential term which lies between 0 and 1. In Eq. (4) \(R\) is the gas constant (8.341 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \(T\) is the absolute temperature (K), \(K_T\) is the equilibrium binding constant (L g\(^{-1}\)), and \(b\) is a constant related to the heat of adsorption (J mol\(^{-1}\)).

To determine whether the adsorption is favourable, a dimensionless constant separation factor or equilibrium parameter \(R_L\) is defined based on Eq. (5) (Weber and Chakravorti, 1974):

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_i} \quad (5)
\]

where, \(C_i\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the initial metal concentration. The value of \(R_L\) value indicates whether the type of the isotherm is favourable (0 < \(R_L\) < 1), unfavourable (\(R_L\) > 1), linear (\(R_L\) = 1), or irreversible (\(R_L\) = 0).

Badruddoza et al. (2013b) reported \(R_L\) values between 0 and 1 for the Langmuir isotherm, and Freundlich adsorption intensity variables (n values) > 2 supporting the favourable adsorption of metal ions by C-β-CD-(Fe3O4). \(R_L\) values also between 0 and 1 were determined for nano magnetic polymer adsorbents coupled with different diamino-groups for any initial concentration of Cr(VI) (Zhao et al., 2010). For an initial concentration of Cr(VI) of 50 mg L\(^{-1}\), the polymer nano-adsorbents \(R_L\) values were 0.11, 0.04, 0.21, and 0.14 for EDA-(Fe3O4), DETA-(Fe3O4), TETA-(Fe3O4) and TEPA-(Fe3O4), respectively. The adsorption isotherms followed the Langmuir rules. The isotherm parameters are summarised in Tables 3 and 4 for S-PFNCs and B-PFNCs, respectively. The adsorption isotherms are one of the most useful data to understand the mechanism of adsorption and the isotherm characteristics are needed before the interpretation of the kinetics of the adsorption process. Pseudo-first-order (Eq. (6)) and pseudo-second-order (Eq. (7)) models are commonly used to describe the adsorption kinetic data (Pan et al., 2012):

\[
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \left(\frac{k_1}{2.303}\right) t \quad (6)
\]

\[
t = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e} + \left(\frac{1}{q_e}\right) t \quad (7)
\]

where, \(q_e\) is the adsorption capacity at time \(t\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(k_1\) (min\(^{-1}\)), \(k_2\) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) are the adsorption rate constants.

3.2. Effect of polymer matrix and NPs composition

Cumbal and Sengupta (2005) observed that, despite greater HFO content, the sorbents based on cation-exchanger were practically unable to remove As(V) compared to the anion-exchanger based sorbents. This phenomenon was explained by the Donnan’s exclusion effect, which is essentially an extension of the second law of thermodynamics, concerning in a specific way the completely ionized electrolytes in a heterogeneous system.

The magnetic properties of the composite materials and their possible result in higher adsorption capacities towards metals is currently under discussion (Bibak, 1994). Davis and Bhatnagar (1995) have shown the ratio between the magnetic “core” and the “shell” plays an important role: i) a low ratio of the magnetic oxide (the “core”) may decrease a magnetic response, while ii) a low ratio of polymer component (the “shell”) may lead to a decrease on the adsorption capacity.

The adsorption of Hg\(^{2+}\) by MP-(Fe3O4) seems to be highly related to the content of Fe3O4 magnetic core in the adsorbents (Pan et al., 2012). The maximum adsorption capacity increased from 129.9 mg g\(^{-1}\) to 256.4 mg g\(^{-1}\) with an increase from 0 to 1.0 g of Fe3O4 used for the MP-(Fe3O4) preparation, whereas a decrease from 256.4 mg g\(^{-1}\) to 158.7 mg g\(^{-1}\) was obtained when increasing the Fe3O4 quantity from 1.0 g to 2.0 g. The optimized content of the magnetic core on the MP-(Fe3O4) was 5.88% of Fe3O4. Since the MP-0 with the largest amount of −SH groups (9.17 mmol g\(^{-1}\)), and the MP-(Fe3O4) with 2.0 g (Fe3O4) showed the lowest adsorption capacities, and the adsorption capacity of bare Fe3O4 to Hg(II) was found to be 51.5 mg g\(^{-1}\), the authors concluded that the adsorption
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>S-PFNPs see Table 1</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ca0 [mg L⁻¹]</th>
<th>Adsorption capacities</th>
<th>Removal (%)</th>
<th>Adsorption constants</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As(III)</td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 2000 BV</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>De Marco et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 12 000 BV</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-39⁰</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>178.7 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nie et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-78⁰</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>197.7 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-350</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>220.5 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACP-(N(CH₃)₂)- (HFO)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 10 000 BV</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Kₐ = 1.52 L mmol⁻¹</td>
<td>Vatutina et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As(V)</td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 ppm</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Etzel et al., 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 4000 BV</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>De Marco et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 10 000 BV</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 ppm within 33 196 BV</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sylvester et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppb within 17 500 BV</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Möller and Sylvester, 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-39⁰</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>233.9 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Nie et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-78⁰</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>268.0 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)-350</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>326.4 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPD-G (HFO)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppm within 65 BV</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACP-(N(CH₃)₂) (HFO)</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;10 ppm within 10 000 BV</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Kₐ = 3.23 L mmol⁻¹</td>
<td>Vatutina et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td>PA-(HFO)</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.03 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0206 L mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm within 7000 BV</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-HMO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>sorption capacities increased by 50–300% compared to host exchangers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-Zr(HPO₃S)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt;0.09 mg L⁻¹ within 1600 BV</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-PAA-Na- (Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0290 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI-G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 ppm</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Khaydarov et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105.2 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Kₐ = 11.545 L g⁻¹</td>
<td>Pag et al., 2011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI-(Fe₃O₄) + SiO₂</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>83.33 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.125 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Pag et al., 2011b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI-(Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>370.37 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.1233 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEPA-(Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Shen et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEPA-(Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPy/G-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>208.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Kₐ = 2.3 L mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPy/G-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>195.7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Kₐ = 3.0 L mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>157.8 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Kₐ = 42.561 L g⁻¹</td>
<td>Pag et al., 2011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>157.8 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0318 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Khaydarov et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI-G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 ppm</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-PAA-Na- (Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27.0 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0585 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Shen et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEPA-(Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>116.80 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>99.85</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.4009 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPy/G-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>170.7</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Kₐ = 1.4 L mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PANI/G-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Kₐ = 66.5 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>Chávez-Guajardo et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hg(II)</td>
<td>PA-(HFO)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.38 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0246 L mg⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MP-(Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>256.4 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0585 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-PAA-Na- (Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>250 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kₐ = 50.54 L g⁻¹</td>
<td>Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb(II)</td>
<td>PS-HMO</td>
<td>sorption capacities increased by 50–300% compared to host exchangers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kₐ increased by 20–800 times as compared to host exchangers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA-(HFO)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23.79 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0250 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Manju et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-Zr(HPO₃S)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 mg L⁻¹ within 1600 BV</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-ZrP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05 ppm within 2000 BV</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm within 7000 BV</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m-PAA-Na- (Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40.0 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mahdavian and Mirrahimi, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-(HFO)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5–300</td>
<td>982.86 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Kₐ = 0.0187 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Pan et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(II)</td>
<td>PS-HMO</td>
<td>sorption capacities increased by 50–300% compared to host exchangers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Kₐ increased by 20–800 times as compared to host exchangers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-Zr(HPO₃S)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;0.06 mg L⁻¹ within 120 BV</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Khaydarov et al., 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PEI-C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.010 ppm</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. Effect of temperature and contact time

As temperature increases, the adsorption of metal ions generally decreases due to the increased mobility of the ions, which may lead to a decrease in the adsorption capacity. The electrostatic interaction between the metal ions and the sorbents is also affected by temperature. For example, Guo and Chen (2005) observed that the adsorption capacity of metal ions decreases with increasing temperature, indicating that adsorption is an exothermic process. Similarly, the adsorption of Tl(I) versus contact time for D001-(HMO) was almost complete within 1 h (Pan et al., 2014b), while at pH 5.0, no electrostatic barriers occurred and the equilibrium time decreased accordingly.

3.5. Effect of initial concentration of metal ions and adsorbent dose

The adsorption capacity of Hg(II) may be an integrated result of both the amount of mercapto-groups and Fe3O4 content. There might be some cooperative interactions between MP and Fe3O4.

Some studies (Chang and Chen, 2005; Badruddoza et al., 2011, 2013a) reported that the adsorption capacity of metal ions decreases with increasing temperature, indicating that adsorption is an exothermic process being the electrostatic interaction between metal ions and PFNCs lower at higher temperatures. Also the contact time between the adsorbent and adsorbate is an important parameter to design the adsorption processes.

The time at which the equilibrium is reached may drastically change depending on adsorption sites on the exterior of the adsorbents: 100 min for Cd2+, Cu2+, and Pb2+ adsorption by D001-(HFO) (Pan et al., 2010), 240 min for Pb2+ adsorption by CA-(γFe2O3) (Bée et al., 2011), 2 min for Cu2+ adsorption rate by GA-(Fe2O3) (Banerjee and Chen, 2007). Kinetic studies showed that the adsorption of Hg(II) by MP-(Fe3O4) at different content of the Fe3O4 and pH values (2, 3 and 5) followed a pseudo-second-order model, suggesting a chemisorption process (Pan et al., 2012). A time of 90 min was needed for the MP-0 (MP-functionalyzed polymer adsorbents without a Fe3O4 core) to reach adsorption equilibrium, while only 10 min for MP-(Fe3O4) containing a certain amount of Fe3O4 were needed. At decreasing values of pH, the adsorption equilibrium time increased, i.e., the equilibrium time was found to be 10, 30, and 60 min when adsorption pH was set at 5.0, 3.0, and 2.0, respectively. This may be due to the formation of S-Hg at low pH values limiting the further ingress of positive charged species such as Hg22+ due to the electrostatic barriers, thus delaying the adsorption equilibrium time. While at pH = 5.0, no electrostatic barriers occurred and the equilibrium time decreased accordingly.

The adsorption of Tl(I) versus contact time for D001-(HMO) was very quick at the beginning, and was followed by a gradual adsorption approaching equilibrium within 1 h (Pan et al., 2014b). The high correlation coefficients indicated that Tl(I) adsorption can be well represented by the pseudo-first-order model. Similar kinetic behaviour was also observed for lead ion removal by polymer-based zirconium phosphate (Pan et al., 2007). The sorption of Pb(II), Cu(II), and Cd(II) on HFO-001 was studied as a function of contact time at pH = 5.0 and 30 °C as shown in Fig. 3 (Pan et al., 2010). An initial fast step was completed within 30 min and followed by a slower second stage. The sorption equilibrium was achieved after 100 min. Similar results were reported by Zhao et al. (2014) for Cd(II), Pb(II), Co(II) and Ni(II) removal by M-PAM-(Fe3O4). The maximum adsorption of all metals was attained after 120 min, 120 min, 360 min and 180 min, respectively.

### Table 3 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>S-PPNPs see Table 1</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Cn</th>
<th>Adsorption capacities</th>
<th>Removal (%)</th>
<th>Adsorption constants</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PeI-(Fe3O4) + SiO2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>138.8 mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>K1 = 0.0245 L mg⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pang et al., 2011a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce(IV)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 ppm</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>Etzel et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PS hosts of surface areas 39, 78 and 350 m²/g.*
availability of the functional groups for complexation of the metal ions. The solution ion concentration drops to a lower value at higher adsorbent dose, and the system reaches equilibrium at lower concentrations of adsorbed metal indicating that the adsorption sites remain unsaturated.

### 3.6. Effect of coexisting ions

Coexisting ions in solution can compete with metals for the adsorption sites affecting the removal process (Guo and Chen, 2005; Vatutsina et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2012). The major anionic antagonistic components are phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$), silicate (SiO$_4^{4-}$),
and sulphate (SO$_4^{2-}$), which are usually present in groundwater streams. According to Katsoyiannis and Zouboulis (2002), phosphate concentrations $< 50$ mg L$^{-1}$ do not show any significant inhibition on As removal by CPDB-(HFO), whereas for concentrations above 200 mg L$^{-1}$ PO$_4^{3-}$ strongly compete with As for the available adsorption sites even impeding its removal when attaining concentrations of 400 mg L$^{-1}$. In presence of SiO$_4^{4-}$, the removal rate of AsO$_3^{3-}$ by Ce-(HFO) can decrease up to 85%, and to a lower extent due to the interference of PO$_4^{3-}$ (Guo and Chen, 2005). Comparing the behaviour of PCI-(HFO) and PS-(HFO), Qiu et al. (2012) reported that Cu adsorption on PCI-(HFO) was markedly promoted by introducing sulphate. Besides the electrostatic effects, the formation of Cu–SO$_4$ ternary complexes also accounted for the enhanced Cu sorption on both bulky HFO and hybrid HFO sorbents in presence of sulphate. These results indicated that the effect of counter ion ligands on metal adsorption to hybrid iron oxides was largely dependent on the surface properties of host materials. The effect of Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, and Na$^+$ on the adsorption of Hg$^{2+}$ seems to be less significant since these cations have less affinity to $-$SH groups than Hg$^{2+}$ as predicted by HSAB theory (Pan et al., 2012). Oxalate is supposed to affect the applicability of the HFO based composites for environmental remediation because it would enhance HFO dissolution. However, Qiu et al. (2013) reported that the dissolution rate was considerable lower for PCI-(HFO) and PS-(HFO) compared to the bare HFO, probably due to the slower oxalate adsorption. The polymeric host PS was more favourable than PCI for HFO dispersion inside, resulting in higher oxalate uptake and faster dissolution of PS-(HFO) than PCI-(HFO).

### 3.7. Interaction between polymeric host and nanoparticles

To date, few studies have been presented concerning the interplay between the host materials and the immobilized inorganic nanoparticles (Cumbal and Sengupta, 2005; Blaney et al., 2007; Sarkar and Sengupta, 2010). The host materials for larger particle size greatly improve the permeability and separation of the resulting nanocomposites, helping to inhibit the aggregation of inorganic nanoparticles encapsulated therein due to the steric effect caused by their rigid matrix. Tests carried out on different macroporous polystyrene beads bound with different surface groups (−CH$_2$Cl, −SO$_3$, and −CH$_3$N$^+$CH$_3$)$_3$) or pore structure as host materials for the encapsulation of nZrP (Zhang et al., 2011), nZVI (Jiang et al., 2011), HFO (Wang et al., 2011), and nZrO$_2$ (Zhang et al., 2013) proved that polymer surface groups and the pore size greatly affect PFNCs size and capacity. The maximum compressive strengths of all the resulting nanocomposites were greatly improved. Another topic of interest in the evaluation of the interaction between the polymeric host and the nanoparticles is represented by the difference in surface chemistry of NPs before and after being confined in the host. For instance, hydrous manganese oxide (HMO) is generally negatively charged at circumneutral pH and cannot effectively remove anionic pollutants such as phosphate. Nevertheless after its immobilization within a polystyrene

![Fig. 3. Sorption kinetics of heavy metal ions onto HFO-001 at pH 5.0 and 303 K. 1.00 g sorbent was added into 1000 mL solution containing 500 ppm of each heavy metal (Adopted from Pan et al., 2010).](image)

![Fig. 4. Schematic illustration of phosphate adsorption by HMO before and after being loaded into NS (Adopted from Pan et al., 2014a).](image)
anion exchanger (NS), as shown in Fig. 4, the resulting nano-composite HMO@NS exhibited substantially enhanced phosphate removal efficiency in presence of sulphate, chloride, and nitrate at greater levels (Pan et al., 2014a). Recently, Nie et al. (2013) revealed that encapsulating HFO particles into a polystyrene host would result in the variation of the acid base properties of the inside HFO and, consequently, affecting its sorption toward arsenate and copper ions. Further, Nie et al. (2015) highlighted the pore size effect of the host on the intrinsic surface properties of HFO after being encapsulated inside different polymeric hosts. The smaller the HFO loaded in the polymeric hosts of higher surface area, the lower the pHZC value of the resulting composite and the weaker affinity for 

\[ H^+ \] and the stronger the affinity for \( OH^- \).

### 3.8. Regeneration and reuse

Hybrid ion exchangers can offer advantages over other adsorbents due to their chemical stability, and durable physical structure and amenability to regeneration and reuse (Sarkar et al., 2011). Regeneration of adsorbents has two main objectives: i) to restore the adsorption capacity of exhausted adsorbents, and ii) to recover valuable metals present in the adsorbed phase. The first aim can be attained under acidic conditions; the metal ions promote the adsorbent surface, i.e., competition between the \( H^+ \) and the \( M^{n+} \) for the \( −COO^- \) groups). The regeneration efficiency of Ch-(\( γ\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) was tested (Zhou et al., 2008) by using four different eluents, Na\(_2\)EDTA, HCl, CH\(_3\)COOH and citric acid, at two different concentrations, 25 and 100 mmol L\(^{-1}\). The obtained results showed that 100 mmol L\(^{-1}\) of Na\(_2\)EDTA had the highest efficiency (91.5%), due to its larger complexation capacity towards the metal ions. Yu et al. (2011) showed the highest efficiency of Na\(_2\)EDTA to desorb Pb\(^{2+}\) ions when added to C-β-CD-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)). Also, Nassar (2010) showed that HNO\(_3\) and Na\(_2\)EDTA solutions have very high desorption efficiencies for Pb\(^{2+}\) from CDpoly-MNPs (96.0 and 94.2% recovery, respectively), whereas H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) was found to be a better eluent for Cd\(^{2+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) desorption (with a recovery of 61.8 and 82.7%, respectively).

The desorption data of adsorbed Pb\(^{2+}\) ions from magnetic alginate beads by elution with 2 mol L\(^{-1}\) HNO\(_3\) showed that 88.9% of the Pb\(^{2+}\) ions were released in the solution after 30 min (Bée et al., 2011). The adsorption capacity of the magsorbent was maintained at the same level even after 5 elution cycles, indicating that the magsorbent can be reused for the removal of heavy metals (Nassar, 2010).

Zhu et al. (2011) also showed that spherical Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/bacterial cellulose nanocomposites (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/BC) could be regenerated by using sodium citrate and reused for further adsorption of metals.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Synthesis methods

The direct compounding process is more convenient for operation, it has a low cost and is suitable for massive production (Katsoyiannis and Zouboulis, 2002). However, some drawbacks are related to: i) the decision about the space distribution parameter of NPs on the polymer matrix, ii) the possibility of NPs to form larger agglomerates during blending, greatly decreasing the advantages of their nano-size dimensions, and iii) the polymer degradation upon melt compounding and phase separation between the nano-phase and the polymer, which is sometimes severe (Zhao et al., 2011).

In situ synthesis methods allow synthesizing nanocomposites with tailored physical properties, and with a direct and homogeneous dispersion of the NPs into the liquid monomers or precursors avoiding their agglomeration in the polymer matrix, and thus improving the interfacial interactions between both phases. However, use of solvents and/or catalysts can be necessary (Zhao et al., 2011).

In order to promote a sustainable production of PFNCs, green solvents and biologic reagents should be tested in situ synthesis methods. To the best of our knowledge, the potential effectiveness of coated adsorbents modified with biologic reagents has been discussed in Zhou et al. (2009). The use of biological macromolecules for wastewater remediation process is the goal of present research mostly driven by growing concerns about the depletion of petroleum oil reserves and environmental problems. So, in view of technological significance of PFNCs, the use of bio-polymers should be further investigated supporting better efficiency and multiple reuses, enhancing their applicability at large scale. Another aspect is related to the cross linking techniques which commonly uses glutaraldehyde (GA) or epichlorohydrin (EPI) as cross linkers. The drawbacks of both GA and EPI are the high levels of toxicity (i.e. immunogenicity and carcinogenicity) to human beings and animals. Thus, it is necessary to find environmentally friendly crosslinkers and green cross-linking techniques for PFNCs production (Zhao et al., 2015b).

#### 4.2. Process efficiency

Batch studies have shown (see Table 3) that polymeric cation exchangers functionalized with HFO NPs PS-(HFO) represent the most common option for arsenic removal due to the high affinity of HFO for these metal ions (Etzel et al., 1997; De Marco et al., 2003; Cumbal et al., 2005; Sylvester et al., 2007; Möller et al., 2008). However, by using ACP-N(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)-(HFO), removal of approximately 90% of both AsO\(_4^{3-}\) and AsO\(_3^{3-}\) was obtained in only 10 min (Vatutsina et al., 2007). Zouboulis and Katsoyiannis (2002) noted that the removal of arsenic was greatly affected by the Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) concentration used for the creation and doping of the iron oxide alginate beads. The amounts of doped iron oxides were 2.8 and 1.4 mg g\(^{-1}\) of Fe of wet alginate bead, respectively. The breakthrough point was reached after the treatment of around 80 and 55 bed volumes after the first and second runs, respectively.

For the removal of Pb\(^{2+}\), Hg\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) the use of PA-(HFO) showed a very high adsorption potential, as shown in Table 3, PEI–NCs were also found highly efficient for Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Cd\(^{2+}\), and Pb\(^{2+}\) removals. The use of PEI-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) + SiO\(_2\) also produced high removal efficiencies the order being: Cu\(^{2+}\) > Zn\(^{2+}\) > Cd\(^{2+}\) with a starting concentration of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Pang et al., 2011a, 2011b).

As shown in Table 4, Ch-(\( γ\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) are also highly efficient (99%) for Cu\(^{2+}\) removal at \( pH > 2 \). The adsorption rate equilibrium was achieved after 1 min due to the absence of internal diffusion resistance.

#### 4.3. Metals dynamic speciation

Metal complexation is often strongly pH dependent and a function of metal-binding affinity, ligand concentration, and ionic strength (Domingos Rute, 2015). Therefore, when the PNFCs are added to an environmental compartment, either a wastewater treatment facility or a river, where metals are present, these colloidal materials will absorb them through covalent, electrostatic, or hydrophobic interactions. If these colloidal materials are not well stabilized, they in turn can undergo several processes that are under dynamic control such as conformational changes of the organic colloids or the electrical surface field on the inorganic colloids, which implies that there will be a kinetic dependence on the metal complexation. These transformations result in a wider
distribution of complexation affinities for metals and metalloids, and, thus in a broader distribution of their complex dissociation kinetics. In this case, the formed complexes can have different labilities (Herman, 2001): i) labile complexes — the kinetic flux is much larger than the diffusive one so that the free metal ion will be in equilibrium with its complex forms all along the diffusion layer; thus all metals present will contribute to the overall flux; and ii) non-labile complexes — the kinetic and diffusive fluxes are of the same order of magnitude, thus both the free metal ion and a small part of the bound metal will contribute to the overall flux. Evidently, this is of critical importance for the evaluation of the removal efficiencies if the equilibrium is not attained. When no steady state is achieved it is necessary to consider the distributions of both thermodynamic and kinetic properties on the rigorous flux computations. Moreover, it is of critical importance considering the kinetic features of metal complexation when evaluating the toxicity through the organisms. Currently, bioavailability and toxicity models are based only on the contribution of the free species, however, due to the dynamics of these systems, consideration of the labile complex for the internalization flux could be of great importance.

4.4. Toxicity evaluation

Despite the growing interest in the development of PFNCs, safety for human health and the environment have not been properly addressed yet. The strict combination of polymers and NPs (Ging et al., 2014) does not ease this investigation. NPs could pose some intrinsic potential risks. For example, the biocompatibility investigations of graphene and graphene oxide have been unsatisfactory with some papers demonstrating severe dose-dependent toxicity (Hu et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011), while others indicated that graphene NPs might improve cell growth (Lee et al., 2011; Ruiz et al., 2011). Furthermore, not only the environmental toxicity, but also the fate of NPs remains poorly understood, even for the most toxic NPs like Ag. As for toxicity effects, the fate of NPs shows NP-specific partitioning behaviour. Kaegi et al. (2013) evidenced little discharge for Ag NPs into surface waters from urban wastewater cycle, whereas Ferry et al. (2009) demonstrated how Au nano-rods could partition after an exposure period of 12 days in an aquatic mesocosm being detectable in biofilm, the water column, clams and other biota. Preferably, the use of PFNCs should reduce the release and potential toxic effects of NPs while the adsorption of the target contaminant(s) by the nanocomposites should be comparable (or higher) than that obtained from the free NPs (Önnby et al., 2014).

Currently, most data are referred mainly to PFNCs constituents and in just a few cases to PFNC as a whole. Tests on whole materials and after weathering experiments (e.g., UV radiation, humidity, and chemical and biological factors) are needed considering both
in vitro and in vivo (eco)toxicology (Posgai et al., 2011; Ging et al., 2014). Current research on the environmental stability of PFNCs focused mostly on short-term stability, while the investigation of the long-term stability is missing. Ging et al. (2014) investigated plain multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and amino-MWCNTs epoxy nanocomposites (after UV weathering for 1560 h) on Drosophila melanogaster embryos (survivability and developmental rate) showing no significant increase in toxicity, probably because carbon nanotubes (CNTs) collected in abraded samples were still encapsulated in the matrix, thus limiting the exposure. Paul et al. (2015) produced silver/polymer nanocomposites functionalized by amino groups after reacting with end groups from PLA and its co-polymer with PLGA. Silver/polymer nanocomposites are used in biomedical materials and sensors, showing a low-toxicity for humans, but they inhibit the growth of a wide range of microorganisms (Chaloupka et al., 2010). PLA (Jamshidian et al., 2010) and PLGA (Makadia and Siegel, 2011) are well known as non-toxic biodegradable materials (Danhier et al., 2012). PFNCs like silver/PLA and silver/PLGA nanocomposites showed strong bactericidal properties (Escherichia coli) with almost no harmful effects to humans (Paul et al., 2015). Other PFNCs were mainly investigated for biomedical applications (for orthopaedic and dental applications) like injectable nanocomposites made of biodegradable poly(-propylene fumarate) (Shi et al., 2008), proving the absence of cytotoxicity (fibroblast cell line in vitro test).

Papers developing PFNC materials for specific water and wastewater applications did not investigate any potential (eco) toxicity effects (Katsoyiannis and Zouboulis, 2002; Chang et al., 2005, 2006; Guo and Chen, 2005; Say et al., 2006; Banerjee et al., 2007; Lim et al., 2009; Wang and Wang, 2009; Zhou et al., 2009; Tran et al., 2010; Baddrudza et al., 2011; Bèe et al., 2011; Shirsath et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011; Baddrudza et al., 2013a,b; Musico et al., 2013). An exception was done by Önny et al. (2014), where they investigated nanocomposites of aluminium oxide nanoparticles (Al NPs) incorporated in a PA cryogel matrix for AsO$_4^{3-}$ removal efficiency in the perspective of creating a water filter, also demonstrating the potential toxicity of the filtrate by using human epithelial cells (Caco-2). No cell death in relation to the presence of NP was evident, but cell viability was slightly affected probably due to the levels of a residual monomer. Authors suggested further investigations stressing the PFNC system performance using higher flow speeds and composite volumes, under more aggressive pH conditions, and with higher ionic strength (Önny et al., 2014).

Despite the use of PFNCs mainly as adsorbent for metals removal from water and wastewater, several issues related to their safety are still open: i) in general few data on PFNC as a whole, and very limited data for the specific water-related application is available; ii) existing stressing and weathering experiments are occasional and short-term based; and iii) scarce toxicity data are available only for cell lines and/or microorganisms still on a short time exposure, while no whole multicellular eukaryotic biological models have been considered.

4.5. Design considerations

Most studies concerning metal removal via PFNCs are related to laboratory-scale set-ups. This raises questions about the possibilities of deploying this technique into in situ situations. Lab-scale configurations studies evidence three main configurations, as summarized in Fig. 5.

- NPs are mixed with contaminated water, being the main problem of this approach the separation of NPs from the water. However, if magnetic NPs are used, this can be achieved via magnetic fields to overcome the main limitation of this approach (Manju et al., 2002).
- NPs are immobilized on membrane sheets or fibres and the contaminated water passes over them making the adsorption process possible. The advantage of this design is that the NPs can be recovered, but a suitable system (i.e. sufficient capacity) might require a large surface area for efficient contaminants adsorption (Vatutsina et al., 2007). Membrane fouling is a current problem requiring to regular cleaning procedure or membrane replacement.
- NPs can be immobilized onto larger particles that are easily separated from water at or near the outlet of the treatment installation. This leads to a fixed bed or fluidized bed system, where the water flows through the pores among the particles (Katsoyiannis and Zouboulis, 2002). Fouling could reduce the effectiveness of the adsorption process, but the replacement of larger particles can be continuous.

Crucial parameters for the design are i) the total surface area available for adsorption processes determining the capacity, and ii) the achievable throughput causing the system footprint. To better elucidate the scale effect we can consider a case study where Cd contaminates water. Table 3 indicates an adsorption capacity of 21 mg Cd per g of PA-(HFO) (Manju et al., 2002), where the S-PFNCs are in suspension, so that the entire surface is available for adsorption. The total surface area per unit of volume (m$^2$ L$^{-1}$) is provided by Eq. (8).

$$A = 4\pi R^2 N$$

(8)

where, $R$ is the radius of the S-PFNCs (considering S-PFNCs as roughly spherical), and $N$ is the number of NPs per unit of volume. This is related to the mass concentration $C$:

$$N = C/(p \cdot 4\pi/3 R^3)$$

(9)

where, $p$ is the density and the denominator is the mass per NP. Combining Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) leads to:

$$A = 3C/pR$$

(10)

According to Eq. (8) and using a density of 4000 kg/m$^3$ and a radius of 50 nm, removal of 1 g/m$^3$ of these NPs corresponds to 5 m$^2$ surface area per m$^3$ of suspension.

Since this concerns free-floating NPs, the full surface area is available. If the NPs are embedded in a polymer membrane, then roughly half of the surface will be available. Furthermore the NPs will be spread over the membrane and they may or may not be close together. Assuming that the S-PFNCs are indeed as close together as possible, then an estimate of the membrane area can be obtained, which will in fact be a lower limit. The adsorption of 21 mg Cd requires 1 g of HFO. From the above calculation the surface area of 1 g NPs is 5 m$^2$. Therefore at least 5 m$^2$ of membrane are required to adsorb that amount of Cd and probably more, since the NPs will be spread over the membrane rather than being very close together. This gives an idea of the dimensions of a device intended to adsorb metals from water via such membranes.

4.6. Applications to real case wastewater

The application of PFNCs to real wastewaters has been rarely reported in literature, and only few studies evaluated the treatment of industrial and municipal effluents. Laboratory scale results showed that phosphorus from real effluent discharged from a
municipal WWTP can be effectively removed by HFO-201 \cite{Hua et al., 2013b} from 0.92 mg L\(^{-1}\) to <0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) at a flow rate of 50 BV h\(^{-1}\) and treatable volume of 3500–4000 BV run\(^{-1}\). Recently, a combined process including polymer-based nanocomposite as selective adsorbent, as shown in Fig. 6, has been validated for arsenic removal from tungsten-smelting wastewater \cite{Jiang et al., 2014}. Experiments were carried out with two commercially available nanocomposites HZO-201 and HFO-201. Zr(IV)-loaded nano-adsorbent (HZO-201) exhibited higher capacity of arsenate and better performance under different pH values than HFO-201. The concentration of arsenic in co-precipitation effluent could be effectively decreased from 0.96 mg L\(^{-1}\) to <0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\) by HZO-201 column within 220 BV. Fixed bed column test of Tl(I)-contained solution (Zhou et al., 2009).

5. Conclusions

In the last decade, several studies have been devoted to the application of PFNCs for metals and metalloids removal from water and wastewater. Despite the promises of these adsorbents, several issues related to their use still remain to be addressed:

- Safety for human health and the environment has not been fully assessed – further research is expected in the near future investigating the long-term exposure and effects considering various biological targets;
- Greening the PFNCs production is expected in order to minimize the use of solvents and make their use more environmentally friendly;
- Removal efficiency and cost optimization per unit volume treated waiting for an economy of scale;
- Support the life cycle impact analysis according to the reuse, recovery and regeneration approaches and zero-waste perspective.
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Nomenclature

- \(\alpha\)-KA \(\alpha\)-ketoglutaric acid
- ACP-N(CH\(_3\)_2)\(_2\)-(HFO) Acrylic polymer + N(CH\(_3\)_2)\(_2\) supported Hydrated Iron (III) Oxide
- BV bed volume
- CA-(\(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) Calcium alginate coated iron oxide
- C-\(\beta\)-(CD) Carboxymethyl-\(\beta\)-cyclodextrin
- CA-(HFO) Calcium alginate coated hydrous iron oxide
- CDs Cyclodextrins
- Ce-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\))/bacterial cellulose
- Ce-(HFO)Cellulose loaded with hydrous iron oxide
- Ch-(\(\gamma\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) Chitosan coated iron oxide
- Ch-(Cu\(_b\)) Chitosan supported copper
- Ch-(Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) Chitosan supported iron oxide
- CNTs Carbon Nanotubes
- CPDB-(HFO) Polystyrene + Divinilbenzene copolymer coated by Hydrated Iron (III) Oxide
- D001-(HFO) Hydrated Fe(III) oxide (HFO) Nanoparticles within a cation-exchange resin D-001
- D001-(HMO) Hydrous manganese oxide (HMO) Nanoparticles within a cation-exchange resin D-001
- D001-Zr(IV) Hydrated Zr(IV) oxide Nanoparticles within a cation-exchange resin D-001
- DETA-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Diethylenetriamine supported Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)magnetic
- DTPA diethylenetriaminepentaaetic acid
- EDA-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Ethylenediamine supported Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)magnetic
- EDTA ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
- EDTA-\(\beta\)-cyclodextrin EDTA-cross-linked \(\beta\)-cyclodextrin
- FT-IR Fourier Transform Infra-Red
- GA-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Gum arabic supported (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\))
- HFO Hydrated Iron (III) Oxide
- HFO-201 Polymer based hydrated ferric oxide nanocomposite
- HMO Hydrous manganese oxide
- HZO Zr(VI) loaded nano adsorbent
- HSAB theory Hard–Soft Acid–Base theory
- HZO-201 Polymer based zirconium nanocomposite
- MP-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Mercapto-functionalized core–shell nano-magnetic Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)polymers
- MWCNTs Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes
- mPAA-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Magnetic polyacrylic acid sodium salt supported Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) magnetic
- MP-0 Mercapto-functionalized polymer adsorbents without a Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) core
- M-PAM-(Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)) Magnetic hydroxamic acid modified – polyacrylamide/Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) adsorbent
- MSP sodium dihydrogen phosphate
- nZrO\(_2\) Nano Zirconium Oxide
- nZrP Nano Zirconium Phosphosphate
- nZVI Nano Zero Valent Iron
- NS Polystirene anion exchanger
- PA-(HFO) Polyacrylamide grafted Hydrated Iron (III) Oxide
- PA Polycrylamide