Review


This important volume brings together, for the first time, the information currently available on the earliest Palaeolithic of Asia from the beginning to some 100 000 years ago, that is the period of the supposed appearance of the first modern humans in some areas of the continent, as the author states in the first lines of his preface. Although more recent periods of the Palaeolithic are not discussed in this volume, as one would expect from its title, it is a monumental work that covers an immense area from the Levant to the very far eastern Siberian landscapes, and an impressively long period of time.

The book is accurately subdivided into well-defined chapters, which make its reading easier; ‘boxes’ dealing with specific topics, such as the distinction between the use of the words hominids and hominins are also provided, which are, in my opinion, of great help to students, to better understand the meaning and history of some terms used by previous and present authors.

Great emphasis is placed on sites of major importance, among which features, for instance, Dmanisi in the mountains of Georgia, which the author discusses with great accuracy. This can also be said of the variable characteristics of the human remains from the same site, difficult to frame into the general picture of the first Homo erectus at the fringes of Europe, its origin and dispersal.

Although the most important earliest Palaeolithic sites are described systematically throughout the volume, after an introductory chapter of basic importance on the ‘Climatic and environmental background of hominin settlement’, it is somewhat regrettable that the early Palaeolithic archaeological records of a few territories, such as the southern periphery of the Arabian Peninsula, which are supposed to have played a key role in the first human dispersal(s), are not reported in much detail. For instance the 1983-2003 Soviet-Yemeni expedition in south

hunter-gatherers, the origins of agriculture, ranked societies, chiefdoms, the evolution of states, and religion.

There are several excellent chapters in this volume that I would recommend to experienced archaeologists and students alike, such as, for example, those on ecology, Classical art and the Grand Tour, Darwinian archaeologies, agency, complexity theory, cultural resource management, and hunter-gatherers. These are good stand-alone pieces as they place their topics within a relevant disciplinary history, use interesting case-studies to illustrate the main points, present future research questions and give numerous references. Importantly they also foster debate by drawing comparisons with other strands of archaeological theory.

Unfortunately, there are a few chapters at the other end of the spectrum. A handful are parochial and lack a critical understanding of the historical development of theory in the discipline. Some fail to comprehensively cover their chosen territory and lack the articulation of key concepts and discussion of seminal publications. For example, after reading the chapter on processualism many will still wonder, ‘what is it?’ The chapter on archaeological simulation lacks a summary, is not usefully linked to the rest of the discipline, and has a miserly bibliography on this currently vibrant research domain. As a last example, most would expect the chapter on philosophy to engage with the programmatic use of philosophy, primarily philosophy of science, in archaeological debate over the last 60 years. It does not and, consequently, is of little use.

Regardless, the Handbook of archaeological theories is a book that should be in every library as the default guide for the latest in archaeological theory. The great majority of chapters are well-written by archaeologists, anthropologists and other scholars who were either the original architects of, or are currently engaged in, refitting and renovating, archaeological theory. Finally, perhaps it is unfair to expect a volume, billed as a work of reference and containing 31 chapters by 39 authors, to be built around a common framework for analysing theory in archaeology. If it had such a framework, this book would be essential for anyone interested in the development of archaeological theory.
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Yemen is not mentioned; it led to the discovery of multi-stratified cave sites and open-air workshops of different Palaeolithic periods, recently published by Amirkhanov (2006) after a preliminary presentation by the same author (Amirkhanov 1991).

There is no doubt that the great scarcity of human remains from the Indian Subcontinent and other regions of Central Asia makes the study of the earliest Palaeolithic often difficult to follow, especially when the archaeological remains are unstratified surface discoveries, and their supposed chronological attribution can only be suggested on the basis of the typological characteristics of the chipped stone tools, given the absence of radiometrically-datable materials. Nevertheless it is also true that the Palaeolithic archaeology of several areas is almost unknown for lack of systematic research. In many cases our knowledge is limited to a few specific regions. If this is the case for Balochistan or Sindh, for instance, or most of the Iranian uplands, it is also true that controversial discoveries have been made in some of these countries; the author could have discussed them in some detail, or definitely discarded them whenever definitely inconsistent (Hume 1976).

Other important territories are also poorly reported in the volume, even though they are highly relevant within a wider discussion. This is the case of the Orhon Valley in Mongolia, which is currently thought to represent the easternmost limit reached by the Mousterians, while many discoveries made by the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences in the central desert of the same country yielded evidence of an impressive number of early and later Palaeolithic stations (Derevianko 2000). The question posed by the author on page 468 ‘Did Neanderthals inhabit inland Asia in the Middle Pleistocene?’ is still waiting for an answer, as it is the problem of the south-easternmost limit reached by these individuals, as well as that of the Levalloisian technique in most of the Indian Subcontinent.

Apart from the few points reported above, the book is well organised, well illustrated and available at a reasonable price. It is a valuable resource for students and professionals alike.
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Louis Eloy was one of those many amateur archaeologists who were active until the 1980s when increasing professionalisation in archaeology and the implementation of laws regulating archaeological excavations (as late as 1991 in Wallonia!) led to the inexorable decline of this sector of archaeology. On the death of Louis Eloy (1918-2002), the French community of Belgium, in charge of the heritage of the region, bought from his heirs the collection that he had amassed, in order to make it accessible to researchers and the public alike. It contains Palaeolithic and mostly Neolithic artefacts. The whole is housed in the Musée de la Préhistoire en Wallonie, and the artefacts attributed to the Middle Palaeolithic have been the subject of an exhibition accompanied by the volume reviewed here (the collection is also available at www.aicim.be).

The book is particularly well conceived, with high quality illustration and a well-written text complemented by numerous boxes which supply useful additional information. It consists of two parts: the first contains four contributions on the significance of the collection, the career of Louis Eloy, the methods used to analyse flint assemblages and the excavation techniques employed at the cave of Sclayn near Namur in Belgium. The latter paper does