

1 Founder Education and Start-Up 2 Funds Raised

3 —STEFANO FRANCO 

4 Luiss Business School, Luiss University, 00197
5 Roma, Italy

6 —FRANCESCO CAPPA 

7 Department of Engineering, Campus
8 Bio-Medico University, 00128 Roma, Italy

9 Luiss Business School, Luiss University, 00197
10 Roma, Italy

11 —MICHELE PINELLI 

12 Faculty of Economics and Management, Free
13 University of Bozen, 39100 Bolzano, Italy

14 (Corresponding author: Stefano Franco.)

15 IEEE DOI 10.1109/EMR.2021.3077966

Abstract—*Start-ups need investor funding to overcome the early stages of their life, during which they are more vulnerable and exposed to failure. They need to convince investors to fund their business ideas by signaling the potential success of their entrepreneurial venture, through a demonstration of cohesion, shared views, and determination. The educational background of the founders of start-ups can be a strong driver of start-up performance, as it is a visible signal for investors. However, education is composed of two different dimensions (i.e., its depth and its heterogeneity), and their configurations should be carefully considered. To clarify this aspect, in this article, we discuss the impact that these two education dimensions have on funds raised by start-ups in the early stages of their life. Results show that highly educated cofounders have improved access to external funding as long as they do not have highly heterogeneous educational backgrounds; in a parallel fashion, cofounders educated in different disciplines also have improved access to funding, as long as they have less advanced levels of education. These findings are relevant to start-up founders and indicate the best team configurations to guarantee better access to capital in the initial stages of their life.*

Key words: Education, educational heterogeneity, educational level, fund raising, start-up

1. INTRODUCTION

START-UPS are among the most prominent sources of innovation and technological development, and they have contributed to economic growth and delivered value to consumers more than any other economic activity since the Industrial Revolution [1], [2]. Start-ups are a global phenomenon, as they are rapidly growing not only in Europe but in the U.S. and Asia as well [3]. Although COVID-19 is having a negative impact on the rise of new entrepreneurial ventures and will require new models of innovation [4], the start-up economy is still thriving, and it is now worth \$3 trillion [5], with high-tech ventures in the fields of blockchain, cybersecurity, and gaming likely to be the most resilient to this crisis [6]. However, for each successful start-up, there are still nine

that fail [7]. Start-up growth and success, indeed, depend crucially on their ability to raise funds in the early stages of their life, when they lack cash-flows to sustain costs and investments. Airbnb's history is a clear example of this. In the initial phases of its life, Airbnb's business model was not considered capable of disrupting the market or of making significant profits, and so it received several funding rejections. However, an investment of \$20 000 from the accelerator Y Combinator gave its founders the opportunity to develop product and customer relationships, and the results of this became obvious months later when the venture capital firm Sequoia invested \$600 000 in it, allowing the start-up to embark on a process which, after ten years, has led the company to have an estimated market value of over \$18 billion [8]. This story shows why

86 start-ups need and seek investors to
87 fund their businesses. In 2019,
88 venture capitalists invested a total of
89 \$300 billion in new ventures [5],
90 although this figure alone is hardly
91 sufficient to frame the phenomenon,
92 since several other categories of
93 start-up investors exist, such as
94 friends and family, business angels,
95 corporate investors, banks,
96 accelerators, incubators, and
97 dispersed individuals. In particular,
98 incubators and accelerators are
99 among the most diffused kind of
100 investors. Differently from the others,
101 they provide workspace and
102 competences for startups, allowing
103 them to grow, not just financially, but
104 also at the capability level [9], [10].

105 For their part, investors fund start-ups
106 for three main reasons: making
107 profits, nurturing innovations in the
108 sectors, and developing projects in
109 which they believe. Organizations like
110 incubators and venture capitals invest
111 in start-ups that they believe can
112 rapidly become profitable and
113 guarantee good returns on
114 investment, evaluating market
115 potential, uniqueness of product and
116 service, and human resources [11],
117 [12]. In contrast, corporate investors
118 or large corporations invest in start-
119 ups because they constitute possible
120 sources of innovation for their
121 business. Indeed, start-ups have
122 “promising ideas, organizational
123 agility, the willingness to take risk, and
124 aspirations of rapid growth” that are
125 missing in corporations [9, p. 66].
126 Finally, friends, family, and crowd
127 backers can also be motivated by the
128 willingness to support projects they
129 consider worthy [14].

130 However, investing in early stage
131 start-ups is extremely risky for any
132 kind of funder due to the absence of a
133 credible track record of past
134 organizational results and financial
135 information [1]. So, what drives an
136 investor to fund a start-up? Investors
137 must rely on signals of organizational
138 qualities that are associated with

future business success [15]–[19].
Such signals have to overcome
existing information asymmetries
between start-ups and investors [20]
while convincing the latter that the
former are worth investing in.
Examples of effective signals can be
affiliations with prestigious
companies, consistent business
plans, governance characteristics that
improve start-up reputation and
reliability [20], and convince investors
that the business idea is valid and very
likely to be commercialized. Among
these factors, human capital is also
important in instilling confidence and
trustworthiness. The knowledge
possessed by a start-up’s founders is,
in fact, the basis for its technological
capabilities and so is fundamental for
its future development [21]. Such
knowledge is the result of skills
acquired through education and
experience. However, skills acquired
through experience are defined as
tacit, because they are difficult to
recognize for investors, who, in
contrast, may easily access
information about founders’ codified
skills, i.e., the ones attained through
education [22]. For this reason, a
founder’s education is one of the most
significant factors in signaling the
future success of start-ups to potential
investors. Education may be
decomposed into two dimensions:
education level and the degree of its
heterogeneity. In order to have a
comprehensive view of the
phenomenon, this article seeks to
analyze the impact of both dimensions
on the funds raised by start-ups in the
early stages of their life. In this
manner, we clarify the best conditions
to increase the funds raised by a start-
up, an outcome relevant to both the
founders of entrepreneurial ventures
and to investors.

II. START-UP FOUNDER EDUCATION

A start-up’s human capital of among
its distinctive resources. Above all,

during a start-up’s early stage, human
capital garners even more importance
due to the absence of established
performance, adequate financial
resources, and evidence that the
business idea could be effective.
Knowledge, in particular, is a human
capital dimension and it is recognized
as a resource that is capable of
generating a competitive advantage
[23], [24]. It is composed of a tacit
component and a codified
component. While tacit knowledge is
hard to recognize, codified
knowledge may be signaled by the
education of the start-up’s founders.
As a result, education can be
considered an indicator of founder
knowledge and, in turn, human
capital. Consequently, the founders’
education is likely to be evaluated
and to function as a signal, whereas
investors are less likely to perceive
and reward the tacit value of human
capital.

Education, indeed, is considered the
most crucial investment to improve a
start-up’s knowledge base [1]. Data
show that most start-ups are founded
by highly educated groups of people.
On average, in the EU, in the 2017–
2018 period, 53% of founders had
completed a master’s degree or
equivalent, 19.3% a bachelor’s
degree, and 12.6% a doctoral degree
[25]. Thus, a total of 84.9% of start-up
founders had attended and
completed a higher education
program. In addition, previous studies
have shown that higher levels of
education are associated with a
higher likelihood of developing and
improving products and business
models, detecting business
opportunities, and obtaining financial
resources [26].

Level of education, however, is only
one side of the coin when considering
the knowledge capital of a start-up.
Education, indeed, may also be
thought of as the number of different
fields of expertise in which one
person has achieved a certified, i.e.,

codified, amount of knowledge. Such diversity is known as education heterogeneity. Higher education heterogeneity manifests itself when founders are specialized in the areas of expertise that are different from each other, and the degree of startup heterogeneity corresponds to the set of heterogeneity brought by each founder. For example, a start-up operating in the biotech industry may have founders educated in biotechnology and others educated in business studies. Such start-ups present a higher degree of education heterogeneity compared to a counterpart having all founders with a biotechnology background. The joint presence of different fields of expertise characterizing the group of start-up founders can have positive or negative impacts on a start-up's success [27]. On the one hand, it improves problem-solving capabilities, learning potential, dynamic capabilities, and diversified perspectives. On the other hand, the coexistence of very different areas of knowledge may also be detrimental in the early stages of a start-up's life, causing misunderstandings and conflicts, lack of cohesion, poor coordination, and low-quality decision-making. These aspects may negatively impact the efficiency and effectiveness of organizational performance, thus communicating negative signs to investors.

In other words, it is not automatic that higher levels of education and a high degree of education heterogeneity are positive for a start-up's ability to raise funds, and we believe that it is worth investigating the topic further by considering the joint effect of the two dimensions of education on the amount of start-up funds raised. In this vein, some of the questions that this article tries to address are the following: Which education configurations are associated with the most successful start-ups? Does a high level of education improve or worsen conflicts caused by a high

degree of heterogeneity? Does a high degree of education heterogeneity favor founder teams with a lower level of education?

III. METHODOLOGY

To provide answers to these questions, we analyzed a sample of 1078 start-ups—corresponding to a total number of 1725 founders—founded in the U.S. between 2012 and 2016. Greater details on the analyses conducted are reported here [28]. The database Crunch Base gave us access to several sets of information, such as the funds raised by each start-up, the level of education of each of start-up's founders (e.g., high school, bachelor's degree, Ph.D., etc.), the number and the fields of expertise in which they were specialized when they founded the start-up (e.g., social sciences, humanities, hard sciences, etc.), and their previous entrepreneurial experiences. Through econometric analyses, we assessed the impact that the level of education and the amount of education heterogeneity have on the financial resources start-ups collected in their first round of fundraising. In particular, we run an OLS regression setting the funds raised by startup at the first round of fundraising, and the level of education and education heterogeneity as independent variables. Moreover, to avoid endogeneity problems, we included several controls in the analysis. Finally, to measure the interaction between the two dimensions of education, we performed a mediation model that allowed us to understand how different configurations of level of education and education heterogeneity determine startup access to funds.

IV. RESULTS. COGNITIVE RIGIDITY AND COGNITIVE DISTANCE: THE BEST SETS OF START-UP FOUNDERS

Results show that both education dimensions have a positive impact on

funds raised if considered separately. This means that the higher the level of education of the founders, the higher the amount of financial resources collected. In the same way, a higher degree of educational heterogeneity is associated with higher funds raised. However, these results do not consider the joint effect of the two dimensions. Indeed, when considering the simultaneous effect of level of education and heterogeneity, results show tensions between the two. Such tensions, in turn, bear upon on the ability of start-ups to raise funds.

The disciplines of cognitive and social psychology suggest that highly educated people develop a so-called *cognitive rigidity*; that is to say, an individual who has studied a given discipline for a long time, thereby achieving a high-level qualification in that topic, develops a mindset that brings him or her to approach issues using the dominant logic of that particular discipline. In other words, cognitive rigidity reduces an individual's openness to different forms of logic [29]. Founders who possess a high level of education in one discipline tend to pursue business success with more determination, to develop better products and processes, and to be better at recognizing and exploiting business opportunities. But when they interact with cofounders educated in different disciplines, they may run into conflicts and misunderstandings due to cognitive rigidity that may prevent them from taking full advantage of their advanced knowledge.

Cognitive and social psychology also suggest that education heterogeneity is a driver of *cognitive distance*; that is, two individuals with different backgrounds and kinds of education develop different mindsets, languages, and priorities over time [30] that make communication more difficult between them and, in turn,

401 this stiffens organizational
402 performance. This is detrimental for
403 early stage start-ups that, on the
404 contrary, need great flexibility and
405 quick choices.

406 The findings of our analyses suggest
407 that different combinations of level of
408 education (cognitive rigidity) and
409 education heterogeneity (cognitive
410 distance) have different effects on the
411 funds a start-up raises. Start-ups
412 whose groups of founders present
413 high levels of education and high
414 degrees of education heterogeneity
415 are characterized by a mix of
416 cognitive distance and cognitive
417 rigidity that is detrimental for early-
418 stage start-ups. Teams of cofounders
419 with thorough education in different
420 disciplines present a higher chance of
421 negative group dynamics preventing
422 them from taking full advantage of
423 their advanced knowledge and of the
424 benefits of their diversified skill sets.
425 This mechanism is a threat to start-
426 ups' prospects because it may hinder
427 the founders' ability to realize the
428 innovation and technological potential
429 of their start-ups as well as their ability
430 to communicate the start-ups' value
431 to investors, who consequently

perceive negative signs and are less
willing to provide funding. Of course,
teams of founders characterized by
the joint presence of low levels of
education and a low degree of
heterogeneity are even less likely to
raise funds, since these start-ups do
not show established and verified
expertise, thus signaling low potential
success to investors. Education,
indeed, remains a very important
factor for a start-up's success and
consequently for investor returns.
This insight is highlighted by findings
on mixing high levels of education
with a low degree of education
heterogeneity, and vice versa. In fact,
teams of highly educated founders
specialized in similar areas of
knowledge are very likely to receive
funds. These start-ups, indeed, are
able to improve products and
processes without wasting time, and
they find shared solutions to
problems. In other words, the
cognitive rigidity of the founders does
not clash with different educational
backgrounds.

Finally, start-ups whose founders
present different areas of expertise,
but with a low level of depth, are also

463 very likely to receive high funds.
464 Indeed, their cognitive distance does
465 not collide with the cognitive rigidity
466 that would prevent them from finding
467 a shared solution to any problems
468 that occur. On the contrary, a diverse
469 set of knowledge fields ensures that
470 they easily find solutions coming from
471 a multidisciplinary approach that
472 founders are more willing to accept
473 due to their low cognitive rigidity.

474 V. DISCUSSION, IMPLICATIONS, 475 AND CONCLUSIONS

476 The start-up economy is still
477 thriving, despite the slowdown
478 imposed by the COVID-19
479 pandemic. Start-ups need investors'
480 funds to survive and overcome the
481 initial stages of their life, in order to
482 ensure prosperity and success for
483 their business ideas. Start-up
484 founders, thus, need to convince
485 investors to finance their
486 entrepreneurial ventures by
487 signaling potential success. Among
488 other factors, founder education is
489 one of the most influential signals
490 when pursuing this goal, and this
491 article advances the understanding
492 of its impact for scholars and

		Level of education – Cognitive rigidity	
		Low	High
Education heterogeneity – Cognitive distance	Low	<i>Less likely to receive funds</i>	<i>More likely to receive funds</i>
	High	<i>More likely to receive funds</i>	<i>Less likely to receive funds</i>

Figure 1. Combinations of level of education and education heterogeneity and their effects on start-up funds raised (source: authors elaboration on Pinelli *et al.*, 2021).

practitioners. Indeed, it has been highlighted that high levels of education and exposure to different fields of knowledge guarantee positive outcomes for firms. However, in the early stages of a start-up's life, their joint presence may stand in the way of success due to a clash between cognitive rigidity and cognitive distance within the team of founders, which hinders effective decision-making and organizational performance. Such a result has relevant practical implications that can affect start-up success in raising funds. Indeed, at the beginning of their venture's life, a start-up's founders should build a team that can easily take effective decisions by choosing one of two routes: selecting people highly educated in the same subject or selecting intermediate educated people that mix different education backgrounds. These results are relevant for entrepreneurs and start-up founders because they highlight which conditions are more likely to communicate potential success to investors assessing young ventures in the early stages of their life, and, in turn, to raise more funds that guarantee prosperity and success for their business ideas (see Figure 1). Indeed, although we agree that both high levels of education and high degrees of education heterogeneity are powerful tools for each company, we demonstrate that in the initial phases of their life—when they are more vulnerable and exposed to failure—start-ups need to carefully manage their team compositions so as to avoid risks related to the joint presence of high cognitive rigidity and cognitive distance. Although the above-mentioned outcomes are already of immediate applicability, future research can enrich the understanding of how such dynamics could change in the subsequent stages of a start-up's life.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Ratzinger, K. Amess, A. Greenman, and S. Mosey, "The impact of digital start-up founders' higher education on reaching equity investment milestones," *J. Technol. Transfer*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 760–778, 2018.
- [2] M. A. Carree and A. R. Thurik, "The impact of entrepreneurship on economic growth," in *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2010.
- [3] D. Stangler, "The global startup economy is growing, but who is left out?," *Forbes*, May 9, 2019.
- [4] J. Sarkis, "Managing in a Post-COVID-19 World," *IEEE Eng. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 6–12, Sep. 2020.
- [5] B. Herrmann, M. Marmer, E. Dogrultan, and D. Haltschke, "The Global Startup Ecosystem Report," *Startup Genome*, pp. 1–221, 2020.
- [6] T. Daim, K. K. Lai, H. Yalcin, F. Alsoubie, and V. Kumar, "Forecasting technological positioning through technology knowledge redundancy: Patent citation analysis of IoT, cybersecurity, and blockchain," *Technol. Forecasting Soc. Change*, vol. 161, 2020, Art. no. 120329.
- [7] N. Patel, "90% of startups fail: Here's what you need to know about the 10%," *Forbes*, Jan. 16, 2015.
- [8] K. Warren, "Meet Airbnb CEO Brian Chesky, who cofounded the company in 2008 to help pay his San Francisco rent and now may be taking his \$18 billion business public," *Bus. Insider*, Aug. 20, 2020.
- [9] G. Elia, A. Margherita, and C. Petti, "An operational model to develop technology entrepreneurship 'EGO-System,'" *Int. J. Innovation Technol. Manag.*, vol. 13, no. 5, 2016, Art. no. 1640008.
- [10] B. Aulet, *Disciplined Entrepreneurship: 24 Steps to a Successful Start-Up*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2013.
- [11] Monika and A. K. Sharma, "Venture capitalists' investment decision criteria for new ventures: A review," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 189, pp. 465–470, 2015.
- [12] E. Hudson and M. Evans, "A Review of Research into Venture Capitalists' Decision Making: Implications for Entrepreneurs, Venture Capitalists and Researchers A Review of Research into Venture Capitalists' Decision Making," *J. Econ. Soc. Policy*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 45–63, 2005.

- 581 [13] T. Weiblen and H. W. Chesbrough, "Engaging with startups to enhance
582 corporate innovation," *California Manage. Rev.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 66–90, 2015.
- 583 [14] F. Cappa, M. Pinelli, R. Maiolini, and M. Isabella Leone, "'Pledge' me your ears!
584 The role of narratives and narrator experience in explaining crowdfunding
585 success," *Small Bus. Econ.*, to be published, doi: [10.1007/s11187-020-00334-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-020-00334-y).
- 586 [15] L. A. Plummer, T. H. Allison, and B. L. Connelly, "Better together? Signaling
587 interactions in new venture pursuit of initial external capital," *Acad. Manag. J.*,
588 vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1585–1604, 2016.
- 589 [16] M. S. Cardon, R. Sudek, and C. Mitteness, "The impact of perceived
590 entrepreneurial passion on angel investing," *Frontiers Entrepreneurship Res.*,
591 vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2009.
- 592 [17] W. R. Kerr, J. Lerner, and A. Schoar, "The consequences of entrepreneurial
593 finance: Evidence from angel financings," *Rev. Financial Stud.*, vol. 27, no. 1,
594 pp. 20–55, 2014.
- 595 [18] S. J. Chang, "Venture capital financing, strategic alliances, and the initial public
596 offerings of Internet startups," *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 721–741,
597 2004.
- 598 [19] M. Pinelli, F. Cappa, E. Peruffo, and R. Oriani, "Acquisitions of non-controlling
599 equity stakes: Agency conflicts and profitability," *Strategic Org.*, 2020.
- 600 [20] M. Islam, A. Fremeth, and A. Marcus, "Signaling by early stage startups: US
601 government research grants and venture capital funding," *J. Bus. Venturing*,
602 vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 35–51, 2018.
- 603 [21] M. G. Colombo, and L. Grilli, "Founders human capital and the growth of new
604 technology-based firms: A competence-based view," *Res. Policy*, vol. 34, no. 6,
605 pp. 795–816, 2005.
- 606 [22] J. A. C. Baum, and B. S. Silverman, "Picking winners or building them? Alliance,
607 intellectual, and human capital as selection criteria in venture financing and
608 performance of biotechnology startups," *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 19, no. 3,
609 pp. 411–436, 2004.
- 610 [23] D. P. Dimov and D. A. Shepherd, "Human capital theory and venture capital
611 firms: Exploring 'home runs' and 'strike outs,'" *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 20, no. 1,
612 pp. 1–21, 2005.
- 613 [24] J. Barney, "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage," *J. Manage.*,
614 vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 99–120, 1991.
- 615 [25] A. Makowska *et al.*, "Annual Report on European SMEs 2017/2018," European
616 Commission, Brussels, Belgium, 2018.
- 617 [26] J. M. Millán, E. Congregado, C. Román, M. Van Praag, and A. Van Stel, "The
618 value of an educated population for an individual's entrepreneurship success,"
619 *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 612–632, 2014.
- 620 [27] D. Henneke and C. Lüthje, "Interdisciplinary heterogeneity as a catalyst for
621 product innovativeness of entrepreneurial teams," *Creativity Innov. Manag.*,
622 vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 121–132, 2007.
- 623 [28] M. Pinelli, F. Cappa, S. Franco, E. Peruffo, and R. Oriani, "Too much of two
624 good things: Effects of founders' educational level and heterogeneity on start-
625 up funds raised," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.*, to be published.
- 626 [29] D. Lei, M. A. Hitt, and R. Bettis, "Dynamic core competences through meta-
627 learning and strategic context," *J. Manage.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 549–569, 1996.
- 628 [30] P. Chattopadhyay, W. H. Glick, C. C. Miller, and G. P. Huber, "Determinants of
629 executive beliefs: Comparing functional conditioning and social influence,"
630 *Strategic Manag. J.*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 763–790, 1999.

632 **Stefano Franco** received the Ph.D. in Management from Luiss University, Rome, Italy in 2020.
633 He is currently a Postdoctoral Researcher with Luiss Guido Carli University, Rome, Italy. He has
634 been a Visiting Researcher with Rey Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain. His papers have
635 appeared in international refereed journals, among others IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ENGINEERING
636 MANAGEMENT, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, and *Journal of Cleaner*
637 *Production*. His main research interests focus on the areas of sustainability and innovation.

638 **Francesco Cappa** received the Ph.D. degree in management from Luiss University, Rome, Italy
639 in 2018. He is currently an Assistant Professor of Innovation at the Campus Bio-medico
640 University, Rome, Italy, and an Adjunct Professor at Luiss Guido Carli University, Rome, Italy. He
641 has been a Visiting Researcher at the New York University Tandon School of Engineering, New
642 York, NY, USA, and Pace University Seidenberg School of Computer Science, New York, NY,
643 USA. His papers have appeared in prestigious international refereed journals such as *Research*
644 *Policy*, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *Small Business Economics*, *Strategic*
645 *Organization*, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT, *Business Horizons*, *Journal of*
646 *Environmental Management*, *Computers in Human Behavior*, *Information Technology for*
647 *Development*, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Management*, *Journal of Strategy and*
648 *Management*, and *Digital Business*. His main research interests are in the areas of the areas of
649 innovation and sustainability.

650 **Michele Pinelli** received the Ph.D. degree in Business from Ca'Foscari University of Venice,
651 Venice, Italy in 2015. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the Faculty of Economics and
652 Management, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy, where he is also a Research
653 Fellow with the Centre for Family Business Management. He is the author or coauthor of works
654 published in prestigious outlets such as *Research Policy*, *Strategic Organization*, and *Small*
655 *Business Economics*. His current research interests include strategy, entrepreneurship, and
656 family business management.

1 Founder Education and Start-Up 2 Funds Raised

3 —STEFANO FRANCO 

4 Luiss Business School, Luiss University, 00197
5 Roma, Italy

6 —FRANCESCO CAPPA 

7 Department of Engineering, Campus
8 Bio-Medico University, 00128 Roma, Italy

9 Luiss Business School, Luiss University, 00197
10 Roma, Italy

11 —MICHELE PINELLI 

12 Faculty of Economics and Management, Free
13 University of Bozen, 39100 Bolzano, Italy

14 (Corresponding author: Stefano Franco.)

15 IEEE DOI 10.1109/EMR.2021.3077966

Abstract—*Start-ups need investor funding to overcome the early stages of their life, during which they are more vulnerable and exposed to failure. They need to convince investors to fund their business ideas by signaling the potential success of their entrepreneurial venture, through a demonstration of cohesion, shared views, and determination. The educational background of the founders of start-ups can be a strong driver of start-up performance, as it is a visible signal for investors. However, education is composed of two different dimensions (i.e., its depth and its heterogeneity), and their configurations should be carefully considered. To clarify this aspect, in this article, we discuss the impact that these two education dimensions have on funds raised by start-ups in the early stages of their life. Results show that highly educated cofounders have improved access to external funding as long as they do not have highly heterogeneous educational backgrounds; in a parallel fashion, cofounders educated in different disciplines also have improved access to funding, as long as they have less advanced levels of education. These findings are relevant to start-up founders and indicate the best team configurations to guarantee better access to capital in the initial stages of their life.*

Key words: Education, educational heterogeneity, educational level, fund raising, start-up

I. INTRODUCTION

START-UPS are among the most prominent sources of innovation and technological development, and they have contributed to economic growth and delivered value to consumers more than any other economic activity since the Industrial Revolution [1], [2]. Start-ups are a global phenomenon, as they are rapidly growing not only in Europe but in the U.S. and Asia as well [3]. Although COVID-19 is having a negative impact on the rise of new entrepreneurial ventures and will require new models of innovation [4], the start-up economy is still thriving, and it is now worth \$3 trillion [5], with high-tech ventures in the fields of blockchain, cybersecurity, and gaming likely to be the most resilient to this crisis [6]. However, for each successful start-up, there are still nine

that fail [7]. Start-up growth and success, indeed, depend crucially on their ability to raise funds in the early stages of their life, when they lack cash-flows to sustain costs and investments. Airbnb's history is a clear example of this. In the initial phases of its life, Airbnb's business model was not considered capable of disrupting the market or of making significant profits, and so it received several funding rejections. However, an investment of \$20 000 from the accelerator Y Combinator gave its founders the opportunity to develop product and customer relationships, and the results of this became obvious months later when the venture capital firm Sequoia invested \$600 000 in it, allowing the start-up to embark on a process which, after ten years, has led the company to have an estimated market value of over \$18 billion [8]. This story shows why

86 start-ups need and seek investors to
87 fund their businesses. In 2019,
88 venture capitalists invested a total of
89 \$300 billion in new ventures [5],
90 although this figure alone is hardly
91 sufficient to frame the phenomenon,
92 since several other categories of
93 start-up investors exist, such as
94 friends and family, business angels,
95 corporate investors, banks,
96 accelerators, incubators, and
97 dispersed individuals. In particular,
98 incubators and accelerators are
99 among the most diffused kind of
100 investors. Differently from the others,
101 they provide workspace and
102 competences for startups, allowing
103 them to grow, not just financially, but
104 also at the capability level [9], [10].

105 For their part, investors fund start-ups
106 for three main reasons: making
107 profits, nurturing innovations in the
108 sectors, and developing projects in
109 which they believe. Organizations like
110 incubators and venture capitals invest
111 in start-ups that they believe can
112 rapidly become profitable and
113 guarantee good returns on
114 investment, evaluating market
115 potential, uniqueness of product and
116 service, and human resources [11],
117 [12]. In contrast, corporate investors
118 or large corporations invest in start-
119 ups because they constitute possible
120 sources of innovation for their
121 business. Indeed, start-ups have
122 “promising ideas, organizational
123 agility, the willingness to take risk, and
124 aspirations of rapid growth” that are
125 missing in corporations [9, p. 66].
126 Finally, friends, family, and crowd
127 backers can also be motivated by the
128 willingness to support projects they
129 consider worthy [14].

130 However, investing in early stage
131 start-ups is extremely risky for any
132 kind of funder due to the absence of a
133 credible track record of past
134 organizational results and financial
135 information [1]. So, what drives an
136 investor to fund a start-up? Investors
137 must rely on signals of organizational
138 qualities that are associated with

future business success [15]–[19].
Such signals have to overcome
existing information asymmetries
between start-ups and investors [20]
while convincing the latter that the
former are worth investing in.
Examples of effective signals can be
affiliations with prestigious
companies, consistent business
plans, governance characteristics that
improve start-up reputation and
reliability [20], and convince investors
that the business idea is valid and very
likely to be commercialized. Among
these factors, human capital is also
important in instilling confidence and
trustworthiness. The knowledge
possessed by a start-up’s founders is,
in fact, the basis for its technological
capabilities and so is fundamental for
its future development [21]. Such
knowledge is the result of skills
acquired through education and
experience. However, skills acquired
through experience are defined as
tacit, because they are difficult to
recognize for investors, who, in
contrast, may easily access
information about founders’ codified
skills, i.e., the ones attained through
education [22]. For this reason, a
founder’s education is one of the most
significant factors in signaling the
future success of start-ups to potential
investors. Education may be
decomposed into two dimensions:
education level and the degree of its
heterogeneity. In order to have a
comprehensive view of the
phenomenon, this article seeks to
analyze the impact of both dimensions
on the funds raised by start-ups in the
early stages of their life. In this
manner, we clarify the best conditions
to increase the funds raised by a start-
up, an outcome relevant to both the
founders of entrepreneurial ventures
and to investors.

II. START-UP FOUNDER EDUCATION

A start-up’s human capital of among
its distinctive resources. Above all,

during a start-up’s early stage, human
capital garners even more importance
due to the absence of established
performance, adequate financial
resources, and evidence that the
business idea could be effective.
Knowledge, in particular, is a human
capital dimension and it is recognized
as a resource that is capable of
generating a competitive advantage
[23], [24]. It is composed of a tacit
component and a codified
component. While tacit knowledge is
hard to recognize, codified
knowledge may be signaled by the
education of the start-up’s founders.
As a result, education can be
considered an indicator of founder
knowledge and, in turn, human
capital. Consequently, the founders’
education is likely to be evaluated
and to function as a signal, whereas
investors are less likely to perceive
and reward the tacit value of human
capital.

Education, indeed, is considered the
most crucial investment to improve a
start-up’s knowledge base [1]. Data
show that most start-ups are founded
by highly educated groups of people.
On average, in the EU, in the 2017–
2018 period, 53% of founders had
completed a master’s degree or
equivalent, 19.3% a bachelor’s
degree, and 12.6% a doctoral degree
[25]. Thus, a total of 84.9% of start-up
founders had attended and
completed a higher education
program. In addition, previous studies
have shown that higher levels of
education are associated with a
higher likelihood of developing and
improving products and business
models, detecting business
opportunities, and obtaining financial
resources [26].

Level of education, however, is only
one side of the coin when considering
the knowledge capital of a start-up.
Education, indeed, may also be
thought of as the number of different
fields of expertise in which one
person has achieved a certified, i.e.,

codified, amount of knowledge. Such diversity is known as education heterogeneity. Higher education heterogeneity manifests itself when founders are specialized in the areas of expertise that are different from each other, and the degree of startup heterogeneity corresponds to the set of heterogeneity brought by each founder. For example, a start-up operating in the biotech industry may have founders educated in biotechnology and others educated in business studies. Such start-ups present a higher degree of education heterogeneity compared to a counterpart having all founders with a biotechnology background. The joint presence of different fields of expertise characterizing the group of start-up founders can have positive or negative impacts on a start-up's success [27]. On the one hand, it improves problem-solving capabilities, learning potential, dynamic capabilities, and diversified perspectives. On the other hand, the coexistence of very different areas of knowledge may also be detrimental in the early stages of a start-up's life, causing misunderstandings and conflicts, lack of cohesion, poor coordination, and low-quality decision-making. These aspects may negatively impact the efficiency and effectiveness of organizational performance, thus communicating negative signs to investors.

In other words, it is not automatic that higher levels of education and a high degree of education heterogeneity are positive for a start-up's ability to raise funds, and we believe that it is worth investigating the topic further by considering the joint effect of the two dimensions of education on the amount of start-up funds raised. In this vein, some of the questions that this article tries to address are the following: Which education configurations are associated with the most successful start-ups? Does a high level of education improve or worsen conflicts caused by a high

degree of heterogeneity? Does a high degree of education heterogeneity favor founder teams with a lower level of education?

III. METHODOLOGY

To provide answers to these questions, we analyzed a sample of 1078 start-ups—corresponding to a total number of 1725 founders—founded in the U.S. between 2012 and 2016. Greater details on the analyses conducted are reported here [28]. The database Crunch Base gave us access to several sets of information, such as the funds raised by each start-up, the level of education of each of start-up's founders (e.g., high school, bachelor's degree, Ph.D., etc.), the number and the fields of expertise in which they were specialized when they founded the start-up (e.g., social sciences, humanities, hard sciences, etc.), and their previous entrepreneurial experiences. Through econometric analyses, we assessed the impact that the level of education and the amount of education heterogeneity have on the financial resources start-ups collected in their first round of fundraising. In particular, we run an OLS regression setting the funds raised by startup at the first round of fundraising, and the level of education and education heterogeneity as independent variables. Moreover, to avoid endogeneity problems, we included several controls in the analysis. Finally, to measure the interaction between the two dimensions of education, we performed a mediation model that allowed us to understand how different configurations of level of education and education heterogeneity determine startup access to funds.

IV. RESULTS. COGNITIVE RIGIDITY AND COGNITIVE DISTANCE: THE BEST SETS OF START-UP FOUNDERS

Results show that both education dimensions have a positive impact on

funds raised if considered separately. This means that the higher the level of education of the founders, the higher the amount of financial resources collected. In the same way, a higher degree of educational heterogeneity is associated with higher funds raised. However, these results do not consider the joint effect of the two dimensions. Indeed, when considering the simultaneous effect of level of education and heterogeneity, results show tensions between the two. Such tensions, in turn, bear upon on the ability of start-ups to raise funds.

The disciplines of cognitive and social psychology suggest that highly educated people develop a so-called *cognitive rigidity*; that is to say, an individual who has studied a given discipline for a long time, thereby achieving a high-level qualification in that topic, develops a mindset that brings him or her to approach issues using the dominant logic of that particular discipline. In other words, cognitive rigidity reduces an individual's openness to different forms of logic [29]. Founders who possess a high level of education in one discipline tend to pursue business success with more determination, to develop better products and processes, and to be better at recognizing and exploiting business opportunities. But when they interact with cofounders educated in different disciplines, they may run into conflicts and misunderstandings due to cognitive rigidity that may prevent them from taking full advantage of their advanced knowledge.

Cognitive and social psychology also suggest that education heterogeneity is a driver of *cognitive distance*; that is, two individuals with different backgrounds and kinds of education develop different mindsets, languages, and priorities over time [30] that make communication more difficult between them and, in turn,

401 this stiffens organizational
402 performance. This is detrimental for
403 early stage start-ups that, on the
404 contrary, need great flexibility and
405 quick choices.

406 The findings of our analyses suggest
407 that different combinations of level of
408 education (cognitive rigidity) and
409 education heterogeneity (cognitive
410 distance) have different effects on the
411 funds a start-up raises. Start-ups
412 whose groups of founders present
413 high levels of education and high
414 degrees of education heterogeneity
415 are characterized by a mix of
416 cognitive distance and cognitive
417 rigidity that is detrimental for early-
418 stage start-ups. Teams of cofounders
419 with thorough education in different
420 disciplines present a higher chance of
421 negative group dynamics preventing
422 them from taking full advantage of
423 their advanced knowledge and of the
424 benefits of their diversified skill sets.
425 This mechanism is a threat to start-
426 ups' prospects because it may hinder
427 the founders' ability to realize the
428 innovation and technological potential
429 of their start-ups as well as their ability
430 to communicate the start-ups' value
431 to investors, who consequently

perceive negative signs and are less
willing to provide funding. Of course,
teams of founders characterized by
the joint presence of low levels of
education and a low degree of
heterogeneity are even less likely to
raise funds, since these start-ups do
not show established and verified
expertise, thus signaling low potential
success to investors. Education,
indeed, remains a very important
factor for a start-up's success and
consequently for investor returns.
This insight is highlighted by findings
on mixing high levels of education
with a low degree of education
heterogeneity, and vice versa. In fact,
teams of highly educated founders
specialized in similar areas of
knowledge are very likely to receive
funds. These start-ups, indeed, are
able to improve products and
processes without wasting time, and
they find shared solutions to
problems. In other words, the
cognitive rigidity of the founders does
not clash with different educational
backgrounds.

Finally, start-ups whose founders
present different areas of expertise,
but with a low level of depth, are also

463 very likely to receive high funds.
464 Indeed, their cognitive distance does
465 not collide with the cognitive rigidity
466 that would prevent them from finding
467 a shared solution to any problems
468 that occur. On the contrary, a diverse
469 set of knowledge fields ensures that
470 they easily find solutions coming from
471 a multidisciplinary approach that
472 founders are more willing to accept
473 due to their low cognitive rigidity.

474 V. DISCUSSION, IMPLICATIONS, 475 AND CONCLUSIONS

476 The start-up economy is still
477 thriving, despite the slowdown
478 imposed by the COVID-19
479 pandemic. Start-ups need investors'
480 funds to survive and overcome the
481 initial stages of their life, in order to
482 ensure prosperity and success for
483 their business ideas. Start-up
484 founders, thus, need to convince
485 investors to finance their
486 entrepreneurial ventures by
487 signaling potential success. Among
488 other factors, founder education is
489 one of the most influential signals
490 when pursuing this goal, and this
491 article advances the understanding
492 of its impact for scholars and

		Level of education – Cognitive rigidity	
		Low	High
Education heterogeneity – Cognitive distance	Low	<i>Less likely to receive funds</i>	<i>More likely to receive funds</i>
	High	<i>More likely to receive funds</i>	<i>Less likely to receive funds</i>

Figure 1. Combinations of level of education and education heterogeneity and their effects on start-up funds raised (source: authors elaboration on Pinelli *et al.*, 2021).

practitioners. Indeed, it has been highlighted that high levels of education and exposure to different fields of knowledge guarantee positive outcomes for firms. However, in the early stages of a start-up's life, their joint presence may stand in the way of success due to a clash between cognitive rigidity and cognitive distance within the team of founders, which hinders effective decision-making and organizational performance. Such a result has relevant practical implications that can affect start-up success in raising funds. Indeed, at the beginning of their venture's life, a start-up's founders should build a team that can easily take effective decisions by choosing one of two routes: selecting people highly educated in the same subject or selecting intermediate educated people that mix different education backgrounds. These results are relevant for entrepreneurs and start-up founders because they highlight which conditions are more likely to communicate potential success to investors assessing young ventures in the early stages of their life, and, in turn, to raise more funds that guarantee prosperity and success for their business ideas (see Figure 1). Indeed, although we agree that both high levels of education and high degrees of education heterogeneity are powerful tools for each company, we demonstrate that in the initial phases of their life—when they are more vulnerable and exposed to failure—start-ups need to carefully manage their team compositions so as to avoid risks related to the joint presence of high cognitive rigidity and cognitive distance. Although the above-mentioned outcomes are already of immediate applicability, future research can enrich the understanding of how such dynamics could change in the subsequent stages of a start-up's life.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Ratzinger, K. Amess, A. Greenman, and S. Mosey, "The impact of digital start-up founders' higher education on reaching equity investment milestones," *J. Technol. Transfer*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 760–778, 2018.
- [2] M. A. Carree and A. R. Thurik, "The impact of entrepreneurship on economic growth," in *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2010.
- [3] D. Stangler, "The global startup economy is growing, but who is left out?," *Forbes*, May 9, 2019.
- [4] J. Sarkis, "Managing in a Post-COVID-19 World," *IEEE Eng. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 6–12, Sep. 2020.
- [5] B. Herrmann, M. Marmer, E. Dogrultan, and D. Haltschke, "The Global Startup Ecosystem Report," *Startup Genome*, pp. 1–221, 2020.
- [6] T. Daim, K. K. Lai, H. Yalcin, F. Alsoubie, and V. Kumar, "Forecasting technological positioning through technology knowledge redundancy: Patent citation analysis of IoT, cybersecurity, and blockchain," *Technol. Forecasting Soc. Change*, vol. 161, 2020, Art. no. 120329.
- [7] N. Patel, "90% of startups fail: Here's what you need to know about the 10%," *Forbes*, Jan. 16, 2015.
- [8] K. Warren, "Meet Airbnb CEO Brian Chesky, who cofounded the company in 2008 to help pay his San Francisco rent and now may be taking his \$18 billion business public," *Bus. Insider*, Aug. 20, 2020.
- [9] G. Elia, A. Margherita, and C. Petti, "An operational model to develop technology entrepreneurship 'EGO-System,'" *Int. J. Innovation Technol. Manag.*, vol. 13, no. 5, 2016, Art. no. 1640008.
- [10] B. Aulet, *Disciplined Entrepreneurship: 24 Steps to a Successful Start-Up*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2013.
- [11] Monika and A. K. Sharma, "Venture capitalists' investment decision criteria for new ventures: A review," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 189, pp. 465–470, 2015.
- [12] E. Hudson and M. Evans, "A Review of Research into Venture Capitalists' Decision Making: Implications for Entrepreneurs, Venture Capitalists and Researchers A Review of Research into Venture Capitalists' Decision Making," *J. Econ. Soc. Policy*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 45–63, 2005.

- 581 [13] T. Weiblen and H. W. Chesbrough, "Engaging with startups to enhance
582 corporate innovation," *California Manage. Rev.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 66–90, 2015.
- 583 [14] F. Cappa, M. Pinelli, R. Maiolini, and M. Isabella Leone, "'Pledge' me your ears!
584 The role of narratives and narrator experience in explaining crowdfunding
585 success," *Small Bus. Econ.*, to be published, doi: 10.1007/s11187-020-00334-y.
- 586 [15] L. A. Plummer, T. H. Allison, and B. L. Connelly, "Better together? Signaling
587 interactions in new venture pursuit of initial external capital," *Acad. Manag. J.*,
588 vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 1585–1604, 2016.
- 589 [16] M. S. Cardon, R. Sudek, and C. Mitteness, "The impact of perceived
590 entrepreneurial passion on angel investing," *Frontiers Entrepreneurship Res.*,
591 vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 1–15, 2009.
- 592 [17] W. R. Kerr, J. Lerner, and A. Schoar, "The consequences of entrepreneurial
593 finance: Evidence from angel financings," *Rev. Financial Stud.*, vol. 27, no. 1,
594 pp. 20–55, 2014.
- 595 [18] S. J. Chang, "Venture capital financing, strategic alliances, and the initial public
596 offerings of Internet startups," *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 721–741,
597 2004.
- 598 [19] M. Pinelli, F. Cappa, E. Peruffo, and R. Oriani, "Acquisitions of non-controlling
599 equity stakes: Agency conflicts and profitability," *Strategic Org.*, 2020.
- 600 [20] M. Islam, A. Fremeth, and A. Marcus, "Signaling by early stage startups: US
601 government research grants and venture capital funding," *J. Bus. Venturing*,
602 vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 35–51, 2018.
- 603 [21] M. G. Colombo, and L. Grilli, "Founders human capital and the growth of new
604 technology-based firms: A competence-based view," *Res. Policy*, vol. 34, no. 6,
605 pp. 795–816, 2005.
- 606 [22] J. A. C. Baum, and B. S. Silverman, "Picking winners or building them? Alliance,
607 intellectual, and human capital as selection criteria in venture financing and
608 performance of biotechnology startups," *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 19, no. 3,
609 pp. 411–436, 2004.
- 610 [23] D. P. Dimov and D. A. Shepherd, "Human capital theory and venture capital
611 firms: Exploring 'home runs' and 'strike outs,'" *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 20, no. 1,
612 pp. 1–21, 2005.
- 613 [24] J. Barney, "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage," *J. Manage.*,
614 vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 99–120, 1991.
- 615 [25] A. Makowska *et al.*, "Annual Report on European SMEs 2017/2018," European
616 Commission, Brussels, Belgium, 2018.
- 617 [26] J. M. Millán, E. Congregado, C. Román, M. Van Praag, and A. Van Stel, "The
618 value of an educated population for an individual's entrepreneurship success,"
619 *J. Bus. Venturing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 612–632, 2014.
- 620 [27] D. Henneke and C. Lüthje, "Interdisciplinary heterogeneity as a catalyst for
621 product innovativeness of entrepreneurial teams," *Creativity Innov. Manag.*,
622 vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 121–132, 2007.
- 623 [28] M. Pinelli, F. Cappa, S. Franco, E. Peruffo, and R. Oriani, "Too much of two
624 good things: Effects of founders' educational level and heterogeneity on start-
625 up funds raised," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.*, to be published.
- 626 [29] D. Lei, M. A. Hitt, and R. Bettis, "Dynamic core competences through meta-
627 learning and strategic context," *J. Manage.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 549–569, 1996.
- 628 [30] P. Chattopadhyay, W. H. Glick, C. C. Miller, and G. P. Huber, "Determinants of
629 executive beliefs: Comparing functional conditioning and social influence,"
630 *Strategic Manag. J.*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 763–790, 1999.

632 **Stefano Franco** received the Ph.D. in Management from Luiss University, Rome, Italy in 2020.
633 He is currently a Postdoctoral Researcher with Luiss Guido Carli University, Rome, Italy. He has
634 been a Visiting Researcher with Rey Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain. His papers have
635 appeared in international refereed journals, among others IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ENGINEERING
636 MANAGEMENT, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, and *Journal of Cleaner*
637 *Production*. His main research interests focus on the areas of sustainability and innovation.

638 **Francesco Cappa** received the Ph.D. degree in management from Luiss University, Rome, Italy
639 in 2018. He is currently an Assistant Professor of Innovation at the Campus Bio-medico
640 University, Rome, Italy, and an Adjunct Professor at Luiss Guido Carli University, Rome, Italy. He
641 has been a Visiting Researcher at the New York University Tandon School of Engineering, New
642 York, NY, USA, and Pace University Seidenberg School of Computer Science, New York, NY,
643 USA. His papers have appeared in prestigious international refereed journals such as *Research*
644 *Policy*, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, *Small Business Economics*, *Strategic*
645 *Organization*, IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT, *Business Horizons*, *Journal of*
646 *Environmental Management*, *Computers in Human Behavior*, *Information Technology for*
647 *Development*, *Quarterly Review of Economics and Management*, *Journal of Strategy and*
648 *Management*, and *Digital Business*. His main research interests are in the areas of the areas of
649 innovation and sustainability.

650 **Michele Pinelli** received the Ph.D. degree in Business from Ca'Foscari University of Venice,
651 Venice, Italy in 2015. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the Faculty of Economics and
652 Management, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy, where he is also a Research
653 Fellow with the Centre for Family Business Management. He is the author or coauthor of works
654 published in prestigious outlets such as *Research Policy*, *Strategic Organization*, and *Small*
655 *Business Economics*. His current research interests include strategy, entrepreneurship, and
656 family business management.