PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE&YOU 2021 PARALLEL SESSIONS

0

ACTES DES SESSIONS PARALLÈLES DE SCIENCE&YOU 2021

#SciYou2021

 $\left(\right)$



COMITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE / SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Président :

Martin W. Bauer, London School of Economics and Political Science, Royaume-Uni

Membres:

Clotilde Boulanger, Université de Lorraine, France Laurence Canteri, Université de Lorraine, France Michel Claessens, ITER, Commission Européenne, Belgique Fabienne Crettaz von Roten, Faculté des sciences sociales et politiques de l'Université de Lausanne, Suisse Marta Entradas, ISCTE - University Institute of Lisbon, Portugal Bankole Falade, Centre for Research on Evaluation, Science and Technology - CREST, Stellenbosch University, Afrique du Sud Jean-Baptiste Gouyon, University College London (UCL), Angleterre Jürgen Hampel, Université de Stuttgart, Allemagne Edwige Helmer-Laurent, CNRS délégation Centre-Est, France LIU Xuan, National Academy of Innovation Strategy, China Association for Science and Technology (CAST), Chine Lionel Maillot, Université de Bourgogne, France Luisa Massarani, House of Oswaldo Cruz / Fondation Oswaldo Cruz, Brésil Yoslan Nur, UNESCO, France Bernard Schiele, Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada Ahmet Suerdem, Université Bilgi d'Istanbul, Turquie Mikihito Tanaka, Waseda University, Japon

Université de Lorraine, août 2022

www.univ-lorraine.fr www.science-and-you.com

POSTERS

Poster #1
Le projet « IPSL Thema » - Comprendre le changement climatique 629
Poster #2
Genetics and citizenship : Didactic and experimental workshops to promote
equal access to scientific literacy
Poster #3
La recherche en chanson 635
Poster #4
Cherche & Recherche : débusquez-les ! 639
Poster #5
ltinérance et accessibilité : un défi pour l'exposition Tiques : s'informer, se
protéger 641
Poster #6
Exposition RENAISSANCES à la Cité des sciences et de l'industrie 645
Poster #7
« Civil Dialogues on Digitisation reenacted » – Epistemological Potentials in the
Interplay between Social Science and Perfoming Arts
Poster #8
COVID-19 Infodemic: fake news, real censorship. Information and freedom of
expression in time of Coronavirus
Poster #9
Knowledge and Attitude towards Scientific Research Skills in Students of Faculty
of Medicine at Tbilisi State Medical University
Poster #10
Esperanto -
a project for Exchanges for SPEech ReseArch aNd TechnOlogies
Poster #11
STEM and Coding in Action: Knowledge and Awareness Augmentation for
COVID-19 Prevention
Poster #12
Recherche en transdisciplinarité : artistes / scientifiques / publics, une
perspective commune pour un dialogue serein ?
Poster #13
Quelle stratégie de médiation scientifique sur des sujets controversés ? 675

Poster #8 COVID-19 Infodemic: fake news, real censorship. Information and freedom of expression in time of Coronavirus

COVID-19 Infodemic: fake news, real censorship. Information and freedom of expression in time of Coronavirus

Auteur(s)

Dr Marco Marsili, Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Instituto de Estudos Políticos, Centro de investigação do Instituto de Estudos Políticos, Portugal

MOTS CLEFS	COVID-19, Fake-news, Disinformation, Misinformation, Communication		
_			
RÉSUMÉ —	Infection disease outbreaks are invariably characterized by myths and rumors, boosted by social media accounts, that media often pick up and circulate. On the grounds of protecting public health in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, some Member States of the Organization for Security and Co-ope- ration in Europe imposed strict rules on the dissemination of	"fake news". This paper reviews the outbreak communication principles established by the World Health Organization and checks the compliance of emergency legislation, adopted under the pretext of combating misinformation and disinformation, against fundamental human rights.	
TEXTE —	This study aims to shed light on the right to information and the freedom of the media in the context of the COVID-19 outbreak. Infection disease outbreaks are invariably characterized by myths and rumors, boosted by social media accounts, that media often pick up and circulate. Under the justification to avoid panic and confusion, and to combat "fake news" during the COVID-19 pan-	it but keeps silent on the compliance with fundamental human rights, including freedom of expression in the press: an ambiguous posture that leaves room for restrictive policies. Measures adopted by governments in time of public emergency, which threatens the life of the nation, even if derogating from their obligations, should not be inconsistent with other obligations under international law. The rule of law and civil liberties should however be ensured in	

Emergency legislation adopted under the pretext of combating misinformation and disinformation and to protect public health restricts the freedom of expression and information. Decrees issued during the state of emergency – including the practice of detaining journalists for their work and the abuse of pre-trial detention and Internet censorship – sound like measures adopted to restrict the freedom of expression and the freedom of the media, and to shout down dissenting voices. Authorities cannot invoke the state of emergency or national security as a motivation to suspend or limit fundamental human rights. The fight against COVID-19 can be a pretext for restricting civil liberties.

demic, some governments took emergency measures that curtail

the freedom of information. The lack of a legal definition of the term "fake news" leaves room for arbitrary and broad interpretations.

The World Health Organization warns governments about the spread of misinformation in an outbreak and suggests tackling The attempt to introduce a single source under the state of emergency is serious and dangerous, and deprives the people of complete, updated and impartial information. Media play a key role in providing important information to the public, and a pluralistic and vibrant media landscape is indispensable to any democratic society. Access to information and a free working environment are therefore essential and need to be ensured at all times, even under state of emergency. Any kind of pressure against journalists has an immediate consequence, not only on them but also on the public's right to be informed. Restrictive policies adopted under emergency powers with the purpose of countering disinformation should not restrict fundamental rights.

situation of public emergency.







