PeerJ





Submitted 11 January 2023 Accepted 21 May 2023 Published 28 June 2023

Corresponding authors Elisa Baldrighi, elisa.baldrighi@irbim.cnr.it Claudio Vasapollo, claudio.vasapollo@cnr.it

Academic editor Guilherme Corte

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 25

DOI 10.7717/peerj.15541

Copyright 2023 Baldrighi et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Multi-benthic size approach to unveil different environmental conditions in a Mediterranean harbor area (Ancona, Adriatic Sea, Italy)

Elisa Baldrighi^{1,2}, Sarah Pizzini^{1,3}, Elisa Punzo¹, Angela Santelli¹, Pierluigi Strafella¹, Tommaso Scirocco⁴, Elena Manini¹, Daniele Fattorini^{5,6} and Claudio Vasapollo¹

- ¹ Institute for Biological Resources and Marine Biotechnologies—IRBIM, National Research Council—CNR, Italy, Ancona, Marche, Italy
- ² Department of Biology, University of Nevada-Reno, Reno, Nevada, USA
- ³ Fano Marine Center, The Inter-Institute Center for Research on Marine Biodiversity, Resources and Biotechnologies, Fano, Italy
- ⁴ Institute for Biological Resources and Marine Biotechnologies—IRBIM, National Research Council—CNR, Italy, Lesina, Italy
- ⁵ Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente (Disva), Università Politecnica delle Marche (Univpm), Ancona, Italy
- ⁶ Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Scienze del Mare (Conisma), Unità di Ricerca di Ancona (Italy), Ancona, Marche, Italy

ABSTRACT

Harbors are hubs of human activity and are subject to the continuous discharge and release of industrial, agricultural, and municipal waste and contaminants. Benthic organisms are largely known to reflect environmental conditions they live in. Despite meio- and macrofauna interacting within the benthic system, they are ecologically distinct components of the benthos and as such may not necessarily respond to environmental conditions and/or disturbances in the same way. However, in a few field studies the spatial patterns of meio- and macrofauna have been simultaneously compared. In the present study, we assess the response and patterns in the abundance, diversity, and distribution of the two benthic size classes to the different environmental conditions they live in (*i.e.*, sediment concentrations of selected trace metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); organic matter contents and grain size) characterizing the Ancona Harbor (Adriatic Sea). Meio- and macrofauna provided partially similar types of information depending on the indices used (univariate measures or community structure/species composition) and the different 'response-to-stress'. The community structure (*i.e.*, taxa composition) of both benthic size components clearly showed differences among sampling stations located from inside to outside the harbor, reflecting the marked environmental heterogeneity and disturbance typically characterizing these systems. Notwithstanding, the univariate measures (*i.e.*, meio- and macrofauna total abundance, diversity indices and equitability) didn't show similar spatial patterns. Meiofauna were likely to be more sensitive to the effects of environmental features and contaminants than macrofauna. Overall, trace metals and PAHs affected the community composition of the two benthic components, but only the meiofauna abundance and diversity were related to the environmental variables considered (i.e., quantity and quality of

How to cite this article Baldrighi E, Pizzini S, Punzo E, Santelli A, Strafella P, Scirocco T, Manini E, Fattorini D, Vasapollo C. 2023. Multibenthic size approach to unveil different environmental conditions in a Mediterranean harbor area (Ancona, Adriatic Sea, Italy). PeerJ 11:e15541 DOI 10.7717/peerJ.15541 organic matter). Our results pinpoint the importance of studying both meio- and macrofauna communities, which could provide greater insight into the processes affecting the investigated area and reveal different aspects of the benthic ecosystems in response to harbor conditions.

Subjects Biodiversity, Ecology, Marine Biology

Keywords Meiofauna, Macrofauna, Adriatic sea, Harbor, Contaminants, Benthic size, Mediterranean

INTRODUCTION

Coastal waters are widely recognized as marine areas of high ecological and economic value, but also as highly threatened zone, exposed to multiple human activities (*e.g.*, harbors) and their negative impacts (*Travizi et al.*, 2019).

Harbors are essential to the economic growth of coastal regions, where maritime traffic, shipping, international trade, and fishing are continuously increasing (*Simonini et al., 2005*; Franzo et al., 2022). Harbors are usually characterized by high sediment pollution levels due to heavy metals and hydrocarbons caused by intense maritime traffic and huge organic matter loads (Covazzi Harriague et al., 2007; Baldrighi et al., 2019). The high concentrations of contaminants and the relevant inputs of organic matter represent a persistent and ongoing threat, especially for the biota living in the sediment (Travizi et al., 2019; Franzo et al., 2022). In addition, the exposure of the innermost part of harbors to both wind and waves is limited and may create conditions of reduced water circulation, favoring sedimentation processes, anoxia, and trapping pollutants (Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2004a; Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011). The EU Water Framework Directive (WFD; Directive 2000/60/EC; European Communities (EC), 2000) considers harbors as 'heavily modified water bodies', which cannot meet the common criteria of good ecological quality status. Therefore, their effective management is crucial for the sustainable use of these maritime spaces and for the protection of the adjacent coastal habitats (Chatzinikolaou et al., 2018; Franzo et al., 2022).

Benthic organisms are largely known to reflect environmental conditions they live in. Among benthic components, species of meio- and macrofauna are widely recognized as good ecological indicators (*Schratzberger et al., 2003; Patrício et al., 2012*). Benthic meiofaunal and macrofaunal communities are regularly utilized in impact assessment, but very few studies are carried out taking into account both communities (*Whomersley et al., 2009; Frontalini et al., 2011; Covazzi Harriague, Albertelli & Misic, 2012; Xu, Cheung & Shin, 2014*). The meio- and macrofauna are ecologically distinct components of the benthos and as such may not necessarily respond to environmental conditions and/or disturbances in the same way (*Schratzberger et al., 2003; Patrício et al., 2012*).

Aside from differences in body size, meio- and macrobenthos each have a series of distinctive ecological and evolutionary characteristics supporting an expectation of differences in their response to environmental conditions. Meiofauna is characterized by small size, high abundance in marine sediments, ubiquitous distribution, rapid generation times (*i.e.*, months), fast metabolic rates, and absence of a planktonic phase, resulting in a

short response time and high sensitivity to different environmental conditions and certain types of disturbance (*Giere, 2009*). Meiofauna are often classified as *permanent* (species spending their whole lives as meiofauna) or *temporary* (animals that start off as meiofauna but grow into macrofauna) (*Giere, 2009*). In some environments (*e.g.*, open slope systems), temporary meiofauna (*e.g.*, Bivalvia, Oligochaeta, Amphipoda, Nemertea, Priapulida, Holothuroidea, Ascidiacea Cnidaria and Decapoda larvae) have been found to constitute a high percentage of the meiobenthic community (*Bianchelli et al., 2010*). All these organisms are expected to grow into macrofauna and become part of the macrobenthic population of the slope systems. According to modern phylogenetic approaches, seven phyla belong exclusively to meiofauna, while seventeen phyla are accommodated between meio- and macrofauna (*Giere & Schratzberger, 2023*).

Meiobenthic organisms cover different ecological roles according to their trophic group, living mode, locomotion adaptation to move and digging in different kind of sediment grains and they comprise both unicellular (*e.g.*, Foraminifera, Ciliata) a metazoan organism (*Giere*, 2009). Moreover, because of their high density even small volumes of samples can be analyzed to assess meiofaunal changes over different spatial scales and environmental conditions (*e.g.*, *Schratzberger & Ingels*, 2018; *Ridall & Ingels*, 2021). Consequently, meiofaunal communities have generated considerable interest as potential indicators of anthropogenic disturbances in aquatic ecosystems (*e.g.*, *Ridall & Ingels*, 2021; *Semprucci, Grassi & Balsamo*, 2022).

There are some advantages in using macrofauna, strictly linked to the functional traits of this group: (1) relative longevity, with many species having life spans in excess of 2 yr, allows the macrofauna to integrate responses to environmental pressures over extended time periods (Simboura & Zenetos, 2002); (2) sedentary or sessile mode of life, therefore organisms are not able to escape stress and integrate the environmental quality in a given area; (3) relatively easy to sample quantitatively, even if larger amount of sediment are needed compared to the meiofauna; (4) relatively easy taxonomic identification and available taxonomic keys for most groups and (5) well-documented and predictive response to a number of environmental stressors (thus, community changes can be interpreted with a degree of confidence) (Gray et al., 1988; Somerfield et al., 2006; Todorova, Doncheva & Trifonova, 2020). Meio- and macrofauna are ecologically distinct components of the benthos, however both benthic size classes are highly influenced by some main sediment features such as the grain size (Moreno et al., 2008b; Pereira et al., 2018a), the quantity and quality of organic matter (Vezzulli et al., 2003; Papageorgiou, Kalantzi & Karakassis, 2010) and presence of pollutants (McCready, Birch & Long, 2006; Dauvin et al., 2017). Macrobenthic invertebrates have been identified by the EU WFD as key biological components to assess the ecological status of aquatic ecosystems, due to their important role in ecosystem functioning and to their involvement in food-web nutrient recycling (Punzo et al., 2017).

The complementary use of two sets of faunistic groups with contrasting ecological characteristics could provide greater insight into the processes affecting such an area (*Austen, Warwick & Rosado, 1989*).

Up to now, field studies where the spatial patterns of meio- and macrofauna have been simultaneously compared, changes in both assemblages as a response to natural gradients were found to be scattered across habitats (*Somerfield et al., 2006; Papageorgiou, Kalantzi & Karakassis, 2010; Patrício et al., 2012*). These investigations have demonstrated the fundamental advantage of a multi-species approach, with the inclusion of many taxonomic and functional groups that have a broad range of sensitivities to any given environmental regime (*e.g., Frontalini et al., 2011*).

The Adriatic Sea ecosystem is negatively affected by many kinds of biological and ecological threats *e.g.*, eutrophication, pollution, fragmentation of benthic habitats, invasion of alien species (*Katsanevakis et al., 2011; Pećarević et al., 2013; Corriero et al., 2016*). In the regional perspective the basin is highly positioned on the list of 'Priority issues in the Mediterranean environment' drawn up by the European Environment Agency (EEA), with 20 (15%) out of the 131 hotspot pollution sites identified along the Mediterranean coastline in the frame of the Strategic Action Programme (SAP) of the United Nations Environment Programme (*EEA & UNEP, 2006*), including many harbors such as the Ancona one (*Travizi et al., 2019*).

Since previous works published on Ancona Harbor have always treated the different benthic components separately (*Mirto & Danovaro*, 2004; *Spagnolo*, *Scarcella & Sarappa*, 2011; *Spagnolo et al.*, 2019; *Baldrighi et al.*, 2019; *Travizi et al.*, 2019; *Franzo et al.*, 2022), the aim of the present work is to test whether meio- and macrofaunal assemblages could provide a comparable and/or complementary assessment of its ecological conditions.

In the present study, we characterized the meio- and macrofaunal communities (*i.e.*, abundance, diversity, and distribution patterns) in relation to the environmental conditions they live in (*i.e.*, concentration of selected heavy metals (HMs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs); organic matter contents into the sediment and grain size) characterizing the Ancona Harbor. We hypothesized that the analysis of two different benthic components may give us more comprehensive information on the environmental features of the harbor, instead of a single benthic size component as is usually done (*Xu*, *Cheung & Shin*, 2014). In a future perspective, this multi-size approach should be highly recommended in coastal monitoring and management plans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling area and sampling strategy

The Ancona Harbor (water depth range, 4–15 m) is located in the western coast of central Adriatic Sea (Fig. 1), it has a water sheet of 700,000 m² and 5,400 m of docks (*Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011*). The harbor is one of the most important of the Adriatic Sea, with intense ferryboat and merchant ship activity. Previous investigations reported that the area is subjected to organic waste dumping derived from fishing boats and is also affected by a strong industrial pollution due to the presence of shipyards. Consequently, a huge organic matter load and high heavy metal and hydrocarbon concentrations are present inside the harbor area (*Mirto & Danovaro, 2004; Bianchelli et al., 2016*).





In the present study, sediment samples were collected in winter 2015 from five sampling stations located from inside to outside the Ancona Harbor (Fig. 1). The five sampling stations were chosen according to the different environmental features and anthropogenic activities present in the area: the MAN station was located in the inner part of the harbor where small fishing boats dock; the PORT station was located in a transition area where work ships are berthed; the DS and LR stations were located in a more external position, nearby shipping facilities such as active berths; the API station was located outside the harbor where no activity takes place.

At each station, sediment samples for characterizing the benthic fauna (meio- and macrofauna) and environmental features were collected with a box-corer ($40 \text{ cm} \times 30 \text{ cm}$ wide and 50 cm high) in three independent replicates (*i.e.*, box-corer deployments), processed and preserved differently according to the analysis to be performed (see below). At all stations, the temperature and salinity at the sea bottom were measured using CTD (Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth) probe equipped with previously calibrated sensors.

Environmental variables and microbial component

The content of each box-corer was sub-sampled with PVC corers (inner diameter, 4.5 cm) to assess the biochemical composition of the organic matter and grain size. The top 3 cm of sediment from three independent replicates for each parameter were frozen at -20 °C, except for the grain size determination, for which samples were kept at *in situ* temperature in single replicate until brought to the laboratory. The biochemical composition of the organic matter (total protein, carbohydrate, and lipid concentration) and chloroplastic pigments (chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*) and phaeopigment (Phaeo) concentration) were determined by standard techniques (*Danovaro, 2010*). Concentrations were calculated

using standard curves and normalized to sediment dry weight after desiccation (60 °C, 24 h). Biopolymeric organic carbon (BPC) was calculated as the sum of the carbon equivalents of carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids (*Fabiano, Danovaro & Fraschetti, 1995*) and was used as a proxy for the available trophic resources. The value of the protein to carbohydrate ratio (PRT/CHO) was utilized as descriptor of the nutritional quality of organic matter in the sediment, with a PRT/CHO ratio >1.0 indicating relatively high quality and high food availability (*Pusceddu et al., 2010*).

For grain size determination, aliquots of fresh sediment were sieved over a 63 μ m mesh. The two fractions (>63 μ m, sand; <63 μ m, silt/mud) were dried in an oven at 60 °C and weighed. Data were expressed as a percentage of sediment total dry weight (*Pusceddu et al., 2010*).

Contaminants into the sediment

Concentration of selected HMs and PAHs was determined in the surface sediment (0-3 cm) collected at each sampling station and frozen at -20 °C. Analyses were conducted following previous validated methods, fully described in *Benedetti et al. (2014)* and *Etiope et al. (2014)*.

In brief, HMs were determined after digestion under pressure with nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide (7:1), using a Mars 6 Microwave Digestion System (CEM Corporation, Charlotte, NC, USA). As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, V, and Zn were analyzed by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS), with flame (SpectrAA 220FS Spectrometer, Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and flameless atomization (240Z AA Spectrometer, Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), while the Hg content was quantified by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (CVAAS; QuickTrace M-6100 Mercury Analyzer, Teledyne CETAC Technologies Ltd., Omaha, NE, USA).

PAHs were determined after KOH-methanol extraction with a Mars 6 Microwave Digestion System (CEM Corporation). Extracts were concentrated using a RC 10.09 Vacuum Concentration System (Jouan SA, Saint-Herblain, France) and purified by J.T. BakerTM BAKERBONDTM Octadecyl (C18) Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) cartridges (Avantor Inc., Radnor, PA, USA). PAHs were analyzed by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) using both Fluorimetric (FLD) and (UV) Diode Array Detection (DAD) (Infinity 1260 Series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

For both HMs and PAHs, appropriated blank solutions and the Standard Reference Material (SRM) 1944: *New York/New Jersey Waterway Sediment* (NIST–National Institute of Standards and Technology), digested as samples, were used to check for accuracy, precision, and recoveries of the employed analytical methodologies; concentrations obtained from SRM analyses were always within the 95% confidence intervals of the NIST certified values.

The results obtained in this study were compared with threshold values for chemicals specified in the Ministerial Decree 173/2016, the Italian normative that rules the management of dredged sediments and sets out their quality. Only those values exceeding the upper thresholds values (L2) are defined as alerting values (Table 1, Ministerial Decree 173/2016).

Table 1 Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and pairwise test and									
results on total meiofaunal community composition. In bold significant values are reported.									

Source	d.f.	SS	MS	Pseudo-F value	P (perm)	Unique permutations
Stations	4	7,315.80	1,829	38.0437	0.001	9,915
Residuals	10	480.84	48.084			
Total	14	7,796.70				
Pairwise te	st					
Groups		t value	P (pe	erm)	P (MC)	
MAN vs. P	ORT	7.39	0.103		<0.001	
MAN vs. L	R	8.21	0.099		<0.001	
MAN vs. DS		4.86	0.099		0.004	
MAN vs. API		6.12	0.099		0.002	
PORT vs. LR		8.23	0.095		<0.001	
PORT vs. DS		6.44	0.101		<0.001	
PORT vs. API		5.35	0.098		0.002	
LR vs. DS		2.95	0.101		0.016	
LR vs. API		5.20	0.101		0.002	
DS vs. API		3.98	0.098		0.005	

Note:

Permanova

d.f., degrees of freedom; SS, Sum of Squares; MS, Mean Square; P (perm), Permutation *p*-value; P (MC), Monte Carlo *p*-value.

Meiofauna and macrofauna

For meiofauna samples, the content of each box-corer (three independent replicates) was sub-sampled with PVC corers (inner diameter, 4.5 cm). The top 3 cm of sediment, where meiofaunal organisms are typically more abundant, were preserved in 4% buffered formaldehyde. For meiofaunal extraction, sediment samples were sieved through a 500 μ m mesh; a 32 μ m mesh was used to retain the smallest metazoan organisms. The latter fraction was centrifuged 3 times with LUDOX[®] HS-40 colloidal silica (diluted with water to a final density of 1.18 g cm⁻³) and stained with Rose Bengal (0.5 g L⁻¹; *Heip, Vincx & Vranken, 1985*). Meiofaunal organisms were counted (no. of individuals 10 cm⁻²) and identified to the higher taxonomic level (*i.e.*, Order and Class) under a Leica S8APO stereomicroscope.

For macrofauna samples, the first 20 cm of three independent box-corer deployments were sieved *in situ* using a 500 μ m mesh and all organisms retained were preserved in 5% buffered formaldehyde. Macrofauna was sorted in laboratory using a Leica S8APO stereomicroscope and a Leica DM2500 binocular microscope, identified and classified to the lowest possible taxonomic level, and quantified (no. of individuals m⁻²). The data collected was subjected to a control and validation process and organized in a dedicated database. The nomenclature of the species was verified and validated using the web portal https://www.marinespecies.org/ and the 'WoRMS Taxon Match Tool' (*WoRMS Editorial Board, 2022*).

Taxon richness (*i.e.*, Orders or Classes and species for meio- and macrofauna, respectively; S), total number of individuals per taxon/species (N), Shannon's diversity index (H', based on log₂; *Shannon & Weaver*, 1949), and Pielou's equitability index (J'; *Pielou*, 1969) of benthic communities were calculated.

Statistical analysis

To assess differences in benthic communities among the sampling stations a Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA; 9,999, number of random unrestricted permutations of raw data) was used (*Anderson, 2001*). The design included one factor: the sampling station (five levels, fixed). The analysis was based on Bray-Curtis' similarity of previously fourth root transformed meio- and macrofaunal data. In case of significant differences obtained by the main test, the pairwise test was performed and, as there was a limited number of unique permutations, the *p* values were obtained from Monte Carlo tests (*Anderson & Robinson, 2003*). Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Dispersion (PERMDISP) test was applied to assess if differences among the sampling stations (between-group) were due to real differences in benthic community composition and not to differences in the multivariate dispersion of replicates (withingroup) among their respective centroids. A non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination was carried out to visualize similarities among the sampling stations. Similarity percentages (SIMPER) analysis (cut-off, 90%) was used to identify the meio- and macrofaunal taxa that contributed to the dissimilarity among the sampling stations.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to explore differences among the sampling stations for organic matter content and microbial component, total abundance, diversity, and equitability indices in meio- and macrofaunal benthic communities. The ANOVA assumptions were tested graphically plotting residuals *vs*. fitted values, normality of residuals and residuals *vs*. covariate (factor station) to assess the variance homogeneity (*Zuur & Ieno, 2016*). When ANOVA showed significant differences, the Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test was performed to find significant effects between different levels.

Since environmental variables have been processed in single replicates, a value of 1σ (corresponding to the 70% of data around the mean in a normal standard curve; *Quinn & Keough*, 2002) has been subtracted and added to create the missing replicates for which the mean correspond to the original value. Two more values have been created, one lower and one higher than the actual value, and the average of the three values given exactly the observed value.

The number of environmental and pollutant-related variables were separated in two groups: pollutants (comprising HMs and PAHs) and environmental variables (comprising the organic matter compounds and silt/mud percentage). Since many compounds had linked each other, multicollinearity (cut-off at Spearman's correlation = |0.8|) among variables was assessed to reduce the dataset and avoid problems in the analysis algorithms. In case of multicollinearity, the variables with a more stringent biological or environmental value were retained acting as proxy for the omitted collinear ones. A summary of the collinear variable and which ones have been chosen is given in Table S1. After

normalization, both type of variables was used to characterize the study area by means of a principal component analysis (PCA).

Spearman's rank correlation analysis was performed to test relationships between meioand macrofauna total abundance, taxon richness, Shannon's diversity (*Shannon & Weaver*, 1949) and Pieolu's equitability (*Pielou*, 1966) indices, and the environmental features considered.

In order to verify the existence of a significant relation between the benthos data matrix and the environmental data (pollutants and environmental variables), the distance-based linear modeling (DistLM) procedure was utilized with a backward selection of the variables, and each model assessed by means of the Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small samples (AICc; Anderson, Gorley & Clarke, 2008). Since the environmental and pollutant variables were too numerous (even after multicollinearity assessment) respect to the meio- and macrofaunal ones, to further reduce their number, both type of variables was analyzed (separately) by means of another (PCA) to identify groups with similar variability. Only those principal components showing eigenvalues >1 (Kaiser-Guttman criterion; Zwick & Velicer, 1986) were considered. To obtain a better insight into the output loadings, the orthogonal varimax rotation of extracted PCA components was performed. After a varimax rotation, each original variable tends to be associated with one (or a small number) of PCA axis. By doing so, groups of variables were created each of which represented by a single PCA score, and all the scores obtained were used as covariates in the above DistLM analysis to assess the relations between faunal communities and the environmental features. A distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) was then applied to visually investigate the relationship between the community assemblages and the environmental data (Anderson, Gorley & Clarke, 2008). All the above statistical tests were considered significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. Moreover, the RELATE routine (a Mantel test like analysis) was used to search for cross-taxon correlations between meioand macrofaunal similarity matrices.

The PERMANOVA, PERMDISP, nMDS, SIMPER, PCA, RELATE and DistLM procedures were performed with PRIMERTM and PERMANOVA+ ecological software (*Clarke & Gorley, 2006; Anderson, Gorley & Clarke, 2008*); the ANOVA, the Tukey's test and the VARIMAX procedures were performed using the free software R (*R Core Team, 2018*; v. 4.1.3).

RESULTS

Environmental features and contamination levels in the Ancona Harbor

Bottom water temperature reported a mean value of 10.3 °C \pm 0.2 °C and salinity ranged from a minimum of 31.3 (at LR station) to a maximum of 37.6 PSU (at API station). The sandy fraction (>63 µm) characterized stations located outside the harbor (DS, LR, and API stations), while the silty muddy fraction (<63 µm) was predominant in the inner stations MAN and PORT (Table S2). Chl-*a* and organic matter contents into the sediments showed significantly (F_{4, 10} = 12.97, *p* = 0.001) higher values of 'fresh' material (*i.e.*, Chl-*a*)

at MAN and DS stations, while the BPC significantly ($F_{4,10} = 22.21$, p = 0.001) decreased from inside to outside the harbor (Table S2). The significant ($F_{4,10} = 12.61$, p = 0.001) highest value in the quality of organic matter (*i.e.* PRT/CHO) was reported at PORT station (Table S2), due to a particularly low concentration of CHO into the sediment of this station (Table S3).

Analyses aimed at determining HM concentrations in the sediment of the Ancona Harbor have highlighted a general decrease of contamination values moving from the innermost sampling station (MAN) to those one more external (Table S4). MAN station turned out to be affected by pollution levels 3–4 times higher than those detected at API station, reaching even Cu and Zn concentrations 13 and 6 times higher, respectively. Just these two elements, Cu and Zn, were the only exceeding the threshold limits imposed by the Ministerial Decree 173/2016 (46.31 μ g g⁻¹ of Cu detected at MAN station; 297.2 and 114.9 μ g g⁻¹ of Zn detected at MAN and PORT stations, respectively). In the remaining sampling stations, there were no overruns (Table S4).

The concentration levels of Σ_{19} PAHs ranged from 73.38 to 213.4 µg g⁻¹ following, although not linearly, the same pattern highlighted for HMs, with higher values in the innermost sampling station (MAN), decreasing towards outer ones (Table S4). All the sediment samples showed a distinct predominance of low molecular weight PAHs, mainly driven by the Naphthalene concentration (exceeding the legislative thresholds at MAN, DS, and LR stations), which averagely accounted for 37% of the Σ_{19} PAHs, followed by its methylated isomers: 1- and 2-Methylnaphtalene (24% and 22%, respectively). A prevalence of volatile with 2–3 aromatic rings was reported at all stations (Fig. 2A) (for wind direction and speed on the Ancona Harbor area during February 2015, please see Fig. S1). Excluding Naphthalene and its related compounds, the PAH residual contamination was mainly ascribable to Phenanthrene and Fluoranthene (Table S4), and the principal PAH diagnostic ratios (*Tobiszewski & Namieśnik, 2012*), commonly used as a tool to discriminate the analyte origin and sources (*Giuliani et al., 2019*; *Pizzini et al., 2021*), indicated a petrogenic origin (Fig. 2B).

The results from PCA plot considering the environmental variables, summarized the differences among the sampling stations (Fig. 3). In details, MAN station clearly separated from the other stations being characterized by higher values in pollutants, food sources and mud content into the sediment. This explained the variability along the first axis (41.8% of variation). The variability along the second axis (23% of variation) was mainly explained by the contrast between the DS and LR stations and all the others, the latter characterized by the lowest values in mud content and Fluoranthene into the sediments and by intermediate values between the innermost stations and the outermost station for most of the pollutants and environmental variables considered.

Meiobenthic assemblages

A total of 12 taxa were identified with Nematoda representing the dominant taxon at all sampling stations, with a percentage ranging from 75% to 95% (Fig. 4A). The second most represented taxon was Copepoda with their *nauplii*; among less represented taxa (*i.e.*, others) Bivalvia, Ciliata, Foraminifera, Kinorhyncha, Oligochaeta, Ostracoda,



Figure 2 (A) Distribution pattern and (B) origin of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) characterizing the sediment at the investigated sampling stations. Σ LMW = sum of low molecular weight compounds (Naphthalene, 1-Methylnaphtalene, 2-Methylnaphtalene, Acenaphthylene, Acenaphthene, Fluorene, Phenanthrene, Anthracene); Σ HMW = sum of high molecular weight compounds (Fluoranthene, Pyrene, Benz[*a*]anthracene, Chrysene, Benzo[*b*]fluoranthene, Benzo[*a*]pyrene, 7,12-Dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene, Benzo[*ghi*]perylene, Indeno[1,2,3-*cd*]pyrene, Dibenz [*a*,*h*]anthracene); Σ COMB = sum of 9 non-alkylated PAHs (Fluoranthene, Pyrene, Benz[*a*]anthracene, Chrysene, Benzo[*b*]fluoranthene, Benzo[*k*]fluoranthene, Benzo[*a*]pyrene, Indeno [1,2,3-*cd*]pyrene). Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15541/fig-2

Platyhelminthes, Polychaeta, Sipuncula, and Tardigrada constituted from 3% to 15% of the meiobenthic community (Fig. 4B). Meiofauna abundance and values of its diversity indices are reported in Table S5 and represented in Fig. 5. The ANOVA tests detected significant differences among all the sampling stations for taxa richness (N; Table 2), with the highest value reported at DS station (Fig. 5A). The ANOVA tests reported significant differences among the sampling stations also for all diversity and equitability indices (Table 2 and Table S5). Regarding the number of taxa, PORT station showed a significantly lower value on average compared to all the other sampling stations (Fig. 5B). Shannon's diversity and Pielou's equitability indices showed both similar patterns, with MAN station presenting the highest value (Figs. 5C and 5D).



Figure 3 Principal component analysis (PCA) with environmental variables. BPC, Biopolymeric organic carbon; CPE, Chloroplastic pigment equivalent; BPER, Benzo[*ghi*]perylene; BAP, Benzo[*a*] pyrene; FLU, Fluorene; ANT/PHE, Anthracene/Phenanthrene; FLT/PYR, Fluoranthene/Pyrene. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15541/fig-3

PERMANOVA analysis with pairwise test reported significant differences (Table 1) in meiofaunal community composition among all the sampling stations; PERMDISP test did not show any significant dispersion around centroids, confirming that the differences among the sampling stations were due to a real difference in meiobenthic composition (Pseudo- $F_{2.4} = 5.38$, P(perm) = 0.088).

The nMDS plot (Fig. 6A) shows the separation among the sampling stations, as well as a low inter-replica variability. In particular, the innermost MAN and PORT stations were separated from to the outermost ones. SIMPER analysis detected a dissimilarity percentage from 15 (LR vs. DS stations) to 54% (PORT vs. LR stations). The highest values of dissimilarity percentage were always associated with PORT station and mainly due to very low abundances (*i.e.*, ind. 10 cm⁻² 50 \pm 8) in some of the most represented taxa such as: Copepoda, Foraminifera, Nematoda and Polychaeta (Table S6). For the other sampling stations, the dissimilarity was mainly due to the presence/absence or differences in the abundances of the taxa others: Bivalvia, Ciliata, Kinorhyncha, Oligochaeta, Ostracoda, Platyhelminthes and Sipuncula (Table S6). Several positive correlations emerged between meiofauna descriptors and quantity of organic matter; moreover, meiofauna abundance and its diversity were positively correlated to some HMs (i.e., Cd, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn) and to silt/mud content into the sediment (Table S7A). The best model selected by the DistLM analysis, reported in Table 3, comprised only the pollutant compounds. The resulting dbRDA showed that the first two axes explained 83.4% of the variance of meiofaunal community composition, corresponding to the combination of the following environmental factors: V/Ni ratio (commonly used as a diagnostic marker of maritime traffic; Viana et al., 2014), Naphthalene, and Benzo[a]pyrene (ARC1) and Zn, Fluorene, and percentage of silt/mud (ARC4; Fig. S2A).



Figure 4 (A) Meiofaunal community structure and (B) contribution of taxa others at each sampling station. Mean values of replicated samples (n = 3) are shown. Bold values reported inside the bars are total abundances (ind.10 cm⁻²) of meiofauna (4A) and others (4B). Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15541/fig-4

Macrofauna assemblages

A total of 93 taxa were identified, these included: 43 Annelida (42 Polychaeta and 1 Oligochaeta), 29 Mollusca (22 Bivalvia, 6 Gastropoda, and 1 Scaphopoda), 10 Crustacea (6 Amphipoda, 2 Cumacea, 1 Isopoda, and 1 Tanaidacea), 5 Nematoda, 2 Bryozoa, 2 Cnidaria (1 Anthozoa and 1 Hydrozoa), 1 Nemertea, and 1 Ophiuroidea (Table S8). Annelida was the most represented group (from 68% to 90% at LR and MAN stations, respectively), followed by Mollusca (from 4% to 24% at MAN and LR stations, respectively) at all the sampling stations. Other less represented groups such as Cnidaria, Isopoda, Ophiuroidea, and Tanaidacea were found only at one or two sampling stations (Fig. 7). Macrofauna abundance ranged from 610 ± 241 to $3,455 \pm 425$ individuals m⁻² at MAN and LR stations, respectively; values of its diversity indices are reported in Table S5 are represented in Fig. 8. The ANOVA tests reported significant higher abundance value at LR station (Fig. 8A) compared to all the other stations (Table 2). LR station was also characterized by the

Peer





highest number of taxa (Fig. 8B) but also by lowest values (Figs. 8C and 8D) of Shannon's diversity and Pielou's equitability indices (Table 2 and Table S5). PERMANOVA analysis with pairwise test reported significant differences (Table 4) in macrofaunal community composition among the majority of the sampling stations; PERMDISP test did not show any significant dispersion around centroids, confirming that the differences among the sampling stations were due to a real difference in macrobenthic composition (Pseudo- $F_{2,4} = 0.99$, P (perm) = 0.767).

The nMDS plot (Fig. 6B) shows the separation among the sampling stations. In detail, the innermost MAN station and for a lesser extend the outermost API station were distinguished from the others. SIMPER analysis reported high percentages of dissimilarity

Table 2 One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results on total meiofauna and macrofauna abundance (N) and their diversity indices. Number of taxa (S), Shannon's diversity index (H', based on log_2), and Pielou's equitability index (J'), characterizing the sediment at the investigated sampling stations. In bold significant p values.

Index		d.f.	F value	p value	Tukey's HSD <i>post hoc</i> test		
N	Stations	4	714.30	<0.001	DS>LR>MAN>API>PORT		
	Residuals	10					
S	Stations	4	11.30	<0.001	PORT <api=ds=lr=man< td=""></api=ds=lr=man<>		
	Residuals	10					
H'	Stations	4	44.10	<0.001	MAN>PORT>API=LR(=DS)>DS		
	Residuals	10					
J'	Stations	4	41.60	<0.001	MAN>PORT=API=LR(=DS)>DS		
	Residuals	10					
Macrofa	una						
Ν	Stations	4	10.60	0.002	LR>API=DS=MAN=PORT		
	Residuals	10					
S	Stations	4	3.80	0.041	MAN <lr< td=""></lr<>		
	Residuals	10					
H'	Stations	4	8.20	0.003	LR <api=ds=man=port< td=""></api=ds=man=port<>		
	Residuals	10					
J'	Stations	4	3.90	0.036	LR <api< th=""></api<>		
	Residuals	10					

Note:

Maiafauna

d.f., degrees of freedom; HSD, Honestly Significant Difference.

ranging from 63.4 (LR vs. DS stations) to 88.3% (MAN vs. API stations) between all pairs of sampling stations. The great dissimilarity is mainly due to the presence/absence of species or to a particularly abundant presence of them in one station compared to the others (Table S9). Species like *Ampelisca diadema, Aponuphis bilineata, Jasmineira caudata, Spiophanes bombyx, Kurtiella bidentata,* and *Euclymene oerstedii* characterized mainly the outermost API station, while some other species such as *Tubificoides swirencoides, Streblospio* sp., *Heteromastus filiformis,* and *Chaetozone caputesocis* were found inhabiting the innermost stations (Table S9). Four significant correlations (three out of four were negative) were detected between macrofauna descriptors and environmental variables; only macrofauna species richness was (negatively) correlated to three TEs and to the percentage of finest sediment fraction (Table S7B).

The best model selected by the DistLM analysis, reported in Table 5, comprised only ARC2 (Benzo[ghi]perylene, and Anthracene/(Anthracene + Phenanthrene) and Fluoranthene/(Fluoranthene + Pyrene) diagnostic ratios; *Tobiszewski & Namieśnik, 2012*) and ARC4 (Zn, Fluorene, and percentage of silt/mud).The resulting dbRDA showed that the first two axes explained the 42.9% of the variance in the macrofaunal community composition (Fig. S2B). This latter low percentage indicates that the residual variance





associated to the community was not captured by the graph, and it is likely that an unobserved variable should have had improved the general plot. In any case, along the first axis of the dbRDA there was a clear separation between the innermost stations MAN and PORT, characterized by high values of Zn and percentage of silt/mud compared to the outermost stations LR, DS, and API; while along the second axis LR and DS stations were separated from API, MAN, and PORT stations.

DISCUSSION

Environmental features of Ancona Harbor

The presence of muddy sediments in the innermost stations and sand in the outermost ones was clearly due to a reduced exposure to hydrological factors (wind, waves, and currents) which create conditions of poor water renewal inside the Ancona Harbor, favoring the presence of fine sediments (*Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011*). The sediment particle size can influence sediment organic matter load and pollutant content, with fine-grained components commonly showing a high content in organic

Table 3 Distance-based linear modeling (DistLM) analysis on meiofaunal community composition characterizing the sediment at the investigated sampling stations. Variables are coded after Varimax Rotated PCA Axis as follow: ARCx = Abiotic variables associated to the rotated axis (x indicates the number of the axis); BRCx = Biotic variables associated to the rotated axis. For a complete list of the variables refers to the text.

oture sorue							
AICc	R ²	RSS	No. of variables	Selections			
80.92	0.94	447.00	6 All				
Sequential	tests						
Variable	AICc	SS	Pseudo-F value	p value	Prop.	Cumul.	Res. d.f.
BRC1	76.25	92.83	1.66	0.224	0.01	0.93	9
BRC2	74.60	173.62	2.89	0.53	0.02	0.91	10
Best solution	on						
AICc	R ²	RSS	No. of variables	Selections			
74.59	0.91	713.44	4	ARC1	-ARC4		

Note:

Start solution

AICc, Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small samples; $R^2 = Coefficient of determination; RSS, Residuals Sums of Squares; SS, Sums of Squares; Prop., Proportion of variation explained by the variable; Cumul., Cumulative total of Prop; Res. d.f., Residual degrees of freedom.$



matter and pollutants (*Papageorgiou, Kalantzi & Karakassis, 2010*). This might have facilitated an overall accumulation of HMs and PAHs inside the harbor, as reported in other harbor areas (*e.g., McCready, Birch & Long, 2006; Losi et al., 2021*). In particular, the high values of Cu and Zn detected at MAN and PORT stations were likely correlated to the shipyard activities present within the harbor, especially with the use of new generation antifouling paints (*Costa et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2018b*). Furthermore, the pronounced prevalence of volatile, easily transportable PAHs (*e.g., Naphthalene; Fig. 2A*) pointed out

Peer





that fuel combustion linked to maritime traffic was the major source of these organic contaminants in the harbor basin. The petrogenic origin of the PAH residual contamination (*e.g.*, Phenanthrene and Fluoranthene; Fig. 2B) was supported by the detection in the sediment samples of V, Ni, and Pb, commonly considered as tracers of accidental oil spills and/or marine fuels (*El Nemr, Khaled & El Sikaily, 2006*), as well as by the values of the V/Ni ratio, marker of an intense maritime traffic (*Viana et al., 2014*).

Considering the threshold values for chemicals specified in the Ministerial Decree 173/2016, few values were reported exceeding the established alerting thresholds and always from the innermost stations.

 Table 4 Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and pairwise test and results on total macrofaunal community composition. In bold significant values are reported.

Source	d.f.	SS	MS	Pseudo-F value	P (perm)	Unique permutations
Stations	4	23,534	5,883.5	4.55	0.001	9,915
Residuals	10	12,936	1,293.6			
Total	14	36,470				
Pairwise te	st					
Groups		t valu	e P (p	perm)	P (MC)	
MAN vs. P	ORT	2.03	0.12		0.028	
MAN vs. Ll	R	2.67	0.10)	0.011	
MAN vs. DS		2.41	0.09)	0.015	
MAN vs. API		3.09	0.10)	0.007	
PORT vs. LR		1.57	0.10)	0.100	
PORT vs. DS		1.71	0.10)	0.069	
PORT vs. A	PI	2.03	0.10)	0.031	
LR vs. DS		1.57	0.10)	0.106	
LR vs. API		2.23	0.10)	0.024	
DS vs. API		2.03	0.10)	0.036	

Note:

Permanova

d.f., degrees of freedom; SS, Sum of Squares; MS, Mean Square; P (perm), Permutation *p*-value; P (MC), Monte Carlo *p*-value.

Table 5 Distance-based linear modeling (DistLM) analysis on macrofaunal community composition characterizing the sediment at the investigated sampling stations. Variables are coded after Varimax Rotated PCA Axis as follows: ARCx = Abiotic variables associated to the rotated axis (x indicates the number of the axis); BRCx = biotic variables associated to the rotated axis. For a complete list of the variables refers to the text.

Start solut	ion						
AICc	R ²	RSS	No. of variables	Selections All			
28.74	0.70	11,856	6				
Sequential	tests						
Variable	AICc	SS	Pseudo-F value	p value	Prop.	Cumul.	Res. d.f.
BRC1	123.48	1,746.70	1.23	0.251	0.048	0.65	9
ARC3	120.00	2,136.70	1.53	0.131	0.059	0.59	10
BRC2	118.28	3,193.80	2.17	0.022	0.000	0.51	11
ARC1	116.72	2,906.30	1.78	0.049	0.080	0.43	12
Best soluti	on						
AICc	R ²	RSS	No. of variables	Selections			
116.72	0.43	20,821	2	ARC2; ARC4			
_							

Notes:

AICc, Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small samples; R², Coefficient of determination; RSS, Residuals Sums of Squares; SS, Sums of Squares; Prop., Proportion of variation explained by the variable; Cumul., Cumulative total of Prop; Res. d.f., Residual degrees of freedom.

Not only contaminants, but also natural and/or anthropogenic changes in the benthic trophic status (i.e., organic matter quantity and sediment biochemical composition) may affect the benthic communities (*Pusceddu et al., 2011; Foti et al., 2014*). The protein, carbohydrate, lipid, and BPC content in the sediments have been proposed and utilized to assess the benthic trophic status of marine coastal environments, including the Adriatic Sea (Vezzulli & Fabiano, 2006). In Dell'Anno et al. (2002) PRT and CHO sedimentary contents were suggested as proxies for organic matter quality and threshold values were established for ranking the trophic status and the environmental quality of coastal marine ecosystems. Applying those thresholds to the investigated sediments, the trophic status of Ancona Harbor could be ranked as hyper-trophic (PRT >4 mg g^{-1}) with the exception of the API station, ranked as eutrophic (PRT $\approx 4 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$). However, in terms of CHO content, Ancona Harbor should be ranked as meso-oligotrophic system (CHO $<5 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$). Pusceddu et al. (2009, 2011) identified as eutrophic systems those characterized by BPC concentration $>3 \text{ mgC g}^{-1}$, as found at MAN, PORT, LR, and DS stations, and as mesotrophic systems those characterized by BPC concentration in the range $1-3 \text{ mgC g}^{-1}$, as in the case of the API station. In Ancona Harbor, the PRT/CHO ratio resulted always >1, indicating a great input of recent production's material and highlighting the good trophic quality of the organic matter (*Pusceddu et al., 2009*). Increasing of organic loads in the sediment have been usually reported from other harbor areas, especially from the innermost zones (Danulat et al., 2002; Covazzi Harriague, Albertelli & Misic, 2012; Xu, Cheung & Shin, 2014; Rebai et al., 2022). Concentrations of Chl-a here reported were extremely high if compared to those reported in February in a previous study conducted along the Adriatic coasts (0.11–0.23 μ g g⁻¹; *Bianchelli et al.*, 2016), indicating the presence of 'fresh' primary organic matter. However, similar results in Chl-a concentrations were reported from Tunisian harbors (Rebai et al., 2022) along with high level of organic matter. The PCA on measured environmental variables indicated the presence of a clear spatial heterogeneity among the sampling stations and a separation between innermost stations and outermost ones due to higher organic matter and contaminant loads inside the harbor basin, as previously reported in the same study area (Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011; Baldrighi et al., 2019) and in other enclosed systems (Guerra-García, Corzo & García-Gómez, 2003; Vezzulli et al., 2003; Losi et al., 2013; Dauvin et al., 2017; Mehlhorn et al., 2021). This marked environmental variability in harbors is a common feature. Indeed, environmental disturbance within harbors may change rapidly over spatial scales of a few meters, depending on various factors like the localization and magnitude of pollution sources, allochthonous inputs of different nature, tidal regime, water circulation, harbor position, shape, and size (McCready, Birch & Long, 2006; Vassallo et al., 2006; Xu, Cheung & Shin, 2014).

Meiofaunal response to harbor environmental conditions

In Ancona Harbor, the meiofaunal total abundance, community structure and, for a lesser extent, univariate measures (*i.e.*, diversity and equitability indices) reflected the marked spatial heterogeneity showed by the PCA and the clear separation both between inner and outer sampling stations and among the sampling stations themselves (MAN *vs.* PORT *vs.*

DS + LR vs. API). Meiofaunal abundance was in the range of values reported by *Baldrighi et al.* (2019) for Ancona Harbor (*i.e.* from 912 ± 404 to $2,512 \pm 717$ ind.10 cm⁻²) with lower values characterizing the outermost station and for other harbors and coastal areas affected by pollution and/or high organic matter loads (Vezzulli et al., 2003; Veiga, Rubal & Besteiro, 2009; Pusceddu et al., 2011; Dal Zotto et al., 2016; Semprucci, Balsamo & Sandulli, 2016; Sedano Vera, Marquina & Espinosa Torre, 2014; Kulakova, 2022). The only exception was represented by the paucity of meiofaunal organisms found at PORT station. Considering that total meiofaunal abundance was positively linked to products derived from primary production (Chl-a, Phaeo, and chloroplast pigment equivalents-CPE), its low abundance at PORT station could be partially justified by the lowest detected value of 'fresh' material (Chl-a) and/or other factors known to regulate the coexistence of different organisms such as competition for resources and predation (Schratzberger et al., 2003, 2008). Moreover, given its small size, low mobility, and lack of dispersive life stages (Giere, 2009), meiofauna is more susceptible to within-habitat physical variability and environmental disturbances than larger, more mobile, and potentially more highly dispersed members of the macrofauna (Austen & Widdicombe, 2006; Schratzberger et al., 2008). This would explain the drop in meiofauna abundance, not reported for the macrofauna, at that sampling station. A total of 12 meiofauna taxa (Orders or Classes) were identified and in four sampling stations out of five the majority of taxa were represented. The measures of diversity (i.e., S, H', and J') were comparable to the values reported in harbor areas (e.g., Moreno et al., 2008a, 2008b; Sedano Vera, Marquina & Espinosa Torre, 2014; Kulakova, 2022) and in enclosed/transitional systems in the Adriatic Sea (e.g., Pusceddu et al., 2007, 2011; Frontalini et al., 2014; Covazzi Harriague, Albertelli & *Misic*, 2012). However, the strong dominance of Nematoda justified the low values reported for the Pielou's equitability index, particularly at LR and DS stations. The dominance of the most resistant and adaptable group is a peculiarity of more stressed, less stable environments and characterized by fine sediment fraction, such as harbors (Semprucci et al., 2015). Abundance and diversity indices were correlated to different proxies of food sources (quantity and quality) into the sediment and to its grain size, confirming the effect of these environmental variables on meiofaunal populations (Balsamo et al., 2010).

The dissimilarity among the sampling stations was mainly due to some less abundant and more sensitive taxa, not present in the innermost stations. Usually, organisms that can cope with unfavorable conditions take over (*e.g.*, Nematoda), whereas more sensitive taxa disappear or become rare (*Mirto et al., 2014; Zeppilli et al., 2015*). In the case of Ancona Harbor, Bivalvia, Kinorhyncha, Platyhelminthes, and Tardigrada were found only at the outermost stations (LR, DS, and API) being identified as less tolerant taxa (*Baldrighi et al., 2019* and literature therein). Conversely, the more tolerant and widespread groups of Ciliata, Oligochaeta, and Polychaeta (*Pusceddu et al., 2007; Moreno et al., 2008a, 2008b; Semprucci et al., 2015*) characterized the innermost stations, along with a non-negligible presence (from 34% to 80% among *other* taxa, Fig. 4B) of soft-shelled Foraminifera inhabiting the sediment at all the investigated sampling stations. Soft-shelled monothalamous Foraminifera are an important component living in the sediment and populating the Adriatic Sea (*Sabbatini et al., 2013*), but most of the time this component is overlooked in meiofauna studies. The high presence of this group has been found to be associate to high values of Chl-*a*, eutrophic conditions, and high variability of environmental parameters (*e.g.*, organic matter loads, salinity, temperature, oxygen content; *Sabbatini et al., 2013*). The strong tolerance and positive response of some monothalamous species to environmental stress (*Sabbatini et al., 2010*) may justify their presence in the Ancona Harbor. A further identification of the species characterizing these sediments will be necessary, in any case, to confirm our hypothesis. Changes in the community structure were supported by DistLM analysis, which revealed that pollutants and, secondly, the grain size could explain the variability in the meiofaunal composition.

Food sources did not have any effect on the meiobenthic community. According to Dell'Anno et al. (2002) and Pusceddu et al. (2009), the system of Ancona Harbor can be ranked as eutrophic (inside)—mesotrophic (outside) with high quality of organic matter. Thus, food sources did not constitute a limiting factor for the meiofaunal community (Muniz & Pires-Vanin, 2005; Covazzi Harriague, Albertelli & Misic, 2012; Dal Zotto et al., 2016), as reported instead for oligotrophic systems (e.g., Covazzi Harriague et al., 2013). Same results were reported in Franzo et al. (2022) analyzing the nematode communities inhabiting different Adriatic harbors, including that of Ancona. Authors showed that the main environmental factor that shaped the nematode assemblages in all harbors were the PAH concentration levels, while food sources and the grain size were much less relevant. Interestingly, some positive correlations between HMs and meiofaunal abundance and its related univariate measures were reported in the present study, as elsewhere (Schratzberger et al., 2003; Xu, Cheung & Shin, 2014). In the study conducted by Cibic et al. (2017), authors pinpointed as heavy metal content may influence meiofaunal abundance and its composition. The positive nature of the correlation could be the result of a meiobenthic community well adapted to permanent stress conditions (*Cibic et al., 2017*).

Macrofaunal response to harbor environmental conditions

In the present study, total macrofaunal abundance and its measures of diversity (*i.e.*, S, H', and J'), fell within the range of values reported by *Spagnolo*, *Scarcella & Sarappa* (2011) and *Travizi et al.* (2019) for Ancona Harbor and from other ports worldwide (*e.g.*, *Gusmao et al.*, 2016; *Dauvin et al.*, 2017; *Li et al.*, 2017; *Rebai et al.*, 2022). Results here reported showed an overall increasing trend in macrofaunal abundance and species richness from inside to outside the study area, however, LR station significantly differed from the other sampling stations when univariate measures were considered. The low values of the Shannon's diversity and of the Pielou's equitability indices detected at LR station pointed to the presence of few dominant species such as *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Capitella capitata*. Regarding the community structure, species composition differed moving from inside to outside the harbor, overall reporting high percentages of dissimilarity among stations (SIMPER analysis, Table S9).

The macrobenthic community was mostly composed by the dominant groups of Annelida, Mollusca, and Crustacea, as usually reported from enclosed environments impacted by pollutants and characterized by high organic matter loads (*Guerra-García &*

García-Gómez, 2004b; Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011; Travizi et al., 2019). Among the group of Annelida, the Oligochaeta species T. swirencoides was identified for the first time in Ancona Harbor and it was found to be particularly abundant in all the sampling stations and even dominant at LR station. Only at API station the species was absent. Tubificid oligochaetes, also called sludge worms, are very common in high polluted areas (Brusca & Brusca, 2003) and they are recognized as a pollution-tolerant taxon (Pelletier et al., 2010). Thus, T. swirencoides was the species most responsible for the difference between the innermost sampling stations and the outermost one (SIMPER analysis, Table S9). Species composition may be affected by pollutant concentrations and high levels of organic matter, through a decrease in diversity and abundance of sensitive species (Callier et al., 2009). Most of the species found inhabiting the Ancona Harbor sediments were typically soft-bottom species and belonged to the ecological groups of disturbancetolerant, second- and first-order opportunistic species (Borja, Franco & Perez, 2000). Polychaeta, along with the tubificid oligochaeta T. swirencoides, were the taxa most represented and diversified. Many Polychaeta species have a high level of tolerance to adverse effects such as pollution and natural perturbations (Borja, Franco & Perez, 2000), and for this reason they usually constitute the majority of benthic organisms living in harbor systems (Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2004b). Usually, Polychaeta species richness and their diversity inside of harbor areas are low because of high pollution levels and the lack of oxygen in the water column (Estacio et al., 1997; Dhainaut-Courtois, Pruvot & Baudet, 2000). The same trend of increasing Polychaeta diversity moving outside the harbor area was also reported in the present study, with tolerant and opportunistic species such as Capitella capitata, C. caputesocis, H. filiformis, Sternaspis scutata and Streblospio sp. (Borja, Franco & Perez, 2000) particularly abundant in the innermost sampling stations. The species Prionospio cirrifera, mainly recorded outside the harbor (API station), is traditionally identified as an opportunistic spionid living in silty-clay sediments with high organic content (Borja, Franco & Perez, 2000; Simonini et al., 2004). Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa (2011) reported the same finding and authors explained this as a result of a scarce tolerance of *P. cirrifera* to copper, detected at a concentration 9 times higher at the innermost MAN station compared to the average concentration detected at all the other sampling stations. A similar consideration could arise for the high abundance of the opportunistic species S. bombyx at API station. Polychaeta species ranked as disturbance-sensitive (Borja, Franco & Perez, 2000), such as Aricidea fragilis, Glycera capitata, Paradoneis armata, and Paraonis fulgens have also been found inhabiting the most impacted sampling stations inside the harbor but in lower abundances compared to the opportunistic species. This leads us to think about a certain kind of adaptation of these species to cope with less favorable conditions, but this aspect needs further investigation. Due to their economic and ecological importance, as well as their sedentary life, Mollusca has assumed a major role in monitoring contaminants worldwide (Pizzini et al., 2015, 2017; Grotti et al., 2016). Kurtiella bidentata, M. galloprovincialis, and Nucula nitidosa are defined as disturbance-tolerant species and they tended to dominate the innermost sampling stations in Ancona Harbor, with the only exception for K. bidentata, particularly abundant at API station. Abra alba characterized LR and DS stations, confirming its

preference for sandy sediments with medium-high levels of organic matter quantity and quality (*Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2004b*). This species has been reported to abound in harbors affected by heavy metal pollution (*Dhainaut-Courtois, Pruvot & Baudet, 2000*).

LR station was characterized by a conspicuous presence of the nonindigenous species (NIS) bivalve *Anadara transversa*, bivalve of Indo-Pacific origin (*Streftaris & Zenetos*, 2006) belonging to the family Arcidae. Members of this family have a red color blood due to a high consistent level of hemoglobin in their bodies, allowing them to colonize habitats with low oxygen concentrations (*e.g., Zenetos, 1994; Morello et al., 2004*).

A large number of crustaceans (Amphipoda, Isopoda, Tanaidacea) have been categorized as pollution-sensitive taxa, especially compared to Polychaeta (*Pelletier et al.*, 2010). Crustacean communities have been considered to be among the most sensitive to changes in environmental variables (Gómez-Gesteira & Dauvin, 2000), and for this reason crustacean species richness and diversity inside harbors are generally considerably low (Estacio et al., 1997; Dhainaut-Courtois, Pruvot & Baudet, 2000). Three abundant species characterized the innermost sampling stations in Ancona Harbor: the Amphipoda Leptocheirus pilosus, the Caprellida Phtisica marina, and the Tanaidacea Apseudopsis latreillii. P. marina and A. latreillii have been reported in high number in sediments containing less sand and high concentrations of N, P, Cu, and organic matter (Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2004a). A. latreillii belongs to the group of species that may occur under normal conditions, but whose populations are stimulated by organic enrichment, while P. marina belongs to the group of species very sensitive to organic enrichment. According to the present study and the results of other previous investigations (Conradi et al., 2000; Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2001, 2004a) this species is able to live even in impacted habitats with moderate-high levels of heavy metals and PAHs. Conversely, the more sensitive Amphipoda Ampelisca diadema dominated the crustacean assemblages at API station. As for the meiofauna, pollutant content and the different sediment texture inside and outside the Ancona Harbor affected the macrofaunal composition, as previously reported (Guerra-García & García-Gómez, 2001, 2004a, 2004b; Spagnolo, Scarcella & Sarappa, 2011; Travizi et al., 2019). Univariate descriptors as well as the analysis of species characterizing the benthic communities indicated the presence of modified, but quite diverse and presumably well-established soft-bottom communities in all the investigated sampling stations. This might reflect the successful adaptation of many pollution-tolerant species to the long-term pollution and unstable environmental conditions of Ancona Harbor (Travizi et al., 2019).

Meiofauna and macrofauna comparison

Meio- and macrofauna can co-vary, even if the contrasting ecology of these two benthic components can give us different information on the environment where they live in (*Austen, Warwick & Rosado, 1989; Schratzberger et al., 2003; Patrício et al., 2012*). When compared together, both taxonomical groups inhabiting Ancona Harbor showed weak but significant relationship (Table S10), as also evident from the nMDS (Fig. 6). The weakness was likely due to the different response of meiofauna to the PORT conditions, characterized by an extreme paucity in this smaller benthic component.

However, with the only exception for PORT station, all the other stations followed the same distributions for both benthic component, notwithstanding the different intra-replica variability. This suggest that, even if the two faunal categories respond differently to the environmental and pollutant variables (or at least with different intensity), both capture and highlight the disturbances affecting the harbor area. This result, enforce the concept of cross-taxon congruence (*i.e.*, an interoperability among groups of organisms that respond as a unique community, although both conserving their peculiarities) (*Su et al.*, 2004). Similar congruence between meiofauna and macrofauna groups was described by, *e.g.*, *Corte et al.* (2017), *Cronin-O'Reilly et al.* (2018). Notwithstanding, this result should be taken with caution as the relations between different groups of organisms could be weak, as it is in the present case, to provide reliable predictions of biodiversity in impacted areas (*Heino*, 2010), thus more data are needed to confirm or definitely discard the usability of cross-taxon congruence.

CONCLUSIONS

In considering two benthic size components at the same time (meio- and macrofauna), we were provided by a broader response to environmental conditions in the Ancona Harbor. The following considerations emerged:

- The meiofauna was affected by the quality and quantity of organic matter, suggesting that meiobenthic assemblages were more receptive to within-habitat food variability than macrofauna.

- Both invertebrate groups were characterized by distinctive assemblages across the harbor, particularly evident for the meiofauna, consistent with changes detected for environmental features.

- The present investigation confirmed the fundamental advantage of a multi-benthic size approach, with the inclusion of different taxonomical groups considered to cover a broader range of functions into the ecosystem. Optimally, both groups should be used in marine pollution monitoring programs included in the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (*MSFD*, 2008; Directive 2008/56/EC) in the context of its Descriptor 1 'maintenance of biodiversity' and Descriptor 6 'sea floor integrity'.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is very grateful to Dr. Jaques Grall and Vincent Le Garrec (UBO) for the long time spent on the identification of macrofaunal organisms. The authors are grateful to the crews of the boat Tecnopesca that was employed in sampling operations.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This publication has been produced with the financial assistance of the IPA Adriatic Cross-Border Cooperation Program—strategic project Ballast Water Management System for Adriatic Sea Protection (BALMAS). The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of authors and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the IPA Adriatic Cross-Border Cooperation Program Authorities. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: IPA Adriatic Cross-Border Cooperation Program. Ballast Water Management System for Adriatic Sea Protection (BALMAS). IPA Adriatic Cross-Border Cooperation Program Authorities.

Competing Interests

Claudio Vasapollo is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

Author Contributions

- Elisa Baldrighi conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Sarah Pizzini analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Elisa Punzo analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Angela Santelli analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Pierluigi Strafella analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Tommaso Scirocco analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Elena Manini conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Daniele Fattorini performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Claudio Vasapollo conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw measurements are available in the Supplemental Files.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.15541#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Anderson MJ. 2001. Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 58(3):626–639 DOI 10.1139/f01-004.
- Anderson M, Gorley R, Clarke K. 2008. PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. Plymouth, UK: PRIMER-e.
- Anderson MJ, Robinson J. 2003. Generalized discriminant analysis based on distances. *Australian* & New Zealand Journal of Statistics 45(3):301–318 DOI 10.1111/1467-842X.00285.
- Austen MC, Warwick RM, Rosado MC. 1989. Meiobenthic and macrobenthic community structure along a putative pollution gradient in southern Portugal. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 20(8):398–405 DOI 10.1016/0025-326X(89)90318-4.
- Austen MC, Widdicombe S. 2006. Comparison of the response of meio-and macrobenthos to disturbance and organic enrichment. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 330(1):96–104 DOI 10.1016/j.jembe.2005.12.019.
- Baldrighi E, Semprucci F, Franzo A, Cvitkovic I, Bogner D, Despalatovic M, Berto D, Malgozata Formalewicz M, Scarpato A, Frapiccini E, Marini M, Grego M. 2019. Meiofaunal communities in four adriatic ports: baseline data for risk assessment in ballast water management. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 147(8):171–184 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.06.056.
- Balsamo M, Albertelli G, Ceccherelli VU, Coccioni R, Colangelo MA, Curini-Galletti M,
 Danovaro R, D'Addabbo R, De Leonardis C, Fabiano M, Frontalini F, Gallo M, Gambi C,
 Guidi L, Moreno M, Pusceddu A, Sandulli R, Semprucci F, Todaro MA, Tongiorgi P. 2010.
 Meiofauna of the Adriatic Sea: current state of knowledge and future perspective. *Chemistry and Ecology* 26(suppl. 1):45–63 DOI 10.1080/02757541003705492.
- Benedetti M, Gorbi S, Fattorini D, D'Errico G, Piva F, Pacitti D, Regoli F. 2014. Environmental hazards from natural hydrocarbons seepage: integrated classification of risk from sediment chemistry, bioavailability and biomarkers responses in sentinel species. *Environmental Pollution* 185:116–126 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.023.
- Bianchelli S, Gambi C, Zeppilli D, Danovaro R. 2010. Metazoan meiofauna in deep-sea canyons and adjacent open slopes: a large-scale comparison with focus on the rare taxa. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 57(3):420–433 DOI 10.1016/j.dsr.2009.12.001.
- **Bianchelli S, Pusceddu A, Buschi E, Danovaro R. 2016.** Trophic status and meiofauna biodiversity in the Northern Adriatic Sea: insights for the assessment of good environmental status. *Marine Environmental Research* **113(6)**:18–30 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.10.010.
- **Borja A, Franco J, Perez V. 2000.** A marine biotic index to establish the ecological quality of soft-bottom benthos within European estuarine and coastal environments. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **40(12)**:1100–1114 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(00)00061-8.
- Brusca RC, Brusca GJ. 2003. Invertebrates. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, 936.
- Callier MD, Fletcher RL, Thorp CH, Fichet D. 2009. Macrofaunal community responses to marina-related pollution on the South coast of England and west coast of France. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 89(1):19–29 DOI 10.1017/S002531540800235X.
- Chatzinikolaou E, Mandalakis M, Damianidis P, Dailianis T, Gambineri S, Rossano C, Scapini F, Carucci A, Arvanitidis C. 2018. Spatio-temporal benthic biodiversity patterns and pollution pressure in three Mediterranean touristic ports. *Science of the Total Environment* 624(1–4):648–660 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.111.

- Cibic T, Franzo A, Nasi F, Auriemma R, Del Negro P. 2017. The port of trieste (northern Adriatic Sea)—A case study of the "ecosystem approach to management". *Frontiers in Marine Science* 4:336 DOI 10.3389/fmars.2017.00336.
- Clarke KR, Gorley RN. 2006. Primer. Plymouth: PRIMER-e, 866.
- **Conradi M, Lòpez-González PJ, Cervera JL, García-Gómez JC. 2000.** Seasonality and spatial distribution of peracarids associated with the bryozoan Bugula neritina in Algeciras Bay. *Spain Journal of Crustacean Biology* **20**:126–141 DOI 10.1163/20021975-99990045.
- Corriero G, Pierri C, Accoroni S, Alabiso G, Bavestrello G, Barbone E, Bastianini M, Bazzoni AM, Bernardi Aubry F, Boero F, Buia MC, Cabrini M, Camatti E, Cardone F, Cataletto B, Cattaneo Vietti R, Cecere E, Cibic T, Colangelo P, De Olazabal A, D'onghia G, Finotto S, Fiore N, Fornasaro D, Fraschetti S, Gambi MC, Giangrande A, Gravili C, Guglielmo R, Longo C, Lorenti M, Luglie A, Maiorano P, Mazzocchi MG, Mercurio M, Mastrototaro F, Mistri M, Monti M, Munari C, Musco L, Nonnis-Marzano C, Padedda BM, Patti FP, Petrocelli A, Piraino S, Portacci G, Pugnetti A, Pulina S, Romagnoli T, Rosati I, Sarno D, Satta CT, Sechi N, Schiaparelli S, Scipione B, Sion L, Terlizzi A, Tirelli V, Totti C, Tursi A, Ungaro N, Zingone A, Zupo V, Basset A. 2016. Ecosystem vulnerability to alien and invasive species: a case study on marine habitats along the Italian coast. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 26(2):392–409 DOI 10.1002/aqc.2550.
- Corte GN, Checon HH, Fonseca G, Vieira DC, Gallucci F, Domenico MD, Amaral ACZ. 2017. Cross-taxon congruence in benthic communities: searching for surrogates in marine sediments. *Ecological Indicators* 78(6):173–182 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.03.031.
- **Costa LDF, Mirlean N, Wasserman JC, Wallner-Kersanach M. 2016.** Variability of labile metals in estuarine sediments in areas under the influence of antifouling paints, southern Brazil. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **75**(7):580 DOI 10.1007/s12665-016-5355-5.
- **Covazzi Harriague A, Albertelli G, Misic C. 2012.** Macro-and meiofaunal community features in the critical environmental system of a tourist harbour (Rapallo, Ligurian Sea, NW Mediterranean). *Marine Environmental Research* **74(4)**:64–72 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.12.005.
- Covazzi Harriague A, Misic C, Petrillo M, Albertelli G. 2007. Stressors affecting the macrobenthic community in Rapallo harbour (Ligurian Sea, Italy). *Scientia Marina* 71(4):705–714 DOI 10.3989/scimar.2007.71n4705.
- **Covazzi Harriague A, Misic C, Valentini I, Polidori E, Albertelli G, Pusceddu A. 2013.** Meioand macrofauna communities in three sandy beaches of the northern Adriatic Sea protected by artificial reefs. *Chemistry and Ecology* **29(2)**:181–195 DOI 10.1080/02757540.2012.704911.
- Cronin-O'Reilly S, Taylor JD, Jermyn I, Allcock AL, Cunliffe M, Johnson MP. 2018. Limited congruence exhibited across microbial, meiofaunal and macrofaunal benthic assemblages in a heterogeneous coastal environment. *Scientific Reports* 8(1):679 DOI 10.1038/s41598-018-33799-9.
- Dal Zotto M, Santulli A, Simonini R, Todaro MA. 2016. Organic enrichment effects on a marine meiofauna community, with focus on Kinorhyncha. Zoologischer Anzeiger 265(25):127–140 DOI 10.1016/j.jcz.2016.03.013.
- **Danovaro R. 2010.** *Methods for the study of deep-sea sediments, their functioning and biodiversity.* Boca Raton: CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group.
- Danulat E, Muniz P, Garcia-Alonso J, Yannicelli B. 2002. First assessment of the highly contaminated harbour of Montevideo. *Uruguay Marine Pollution Bulletin* 44(6):551–576 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00086-3.

- Dauvin JC, Bakalem A, Baffreau A, Grimes S. 2017. Benthic ecological status of Algerian harbours. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 125(1-2):378–388 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.049.
- **Dell'Anno A, Mei ML, Pusceddu A, Danovaro R. 2002.** Assessing the trophic state and eutrophication of coastal marine systems: a new approach based on the biochemical composition of sediment organic matter. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **44(7)**:611–622 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00302-2.
- Dhainaut-Courtois NC, Pruvot A, Baudet EK. 2000. Les peuplements macrozoobenthiques indicateurs des qualités physico-chimiques et chimiques des sédiments portuaires– exemple du port de boulogne-sur-mer (Manche). *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* 125:49–62.
- **EEA. 2006.** UNEP. 2006. Priority issues in the mediterranean environment. European environment agency, Copenhage. *Available at https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/eea_report_2006_4.*
- El Nemr A, Khaled A, El Sikaily A. 2006. Distribution and statistical analysis of leachable and total heavy metals in the sediments of the suez gulf. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 118(1-3):89–112 DOI 10.1007/s10661-006-0985-9.
- Estacio FJ, García-Adiego EM, Fa DA, García-Gómez JC, Daza JL, Hortas F, Gómez-Ariza JL. 1997. Ecological analysis in a polluted area of Algeciras Bay (southern Spain): external 'versus' internal outfalls and environmental implications. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 34(10):780–793 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(97)00046-5.
- Etiope G, Panieri G, Fattorini D, Regoli F, Vannoli P, Italiano F, Locritani M, Carmisciano CA. 2014. Thermogenic hydrocarbon seep in shallow Adriatic Sea (Italy): gas origin, sediment contamination and benthic foraminifera. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 57(2):283–293 DOI 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2014.06.006.
- **European Communities (EC). 2000.** Directive of the European parliament and of the council 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy. *Available at https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:5c835afb-2ec6-4577-bdf8-756d3d694eeb.0004.02/DOC_1&format=PDF.*
- Fabiano M, Danovaro R, Fraschetti S. 1995. A three-year time series of elemental and biochemical composition of organic matter in subtidal sandy sediments of the Ligurian Sea (Northwestern Mediterranean). Continental Shelf Research 15:1453–1469 DOI 10.1016/0278-4343(94)00088-5.
- Foti A, Fenzi GA, Di Pippo F, Gravina MF, Magni P. 2014. Testing the saprobity hypothesis in a Mediterranean lagoon: effects of confinement and organic enrichment on benthic communities. *Marine Environmental Research* 99(Suppl. 1):85–94 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.04.004.
- Franzo A, Baldrighi E, Grassi E, Grego M, Balsamo M, Basili M, Semprucci F. 2022. Free-living nematodes of mediterranean ports: a mandatory contribution for their use in ecological quality assessment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 180(6):113814 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113814.
- Frontalini F, Semprucci F, Armynot du Châtelet E, Francescangeli F, Margaritelli G, Rettori R, Spagnoli F, Balsamo M, Coccioni R. 2014. Biodiversity trends of the meiofauna and foraminifera assemblages of Lake Varano (southern Italy). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 127(1):7–22 DOI 10.2988/0006-324X-127.1.7.
- Frontalini F, Semprucci F, Coccioni R, Balsamo M, Bittoni P, Covazzi-Harriague A. 2011. On the quantitative distribution and community structure of the meio and macrofaunal communities in the coastal area of the Central Adriatic Sea (Italy). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 180(1–4):325–344 DOI 10.1007/s10661-010-1791-y.
- **Giere O. 2009.** *Meiobenthology: the microscopic motile fauna of aquatic sediments.* Second Edition. Cham: Springer-Verlag, 527.
- Giere O, Schratzberger M. 2023. New horizons in meiobenthos research profiles, patterns and potentials. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 407.

- Giuliani S, Romanelli M, Piazza R, Vecchiato M, Pizzini S, Tranchida G, D'Agostino F, Romano S, Bellucci LG. 2019. When research meets NGOs: the GVC-UCODEP project in the Bắc Giang Province and Cầu River (Northern Vietnam) and its feedback on national monitoring programs. *Environmental Science & Policy* 101:279–290 DOI 10.1016/j.envsci.2019.09.004.
- **Gómez-Gesteira JL, Dauvin JC. 2000.** Amphipods are good bioindicators of the impact of oil spills on soft-bottom macrobenthic communities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **40**:1017–1027 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(00)00046-1.
- Gray JS, Aschan M, Carr MR, Clarke KR, Green RH, Pearson TH, Rosenberg R, Warwick RM. 1988. Analysis of community attributes of the benthic macrofauna of Frierfjord/Langesundfjord and in a mesocosm experiment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 46:151–165 DOI 10.3354/meps046151.
- Grotti M, Pizzini S, Abelmoschi ML, Cozzi G, Piazza R, Soggia F. 2016. Retrospective biomonitoring of chemical contamination in the marine coastal environment of Terra Nova Bay (Ross Sea, Antarctica) by environmental specimen banking. *Chemosphere* 165:418–426 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.09.049.
- Guerra-García JM, Corzo J, García-Gómez CJ. 2003. Short-term benthic recolonization after dredging in the harbour of Ceuta, North Africa. *Marine Ecology* 24:217–229 DOI 10.1046/j.0173-9565.2003.00810.x.
- Guerra-García JM, García-Gómez JC. 2004b. Soft bottom mollusc assemblages and pollution in a harbour with two opposing entrances. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Marine Science* 60(2):273–283 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2004.01.004.
- Guerra-García JM, García-Gómez JC. 2001. The spatial distribution of Caprellidea (Crustacea: Amphipoda): a stress bioindicator in Ceuta (North Africa, Gibraltar area). *Marine Ecology* 22(4):357–367 DOI 10.1046/j.1439-0485.2001.01757.x.
- **Guerra-García JM, García-Gómez JC. 2004a.** Polychaete assemblages and sediment pollution in a harbour with two opposing entrances. *Helgoland Marine Research* **58(3)**:183–191 DOI 10.1007/s10152-004-0184-4.
- Gusmao JB, Brauko KM, Eriksson BK, Lana PC. 2016. Functional diversity of macrobenthic assemblages decreases in response to sewage discharges. *Ecological Indicators* 66:65–75 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.01.003.
- Heino J. 2010. Are indicator groups and cross-taxon congruence useful for predicting biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems? *Ecological Indicators* **10**(2):112–117 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2009.04.013.
- Heip C, Vincx M, Vranken G. 1985. The ecology of marine nematodes. Oceanography and Marine Biology—An Annual Review 23:399–489.
- Katsanevakis S, Zenetos A, Mačić V, Beqiraj S, Poursanidis D, Kashta L. 2011. Invading the Adriatic: spatial patterns of marine alien species across the Ionian-Adriatic boundary. *Aquatic Biology* **13**(2):107–118 DOI 10.3354/ab00357.
- **Kulakova I. 2022.** Assessment of the ecological state of the Danube delta coastal area (northwestern part of the Black Sea) based on meiobenthos and nematode assemblages. *Geo-Eco-Marina* **28**:21–39.
- Li B, Li X, Bouma TJ, Soissons LM, Cozzoli F, Wang Q, Zhou Z, Chen L. 2017. Analysis of macrobenthic assemblages and ecological health of Yellow River Delta, China, using AMBI & M-AMBI assessment method. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 119(2):23–32 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.044.
- Losi V, Ferrero TJ, Moreno M, Gaozza L, Rovere A, Firpo M, Marques JC, Albertelli G. 2013. The use of nematodes in assessing ecological conditions in shallow waters surrounding a

Mediterranean harbour facility. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **130(3)**:209–221 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.017.

- Losi V, Grassi E, Balsamo M, Rocchi M, Gaozza L, Semprucci F. 2021. Changes in taxonomic structure and functional traits of nematodes as tools in the assessment of port impact. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 260(2):107524 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107524.
- McCready S, Birch GF, Long ER. 2006. Metallic and organic contaminants in sediments of Sydney Harbour, Australia and vicinity—a chemical dataset for evaluating sediment quality guidelines. *Environment International* 32(4):455–465 DOI 10.1016/j.envint.2005.10.006.
- Mehlhorn P, Viehberg F, Kirsten K, Newman B, Frenzel P, Gildeeva O, Green A, Hahn A, Haberzett T. 2021. Spatial distribution and consequences of contaminants in harbour sediments-a case study from Richards Bay Harbour, South Africa. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 172(4):112764 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112764.
- Mirto S, Arigò C, Genovese L, Pusceddu A, Gambi C, Danovaro R. 2014. Nematode assemblage response to fish-farm impact in vegetated (*Posidonia oceanica*) and non vegetated habitats. *Aquaculture Environment Interaction* 5(1):17–28 DOI 10.3354/aei00091.
- Mirto S, Danovaro R. 2004. Meiofaunal colonisation on artificial substrates: a tool for biomonitoring the environmental quality on coastal marine systems. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 48(9–10):919–926 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.11.016.
- Morello E, Solustri C, Antolini B, Froglia C. 2004. On the distribution of the allochthonous bivalves Anadara inaequivalvis (Bruguière, 1789), Anadara demiri (Piani, 1981) and Musculista senhousia (Benson in Cantor, 1842) in the Adriatic Sea, Italy. *Biogeographia-the Journal of Integrative Biogeography* 25(1):65–72 DOI 10.21426/B6110041.
- Moreno M, Ferrero TJ, Gallizia I, Vezzulli L, Albertelli G, Fabiano M. 2008a. An assessment of the spatial heterogeneity of environmental disturbance within an enclosed harbour through the analysis of meiofauna and nematode assemblages. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 77(4):565–576 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2007.10.016.
- Moreno M, Vezzulli L, Marin V, Laconi P, Albertelli G, Fabiano M. 2008b. The use of meiofauna diversity as an indicator of pollution in harbours. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 65(8):1428–1435 DOI 10.1093/icesjms/fsn116.
- **MSFD. 2008.** Marine strategy framework directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the council of 17 june 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy. 1–22. *Available at https://www.eea.europa.eu/policy-documents/2008-56-ec.*
- Muniz P, Pires-Vanin AM. 2005. More about taxonomic sufficiency: a case study using polychaete communities in a subtropical bay moderately affected by urban sewage. Ocean Science Journal 40(3):17–33 DOI 10.1007/BF03022607.
- Papageorgiou N, Kalantzi I, Karakassis I. 2010. Effects of fish farming on the biological and geochemical properties of muddy and sandy sediments in the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Environmental Research* 69(5):326–336 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2009.12.007.
- Patrício J, Adão H, Neto JM, Alves AS, Traunspurger W, Marques JC. 2012. Do nematode and macrofauna assemblages provide similar ecological assessment information? *Ecological Indicators* 14(1):124–137 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.027.
- Pelletier MC, Gold AJ, Heltshe JF, Buffum HW. 2010. A method to identify estuarine macroinvertebrate pollution indicator species in the Virginian Biogeographic Province. *Ecological Indicators* 10(5):1037–1048 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.03.005.
- **Pereira TJ, Gingold R, Villegas ADM, Rocha-Olivares A. 2018a.** Patterns of spatial variation of meiofauna in sandy beaches of northwestern Mexico with contrasting levels of disturbance.

Thalassas: An International Journal of Marine Science **34(1)**:53–63 DOI 10.1007/s41208-017-0038-x.

- Pereira TL, Wallner-Kersanach M, Costa LDF, Costa DP, Baisch PRM. 2018b. Nickel, vanadium, and lead as indicators of sediment contamination of marina, refinery, and shipyard areas. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25(2):1719–1730 DOI 10.1007/s11356-017-0503-3.
- Pećarević M, Mikuš J, Bratoš-Cetinić A, Dulčić J, Čalić M. 2013. Introduced marine species in Croatian waters (Eastern Adriatic Sea). *Mediterranean Marine Science* 14(1):224–237 DOI 10.12681/mms.383.
- Pielou E. 1966. Shannon's formula as a measure of specific diversity: its use and misuse. *The American Naturalist* 118(914):463–465 DOI 10.1086/282439.
- Pielou EC. 1969. An introduction to mathematical ecology. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 286.
- Pizzini S, Marchiori E, Piazza R, Cozzi G, Barbante C. 2015. Determination by HRGC/HRMS of PBDE levels in edible Mediterranean bivalves collected from north-western Adriatic coasts. *Microchemical Journal* 121:184–191 DOI 10.1016/j.microc.2015.03.010.
- Pizzini S, Morabito E, Gregoris E, Vecchiato M, Corami F, Piazza R, Gambaro A. 2021. Occurrence and source apportionment of organic pollutants in deep sediment cores of the Venice Lagoon. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 164(11):112053 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112053.
- Pizzini S, Sbicego C, Corami F, Grotti M, Magi E, Bonato T, Cozzi G, Barbante C, Piazza R. 2017. 3,3'-dichlorobiphenyl (non-Aroclor PCB-11) as a marker of non-legacy PCB contamination in marine species: comparison between Antarctic and Mediterranean bivalves. *Chemosphere* 175:28–35 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.023.
- Punzo E, Gomiero A, Tassetti AN, Strafella P, Santelli A, Salvalaggio V, Spagnolo A, Scarcella G, De Biasi AM, Kozinkova L, Fabi G. 2017. Environmental impact of offshore gas activities on the benthic environment: a case study. *Environmental Management* 60(2):340–356 DOI 10.1007/s00267-017-0886-4.
- Pusceddu A, Bianchelli S, Gambi C, Danovaro R. 2011. Assessment of benthic trophic status of marine coastal ecosystems: significance of meiofaunal rare taxa. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 93(4):420–430 DOI 10.1016/j.ecss.2011.05.012.
- Pusceddu A, Bianchelli S, Sanchez Vidal A, Canals M, Durrieu De Madron X, Heussner S, Lykousis V, de Stigter H, Trincardi F, Danovaro R. 2010. Organic matter in sediments of canyons and open slopes of the Portuguese, Catalan, Southern Adriatic and Cretan Sea margins. Deep Sea Research I 57(3):441–457 DOI 10.1016/j.dsr.2009.11.008.
- Pusceddu A, Dell'Anno A, Fabiano M, Danovaro R. 2009. Quantity and bioavailability of sediment organic matter as signatures of benthic trophic status. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 375:41–52 DOI 10.3354/meps07735.
- Pusceddu A, Gambi C, Manini E, Danovaro R. 2007. Trophic state, ecosystem efficiency and biodiversity of transitional aquatic ecosystems: analysis of environmental quality based on different benthic indicators. *Chemical Ecology* 23(6):505–515 DOI 10.1080/02757540701760494.
- Quinn G, Keough M. 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- **R Core Team. 2018.** *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. *Available at http://www.R-project.org/.*
- Rebai N, Mosbahi N, Dauvin JC, Neifar L. 2022. Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals and environmental quality of tunisian harbours. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 10(11):1625 DOI 10.3390/jmse10111625.

- **Ridall A, Ingels J. 2021.** Suitability of free-living marine nematodes as bioindicators: status and future considerations. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **8**:685327 DOI 10.3389/fmars.2021.685327.
- Sabbatini A, Bonatto S, Gooday AJ, Morigi C, Pancotti I, Pucci F, Negri A. 2010. Modern benthic foraminifers at Northern shallow sites of Adriatic Sea and soft-walled, monothalamous taxa: a brief overview. *Micropaleontology* 56(3–4):359–376 DOI 10.47894/mpal.56.3.07.
- Sabbatini A, Nardelli MP, Morigi C, Negri A. 2013. Contribution of soft-shelled monothalamous taxa to foraminiferal assemblages in the Adriatic Sea. *Acta Protozoologica* 52(3):181–192 DOI 10.4467/16890027AP.13.0016.1113.
- Schratzberger M, Daniel F, Wall CM, Kilbride R, Macnaughton SJ, Boyd SE, Rees HL, Lee K, Swannell RPJ. 2003. Response of estuarine meio- and macrofauna to in situ bioremediation of oil-contaminated sediment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 46(4):430–443 DOI 10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00465-4.
- Schratzberger M, Ingels J. 2018. Meiofauna matters: the roles of meiofauna in benthic ecosystems. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 502(2):12–25 DOI 10.1016/j.jembe.2017.01.007.
- Schratzberger M, Maxwell TAD, Warr K, Ellis JR, Rogers SI. 2008. Spatial variability of infaunal nematode and polychaete assemblages in two muddy subtidal habitats. *Marine Biology* 153(4):621–642 DOI 10.1007/s00227-007-0836-4.
- Sedano Vera F, Marquina D, Espinosa Torre F. 2014. Usefulness of meiofauna at high taxonomic levels as a tool to assess harbor quality status. Marina del Este Harbor (Granada, Spain) as a case study. *Revista Ciencias Marinas y Costeras* 6:103–113 DOI 10.15359/revmar.6.7.
- Semprucci F, Balsamo M, Sandulli R. 2016. Assessment of the ecological quality (EcoQ) of the Venice lagoon using the structure and biodiversity of the meiofaunal assemblages. *Ecological Indicators* 67:451–457 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.03.014.
- Semprucci F, Frontalini F, Sbrocca C, Armynot du Châtelet E, Bout-Roumazeilles V, Coccioni R, Balsamo M. 2015. Meiobenthos and free-living nematodes as tools for biomonitoring environments affected by riverine impact. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 187(5):251 DOI 10.1007/s10661-015-4493-7.
- Semprucci F, Grassi E, Balsamo M. 2022. Simple is the best: an alternative method for the analysis of free-living nematode assemblage structure. *Water* 14(7):1114 DOI 10.3390/w14071114.
- **Shannon C, Weaver W. 1949.** *The mathematical theory of communication.* Urbana, US: University of Illinois Press.
- Simboura N, Zenetos A. 2002. Benthic indicators to use in ecological quality classification of Mediterranean soft bottom marine ecosystems, including a new biotic index. *Mediterranean Marine Science* 3(2):77–111 DOI 10.12681/mms.249.
- Simonini R, Ansaloni I, Bonvicini Pagliai AM, Prevedelli D. 2004. Organic enrichment and structure of the macrozoobenthic community in the northern Adriatic Sea in an area facing Adige and Po mouths. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 61(6):871–881 DOI 10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.06.018.
- Simonini R, Ansaloni I, Cavallini F, Graziosi F, Iotti M, N'siala GM, Mauri M, Montanari G, Preti M, Prevedelli D. 2005. Effects of long-term dumping of harbor-dredged material on macrozoobenthos at four disposal sites along the Emilia-Romagna coast (Northern Adriatic Sea, Italy). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 50(12):1595–1605 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.06.031.
- Somerfield PJ, Cochrane SJ, Dahle S, Pearson TH. 2006. Free-living nematodes and macrobenthos in a high-latitude glacial fjord. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 330(1):284–296 DOI 10.1016/j.jembe.2005.12.034.

- Spagnolo A, Cuicchi C, De Biasi AM, Ferrà C, Montagnini L, Punzo E, Salvalaggio V, Santelli A, Strafella P, Fabi G. 2019. Effects of the installation of offshore pipelines on macrozoobenthic communities (northern and central Adriatic Sea). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 138(8):534–544 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.12.003.
- Spagnolo A, Scarcella G, Sarappa A. 2011. Benthic community response to sediment features in Ancona harbour (Northern Adriatic Sea, Italy). *Vie Milieu* 61:119–128.
- **Streftaris N, Zenetos A. 2006.** Alien marine species in the Mediterranean-the 100 'Worst Invasives' and their impact. *Mediterranean Marine Science* **7(1)**:87–118 DOI 10.12681/mms.180.
- Su CJ, Debinski DM, Jakubauskas ME, Kindscher K. 2004. Beyond species richness: community similarity as a measure of cross-taxon congruence for coarse-filter conservation. *Conservation Biology* 18(1):167–173 DOI 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00337.x.
- Tobiszewski M, Namieśnik J. 2012. PAH diagnostic ratios for the identification of pollution emission sources. *Environmental Pollution* 162:110–119 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.10.025.
- Todorova VR, Doncheva VG, Trifonova EV. 2020. First implementation of marine strategy framework directive for benthic habitats assessment in the Bulgarian Black Sea. Ecologia Balkanica. Available at http://web.uni-plovdiv.bg/mollov/EB/2020_SE3/247-256_eb.20SE327.pdf.
- Travizi A, Balković I, Bacci T, Bertasi F, Cuicchi C, Flander-Putrle V, Grati F, Grossi L, Jaklin A, Lipej L, Mavrič B, Mikac B, Marusso V, Montagnini L, Nerlović V, Penna P, Salvalaggio V, Santelli A, Scirocco T, Spagnolo A, Trabucco B, Vani D. 2019.
 Macrozoobenthos in the Adriatic Sea ports: soft-bottom communities with an overview of non-indigenous species. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 147(5):159–170
 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.016.
- Vassallo P, Fabiano M, Vezzulli L, Sandulli R, Marques JC, Jørgensen SE. 2006. Assessing the health of coastal marine ecosystems: a holistic approach based on sediment micro and meio-benthic measures. *Ecological Indicators* 6(3):525–542 DOI 10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.07.003.
- Veiga P, Rubal M, Besteiro C. 2009. Shallow sublittoral meiofauna communities and sediment polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) content on the Galician coast (NW Spain), six months after the prestige oil spill. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 58(4):581–588 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.11.002.
- Vezzulli L, Fabiano M. 2006. Sediment biochemical and microbial variables for the evaluation of trophic status along the Italian and Albanian continental shelves. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 86:27–37 DOI 10.1017/S0025315406012823.
- Vezzulli L, Marrale D, Moreno M, Fabiano M. 2003. Sediment organic matter and meiofauna community response to long-term fish-farm impact in the Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean). *Chemistry and Ecology* **19(6)**:431–440 DOI 10.1080/02757540310001609361.
- Viana M, Hammingh P, Colette A, Querol X, Degraeuwe B, de Vlieger I, van Aardenne J. 2014. Impact of maritime transport emissions on coastal air quality in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* **90**:96–105 DOI 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.046.
- Whomersley P, Huxham M, Schratzberger M, Bolam S. 2009. Differential response of meio-and macrofauna to in situ burial. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 89(6):1091–1098 DOI 10.1017/S0025315409000344.
- **WoRMS Editorial Board. 2022.** World register of marine species. *Available at https://www.marinespecies.org.*
- Xu WZ, Cheung SG, Shin PK. 2014. Structure and taxonomic composition of free-living nematode and macrofaunal assemblages in a eutrophic subtropical harbour, Hong Kong. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **85(2)**:764–773 DOI 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.01.023.

Zenetos A. 1994. Scapharca demiri (Piani, 1981): primo ritrovamento nel nord Egeo. *La Conchiglia* 271:37–38.

Zeppilli D, Sarrazin J, Leduc D, Arbizu PM, Fontaneto D, Fontanier C, Gooday AJ, Kristensen RM, Ivanenko VN, Sorensen MV, Vanreusel A, Thébault J, Mea M, Allio N, Andro T, Arvigo A, Castrec J, Danielo M, Foulon V, Fumeron R, Hermabessiere L, Hulot V, James T, Langonne-Augen R, Le Bot T, Long M, Mahabror D, Morel Q, Pantalos M, Pouplard E, Raimondeau L, Rio-Cabello A, Seite S, Traisnel G, Urvoy K, Van Der Stegen T, Weyand M, Fernandes D. 2015. Is the meiofauna a good indicator for climate change and anthropogenic impacts? *Marine Biodiversity* 45(3):505–535 DOI 10.1007/s12526-015-0359-z.

- Zuur AF, Ieno EN. 2016. A protocol for conducting and presenting results of regression-type analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 7(6):636–645 DOI 10.1111/2041-210X.12577.
- Zwick WR, Velicer WF. 1986. Comparison of five rules for determining the number of components to retain. *Psychological Bulletin* 99(3):432–442 DOI 10.1037/0033-2909.99.3.432.