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## 1. Frame of reference

In democratic and more socially advanced countries the goal of complete gender equality, at least from a legal stand point can be considered achieved. However they are still numerous economic and social obstacles that prevent the achievement of concrete and substantive equality between the sexes. Over time, the situation has improved but due to social conventions that hamper development, evolution of this is slow. Although women have attained great and important goals, the social, economic and political power they hold is inadequate. While in many countries (including Italy) the number of female graduates exceeds that of male s , women still do not hold top positions at work, and even less women are elected in representative assemblies.
The triple role of wife-mother-worker that women have to reconcile is a particularly incisive factor regarding female participation in the labor market. The obstacles to achieving "equal opportunities" are attributed not only to cultural factors but also to the delay our country increases its welfare state function with respect to all citizens and in adherence to gender equality. Economic data show that in Italy only $1,4 \%$ of GDP is spent on family and motherhood, compared with the EU average of $2.1 \%$ and $3.7 \%$ in Denmark. The latest report of the World Economic Forum "The Global Gender Gap Report 2010" has through 14 indicators of social, economic and demographic trends monitored the status of women in 134 countries representing $90 \%$ of the world population. In this particular ranking, Italy was placed $74^{\text {th }}$, according to the summary the gender inequality indicator fell (it was the $72^{\text {th }}$ place in 2009 and at $67^{\text {th }}$ in 2008). Reviewing the various components of the synthetic indicator of the gender gap, we find Italy at $95^{\text {th }}$ for the disparities in economic status, at $91^{\text {st }}$ for labor income, on the $88^{\text {th }}$ position for participation in the workplace, at the $46^{\text {th }}$ level of schooling and $45^{\text {th }}$ for political participation. Only $13 \%$ of Italian executives are women and of those on boards of directors of listed companies $5.9 \%$ are female. Furthermore, $20 \%$ of women leave their jobs after they have their first child. So, the road that Italy needs to travel along to achieve targets that would have it ranked alongside the top countries (Sweden, Denmark, and Finland) is still very long.
One particular problem is the abandonment of work to raise a family, and this according to an internal source at CNEL has been the organization's focus.
In February 2012, at the conference on "The General Status of women's work", it was stated that, "The Italian system does not provide services to the family, and consequently this poses as a difficulty for women accessing the labour market and they leave work after the birth of their first child or to assist elderly relatives. Among women aged between 25 and 45 years after the birth of their firstchild, the employment rate abruptly jumps from $63 \%$ to $50 \%$, to fall further after the birth
of their second child, pointing out the role of women in the world work as expendable for childcare and domestic activities". The result is that our country records an employment rate of women among the lowest in Europe. Just within the EU, the European Commission in 2010 adopted a strategy called Europe 2020, which aims to reduce the gender gap by promoting, among other things, women's entrepreneurship, equal pay for equal work and other important initiatives to achieve the target set for the 2020 (an employment rate for people between 20 to 64 years equal to $75 \%$ for both men and women). At present, the EU average for 2010 was $68.6 \%, 6.4$ percentage points lower than targeted. However, this is a result that summarizes large disparities between Member States: four countries (Sweden, Netherlands, Denmark and Cyprus) have already reached the target of $75 \%$, but there are 15 countries with indicators less than $70 \%$ and Italy is amongst those.

## 2. Context

In Italy with reference to the year 2010, the value of the indicator discussed above is equal to $61.1 \%, 13.9$ percentage points away from the European target, placing it as part of the last three in the EU 27 (followed in ranking only by Malta and Hungary). Following from this there is a large gender imbalance of employees, of whom $72.8 \%$ are men and $49.5 \%$ are women. This marks a distance of 12.6 percentage points between the female employment rate and male employment in Italy compared to the EU 2.3 points. The female employment rate of $49,5 \%$ in Italy is in the penultimate place in the European rankings, followed only by Malta at $41.6 \%$. Those ranked at the top are the usual Nordic countries: Sweden, Denmark, Finland and Netherlands, all with higher values, and approaching the $70 \%$ target of Europe 2020. (Table No.1)

Table1: Employment rate ( 20 to 64 years)EU
27.Year 2010

| COUNTRY | TOTAL | MALE | FEMALE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SWEDEN | 78,7 | 81,7 | 75,7 |
| NETHERLAND | 76,8 | 82,8 | 70,8 |
| DENMARK | 76,1 | 79,0 | 73,1 |
| CYPRUS | 75,4 | 82,5 | 68,5 |
| GERMANY | 74,9 | 80,1 | 69,6 |
| AUSTRIA | 74,9 | 80,2 | 69,6 |
| UNITED KINGDOM | 73,6 | 79,3 | 67,9 |
| FINLAND | 73,0 | 74,5 | 71,5 |
| LUXEMBURG | 70,7 | 79,2 | 62,0 |
| PORTUGAL | 70,5 | 75,4 | 65,6 |
| CZECH REPUBLIC | 70,4 | 79,6 | 60,9 |
| SLOVENIA | 70,3 | 74,0 | 66,5 |
| FRANCE | 69,2 | 73,8 | 64,9 |
| BELGIUM | 67,6 | 73,5 | 61,6 |
| ESTONIA | 66,7 | 67,7 | 65,7 |
| BULGARIA | 65,4 | 69,1 | 61,7 |
| LATVIA | 65,0 | 65,1 | 64,9 |
| IRELAND | 64,9 | 69,4 | 60,4 |
| POLAND | 64,6 | 71,6 | 57,7 |
| SLOVAKIA | 64,6 | 71,9 | 57,4 |
| LITHUANIA | 64,4 | 63,6 | 65,1 |
| GREECE | 64,0 | 76,2 | 51,7 |
| Romania | 63,3 | 70,8 | 55,9 |
| SPAIN | 62,5 | 69,1 | 55,8 |
| ITALY | 61,1 | 72,8 | 49,5 |
| HUNGARY | 60,4 | 66,0 | 55,0 |
| MALTA | 60,1 | 77,8 | 41,6 |
| Ue27 | 68,6 | 75,1 | 62,1 |

SOURCE: EUROSTAT

Figure 1: Female employment rates, EU 27. Year 2010


[^0]Table 2: Employment rates 20-64 years by sex in the Italian regions. Year 2010

| Regions and Autonomus provinces | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolzano/Bozen | 75,8 | 83,7 | 67,7 |
| Trentino-Alto Adige/Südtirol | 73,3 | 81,8 | 64,6 |
| Emilia-Romagna | 71,5 | 79,6 | 63,5 |
| Valle d'Aosta/Vallée d'Aoste | 71,4 | 78,7 | 64,0 |
| Trento | 70,8 | 79,9 | 61,6 |
| Lombardia | 69,4 | 79,1 | 59,4 |
| Veneto | 68,7 | 80,2 | 56,9 |
| Marche | 68,0 | 77,4 | 58,7 |
| Toscana | 67,8 | 78,1 | 57,8 |
| Friuli-Venezia Giulia | 67,6 | 76,0 | 59,0 |
| Piemonte | 67,5 | 75,8 | 59,3 |
| Umbria | 67,1 | 77,9 | 56,4 |
| Liguria | 67,0 | 75,9 | 58,3 |
| Lazio | 63,5 | 74,9 | 52,5 |
| Abruzzo | 59,7 | 72,1 | 47,5 |
| Molise | 55,2 | 68,1 | 42,2 |
| Sardegna | 54,6 | 64,4 | 44,8 |
| Basilicata | 51,3 | 64,5 | 38,1 |
| Puglia | 48,2 | 64,9 | 32,0 |
| Sicilia | 46,6 | 62,6 | 31,3 |
| Calabria | 46,1 | 59,5 | 32,9 |
| Campania | 43,7 | 59,9 | 27,9 |
| Geographical Areas |  |  |  |
| North West | 68,6 | 77,9 | 59,3 |
| North East | 70,1 | 79,7 | 60,3 |
| Center | 65,7 | 76,5 | 55,2 |
| Central North | 68,2 | 78,0 | 58,4 |
| South | 47,8 | 62,9 | 33,1 |
| Italy | 61,1 | 72,8 | 49,5 |

Figure 2: Female employment rates in the Italy by region.


SOURCE: ISTAT, Rilevazione sulle forze di lavoro

## 2. Key indicators of the Italian labour market

Between 2010 and 2011 the Italian occupation index decreased by 75,000 units. This was due to only a decline in the male component. The overall employment rate stands at $56.9 \%$, just one-tenth of a point above that of 2010. At the territorial level, the reduction of the indicator in the Center is accompanied by the modest increase in the North and in the South (Table 3, 4 and 5).

Table 3: Employment by sex and geographical distribution- Media 2011

| Geographical <br> distribution | Absolute values (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male and <br> Female | Male | Female | Male and <br> Female |  |  |  |  | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| North | 11.925 | 6.818 | 5.107 | 0,7 | 0,2 | $\mathbf{1 , 5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Center | 4.826 | 2.774 | 2.052 | $-0,1$ | $-0,6$ | 0,6 |  |  |  |  |
| South | 6.216 | 4.026 | 2.189 | 0,2 | $-0,2$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Employment rate $15-64$ years by gender and geographical distribution- Media 2011

| Geographical distribution | Percentage values |  |  | Variations in percentage points on 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male and Female | Male | Female | Male and Female | Male | Female |
| Total | 56,9 | 67,5 | 46,5 | 0,1 | -0,2 | 0,4 |
| North | 65,0 | 73,8 | 56,6 | 0,2 | -0,1 | -0,5 |
| Center | 61,1 | 70, 7 | 51,7 | -0,4 | -0,7 | -0,0 |
| South | 44,0 | 57,4 | 30,8 | 0,1 | -0,2 | -0,3 |

Table 5: Employment rate 15-24 years old by gender and geographical distribution - Media 2011

| Geographical <br> distribution | Percentage values <br> Male and <br> female |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  | Male and <br> female | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 , 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 , 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 , 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 25,3 | 29,2 | 21,1 | $-1,0$ | $-1,1$ | $-0,9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Center | 19,9 | 23,8 | 15,8 | $-1,6$ | $-1,7$ | $-1,6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South | 13,4 | 16,7 | 10,0 | $-1,0$ | $-1,2$ | $-0,8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Istat Rcfl- Media Annual 2011

In 2011, the number of those unemployed remained stable, as the downturn in the early part of the year was compensated by a subsequent increase, although there was a decreases for men from $10.4 \%$ to $10.2 \%$, and an increase for women from $13.3 \%$ to $14.5 \%$ (Table 6).

Table 6: Unemployed persons by sex and geographical area - Media 2011

| Geographical <br> distribution | Absolute values (in thousands) |  | Variations in percentage points on 2010 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male and <br> female | Male | Female | Male and <br> female | Male | Female |
| Total | 2.108 | 1.114 | 993 | $\mathbf{0 , 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 5}$ |
| North | 731 | 360 | 371 | $-2,0$ | $-1,4$ | $-2,5$ |
| Center | 399 | 198 | 201 | 0,1 | 0,4 | $-0,1$ |
| South | 978 | 556 | 422 | 2,0 | 0,9 | 3,6 |

Source: Istat; Rcfl - Media 2011
The number of those inactive between 15 and 64 years has essentially remained stable year-on-year but there has been an increases in downtime due to family reasons and waiting for the results of previous research activities, alongside a reduction of those totally uninterested at work. In this area, the rate of inactivity reaches average $34.5 \%$ for 2011 for men and $63.2 \%$ for women (Table 7).

Table 7: Inactive 15-64 years by gender and geographical distribution-Media 2011

| Geographical <br> distribution | Absolute values (in thousands) |  |  | Variations in percentage points on 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male and <br> female | Male | Female | Male and <br> Female | Male | Female |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 7 2}$ | 5.316 | $\mathbf{9 . 6 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0}$ | $-\mathbf{0 , 3}$ |  |  |
| North | 5.5514 | 2.010 | 3.504 | 0,1 | 0,8 | $-0,3$ |  |  |
| Center | 2.617 | 925 | 1.692 | 1,6 | 3,3 | 0,7 |  |  |
| South | 6.841 | 2.381 | 4.460 | $-0,4$ | 0,3 | $-0,7$ |  |  |

Source: Istat; Rcfl - Media 2011

In Italy becoming a mother is associated with a lower proportion of women in the labour market. In the 25-54 age group mothers of children and young people at home less than 15 years old the labour market participation rate is $60.6 \%$ and $55.5 \%$, significantly lower than those of the other women of the same age group. This is in contrast to fathers who account for a male labour participation rate of $90.6 \%$ and higher than that of $79.8 \%$ in other countries, confirming the traditional role of the breadwinner in households being male. There is also an inverse relationship between women's participation in the labour market and the number of children they have who are younger than 15 years. The percentage of those working is equal to $58.5 \%$ for women with one child, falls to $54 \%$ for those with two children falling further to $33.3 \%$ for mothers with three or more children. In the South, where women's participation in the labour market is restrained, the responsibilities of caring for children has a greater effect on female employment compared to the North-Central. Only $34.6 \%$ of mothers who live in the South or in the Islands are in the labour market, against a value nearly double ( $68.8 \%$ ) detected for those residing in the North (Table 8).

Table 8: Employment rate of persons 25-54 years by sex, age group, geographic distribution, educational qualification and child care responsibilities of those younger than 15 years old

| Features | Male |  | Female |  | Male and Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Parents with children under the age of 15 |  | Parents with children under the age of 15 |  | Parents with children under the age of 15 |  |
|  | SI | NO | SI | NO | SI | NO |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-34 | 87,0 | 73,1 | 45,0 | 63,2 | 59,5 | 68,9 |
| 35-44 | 91,7 | 82,6 | 59,1 | 69,0 | 74,5 | 76,4 |
| 45-54 | 90,5 | 84,8 | 61,5 | 57,6 | 79,4 | 69,8 |
| GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 95,0 | 87,9 | 68,8 | 74,8 | 81,3 | 81,7 |
| Center | 95,5 | 83,8 | 62,4 | 69,3 | 78,0 | 76,7 |
| South | 82,4 | 65,3 | 34,6 | 40,8 | 57,5 | 53,1 |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School or Lower | 85,0 | 75,2 | 36,5 | 45,9 | 62,0 | 61,5 |
| High School | 94,6 | 83,7 | 62,1 | 70,4 | 76,8 | 77,4 |
| University Degree or Post-Doc | 97,3 | 80,7 | 79,7 | 76,0 | 86,9 | 78,1 |
| TOTAL | 90,6 | 79,8 | 55,5 | 62,0 | 72,2 | 71,2 |

Source: Istat in "La conciliazione tra lavoro e famiglia", Report 28 dicembre 2010.
As regards employment arrangements, the data refers to female workers in an employment relationship. These women make up $75.1 \%$ of the female labour force, while $24.9 \%$ are selfemployed (Table 9).

Table 9: Employment by type of schedule, location and employment status - Media 2011

| Occupational status, type of occupation, working hours | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Absolute } \\ & \text { values } \\ & \text { (in thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Variations on 2010 |  | Incidence \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Absolute (in thousnads) | Percentages | 2010 | 2011 |
| Total | 22.967 | 95 | 0,4 | 100,0 | 100,0 |
| Full time | 19.416 | -19 | -0,1 | 85,7 | 84,5 |
| Part time | 3.551 | 114 | 3,3 | 15,0 | 15,5 |
| Salaried Employment | 17.240 | 130 | 0,8 | 74,8 | 75,1 |
| Open-Ended Employment contracts | 14.937 | 10 | 0,1 | 65,3 | 65,0 |
| Full time | 12.707 | -61 | -0,5 | 55,8 | 55,3 |
| Part time | 2.230 | 70 | 3,3 | 9,4 | 9,7 |
| Limited-term employment contracts | 2.303 | 121 | 5,5 | 9,5 | 10,0 |
| Full time | 1.708 | 80 | 4,9 | 7,1 | 7,4 |
| Part time | 595 | 40 | 7,3 | 2,4 | 2,6 |
| Self-Employment | 5.727 | -36 | -0,6 | 25,2 | 24,9 |
| Full time | 5.001 | -39 | -0,8 | 22,0 | 21,8 |
| Part time | 726 | 4 | 0,5 | 3,2 | 3,2 |
| of which |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborators | 416 | 16 | 3,9 | 1,7 | 1,8 |

[^1]From the perspective of the history of women's employment in Italy, once can say that albeit slow and with difficulty, from the late 1990s and, later, with the introduction of the Biagi Law, there has been a steady increase in female employment, until the advent of the recent economic and financial crisis (2008), which resulted in a decrease of female participation rates. In fact, if you take into account the time series from 2001 to 2010, you may notice that the percentage of female employment, compared to the total number of employed has gone from $38.8 \%$ to $46.1 \%$. Analyzing the numerical data that reveals an increase from $8,526,000$ to $9,238,000$ women employed, you can extrapolate a further and important element, which in addition to attesting to the increased presence of women in the workforce, for the period 2001-2010 shows that the peak of 2008-when they were $9,341,000$ women working initially experienced a slight decline, and in 2010 began to slowly rise. ISTAT data for 2011 seems to confirm this trend.
Being aware of the slow and gradual progress, we must continue on a path that would appear to be long and winding.


[^0]:    SOURCE: EUROSTAT

[^1]:    Source: Istat; Rcfl - Media 2011

