

*Josep Quer, Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati, Carlo Geraci,
Meltem Keleşir, Roland Pfau, Markus Steinbach (Eds.)*

SIGNGRAM BLUEPRINT

A Guide to Sign Language Grammar Writing



We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

Current grammatical knowledge about particular sign languages is fragmentary and of varying reliability, and it appears scattered in scientific publications where the description is often intertwined with the analysis. In general, comprehensive grammars are a rarity. The SignGram Blueprint is an innovative tool for the grammar writer: a full-fledged guide to describing all components of the grammars of sign languages in a thorough and systematic way, and with the highest scientific standards.

The work builds on the existing knowledge in Descriptive Linguistics, but also on the insights from Theoretical Linguistics. It consists of two main parts running in parallel: the Checklist with all the grammatical features and phenomena the grammar writer can address, and the accompanying Manual with the relevant background information (definitions, methodological caveats, representative examples, tests, pointers to elicitation materials and bibliographical references). The areas covered are Phonology, Morphology, Lexicon, Syntax and Meaning. The Manual is endowed with hyperlinks that connect information across the work and with a pop-up glossary. The SignGram Blueprint will be a landmark for the description of sign language grammars in terms of quality and quantity.

J. Quer; C. Cecchetto; C. Donati; C. Geraci; M. Keleşir; R. Pfau; M. Steinbach

De Gruyter Reference

Approx. 650 pages

Hardcover:

RRP *€ [D] 149.95 / *US\$ 172.99 /
*GBP 122.99
ISBN 978-1-5015-1570-5

eBook:

Open Access
PDF ISBN 978-1-5015-1180-6
EPUB ISBN 978-1-5015-1608-5

Date of Publication: November 2017

Language of Publication: English

Subjects:

Theoretical Frameworks and Disciplines ▶
Linguistic Typology
Theoretical Frameworks and Disciplines ▶
Sign Languages

Of interest to: Researchers in Sign Language Linguistics, Descriptive Linguistics, Theoretical Linguistics, Grammaticography

*Prices in US\$ apply to orders placed in the Americas only. Prices in GBP apply to orders placed in Great Britain only. Prices in € represent the retail prices valid in Germany (unless otherwise indicated). Prices are subject to change without notice. Prices do not include postage and handling if applicable. Free shipping for non-business customers when ordering books at De Gruyter Online. RRP: Recommended Retail Price.

Order now! orders@degruyter.com

SignGram Blueprint: Manual

A Guide to Sign Language Grammar Writing – Manual

Edited by

Josep Quer, Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati, Carlo Geraci, Meltem Kelepir, Roland Pfau, and Markus Steinbach (scientific directors)

With the collaboration of Brendan Costello and Rannveig Sverrisdóttir

SIGNGRAM

SignGram Blueprint

SignGram Blueprint

A Guide to Sign Language Grammar Writing

Edited by

Josep Quer, Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati, Carlo Geraci, Meltem Kelepir, Roland Pfau, and Markus Steinbach (Scientific Directors)

With the collaboration of Brendan Costello and Rannveig Sverrisdóttir



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

DE GRUYTER
MOUTON

ISBN 978-1-5015-1570-5
e-ISBN (PDF) 978-1-5015-1180-6
e-ISBN (EPUB)



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License.
For details go to <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2017 Josep Quer, Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati, Carlo Geraci, Meltem Kelepir, Roland Pfau, and Markus Steinbach, published by Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston
The book is published with open access at www.degruyter.com.

Typesetting: Compuscript Ltd., Shannon, Ireland
Printing and binding: CPI books GmbH, Leck
Printed on acid-free paper
Printed in Germany

Introduction: Letter to the grammar writer

The SignGram Blueprint is a tool designed to guide language specialists and linguists as they write a reference grammar of a sign language. This tool consists of two main components: the Checklist and the Manual.

The Checklist contains a list of linguistic constructions and phenomena that a sign language grammar should contain. Thus, it can be considered as a suggestion for the table of contents of the reference grammar to be written.

The Manual, on the other hand, guides the grammar writer in four ways, by providing:

- (i) basic, background information on the linguistic constructions and phenomena listed in the Checklist;
- (ii) guidelines on how to identify and analyze these grammar points;
- (iii) suggestions for data elicitation techniques and materials; and
- (iv) relevant bibliographic information that the grammar writer can consult during his/her research.

The Manual also contains a separate sub-component, the Glossary, which provides the definitions of certain linguistic terms used in the Manual.

In the following, we describe in more detail how the grammar writer can use the components of the Blueprint. However, before we move on to that, we would like to explain the context in which the Blueprint has been created, the reasons that lead us to think it is needed, and the choices we have made while writing it. We start by briefly discussing what grammar writing involves and then continue with describing the structure of the Blueprint in more detail.

Grammatical descriptions, why?

Sign language research has advanced rapidly over the past few decades, but it still faces an important stumbling block: the grammatical descriptions available for specific sign languages are incomplete and of varying reliability. Complete, thorough descriptions of sign languages are lacking, and this obviously has negative consequences – not only for the linguist studying a certain phenomenon (lack of knowledge about a certain undescribed aspect of the grammar might lead to a wrong characterization of a different, but related aspect), but also for a whole range of professionals who must rely on a comprehensive description of the language, such as sign language teachers of deaf children, trainers of sign language interpreters, teachers of sign language as a second language, clinicians involved in diagnosing language impairment and language pathologies, and speech therapists assessing language competence.

Writing a grammar may serve very different goals, but no matter what type of grammar is intended, the content should be as accurate and comprehensive as possible. The SignGram Blueprint is an attempt at helping the grammar writer achieve this goal. However, the form of the final grammar will, of course, depend directly on the goal that the grammar writer has set. A reference grammar of a language, which intends to be exhaustive, is a very different product, both in terms of depth and presentation, from a didactic grammar meant as a support for language learning. Therefore, the Blueprint must be considered as a tool that the grammar writer needs to adapt to his or her needs.

It should be kept in mind that the Blueprint can also be useful to describe partial aspects of grammar, for instance in graduate thesis projects, and thus does not need to be implemented in its entirety. Nevertheless, when a basic grammatical description of a language is lacking, it is sometimes hard to describe phenomena in isolation. Therefore, cooperative work should be encouraged to produce comprehensive grammatical descriptions of sign languages, which are very much needed.

How to use the Blueprint

As mentioned above, the Blueprint has two main components: the Manual and the Checklist. The Manual has seven parts. A part covering the Socio-historical background is followed by six parts corresponding to the major components of grammatical knowledge: Lexicon, Phonology, Morphology, Syntax, Semantics, and Pragmatics. Each part starts with an introductory chapter explaining the function of the linguistic component under investigation (e.g. Morphology), the organization of the part, and suggestions on how to use it.

Subsequent chapters and major sections within each part also contain introductory subsections providing background information including definitions, classifications, and suggestions on how to overcome the methodological and analytical challenges the grammar writer might face. The remaining subsections in each chapter contain guidelines for identification and analysis of the grammar points. These are often followed by a section on Elicitation Materials. This section contains methodology and material suggestions for data elicitation. Each chapter ends with a list of bibliographic references of the literature that addresses these grammar points – be it from a general perspective or for a specific sign language.

The aim of the Manual is to guide the grammar writer in providing the descriptions of the grammar points listed in the Checklist. To make this tool user-friendly, we have striven to maintain a one-to-one correspondence between (sub-)headings in the Checklist and (sub-)headings in the Manual. The grammar writer can read the Manual as if it were an independent book or she/he can click on a heading in the Checklist to access the relevant information in the Manual. To demonstrate how the Manual may provide guidelines for the identification of a specific construction or phenomenon,

AQ: This needs to be checked

let us give an example. The Morphology Part of the Checklist contains the heading ‘2.1.2.1. Noun-verb pairs’. This corresponds to the heading ‘2.1.2.1. Noun-verb pairs’ in the Morphology Part of the Manual. In this subsection of the Manual, it is explained that a ‘noun-verb pairs’ heading in a reference grammar might be useful, since a morphological process by which action verbs can be derived from object nouns (say the verb *SIT* from the noun *CHAIR*) is attested in many sign languages. Representative examples of this morphological process from actual sign languages are given, and tests that can be used to distinguish the noun from the related verb are suggested. Finally, this subsection of the Manual contains the most relevant bibliographical references that deal with this phenomenon.

The Checklist and the Manual are offered as a suggestion and as a guide, but of course, it is up to the grammar writer to decide whether the relevant subsection makes sense in the grammar of the sign language he or she is describing. For example, if the morphological process by which verbs are derived from nouns is absent in that sign language, this section might be safely skipped. But if the grammar writer aims at putting his or her grammatical description in a typological perspective, he or she might opt to refer to the absence of such a process by contraposition to the languages that are mentioned to have it in the Manual. When developing the actual grammar for a given sign language, the grammar writer might want to depart from the structure proposed in the Checklist for a variety of reasons, both practical and conceptual. In fact, at various points of the Manual explicit suggestions are made for an alternative organization of the grammar.

In general, we expect that while the most general headings should be relevant for all sign languages (say, ‘1.2. Interrogatives’ in the Syntax Part of the Checklist and the Manual), more specific sub-headings might be relevant only for a subset of sign languages. For example, ‘1.2.3.6. Split between the *wh*-sign and its restriction’ is needed only for those sign languages in which an interrogative sign corresponding to ‘which’ can be separated from its restriction, say a noun like ‘book’.

Also, note that the different parts of the Checklist and the Manual such as Syntax and Morphology are internally structured with an independent numeration. We hope that the independence of each part will help the grammar writer who might be interested in describing just a single component, say only the morphology or the syntax of the sign language studied.

Since we hope the Blueprint will be used by a wide range of language specialists, we have made an effort to keep the language as accessible as possible, and have tried to avoid technical, linguistic jargon. We have worked under the assumption that the ‘grammar writer’, who is the main target user of the Blueprint, does not need to be a professional linguist, although we assume familiarity with basic linguistic notions and grammatical concepts specific to sign languages. We also assume that he or she is acquainted with one or more sign languages.

The Blueprint is a product of several authors. However, we made all possible efforts to harmonize the style. For example, a potential source of confusion can be

generated by the use of the term ‘word’ or ‘sign’ for the lexical unit of a sign language. As a rule of thumb, we used the term ‘sign’ except for linear order facts and some prosodic or morphological descriptions where the terms ‘prosodic word’, ‘word order’, and ‘word-internal’ will be used.

The Blueprint helps the reader with linguistic terminology in two ways: one is the Glossary. A number of linguistic terms in each section is automatically linked to the Glossary. The full list of glossary entries can also be found at the end of the Manual.

The other helpful tool is the cross-referencing between sections and parts of the Manual by means of hyperlinking. Typically, if there is a term/concept used in a section where it is mentioned but not described, a hyperlink connects it to the section where it is explained. In other cases, the section where one set of properties (for instance, syntactic properties) of a phenomenon is discussed is linked to another section where another set of properties (for instance, prosodic properties) are addressed. This will equip the grammar writer with a wider background knowledge on the topic and enable him/her to approach it from more than one angle if she/he intends to do so.

We mentioned that, in most cases, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the Checklist and the Manual. However, there are cases in which this correspondence does not hold. These cases are due to the fact that the Checklist contains only the list of linguistic features that should be described in a grammar. Therefore, the sections of the Manual that are more methodological in nature (typically, the introductory sections in chapters and major sections devoted to definitions, methodological and analytical challenges, elicitation materials, and references) do not have a correspondence in the Checklist. However, these methodological sections are numbered in a special way, so that they do not obstruct the parallel structures of the Checklist and the Manual.

The second area in which the one-to-one correspondence does not hold is due to a basic choice we made when we decided on the general design of the Blueprint. We believe that traditional grammars, even the most complete reference grammars available for better-studied spoken languages, tend to neglect the dimension of meaning. It is instructive in this regard to notice that in the average descriptive grammar, no comprehensive section is devoted to semantics and pragmatics; rather, the discussion of meaning aspects is usually distributed across sections describing formal aspects such as lexicon, morphology, or syntax.

We think that these traditional choices do not reflect recent linguistic achievements about the semantics and pragmatics of natural languages (spoken or signed). In addition, the traditional structure typically leads to a blending of formal and functional categories in the grammatical descriptions. One typical example is temporal categories. In many languages, the (formally unmarked) verbal present tense form is not only used to refer to the present but also to refer the future (and sometimes even to the past). Therefore, the grammatical category of tense must not be conflated with the semantic notion of tense. For this reason, we have devoted an entire part of the Blueprint to the elucidation of concepts related to meaning.

We present a couple of illustrative examples of why having fully developed Semantic and Pragmatics parts can be useful. The first still involves the ‘tense’ category. Some traditional grammars tend to conflate the discussion of tense and aspect, especially in languages in which the same morpheme express both a tense and an aspect specification. Unlike more traditional grammars, the Manual includes two sections in which these concepts are explained from a formal perspective and a meaning perspective. As the sections on tense and aspect are already present in the Morphology part (form) of the Checklist, in order to avoid a duplication, there is no Semantics part (meaning) in the Checklist, but the relevant semantic notions are displayed in the Semantics part of the Manual for the grammar writer as important background information for investigating their potential morphological realizations in the target language.

Similarly, a section called ‘conditional clauses’ is only present in the Syntax part of the Checklist describing possible formal aspects of such clauses. Nevertheless, the Manual contains a section in the Semantics part about the meaning of conditionals, since we think that a proper description of this construction cannot leave out the meaning dimension. However, other aspects of meaning, especially those related to pragmatic aspects of meaning such as discourse structure, figurative meaning, and communicative interaction, do have a counterpart in the Checklist, because it is justified to have them as free-standing sections in a descriptive grammar. Since all semantic concepts are also addressed from a formal perspective in the Lexicon, Morphology, and Syntax parts, the Checklist does not contain a part on Semantics. By contrast, the part on Pragmatics discusses aspects of meaning beyond the sentence level and is therefore included in the Checklist. With the general move to treat semantic and pragmatic aspects on an equal footing with other grammar components, we mean to boost description and analysis of semantic and pragmatic properties in signed languages, which have lagged behind until quite recently.

Methodological choices

We mentioned previously that we have adopted a plain, non-technical style, and that it is our hope that non-professional linguists will also be able to use the Blueprint. However, we must stress that this choice is not due to an anti-theoretical or anti-formalist attitude. On the contrary, the scientific directors of the Blueprint are all formal linguists who are convinced that no adequate empirical description is possible without the lens provided by modern linguistic theories. An a-theoretical description does not exist. What is considered a-theoretical is often a description that assumes commonsense, naïve conceptions, instead of more sophisticated notions from current linguistic theories that invariably help sharpen the empirical description. Therefore, the organization of the Checklist and the content of the Manual is *implicitly* theory-driven. Although the specific analyses that informed our choices are not at the center

of the stage, they can be retrieved by looking at the references that close each chapter of the Manual. This sometimes has a relative influence on the terminological choices made here (for instance, the term ‘agreement verb’ is used), but alternative denominations existing in the literature are also mentioned (‘directional’ or ‘indicating verbs’ for the example at hand).

A question that naturally arises when one projects a skeleton for sign language grammars is to what extent this should be similar to a grammar for spoken languages. The issue is tricky, even more so because no comprehensive reference grammar for any sign language exists yet. We have started from the assumption that sign languages are the products of the same language faculty that gave rise to spoken languages. So in principle, the main analytical categories that have been elaborated in the linguistic research on spoken language (for example, phonological features, verbal inflection, subordination, or implicature) and that have been fruitfully applied in spoken language research should be useful categories for sign languages as well. Thus, in those cases in which there is no sufficient information on how sign languages express a certain grammatical concept or construction, we referred to the findings on typologically diverse spoken languages, keeping in mind that if a certain linguistic phenomenon or construction has been observed in a group of spoken languages, it has the potential to be observed in the sign language studied.

Such transfer from the generalizations on spoken languages is undoubtedly useful; however, it is not sufficient. It is also very well known that the visuo-spatial modality does shape the way language is expressed, and new, modality-specific categories should at times be employed to describe sign language phenomena (for example, non-manual marking, classifier predicates, and role-shift). It is an open question whether these categories are really unique to the signed modality or correspond to mechanisms that are present in spoken languages, albeit in a less prominent form, thus having led to their exclusion from spoken language grammars. These types of questions are very important, but the Blueprint is not the place to find answers to them, since our goal is to offer adequate descriptive tools rather than to investigate the underlying issues. Thorough descriptive work on many more sign languages will hopefully contribute to (partially) answering those questions at some point by relying on more solid empirical ground. A separate issue concerns iconicity. The fact that some signs incorporate iconic features has consequences for the structure of the grammar at all levels. However, the effects of iconicity are not the same in the lexicon and in syntax, for instance. Thus, rather than having an independent section on iconicity, we decided to discuss its effects whenever they are immediately relevant for a specific aspect of the grammar or a grammatical phenomenon.

At first sight, the Checklist may look superficially similar to the table of contents of a reference grammar of a spoken language. However, we would like to stress that a category identified in spoken language may involve different exponents and linguistic processes in sign language. The Manual contains multiple examples of this where such differences are highlighted and explained in detail. For example, while compound is a

standard grammatical concept in morphology and is found in the Checklist, its application to sign languages raises some non-trivial questions. One is how to analyze compounds with multiple articulators that work in parallel and relatively independently from each other, for example, those in which one hand articulates (part of) one sign while the other one simultaneously articulates (part of) another sign.

As a final note on the Manual, we would like to point out that the current state of the art in sign language research has had some effect on the varying degree of detail across chapters and sections. Where necessary, we have tried to compensate for the existing gaps on the basis of the available linguistic information on spoken languages, as mentioned above. The grammar writer interested in further deepening his or her grammatical knowledge is encouraged to consult the selection of bibliographic pointers included at the ends of sections and chapters.

In some cases, original research has been conducted specifically for the preparation of the Blueprint, since the phenomenon to be described had not been explored at all for sign languages. In these cases, the original findings are the starting point for the relevant section. This is the case, for instance, in the section on imperatives in the Syntax part.

The Blueprint and the SignGram COST Action

The Blueprint is the main product of the SignGram COST Action (Action IS1006 “Unravelling the grammars of European sign languages: pathways to full citizenship of deaf signers and to the protection of their linguistic heritage”, website: <http://signgram.eu>). COST is a European network of nationally funded research activities which aims to promote and finance cooperative scientific projects with a specific goal. The SignGram COST Action started in 2011 and ended in 2015; its main goal was the creation of the Blueprint. Researchers from 13 COST countries (Austria, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Spain, Turkey, and the United Kingdom) and two COST International Partner Countries (Argentina and Australia) took part in the Action. COST funded the following scientific activities: the meetings in which the design of the Blueprint was discussed and decided, scientific missions between the partners, and summer schools for junior researchers who want to start working in the sign language field, as well as four editions of a conference that has become a major venue for sign language researchers (FEAST, Formal and Experimental Advances in Sign Language Theory). Another activity promoted by the SignGram Action is the creation of a repository of materials that have been used for the elicitation of signs or structures by researchers in Europe and beyond. The repository can be found at the following link:

<https://corpus1.mpi.nl/ds/asv/?jsessionid=A0026AAA3C521F75EC5ADF8C93354297?0>.

Finally, COST has made it possible for the Blueprint to be freely available to everyone as an open-access publication.

It is important to highlight that the new research project SIGN-HUB (2016–2020) funded by the Horizon2020 program of the European Commission has as one of its goals to implement the Blueprint to write on-line grammars of the following sign languages: DGS, LIS, LSE, LSC, NGT, and TİD. This will make it possible to have the grammatical descriptions directly online and available to everyone once they have been validated.

The social dimension of the Blueprint

When we started the *SignGram* COST Action, we were motivated by scientific questions, since we are linguists. However, as is often the case for linguists working on neglected and ostracized languages (and sign languages still belong to this category!), we also had in mind a social dimension. This is what we wrote in the application we submitted to COST in 2010:

“Despite significant advances, linguistic knowledge of languages in the visuo-gestural modality is still sketchy and incomplete. This becomes an unsurmountable handicap when inclusive educational policies are proposed, as no reliable grammatical descriptions are available that could constitute the appropriate basis for curriculum development and teaching materials in bilingual-bicultural programmes, sign language (SL) teaching or SL interpreter training. As a result, the responsibility of describing the basic aspects of SLs for educational practices has been frequently left in the hands of teachers of the deaf, language therapists or SL teachers and interpreter trainers, who understandably often lack the required background. Only the best possible education in their SL, though, does guarantee personal development and full exercise of civil, linguistic and ultimately human rights for deaf signing individuals. This action aims to provide scientifically reliable tools in order to meet the broader societal challenge of ensuring equal rights for deaf signers across Europe, as expressed in several international legal initiatives (cf. Resolutions of the European Parliament in 1988 and 1998, Motion of the Council of Europe for the protection of sign languages 2001, UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 2006).”

At the end of the Action, we did create what we think is a scientifically reliable tool for writing grammars of sign languages. It is offered as a contribution to all those interested in setting out to accomplish this task. We hope that even when a grammar writer disagrees with some of our choices, this will be because the approach that we have adopted has advanced the discussion on how to study, describe, and ultimately reinforce the status of sign languages.

Notational conventions

Following common conventions, sign language examples are glossed in English SMALL CAPS. Glosses that appeared in a different language in the source reference have been translated to English. Moreover, the following notational conventions are used:

${}_1\text{SIGN}_3$	Subscript numbers indicate points in the signing space used in verbal agreement and pronominalization. We use subscript '1' for a sign directed towards the body of the signer, '2' for a sign directed towards the addressee, and '3' for all other loci (can be subdivided into '3a', '3b', etc.).
$\text{INDEX}_3 / \text{IX}_3$	A pointing sign towards a locus in space; subscripts are used as explained above.
$\text{SIGN}++$	indicates reduplication of a sign to express grammatical features such as plural or aspect.
$\text{SIGN}^\wedge\text{SIGN}$	indicates the combination of two signs, be it the combination of two independent signs by compounding or a sign plus affix combination.
SIGN-SIGN	indicates that two words are needed to gloss a single sign.
S-I-G-N	represents a fingerspelled sign.

Lines above the glosses indicate the scope (i.e. onset and offset) of a particular non-manual marker, be it a lexical, a morphological, or a syntactic marker. Some of the abbreviations refer to the form of a non-manual marker while others refer to the function:

<u> </u> /xxx/	lexical marker: a mouth gesture or mouthing (silent articulation of a spoken word) associated with a sign; whenever possible, the phonetic form is given;
<u> </u> top	syntactic topic marker: raised eyebrows, head tilted slightly back;
<u> </u> wh	syntactic wh-question marker, often lowered eyebrows;
<u> </u> y/n	syntactic yes/no-question marker: raised eyebrows, forward head tilt;
<u> </u> neg	syntactic negation marker: side-to-side headshake;
<u> </u> re	raised eyebrows (e.g. topic, yes/no-question);
<u> </u> hs	headshake;
<u> </u> cd	chin down;
<u> </u> wr	wrinkled nose;
<u> </u> r	relative clause;
<u> </u> cond	conditional;
<u> </u> bf	body lean forward.

Sign language acronyms

Throughout the Manual, the following abbreviations for sign languages are used (some of which are acronyms based on the name of the sign language used in the respective countries):

ABSL	Al Sayyid Bedouin Sign Language
AdaSL	Adamorobe Sign Language (Ghana)
ASL	American Sign Language
Auslan	Australian Sign Language
BSL	British Sign Language
CSL	Chinese Sign Language
DGS	German Sign Language (<i>Deutsche Gebärdensprache</i>)
DSGS	Swiss-German Sign Language (<i>Deutsch-Schweizerische Gebärdensprache</i>)
DTS	Danish Sign Language (<i>Dansk Tegnsprog</i>)
FinSL	Finnish Sign Language
GSL	Greek Sign Language
HKSL	Hong Kong Sign Language
HZJ	Croatian Sign Language (<i>Hrvatski Znakovni Jezik</i>)
IPSL	Indopakistani Sign Language
Inuit SL	Inuit Sign Language (Canada)
Irish SL	Irish Sign Language
Israeli SL	Israeli Sign Language
ÍTM	Icelandic Sign Language (<i>Íslenskt táknmál</i>)
KK	Sign Language of Desa Kolok, Bali (<i>Kata Kolok</i>)
KSL	Korean Sign Language
LIS	Italian Sign Language (<i>Lingua dei Segni Italiana</i>)
LIU	Jordanian Sign Language (<i>Lughat il-Ishaara il-Urdunia</i>)
LSA	Argentine Sign Language (<i>Lengua de Señas Argentina</i>)
Libras	Brazilian Sign Language (<i>Língua de Sinais Brasileira</i>)
LSC	Catalan Sign Language (<i>Llengua de Signes Catalana</i>)
LSCol	Colombian Sign Language (<i>Lengua de Señas Colombiana</i>)
LSE	Spanish Sign Language (<i>Lengua de Signos Española</i>)
LSF	French Sign Language (<i>Langue des Signes Française</i>)
LSQ	Quebec Sign Language (<i>Langue des Signes Québécoise</i>)
NGT	Sign Language of the Netherlands (<i>Nederlandse Gebarentaal</i>)
NicSL	Nicaraguan Sign Language
NS	Japanese Sign Language (<i>Nihon Syuwa</i>)
NSL	Norwegian Sign Language
NZSL	New Zealand Sign Language

ÖGS	Austrian Sign Language (<i>Österreichische Gebärdensprache</i>)
RSL	Russian Sign Language
SSL	Swedish Sign Language
TİD	Turkish Sign Language (<i>Türk İşaret Dili</i>)
TSL	Taiwan Sign Language
USL	Uganda Sign Language
VGT	Flemish Sign Language (<i>Vlaamse Gebarentaal</i>)
YSL	Yolngu Sign Language (Northern Australia)

Structure of the SignGram COST Action IS1006

Working Group 1: Socio-historical background, Phonology, Morphology, Lexicon
Coordinator: Roland Pfau

Working Group 2: Syntax
Coordinator: Caterina Donati

Working Group 3: Semantics, Pragmatics
Coordinator: Markus Steinbach

Coordination of Blueprint visuals: Brendan Costello, Rannveig Sverrisdóttir

Steering committee: Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati, Carlo Geraci, Meltem Kelepir, Roland Pfau, Josep Quer, and Markus Steinbach

List of contributors

Klimis Antzakas

Keddy A', Athens
Greece

Valentina Aristodemo

CNRS, Institut Jean-Nicod
Paris
France

Cristina Banfi

Universidad de Buenos Aires
Buenos Aires
Argentina

Gemma Barberà

Universitat Pompeu Fabra
Barcelona
Spain

Chiara Branchini

Università Ca' Foscari
Venice
Italy

Anna Cardinaletti

Università Ca' Foscari
Venice
Italy

Carlo Cecchetto

Università degli Studi di Milano
Bicocca, Milan
Italy and
Unité Mixte de Recherche CNRS
Paris 8
France

Kearsy Cormier

DCAL, University College London
London
United Kingdom

Brendan Costello

BCBL, University of the Basque Country
San Sebastian
Spain

Onno Crasborn

Radboud Universiteit
Nijmegen
The Netherlands

Athanasia-Lida Dimou

ILSP/ATHENA RC
Athens
Greece

Caterina Donati

Université Paris Diderot
Paris 7
Paris
France

Stavroula-Evita Fotinea

ILSP/ATHENA RC
Athens
Greece

Carlo Geraci

CNRS, Institut Jean Nicod
Paris
France

Aslı Göksel

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi
Istanbul
Turkey

Annika Herrmann

Institute for German Sign Language and
Communication of the Deaf
University of Hamburg
Hamburg
Germany

Jóhannes Jónsson

University of Iceland
Reykjavik
Iceland

Meltem Kelepir

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi
Istanbul
Turkey

Vadim Kimmelman

Universiteit van Amsterdam
Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Jette H. Kristoffersen

University College Capital
Denmark

Andrea Lackner

ZGH, Alpen
Adria Universität Klagenfurt
Austria

Lara Mantovan

Università Ca' Foscari
Venice
Italy

A. Sumru Özsoy

Boğaziçi Üniversitesi
Istanbul
Turkey

Francesca Panzeri

Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca
Bicocca, Milan
Italy

Roland Pfau

Universiteit van Amsterdam
Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Josep Quer

ICREA – Universitat Pompeu Fabra
Barcelona
Spain

Galini Sapountzaki

University of Thessaly
Volos
Greece

Philippe Schlenker

Institut Jean Nicod
École Normale Supérieure
Paris
France

Odd-Inge Schröder

Oslo University College
Oslo
Norway

Markus Steinbach

Georg-August-Universität-Göttingen
Göttingen
Germany

Rannveig Sverrisdóttir

University of Iceland
Reykjavik
Iceland

Acknowledgments

This publication is based on the work of COST Action IS1006 SignGram, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology).

COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) is a funding agency for research and innovation networks. Our Actions help connect research initiatives across Europe and enable scientists to grow their ideas by sharing them with their peers. This boosts their research, career and innovation.

www.cost.edu



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

In addition, other funding bodies have also been key to the research carried out in this Action and are acknowledged here as well:

- Alfred Jacobsens Foundation (Denmark). Beneficiary: Jette Hedegaard Kristoffersen.
- Economic and Social Research Council of Great Britain, grant RES-620-28-0002, Deafness, Cognition and Language Research Centre. Beneficiary: Kearsy Cormier.
- ERC Advanced Grant, “New frontiers of formal semantics”. PI: Philippe Schlenker, Institut Jean Nicod, Paris.
- Govern de la Generalitat de Catalunya through AGAUR (2014 SGR 698). Beneficiaries: Josep Quer (PI), Gemma Barberà.
- MINECO (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain): “Clause combining in sign languages: the grammar of complex sentences in Catalan Sign Language in a crosslinguistic and crossmodal perspective (ClauseCombiSL2)”, FFI2012-36238. Beneficiaries: Josep Quer (PI), Gemma Barberà.
- NWO grant 360.70.500 “Form-meaning units”. Beneficiary: Onno Crasborn.
- PRIN 2012 “Teoria, sperimentazione, applicazioni: Le dipendenze a distanza nelle forme di diversità linguistica” (prot. 20128YAFKB). Beneficiary: Carlo Cecchetto.

- TÜBİTAK Research Fund, project 111K314: “A model for reference grammars of sign languages: Methods of analysis and description of sign systems in the light of Turkish Sign Language (İşaret dilleri kaynak bilgisi modeli: Türk İşaret Dili ışığında işaret dizgelerini betimleme ve çözümleme yöntemleri)”. Beneficiaries: A. Sumru Özsoy (PI), Aslı Göksel, Meltem Kelepir.
- UCC (Professionshøjskolen University College Capital, Denmark) Research Fund, “Diversity and Social Innovation”. Beneficiary: Jette Hedegaard Kristoffersen.

The Communication Centre for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Reykjavík, is thanked for its support to the work of Rannveig Sverrisdóttir and Jóhannes Jónsson. We are also grateful to Chris Beckmann from Göttingen University for his editorial help at the stage of manuscript preparation and to Gemma Barberà, who, next to her scientific contribution to the project, ran its administration exemplarily. Mattia Donati designed for free some drawings that we used as elicitation materials as well as the SignGram Action logo. Thank you Mattia for your generosity!

Monica Dietl, the director of the COST Association, helped the SignGram Action in difficult administrative turns. We thank her for her support.

List of authors by section

Part 1 Socio-historical background (Roland Pfau, Carlo Geraci & Odd-Inge Schröder)

Part 2 Phonology

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations (Carlo Geraci)

Chapter 1 Sublexical structure (Onno Crasborn)

Chapter 2 Prosody (Carlo Geraci)

Chapter 3 Phonological processes (Carlo Geraci)

Part 3 Lexicon

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations (Brendan Costello)

Chapter 1 The native lexicon (Brendan Costello, Evita Fotinea, Annika Herrmann, Galini Sapountzaki & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

Chapter 2 The non-native lexicon (Aslı Göksel & Roland Pfau)

Chapter 3 Parts of speech

3.0 Definitions and challenges (Brendan Costello)

3.1 Nouns (Brendan Costello, Annika Herrmann & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.2 Verbs (Brendan Costello, Annika Herrmann, Roland Pfau & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.3 Lexical expressions of inflectional categories (Roland Pfau & Annika Herrmann)

3.4 Adjectives (Annika Herrmann & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.5 Adverbials (Brendan Costello, Annika Herrmann, Roland Pfau & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.6 Determiners (Lara Mantovan)

3.7 Pronouns (Brendan Costello, Annika Herrmann, Roland Pfau & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.8 Adpositions (Annika Herrmann & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.9 Conjunctions (Annika Herrmann & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.10 Numerals and quantifiers (Brendan Costello, Annika Herrmann, Lara Mantovan, Roland Pfau & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

3.11 Particles (Brendan Costello)

3.12 Interjections (Annika Herrmann & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)

Part 4 Morphology

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations (Aslı Göksel)

Chapter 1 Compounding (Aslı Göksel & Roland Pfau)

Chapter 2 Derivation (Roland Pfau & Aslı Göksel)

Chapter 3 Verbal inflection

3.0 Definitions and challenges (Aslı Göksel & Roland Pfau)

3.1 Agreement (Roland Pfau & Carlo Geraci)

3.2 Tense (Roland Pfau, Athanasia-Lida Dimou, Evita Fotinea & Galini Sapountzaki)

Contents

- Introduction: Letter to the grammar writer — v
- Notational conventions — xiii
- Sign language acronyms — xiv
- Structure of the SignGram COST Action IS1006 — xvi
- List of contributors — xvii
- Acknowledgments — xix

Part 1: Socio-historical background

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations — 3

Chapter 1 History — 3

Chapter 2 The sign language community — 5

- 2.1 Community characteristics — 5
- 2.2 Sign language users — 6
- 2.3 Deaf culture — 6
- 2.4 Deaf education — 8

Chapter 3 Status — 9

- 3.1 Current legislation — 10
- 3.2 Language policy — 10
- 3.3 Language attitudes — 11

Chapter 4 Linguistic study — 12

- 4.1 Grammatical description — 12
- 4.2 Lexicographic work — 13
- 4.3 Corpora — 13
- 4.4 Sociolinguistic variation — 14

Complete list of references – Socio-historical background — 15

Part 2: Phonology

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations — 21

- 0.1 What is phonology? — 21
- 0.2 Organization of the Phonology Part — 21
- 0.3 How to use the Phonology Part — 21

Chapter 1 Sublexical structure — 22

- 1.0 Definitions and challenges — 22
- 1.0.1 What should go into this chapter and what should not? — 22
- 1.0.2 Methodological challenges — 22
- 1.1 Active articulators — 23
- 1.1.1 Phonemic handshapes — 24
- 1.1.1.1 Selected fingers — 24
- 1.1.1.2 Finger configuration — 25
- 1.1.2 Orientation — 27
- 1.1.3 The manual alphabet and number signs — 28
- 1.1.4 Other active articulators — 28
- 1.2 Location — 29
- 1.3 Movement — 30
- 1.3.1 Path movements — 30
- 1.3.2 Secondary movements — 31
- 1.4 Two-handed signs — 32
- 1.4.1 Symmetrical signs — 33
- 1.4.2 Asymmetrical signs — 33
- 1.5 Non-manuals — 34
- 1.5.1 Mouth gestures — 34
- 1.5.2 Mouthings — 35
- 1.5.3 Other non-manuals — 35
- Elicitation materials — 35
- References — 36

Chapter 2 Prosody — 37

- 2.0 Definitions and challenges — 37
- 2.0.1 What is prosody? — 37
- 2.0.2 Prosodic markers — 38
- 2.0.3 Methodological challenges — 40
- 2.0.4 Outline of the chapter — 41
- 2.1 The lexical level — 41
- 2.1.1 Syllable — 41
- 2.1.2 Foot — 43
- 2.2 Above the lexical level — 44
- 2.2.1 Prosodic word — 45
- 2.2.2 Phonological phrase — 47
- 2.2.3 Intonational phrase — 47
- 2.2.4 Phonological utterance — 49
- 2.3 Intonation — 49
- 2.4 Interaction — 50

- 2.4.1 Turn regulation — 50
- 2.4.2 Back-channeling — 51
- Elicitation materials — 51
- References — 51

Chapter 3 Phonological processes — 53

- 3.0 Definitions and challenges — 53
- 3.0.1 What is a phonological process? — 53
- 3.0.2 Caveats — 53
- 3.0.3 Outline of the chapter — 54
- 3.1 Processes affecting the phonemic level — 54
- 3.1.1 Assimilation — 54
- 3.1.2 Coalescence — 55
- 3.1.3 Movement reduction and extension — 56
- 3.1.3.1 Without joint shift — 57
- 3.1.3.2 With joint shift — 57
- 3.1.4 Weak hand drop — 58
- 3.1.5 Handshape drop — 58
- 3.1.6 Nativization — 59
- 3.1.7 Metathesis — 59
- 3.2 Processes affecting the syllable — 60
- 3.2.1 Epenthesis — 60
- 3.2.2 Syllable reduction — 61
- 3.2.3 Syllable reanalysis — 61
- 3.3 Processes affecting the prosodic word — 62
- 3.3.1 Reduplication — 62
- 3.3.2 Phonological effects of cliticization and compounding — 63
- 3.4 Processes affecting higher prosodic units — 63
- 3.4.1 Organization of the signing space — 63
- 3.4.2 Differences in “loudness”: Whispering and shouting mode — 64
- Elicitation materials — 65
- References — 65

Complete list of references – Phonology — 66

Part 3: Lexicon

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations — 73

- 0.1 What is the lexicon? — 73
- 0.2 Organization of the Lexicon Part — 75
- 0.3 How to use the Lexicon Part — 75

Chapter 1 The native lexicon — 76

- 1.0 Definitions and challenges — 76
- 1.0.1 What is the native lexicon? — 76
- 1.0.2 Methodological challenges — 78
- 1.1 Core lexicon — 79
- 1.2 Non-core lexicon — 83
- 1.2.1 Classifier constructions — 84
- 1.2.2 Pointing — 85
- 1.2.3 Buoys — 86
- 1.3 Interaction between core and non-core lexicon — 86
- 1.3.1 Lexicalization processes — 87
- 1.3.2 Modification of core lexicon signs — 90
- 1.3.3 Simultaneous constructions and use of the non-dominant hand — 92
- Elicitation materials — 93
- References — 93

Chapter 2 The non-native lexicon — 94

- 2.0 Definitions and challenges — 94
- 2.0.1 What is the non-native lexicon? — 94
- 2.0.2 How to decide whether a particular form is borrowed — 94
- 2.0.3 Morpho-phonological marking of borrowed forms — 95
- 2.0.4 When should a borrowed form be considered part of the lexicon? — 95
- 2.0.5 Methodological challenges — 96
- 2.1 Borrowings from other sign languages — 96
- 2.2 Borrowings from (neighboring) spoken language — 97
- 2.2.1 Calques/loan translations — 97
- 2.2.2 Lexicalization of fingerspelling — 97
- 2.2.2.1 Initialization — 98
- 2.2.2.2 Multiple-letter signs — 100
- 2.2.3 Mouthing — 102
- 2.2.3.1 Full forms — 102
- 2.2.3.2 Reduced forms — 103
- 2.2.3.3 Mouthing and fingerspelling — 103
- 2.2.4 Other marginal types of borrowing — 103
- 2.3 Borrowings from conventionalized gestures — 104
- 2.3.1 Lexical functions — 105
- 2.3.2 Grammatical functions — 105
- Elicitation materials — 106
- References — 106

Chapter 3 Parts of speech — 107

- 3.0 Definitions and challenges — 107
- 3.0.1 What are parts of speech? — 107
- 3.0.2 Methodological challenges — 108
- 3.1 Nouns — 109
 - 3.1.1 Common nouns — 109
 - 3.1.2 Proper nouns and name signs — 112
- 3.2 Verbs — 114
 - 3.2.1 Plain verbs — 114
 - 3.2.2 Agreement verbs — 115
 - 3.2.3 Spatial verbs — 116
- 3.3 Lexical expressions of inflectional categories — 116
 - 3.3.1 Tense markers — 117
 - 3.3.2 Aspect markers — 118
 - 3.3.3 Modality markers — 119
 - 3.3.3.1 Deontic modality — 120
 - 3.3.3.2 Epistemic modality — 121
 - 3.3.4 Agreement markers — 122
- 3.4 Adjectives — 124
 - 3.4.1 Attributive adjectives — 124
 - 3.4.2 Predicative adjectives — 126
- 3.5 Adverbials — 127
 - 3.5.1 Verb-oriented adverbials — 128
 - 3.5.2 Sentence adverbials — 129
- 3.6 Determiners — 130
 - 3.6.1 Definite determiners — 132
 - 3.6.2 Indefinite determiners — 132
- 3.7 Pronouns — 134
 - 3.7.1 Locative and demonstrative pronouns — 134
 - 3.7.2 Personal pronouns — 135
 - 3.7.2.1 Person — 136
 - 3.7.2.2 Number — 137
 - 3.7.2.3 Clusivity — 138
 - 3.7.2.4 Case — 139
 - 3.7.2.5 Gender — 139
 - 3.7.2.6 Honorific pronouns — 140
 - 3.7.2.7 Logophoric pronouns — 140
 - 3.7.3 Possessive pronouns — 141
 - 3.7.4 Reflexive and reciprocal pronouns — 142
 - 3.7.5 Interrogative pronouns — 142
 - 3.7.6 Relative pronouns — 143

3.7.7	Indefinite pronouns — 144
3.8	Adpositions — 144
3.8.1	Manual adpositions — 144
3.8.2	Adpositions and spatial relations — 145
3.9	Conjunctions — 146
3.9.1	Coordinating conjunctions — 146
3.9.2	Subordinating conjunctions — 146
3.9.3	Correlative conjunctions — 148
3.10	Numerals and quantifiers — 148
3.10.1	Numerals — 148
3.10.1.1	Cardinal numerals — 149
3.10.1.2	Ordinal numerals — 150
3.10.1.3	Distributive numerals — 151
3.10.2	Quantifiers — 151
3.11	Particles — 152
3.11.1	Negative particles — 152
3.11.2	Question particles — 153
3.11.3	Discourse particles — 154
3.12	Interjections — 155
	Elicitation materials — 155
	References — 156

Complete list of references – Lexicon — 157

Part 4: Morphology

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations — 167

0.1	What is morphology? — 167
0.2	Organization of the Morphology Part — 168
0.3	How to use the Morphology Part — 168

Chapter 1 Compounding — 169

1.0	Definitions and challenges — 169
1.0.1	What is a compound? — 169
1.0.2	Types of compounds — 170
1.0.3	Methodological challenges — 171
1.1	Native compounds — 172
1.1.1	Sequential compounds — 172
1.1.1.1	Semantic structure — 172
1.1.1.1.1	Endocentric compounds — 173
1.1.1.1.2	Exocentric compounds — 173

1.1.1.2	Syntactic structure — 174
1.1.1.2.1	Subordinate compounds — 174
1.1.1.2.2	Coordinate compounds — 175
1.1.1.3	Compounds involving SASS — 176
1.1.2	Simultaneous and semi-simultaneous compounds — 177
1.1.2.1	Simultaneous compounds — 178
1.1.2.2	Semi-simultaneous compounds — 180
1.2	Loan compounds — 181
1.2.1	Faithful loans — 181
1.2.2	Modified loans — 182
1.3	Compounds with fingerspelled components — 183
1.3.1	Sequential — 183
1.3.1.1	Native-like — 183
1.3.1.2	Loan-like — 183
1.3.2	Simultaneous — 184
1.4	Phonological and prosodic characteristics — 185
1.4.1	Phonological characteristics — 185
1.4.2	Prosodic characteristics — 186
	Elicitation materials — 187
	References — 188

Chapter 2 Derivation — 188

2.0	Definitions and challenges — 188
2.0.1	What is derivation? — 188
2.0.2	How is derivation marked? — 189
2.0.3	Methodological challenges — 191
2.1	Manual markers of derivation — 192
2.1.1	Sequential derivation — 192
2.1.1.1	Agentive — 192
2.1.1.2	Negative — 193
2.1.1.3	Attenuative — 194
2.1.2	Simultaneous derivation — 195
2.1.2.1	Noun-verb pairs — 195
2.1.2.2	Attenuative — 196
2.2	Non-manual markers of derivation — 196
2.2.1	Diminutive and augmentative — 197
2.2.2	Intensive — 198
2.2.3	Proximity — 198
2.2.4	Noun-verb pairs: mouthings — 199
	Elicitation materials — 199
	References — 200

Chapter 3 Verbal inflection — 201

3.0	Definitions and challenges — 201
3.0.1	What is inflection? — 201
3.0.2	How is inflection marked? — 201
3.0.3	Methodological challenges — 202
3.1	Agreement — 204
3.1.0	Definitions and challenges — 204
3.1.0.1	What is agreement? — 204
3.1.0.2	Terminology — 205
3.1.0.3	Marking agreement in sign languages — 205
3.1.0.4	Methodological challenges — 207
3.1.1	Person and locative markers — 207
3.1.1.1	Subject markers — 208
3.1.1.2	Object markers — 210
3.1.1.3	Locative markers — 211
3.1.2	Number markers — 212
3.1.2.1	Dual — 213
3.1.2.2	Multiple — 213
3.1.2.3	Exhaustive — 213
3.1.3	Reciprocal markers — 215
	Elicitation materials — 216
	References — 217
3.2	Tense — 218
3.2.0	Definitions and challenges — 218
3.2.0.1	What is tense? — 218
3.2.0.2	Methodological challenges — 218
3.2.1	Time lines — 219
3.2.2	Tense inflection — 220
	References — 221
3.3	Aspect — 222
3.3.0	Definitions and challenges — 222
3.3.0.1	What is aspect? — 222
3.3.0.2	Methodological challenges — 223
3.3.1	Imperfective — 224
3.3.1.1	Habitual — 224
3.3.1.2	Continuative/durative — 225
3.3.1.3	Conative — 225
3.3.2	Perfective — 226
3.3.2.1	Iterative — 227
3.3.2.2	Inceptive/inchoative — 227
3.3.2.3	Completive — 228
	References — 228

3.4	Modality — 229
3.4.0	Definitions and challenges — 229
3.4.0.1	What is modality? — 229
3.4.0.2	Deontic and epistemic modality — 230
3.4.0.3	Methodological challenges — 230
3.4.1	Deontic modality — 231
3.4.2	Epistemic modality — 232
References	— 233
3.5	Negation — 234
3.5.0	Definitions and challenges — 234
3.5.0.1	General definitions — 234
3.5.0.2	Methodological challenges — 235
3.5.1	Regular negation — 236
3.5.1.1	Manual markers — 236
3.5.1.2	Non-manual markers — 238
3.5.2	Irregular negation — 239
Elicitation materials	— 240
References	— 241

Chapter 4 Nominal inflection — 242

4.0	Definitions and challenges — 242
4.0.1	What is nominal inflection? — 242
4.0.2	Methodological challenges — 243
4.1	Number — 243
4.1.1	Manual marking — 244
4.1.2	Non-manual marking — 246
4.2	Localization and distribution — 247
Elicitation materials	— 248
References	— 249

Chapter 5 Classifiers — 250

5.0	Definitions and challenges — 250
5.0.1	What are classifiers? — 250
5.0.2	Phonological and morpho-syntactic characteristics of classifiers — 250
5.0.3	Terminology and classification — 251
5.0.4	Comparison with classifiers in spoken languages — 252
5.0.5	Methodological challenges — 252
5.1	Predicate classifiers — 253
5.1.1	Entity classifiers — 253
5.1.2	Bodypart classifiers — 255
5.1.3	Handle classifiers — 257

- 5.2 Size-and-Shape Specifiers — 259
- Elicitation materials — 260
- References — 260

Complete list of references – Morphology — 262

Part 5: Syntax

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations — 285

- 0.1 What is syntax? — 285
- 0.2 Organization of the syntax part — 286
- 0.3 How to use the syntax part — 286

Chapter 1 Sentence types — 287

- 1.0 Introduction — 287
- 1.1 Declaratives — 288
 - 1.1.0 Definitions and challenges — 288
- References — 289
- 1.2 Interrogatives — 290
 - 1.2.0 Definitions and challenges — 290
 - 1.2.0.1 Defining an interrogative — 290
 - 1.2.0.2 Types of interrogatives — 290
 - 1.2.0.3 Methodological challenges — 291
 - 1.2.0.4 Non-manual marking — 292
 - 1.2.1 Polar interrogatives — 293
 - 1.2.1.1 Non-manual markers in polar interrogatives — 293
 - 1.2.1.2 Word order changes between declaratives and polar interrogatives — 294
 - 1.2.1.3 Interrogative particles — 294
 - 1.2.2 Alternative Interrogatives — 294
 - 1.2.3 Content interrogatives — 295
 - 1.2.3.1 Non-manual markers in content interrogatives — 295
 - 1.2.3.2 List of *wh*-signs — 295
 - 1.2.3.3 Content interrogatives without *wh*-signs — 296
 - 1.2.3.4 Non-interrogative uses of *wh*-signs — 296
 - 1.2.3.5 Position of *wh*-signs — 297
 - 1.2.3.6 Split between the *wh*-sign and its restriction — 299
 - 1.2.3.7 Doubling of the *wh*-sign — 299
 - 1.2.3.8 Multiple *wh*-signs in interrogatives — 300
 - 1.2.3.9 Interrogative particles — 301

Elicitation materials — 301

References — 302

- 1.3 Imperatives — 304
- 1.3.0 Definitions and challenges — 304
- 1.3.0.1 What is an imperative? — 304
- 1.3.0.2 Functions of the imperative — 305
- 1.3.0.3 Orders with no imperative — 305
- 1.3.0.4 Simultaneous or concatenative morphology in imperatives — 305
- 1.3.1 Subtypes of imperatives — 306
- 1.3.1.1 Orders — 306
- 1.3.1.2 Invitations — 306
- 1.3.1.3 Suggestions/advice — 307
- 1.3.1.4 Permissions — 307
- 1.3.1.5 Instructions — 307
- 1.3.1.6 Recommendations — 307
- 1.3.2 Imperative markers — 308
- 1.3.2.1 Manual signs — 308
- 1.3.2.2 Non-manual markers — 308
- 1.3.3 Imperatives and verb classes — 309
- 1.3.4 Word order in imperatives — 309
- 1.3.5 Attention callers — 310
- 1.3.6 Negation in imperatives — 310
- 1.3.6.1 Manual negation — 310
- 1.3.6.2 Non-manual negation — 311
- 1.3.7 Subjects in imperatives — 311
- 1.3.7.1 Null and/or overt subject — 311
- 1.3.7.2 The person of the subject — 311
- 1.3.7.3 Anaphoric properties — 312
- 1.3.8 Embedding imperatives — 312
- 1.3.9 Special constructions: Imperative and Declarative (IaD) — 312
- 1.3.10 Exhortative constructions — 313

Elicitation materials — 313

References — 315

- 1.4 Exclamatives — 315
- 1.4.0 Definitions and challenges — 315
- 1.4.0.1 What is an exclamative? — 315
- 1.4.0.2 Testing exclamatives: factivity — 316
- 1.4.0.3 Testing exclamatives: scalar implicatures — 316
- 1.4.0.4 Testing exclamatives: question/answer pairs — 317
- 1.4.0.5 An unexplored field — 317
- 1.4.1 Total exclamatives — 317

1.4.1.1	Non-manual marking — 318
1.4.1.2	Manual signs — 318
1.4.2	Partial exclamatives — 318
1.4.2.1	Non-manual signs — 319
1.4.2.2	<i>Wh</i> -signs — 319
1.4.2.3	Other structures — 320
1.4.3	Negation in exclamatives — 321
References	— 321
1.5	Negatives — 321
1.5.0	Definitions and challenges — 321
1.5.0.1	What is negation? — 321
1.5.0.2	Scope of negation and types of negation — 322
1.5.0.3	Sentential negation — 322
1.5.1	Manual marking of negation — 323
1.5.1.1	Manual negative elements — 323
1.5.1.1.1	Negative particles — 323
1.5.1.1.2	Irregular negatives — 324
1.5.1.1.3	Negative determiners and adverbials — 325
1.5.1.2	Syntax of negative clauses — 326
1.5.1.2.1	Position of negative elements — 326
1.5.1.2.2	Doubling — 326
1.5.1.2.3	Negative concord — 326
1.5.2	Non-lexical marking of negation — 327
1.5.2.1	Head movements — 327
1.5.2.2	Facial expressions — 329
1.5.2.3	Body posture — 330
1.5.2.4	Spreading domain — 330
Elicitation materials	— 331
References	— 331
Chapter 2 Clause structure — 333	
2.0	Definitions and challenges — 333
2.0.1	Definition of constituent — 333
2.0.2	Displacement test — 334
2.0.3	Pro-form substitution test — 335
2.0.4	Coordination test — 336
2.0.5	Non-manual marking test — 337
2.0.6	Ellipsis test — 337
2.1	The syntactic realization of argument structure — 338
2.1.0	Definitions and challenges — 338
2.1.0.1	Argument structure and transitivity — 338
2.1.0.2	Methodological challenges — 339

2.1.1	Types of predicates — 341
2.1.1.1	Transitive and ditransitive predicates — 341
2.1.1.2	Intransitive predicates: unergative and unaccusative — 341
2.1.1.3	Psychological predicates — 343
2.1.1.4	Meteorological predicates — 344
2.1.1.5	Argument structure alternations — 344
2.1.2	Argument realization — 345
2.1.2.1	Overt NPs — 345
2.1.2.2	Pronouns — 346
2.1.2.3	Verb agreement — 346
2.1.2.3.1	Manual verb agreement — 347
2.1.2.3.2	Non-manual verb agreement — 348
2.1.2.4	Classifier handshape — 348
2.1.2.5	Argument clauses — 349
2.1.3	Argument structure change — 349
2.1.3.1	Extension of argument structures — 349
2.1.3.2	Passive — 351
2.1.3.2.0	Definitions and challenges — 351
2.1.3.2.0.1	Passive constructions — 351
2.1.3.2.0.2	Characteristic properties of typical passive constructions — 352
2.1.3.2.0.3	Passiveless languages — 354
2.1.3.2.0.4	Methodological challenges — 354
2.1.3.2.0.5	Passive in sign languages — 355
2.1.3.3	Reflexivity — 356
2.1.3.4	Reciprocity — 357
2.1.4	Non-verbal predication — 357
2.1.4.1	Copular constructions — 357
2.1.4.2	Secondary predication — 359
2.1.5	Existentials and possessives — 359
2.1.5.0	Definitions and challenges — 359
2.1.5.1	Possessives — 360
2.1.5.2	Existentials — 361
	Elicitation materials — 362
	References — 363
2.2	Grammatical functions — 366
2.2.0	Definitions and challenges — 366
2.2.0.1	What is a grammatical function? — 366
2.2.0.2	Methodological challenges — 367
2.2.1	Subject and object identification — 368
2.2.1.1	Specific position(s) for subject and object — 368
2.2.1.2	Special anaphoric properties for subject and object — 369

2.2.1.3	Strategies of pronoun copying for subject and object —	370
2.2.1.4	Null arguments for subject and object —	371
2.2.2	Other grammatical functions: arguments versus adjuncts —	371
2.2.3	Types of adjuncts —	372
References —		375
2.3	Word order —	375
2.3.0	Definitions and challenges —	375
2.3.0.1	Order between subject, object and verb —	375
2.3.0.2	Identifying the basic word order —	376
2.3.0.3	The challenge of simultaneity —	378
2.3.1	Identification of the basic order of constituents in the main declarative clause —	380
2.3.1.1	Order of subject, object, and verb —	380
2.3.1.2	Order of auxiliaries (i.e. agreement, tense, and aspectual markers) with respect to the verb —	381
2.3.1.3	Order of modals with respect to the verb —	381
2.3.1.4	Order of negation with respect to verb, modals, and auxiliaries —	381
2.3.1.5	Order of arguments of ditransitive verbs —	383
2.3.1.6	Position for different types of adverbs and adjuncts —	383
2.3.2	Basic order of constituents in other clauses —	383
2.3.2.1	Basic order in the different types of sentence —	383
2.3.2.2	Basic order in the different types of subordinate clauses —	384
2.3.3	Deviations from the basic order of constituents —	384
2.3.3.1	List of attested and unattested permutations —	384
2.3.3.2	Non-manuals accompanying the deviations from the basic word order —	384
2.3.3.3	Specific order for topicalized elements —	385
2.3.3.4	Specific order for focused elements —	385
2.3.3.5	Word order variations according to the different types of verbs (plain, agreeing) —	386
2.3.3.6	Word order variations according to the different types of predicates (reversible/irreversible) —	386
Elicitation materials —		387
References —		388
2.4	Null arguments —	390
2.4.0	Definitions and challenges —	390
2.4.0.1	What is a null argument? —	390
2.4.0.2	Further explanations/distinctions —	390
2.4.0.3	Methodological challenges —	392
2.4.1	Subject and object null arguments —	392
2.4.1.1	Null subjects —	392

- 2.4.1.2 Null objects — 393
- 2.4.2 Types of verbs that can license null subjects — 393
- 2.4.3 Null subjects in main clauses — 393
- 2.4.4 Null arguments in embedded clauses — 394
- 2.4.5 Pragmatic and semantic conditions licensing null arguments — 394
- 2.4.6 Referential properties of null arguments — 395
- Elicitation materials — 395
- References — 395
- 2.5 Clausal ellipsis — 396
- References — 398
- 2.6 Pronoun copying — 398
- 2.6.0 Definitions and challenges — 398
- 2.6.1 Personal pronoun copying — 399
- 2.6.2 Syntactic properties of pronoun copying — 401
- 2.6.2.1 Possible subject-object asymmetry in pronoun copying — 401
- 2.6.2.2 Position of the copying pronoun — 401
- 2.6.3 Prosodic features of pronoun copying — 402
- 2.6.4 Functions of pronoun copying — 402
- Elicitation materials — 403
- References — 403

Chapter 3 Coordination and subordination — 404

- 3.0 Introduction — 404
- 3.1 Coordination of clauses — 404
- 3.1.0 Definitions and challenges — 404
- 3.1.0.1 What is coordination? — 404
- 3.1.0.2 Methodological challenges — 405
- 3.1.1 Types of clausal coordination — 405
- 3.1.2 Coordination by manual markers — 406
- 3.1.2.1 Manual markers of coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.1.1 Manual markers in conjoined coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.1.2 Manual markers in adversative coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.1.3 Manual markers in disjunctive coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.2 Position of manual markers of coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.2.1 Position of manual markers in conjoined coordination — 407
- 3.1.2.2.2 Position of manual markers in adversative coordination — 408
- 3.1.2.2.3 Position of manual markers in disjunctive coordination — 408
- 3.1.2.3 Optionality or obligatoriness of manual markers of coordination — 408
- 3.1.2.3.1 Optionality or obligatoriness of manual markers in conjoined conjunctions — 408

- 3.1.2.3.2 Optionality or obligatoriness of manual markers in adversative conjunctions — 408
- 3.1.2.3.3 Optionality or obligatoriness of manual markers in disjunctive conjunctions — 408
- 3.1.3 Coordination by non-manual markers — 408
 - 3.1.3.1 List of non-manual markers of coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.1.1 Non-manual markers in conjunctive coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.1.2 Non-manual markers in disjunctive coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.1.3 Non-manual markers in adversative coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.2 The spreading domain of non-manual markers of coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.2.1 Spreading domain of non-manual markers in conjunctive coordination — 409
 - 3.1.3.2.2 Spreading domain of non-manual markers in disjunctive coordination — 410
 - 3.1.3.2.3 Spreading domain of non-manual markers in adversative coordination — 410
- 3.1.4 Properties of coordination — 410
 - 3.1.4.1 Extraction — 410
 - 3.1.4.2 Gapping — 412
 - 3.1.4.3 Scope — 413
 - 3.1.4.3.1 Scope of negation — 413
 - 3.1.4.3.2 Scope of yes/no questions — 414
- Elicitation materials — 414
- References — 415
- 3.2 Subordination: distinctive properties — 415
 - 3.2.0 Definitions and challenges — 415
 - 3.2.0.1 A definition of subordination — 415
 - 3.2.0.2 Different types of subordination — 416
 - 3.2.0.3 Methodological challenges in identifying a subordinate clause — 417
 - 3.2.0.4 Methodological challenges in identifying the (non-)finiteness of a clause — 417
 - 3.2.1 Subject pronoun copy as a subordination property — 423
 - 3.2.2 Position of question signs — 424
 - 3.2.3 Spreading of non-manual markers — 425
 - 3.2.4 Interpretation of embedded negation in the matrix clause — 425
- Elicitation materials — 426
- References — 426
- 3.3 Argument clauses — 427
 - 3.3.0 Definitions and challenges — 427
 - 3.3.0.1 What is an argument clause? — 427
 - 3.3.0.2 How to recognize an argument clause — 428

- 3.3.0.3 Methodological challenges — 428
- 3.3.1 Subject clauses — 429
 - 3.3.1.1 Position(s) within the matrix clause — 429
 - 3.3.1.2 Special non-manual markers — 430
 - 3.3.1.3 Tense and aspectual marking — 430
 - 3.3.1.4 Anaphoric relations — 431
 - 3.3.1.5 Null arguments — 431
- 3.3.2 Object clauses — 432
 - 3.3.2.1 Verbs taking object clauses — 432
 - 3.3.2.2 Position(s) within the matrix clause — 433
 - 3.3.2.3 Factivity — 433
 - 3.3.2.4 Special non-manual markers — 434
 - 3.3.2.5 Tense and aspectual marking — 434
 - 3.3.2.6 Anaphoric relations with the main clause arguments — 434
 - 3.3.2.7 Occurrences of null arguments — 435
- 3.3.3 Role shift — 436
 - 3.3.3.1 Markers of role shift — 437
 - 3.3.3.2 Integration of the role-shifted clause into the main clause — 438
 - 3.3.3.3 Syntactic contexts introducing attitude role shift — 439
 - 3.3.3.4 Special signs introducing action role shift — 440
 - 3.3.3.5 Syntactic differences between action role shift and attitude role shift — 440
- References — 441
- 3.4 Relative clauses — 442
 - 3.4.0 Definitions and challenges — 442
 - 3.4.0.1 A definition of relative clauses — 442
 - 3.4.0.2 Properties of relativization — 442
 - 3.4.0.2.1 Non-manual markers — 442
 - 3.4.0.2.2 Impossibility of production in isolation — 443
 - 3.4.0.2.3 Position of temporal adverbials — 443
 - 3.4.0.3 Syntactic types of relative clauses: diagnostics — 444
 - 3.4.0.4 Semantic types of relative clauses (restrictive versus non-restrictive): diagnostics — 447
 - 3.4.1 Type of relative clause — 451
 - 3.4.2 Presence or absence of a relativization sign — 451
 - 3.4.2.1 List of relativization signs — 452
 - 3.4.2.1.1 Human/non-human specificity of the relativization sign — 452
 - 3.4.2.1.2 Singular/plural specificity of the relativization sign — 452
 - 3.4.2.2 Position of the relativization sign — 452
 - 3.4.2.3 Optionality or obligatoriness of the relativization sign — 453
 - 3.4.3 Position of the noun phrase with the relative clause within the matrix clause — 453

- 3.4.4 Subject versus object relativization — 454
- 3.4.5 Displacement of noun phrases with relative clauses — 454
- 3.4.6 Special non-manual marking — 455
- 3.4.6.1 List of non-manual markers — 456
- 3.4.6.2 The spreading domain of each non-manual marker — 456
- 3.4.7 Restrictive vs non-restrictive relative clauses — 456
- Elicitation materials — 456
- References — 458
- 3.5 Adverbial clauses — 459
- 3.5.0 Definitions and challenges — 459
- 3.5.0.1 Adverbial clauses — 459
- 3.5.0.2 Ways of marking adverbial clauses — 459
- 3.5.0.3 Types of adverbial clauses — 460
- 3.5.0.4 Adverbial clauses in sign languages — 461
- 3.5.0.5 Methodological challenges — 461
- 3.5.1 Conditional clauses — 462
- 3.5.1.1 The role of non-manual markers in conditional sentences — 464
- 3.5.1.2 Factual conditionals — 466
- 3.5.1.2.1 Non-manual markers and their properties in factual conditionals — 466
- 3.5.1.2.2 Manual conditional signs in factual conditionals — 466
- 3.5.1.2.3 Order of the components of the factual conditional clause — 467
- 3.5.1.3 Counterfactual conditionals — 467
- 3.5.1.3.1 Non-manual markers and their properties in counterfactual conditionals — 467
- 3.5.1.3.2 Manual conditional signs in counterfactual conditionals — 467
- 3.5.1.3.3 Order of the components of the counterfactual conditional clause — 468
- 3.5.1.4 Concessive conditionals — 468
- 3.5.1.4.1 Non-manual markers and their properties in concessive conditionals — 468
- 3.5.1.4.2 Manual conditional signs in concessive conditionals — 468
- 3.5.1.4.3 Order of the components of the concessive conditional clause — 468
- 3.5.1.5 Non-predictive/peripheral conditionals — 469
- 3.5.1.5.1 Non-manual markers and their properties in non-predictive/peripheral conditionals — 469
- 3.5.1.5.2 Manual conditional signs in non-predictive/peripheral conditionals — 469
- 3.5.1.5.3 Order of the components of the non-predictive/peripheral conditional clause — 469
- 3.5.1.6 Other conditional constructions — 470
- 3.5.2 Temporal clauses — 470

- 3.5.2.1 Internal structure of temporal clauses — 471
- 3.5.2.2 Manual signs marking subordination in temporal clauses — 471
- 3.5.2.3 Other markers of subordination in temporal clauses — 471
- 3.5.2.4 Non-manual markers in temporal clauses — 471
- 3.5.2.5 Position of the temporal clause with respect to the main clause — 473
- 3.5.2.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 473
- 3.5.3 Locative clauses — 473
 - 3.5.3.1 Internal structure of locative clauses — 473
 - 3.5.3.2 Manual signs marking subordination in locative clauses — 473
 - 3.5.3.3 Other markers of subordination in locative clauses — 474
 - 3.5.3.4 Non-manual markers in locative clauses — 474
 - 3.5.3.5 Position of the locative clause with respect to the main clause — 474
 - 3.5.3.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 474
- 3.5.4 Manner clauses — 474
 - 3.5.4.1 Internal structure of manner clauses — 475
 - 3.5.4.2 Manual signs marking subordination in manner clauses — 475
 - 3.5.4.3 Other markers of subordination in manner clauses — 475
 - 3.5.4.4 Non-manual markers in manner clauses — 475
 - 3.5.4.5 Position of the manner clause with respect to the main clause — 476
 - 3.5.4.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 476
- 3.5.5 Reason clauses — 476
 - 3.5.5.1 Internal structure of reason clauses — 477
 - 3.5.5.2 Manual signs marking subordination in reason clauses — 477
 - 3.5.5.3 Other markers of subordination in reason clauses — 477
 - 3.5.5.4 Non-manual markers in reason clauses — 478
 - 3.5.5.5 Position of the reason clause with respect to the main clause — 478
 - 3.5.5.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 478
- 3.5.6 Purpose clauses — 478
 - 3.5.6.1 Internal structure of purpose clauses — 479
 - 3.5.6.2 Manual signs marking subordination in purpose clauses — 479
 - 3.5.6.3 Other markers of subordination in purpose clauses — 479
 - 3.5.6.4 Non-manual markers in purpose clauses — 479
 - 3.5.6.5 Position of the purpose clause with respect to the main clause — 479
 - 3.5.6.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 480
- 3.5.7 Concessive clauses — 480
 - 3.5.7.1 Internal structure of concessive clauses — 480
 - 3.5.7.2 Manual signs marking subordination in concessive clauses — 480

- 3.5.7.3 Other markers of subordination in concessive clauses — 480
- 3.5.7.4 Non-manual markers in concessive clauses — 480
- 3.5.7.5 Position of the concessive clause with respect to the main clause — 481
- 3.5.7.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 481
- 3.5.8 Substitutive clauses — 481
 - 3.5.8.1 Internal structure of substitutive clauses — 481
 - 3.5.8.2 Manual signs marking subordination in substitutive clauses — 481
 - 3.5.8.3 Other markers of subordination in substitutive clauses — 481
 - 3.5.8.4 Non-manual markers in substitutive clauses — 482
 - 3.5.8.5 Position of the substitutive clause with respect to the main clause — 482
 - 3.5.8.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 482
- 3.5.9 Additive clauses — 482
 - 3.5.9.1 Internal structure of additive clauses — 482
 - 3.5.9.2 Manual signs marking subordination in additive clauses — 483
 - 3.5.9.3 Other markers of subordination in additive clauses — 483
 - 3.5.9.4 Non-manual markers in additive clauses — 483
 - 3.5.9.5 Position of the additive clause with respect to the main clause — 483
 - 3.5.9.6 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 483
- 3.5.10 Absolute clauses — 483
 - 3.5.10.1 Markers of subordination in absolute clauses — 484
 - 3.5.10.2 Non-manual markers in absolute clauses — 484
 - 3.5.10.3 Position of the absolute clause with respect to the main clause — 484
 - 3.5.10.4 Simultaneous expression of the main event and the adverbial clause — 484
- Elicitation materials — 484
- References — 485
- 3.6 Comparative clauses — 486
 - 3.6.0 Definitions and challenges — 486
 - 3.6.0.1 What is a comparative clause? — 486
 - 3.6.0.2 Types of comparatives — 486
 - 3.6.0.3 Comparatives in sign languages — 488
- 3.7 Comparative correlatives — 488
 - 3.7.0 Definitions and challenges — 488
- References — 490

Chapter 4 The noun phrase — 490

- 4.0 Introduction — 490
- 4.0.1 What is a noun phrase? — 490
- 4.0.2 Further distinctions — 491
- 4.0.3 Methodological challenges — 491
- 4.1 Determiners — 492
- 4.1.0 Definitions and challenges — 492
- 4.1.0.1 What is a determiner? — 492
- 4.1.0.2 Methodological challenges — 492
- 4.1.1 Articles — 493
- 4.1.1.1 The position of the article — 494
- 4.1.1.2 Simultaneous manual articulation — 494
- 4.1.1.3 Non-manual marking — 495
- 4.1.1.4 Articles expressed by non-manual marking only — 495
- 4.1.2 Demonstratives — 496
- 4.1.2.0 Definitions and challenges — 496
- 4.1.2.1 The position of the demonstrative — 496
- 4.1.2.2 Demonstrative reinforcer construction — 497
- 4.1.2.3 Non-manual marking — 497
- 4.1.2.4 Anaphoric usage — 498
- References — 498
- 4.2 Possessive phrases — 499
- 4.2.0 Definitions and challenges — 499
- 4.2.1 Ways of expressing the possessive relation in the noun phrase — 499
- 4.2.1.1 Attributive possessive pronouns — 500
- 4.2.1.2 Possessive markers — 500
- 4.2.1.3 Juxtaposition — 501
- 4.2.2 The position of the possessive pronoun — 501
- 4.2.3 Agreement with the possessor — 501
- 4.2.4 Agreement with the possessed — 502
- 4.2.5 Possessive phrases with the possessed elided — 502
- References — 502
- 4.3 Numerals — 503
- 4.3.0 Definitions and challenges — 503
- 4.3.0.1 What is a numeral? — 503
- 4.3.0.2 Numerals and number — 503
- 4.3.0.3 Methodological challenges — 504
- 4.3.1 The position of the numeral — 505
- 4.3.2 Floating numerals — 505
- 4.3.3 Definite and indefinite reading — 506
- 4.3.4 Numeral incorporation — 507
- 4.3.5 Measure Phrases — 508
- References — 508

- 4.4 Quantifiers — 509
 - 4.4.0 Definitions and challenges — 509
 - 4.4.0.1 What is a quantifier? — 509
 - 4.4.0.2 Methodological challenges — 509
 - 4.4.1 The position of the quantifier — 509
 - 4.4.2 Floating quantifiers — 511
- References — 512
- 4.5 Adjectives — 513
 - 4.5.0 Definitions and challenges — 513
 - 4.5.0.1 Adjectival modification — 513
 - 4.5.0.2 Methodological challenges — 514
 - 4.5.1 Prenominal versus postnominal adjectives — 515
 - 4.5.2 Symmetric adjectives — 516
 - 4.5.3 Reduplicated adjectives — 517
 - 4.5.4 Ordering restrictions among adjectives — 517
- References — 518
- 4.6 Multiple NP constituents — 519
 - 4.6.0 Definitions and challenges — 519
 - 4.6.1 Prenominal modifiers — 520
 - 4.6.2 Postnominal modifiers — 522
- References — 522

Chapter 5 The structure of adjectival phrases — 523

- 5.0 Definitions and challenges — 523
 - 5.0.1 What is an adjectival phrase? — 523
 - 5.0.2 Internal structure and position with respect to the noun — 523
- 5.1 Intensifiers and other modifiers — 524
 - 5.1.1 Manual modifiers — 524
 - 5.1.2 Modifications of manual signs and non-manual modifiers — 524
 - 5.1.3 Iteration and stacking — 525
 - 5.1.4 Degree comparatives — 525
 - 5.1.5 Superlatives — 526
- 5.2 Arguments — 526
- 5.3 Adjuncts — 526
- References — 527

Chapter 6 The structure of adverbial phrases — 527

- 6.0 Definitions and challenges — 527
 - 6.0.1 What is an adverbial phrase? — 527
 - 6.0.2 Classes of adverbs — 528
 - 6.0.3 Analytical challenges — 528

6.1	Independent manual adverbs — 529
6.2	Modification of manual signs — 529
6.3	Non-manual adverbs — 530
6.4	Classes of adverbs — 530
6.4.1	Sentential adverbs — 530
6.4.2	VP-adverbs — 531
6.4.2.1	Temporal adverbs — 531
6.4.2.2	Manner adverbs — 531
6.4.2.3	Locative adverbs — 532
6.4.2.4	Adverbs conveying aspectual information — 532
6.4.2.5	Adverbs conveying deontic modality — 532
6.4.2.6	Adverbs conveying epistemic modality — 533
6.4.2.7	Adverbs of degree — 533
6.4.2.8	Adverbs of frequency — 533
6.5	Adverbial phrase modifiers — 534
6.5.1	Adverbs modified by degree words expressing intensity — 534
6.5.2	Adverbs modified by degree words expressing comparison — 534
	Elicitation materials — 534
	References — 535

Complete list of references – Syntax — 536

Part 6: Semantics

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations – The meaning of words and sentences — 557

References — 560

Chapter 1 Tense — 560

1.0 Definitions and challenges — 560

1.1 Absolute tense — 562

1.2 Relative tense — 563

1.3 Degree of remoteness — 564

References — 564

Chapter 2 Aspect — 565

2.0 Definitions and challenges — 565

2.1 Imperfective — 566

2.1.1 Habitual — 567

2.1.2 Continuative/durative — 567

- 2.1.3 Progressive — 567
- 2.1.4 Conative — 568
- 2.2 Perfective — 568
- 2.2.1 Iterative — 568
- 2.2.2 Inceptive/Inchoative — 568
- 2.2.3 Completive — 569
- References — 569

Chapter 3 Event structure — 570

- 3.0 Definitions and challenges — 570
- 3.1 Event types — 571
- 3.2 Testing event types — 571
- References — 572

Chapter 4 Modality — 572

- 4.0 Definitions and challenges — 572
- 4.1 Epistemic and deontic modality — 573
- 4.2 Modality coded by modals — 573
- 4.3 Modality coded by modality expressions — 575
- 4.4 Modality coded by non-manuals — 576
- References — 577

Chapter 5 Evidentiality — 578

- 5.0 Definitions and challenges — 578
- 5.1 Grammatical evidentiality markers — 580
- 5.2 Other markers of information source — 581
- References — 582

Chapter 6 Argument structure — 583

- 6.0 Definitions and challenges — 583
- 6.1 Thematic roles — 587
- 6.2 Semantic decomposition of thematic roles — 589
- References — 590

Chapter 7 Classifier predicates — 592

- 7.0 Definitions and challenges — 592
- 7.1 Reference — 594
- 7.2 Anaphora — 594
- References — 595

Chapter 8 Comparison — 596

- 8.0 Definitions and challenges — 596
- 8.1 What can be compared? — 597
- 8.2 Gradable predicates — 598
- 8.3 Visible comparisons — 600
- 8.4 Iconicity and comparative constructions — 601
- References — 601

Chapter 9 Plurality and number — 602

- 9.0 Definitions and challenges — 602
- 9.0.1 Singularis and pluralis — 602
- 9.0.2 General number — 602
- 9.0.3 Paucal number — 603
- 9.0.4 Dual, trial and quadral — 603
- 9.0.5 Count nouns and mass nouns — 603
- 9.1 Nominal plural — 603
- 9.2 Verbal plural — 604
- 9.3 Lexical plural — 604
- References — 605

Chapter 10 Quantification — 606

- 10.0 Definitions and challenges — 606
- 10.1 Types of quantifiers — 607
- 10.2 Strong and weak quantifiers — 612
- 10.3 Quantifier interaction — 613
- References — 614

Chapter 11 Possession — 615

- 11.0 Definitions and challenges — 615
- 11.0.1 Useful distinctions — 616
- 11.0.2 Possessor: Animate or inanimate — 617
- 11.0.3 Possessum: Alienable or inalienable — 617
- 11.0.4 Existence, location or possession? — 618
- 11.1 Strategies in coding possessives — 618
- 11.2 Kinship — 620
- 11.3 Whole-part relations — 621
- 11.3.1 Body parts — 621
- 11.3.2 Whole-part relations with an inanimate possessor — 621
- 11.4 Ownership association — 622
- 11.4.1 Ownership — 622
- 11.4.2 Association — 622
- References — 623

Chapter 12 Negation — 624

- 12.0 Definitions and challenges — 624
- 12.1 Lexical negation — 625
- 12.2 Sentential and constituent negation — 625
- 12.3 Metalinguistic negation — 627
- References — 627

Chapter 13 Illocutionary force — 628

- 13.0 Definitions and challenges — 628
- 13.1 Declarative force — 629
- 13.2 Interrogative force — 630
- 13.3 Imperative force — 631
- 13.4 Exclamative force — 633
 - 13.4.1 Testing exclamatives: Factivity — 634
 - 13.4.2 Testing exclamatives: Scalar implicatures — 634
 - 13.4.3 Testing exclamatives: Question/answer pairs — 634
- References — 635

Chapter 14 The meaning of embedded clauses — 636

- 14.0 Definitions and challenges — 636
- 14.1 Argument clauses — 638
- 14.2 Adverbial clauses — 638
 - 14.2.1 Conditional clauses — 639
 - 14.2.2 Temporal clauses — 640
 - 14.2.3 Locative clauses — 641
 - 14.2.4 Manner clauses — 641
 - 14.2.5 Reason clauses — 641
 - 14.2.6 Purpose clauses — 642
 - 14.2.7 Concessive clauses — 643
 - 14.2.8 Substitutive clauses — 644
 - 14.2.9 Additive clauses — 644
 - 14.2.10 Absolute clauses — 645
- 14.3 Relative clauses — 645
 - 14.3.1 The semantics of restrictive relative clauses — 645
 - 14.3.2 The semantics of non-restrictive relative clauses — 646
 - 14.3.3 Semantics differences between restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses — 646
 - 14.3.4 Amount relative clauses — 647
- References — 647

Complete list of references – Semantics — 650

Part 7: Pragmatics

Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations – Meaning in discourse — 667

- 0.1 What is pragmatics? — 667
- 0.2 Organization of the Pragmatics Part — 668
- 0.3 How to use the Pragmatics Part — 669
- References — 669

Chapter 1 Reference — 670

- 1.0 Definitions and challenges — 670
 - 1.0.1 What is reference? — 670
 - 1.0.2 Methodological challenges — 671
 - 1.1 Deixis — 671
 - 1.1.1 Pointing — 672
 - 1.1.2 Social deixis — 672
 - 1.1.3 Lack of deixis — 673
 - 1.2 Definiteness — 673
 - 1.2.1 Manual marking — 674
 - 1.2.2 Non-manual marking — 674
 - 1.3 Indefiniteness — 675
 - 1.3.1 Manual marking — 676
 - 1.3.2 Non-manual marking — 676
 - 1.4 Specificity — 677
 - 1.4.1 Manual marking — 677
 - 1.4.2 Non-manual marking — 678
 - 1.5 Impersonal reference — 679
- Elicitation materials — 680
- References — 680

Chapter 2 Reference tracking — 683

- 2.0 Definitions and challenges — 683
 - 2.1 Pronouns — 683
 - 2.2 Other means — 688
 - 2.2.1 Agreement — 689
 - 2.2.2 Classifier handshapes — 689
 - 2.2.3 Buoys — 690
- Elicitation materials — 691
- References — 691

Chapter 3 Speech acts — 692

- 3.0 Definitions and challenges — 692
 - 3.0.1 What is a speech act? — 692

- 3.0.2 Speech acts, illocutions, and felicity conditions — 693
- 3.0.3 Analytical challenges — 694
- 3.1 Assertions — 695
- 3.2 Questions — 696
- 3.3 Commands and requests — 697
- 3.4 Exclamatives — 698
- Elicitation materials — 699
- References — 699

Chapter 4 Information structure — 700

- 4.0 Definitions and challenges — 700
 - 4.0.1 Categorizing information structure units — 701
 - 4.0.2 The sentential status of information structure — 703
 - 4.0.3 The marking of information structure units — 704
 - 4.0.4 Association of focus/topic with content/yes-no questions — 705
 - 4.0.5 The separation of information structural concepts from prosodic concepts — 705
 - 4.0.6 Association of topic and subject — 705
 - 4.0.7 Hanging topic, topicalization, and left dislocation — 706
 - 4.0.8 Methodological challenges — 706
- 4.1 Focus — 707
 - 4.1.1 All-new focus — 707
 - 4.1.2 New information focus — 708
 - 4.1.3 Contrastive focus — 708
 - 4.1.4 Emphatic focus — 709
 - 4.1.5 Focus doubling — 709
- 4.2 Topic — 710
- 4.3 Morphological and prosodic markers of focus and topic — 711
 - 4.3.1 Focus — 712
 - 4.3.2 Topic — 713
- Elicitation materials — 713
- References — 714

Chapter 5 Discourse structure — 716

- 5.0 Definitions and challenges — 716
- 5.0.1 Discourse structure — 716
- 5.0.2 Analytical and methodological challenges — 717
- 5.1 Coherence and discourse markers — 717
 - 5.1.1 Manual discourse markers — 718
 - 5.1.2 Non-manual discourse markers — 720
 - 5.1.3 Strategies using signing space — 721

- 5.2 Cohesion — 721
- 5.2.1 Manual strategies — 721
- 5.2.2 Non-manual strategies — 723
- 5.2.3 Strategies using signing space — 723
- 5.3 Foregrounding and backgrounding — 724
- Elicitation materials — 724
- References — 725

Chapter 6 Reporting and role shift — 726

- 6.0 Definitions and challenges — 726
- 6.0.1 Role shift — 726
- 6.0.2 Terminology — 727
- 6.0.3 Comparison with spoken languages — 728
- 6.0.4 Role shift and context/perspective shift — 729
- 6.0.5 Role shift and embodiment — 730
- 6.1 Attitude role shift and (in)direct speech — 731
- 6.2 Action role shift — 733
- Elicitation materials — 734
- References — 735

Chapter 7 Expressive meaning — 736

- 7.0 Definitions and challenges — 736
- 7.0.1 Expressive meaning — 736
- 7.0.2 Analytical challenges — 738
- 7.1 Conversational implicature — 738
- 7.2 Conventional implicature — 742
- 7.3 Presupposition — 742
- Elicitation materials — 743
- References — 744

Chapter 8 Signing space — 745

- 8.0 Definitions and challenges — 745
- 8.0.1 Signing space — 745
- 8.0.2 Analytical challenges — 746
- 8.1 Uses of signing space — 747
- 8.1.1 Abstract use — 747
- 8.1.2 Topographic use — 749
- 8.2 Temporal expressions — 752
- 8.3 Perspective — 753
- Elicitation materials — 755
- References — 756

Chapter 9 Figurative meaning — 759

- 9.0 Definitions and challenges — 759
- 9.1 Metaphor — 760
 - 9.1.1 Cognitive basis of metaphors — 760
 - 9.1.2 Types and combinations of metaphors — 762
 - 9.1.3 Metaphors in grammar — 763
- 9.2 Metonymy — 763
 - 9.2.1 Metonymy versus metaphor — 764
 - 9.2.2 Body as metonymy — 765
- References — 765

Chapter 10 Communicative interaction — 766

- 10.0 Definitions and challenges — 766
 - 10.0.1 Discourse markers — 766
 - 10.0.2 Turn, turn-taking signals, and transition relevance place — 766
 - 10.0.3 Back-channeling — 767
 - 10.0.4 Repairs — 767
- 10.1 Discourse markers — 767
- 10.2 Turn taking — 768
 - 10.2.1 Types of turn-taking constructions — 768
 - 10.2.1.1 Smooth turn taking — 768
 - 10.2.1.2 Turn taking with pause — 769
 - 10.2.1.3 Overlapping turns — 769
 - 10.2.2 Turn taking signals — 770
 - 10.2.2.1 Different turn-taking signals — 770
 - 10.2.2.2 Turn-yielding signals — 770
 - 10.2.2.3 Turn-taking signals — 771
- 10.3 Back-channeling — 771
- 10.4 Repairs — 772
- Elicitation materials — 772
- References — 773

Chapter 11 Register and politeness — 775

- 11.0 Definitions and challenges — 775
 - 11.0.1 What is a register? — 775
 - 11.0.2 What is politeness? — 775
- 11.1 Register — 776
- 11.2 Politeness — 777
- Elicitation materials — 778
- References — 778

Complete list of references – Pragmatics — 780

- 3.3 Aspect (Annika Herrmann)
- 3.4 Modality (Annika Herrmann)
- 3.5 Negation (Roland Pfau & Rannveig Sverrisdóttir)
- Chapter 4 Nominal inflection (Roland Pfau, Rolf Piene Halvorsen & Odd-Inge Schröder)
- Chapter 5 Classifiers (Roland Pfau, Aslı Göksel & Brendan Costello)

Part 5 Syntax

Chapter 1 Sentence types

- 1.1 Declaratives (Klimis Antzakas, Caterina Donati)
- 1.2 Interrogatives (Carlo Cecchetto, Meltem Kelepir)
- 1.3 Imperatives (Chiara Branchini, Caterina Donati)
- 1.4 Exclamatives (Caterina Donati, Klimis Antzakas)
- 1.5 Negatives (Klimis Antzakas, Josep Quer, Caterina Donati)

Chapter 2 Clause structure

- 2.1 The syntactic realization of argument structure (Josep Quer, Carlo Cecchetto)
- 2.2 Grammatical functions (Jóhannes Jónsson, Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati)
- 2.3 Word order (Odd-Inge Schröder, Carlo Cecchetto, Jóhannes Jónsson, Chiara Branchini)
- 2.4 Null arguments (A. Sumru Özsoy, Chiara Branchini)
- 2.5 Clausal ellipsis (Carlo Cecchetto, Caterina Donati)
- 2.6 Pronoun copying (A. Sumru Özsoy, Caterina Donati)

Chapter 3 Coordination and subordination

- 3.1 Coordination of clauses (Chiara Branchini, Meltem Kelepir)
- 3.2 Subordination (Chiara Branchini, Meltem Kelepir)
- 3.3 Argument clauses (Caterina Donati, Sumru Özsoy, Aslı Göksel)
- 3.4 Relative clauses (Chiara Branchini, Meltem Kelepir)
- 3.5 Adverbial clauses (Meltem Kelepir, Carlo Cecchetto, Markus Steinbach)
- 3.6 Comparative clauses (Caterina Donati)
- 3.7 Comparative correlatives (Carlo Geraci, Caterina Donati)

Chapter 4 The noun phrase

- 4.1 Determiners (Lara Mantovan, A. Sumru Özsoy)
- 4.2 Possessive phrases (Jóhannes Jónsson, Cristina Banfi)
- 4.3 Numerals (Lara Mantovan, A. Sumru Özsoy)
- 4.4 Quantifiers (Jóhannes Jónsson, Cristina Banfi)
- 4.5 Adjectives (A. Sumru Özsoy, Meltem Kelepir)
- 4.6 Multiple NP Constituents (A. Sumru Özsoy, Lara Mantovan)

Chapter 5 The structure of adjectival phrase (Caterina Donati, A. Sumru Özsoy)

Chapter 6 The structure of adverbial phrase (Chiara Branchini, Odd-Inge Schröder)

Part 6 Semantics

- Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations – The meaning of words and sentences (Markus Steinbach)

- Chapter 1 Tense (Jette Kristoffersen, Andrea Lackner)
- Chapter 2 Aspect (Josep Quer, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 3 Event structure (Josep Quer, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 4 Modality (Andrea Lackner, Jette Kristoffersen)
- Chapter 5 Evidentiality (Vadim Kimmelman, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 6 Argument structure (Josep Quer, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 7 Classifiers predicates (Gemma Barberà, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 8 Comparison (Valentina Aristodemo, Francesca Panzeri, Carlo Geraci)
- Chapter 9 Plurality and number (Jette Kristoffersen, Andrea Lackner)
- Chapter 10 Quantification (Josep Quer, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 11 Possession (Jette Kristoffersen, Andrea Lackner)
- Chapter 12 Negation (Markus Steinbach, Roland Pfau)
- Chapter 13 Illocutionary force (Philippe Schlenker, Markus Steinbach, Josep Quer)
- Chapter 14 The meaning of embedded clauses (Carlo Cecchetto, Markus Steinbach, Meltem Kelepir)

Part 7 Pragmatics

- Chapter 0 Preliminary considerations – Meaning in discourse (Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 1 Reference (Gemma Barberà, Kearsy Cormier)
- Chapter 2 Reference tracking (Vadim Kimmelman, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 3 Speech acts (Markus Steinbach, Josep Quer)
- Chapter 4 Information structure (Asli Göksel, Gemma Barberà, Vadim Kimmelman)
- Chapter 5 Discourse structure (Gemma Barberà, Kearsy Cormier)
- Chapter 6 Reporting and role shift (Philippe Schlenker, Asli Göksel, Carlo Cecchetto, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 7 Expressive meaning (Gemma Barberà, Vadim Kimmelman, Markus Steinbach)
- Chapter 8 Signing space (Gemma Barberà, Vadim Kimmelman)
- Chapter 9 Figurative meaning (Vadim Kimmelman)
- Chapter 10 Communicative interaction (Andrea Lackner, Jette Kristoffersen)
- Chapter 11 Register and politeness (Jette Kristoffersen, Andrea Lackner)

5.3. Adjuncts

Some adjectives can also be modified by constituents they do not select. In this case their relation to the adjective is frequently reducible to a causal relation (as in *happy to hear from you*); or to some kind of **comparison** [syntax- Section 3.6; Semantics- Chapter 8] (as in *red as a tomato*). Here again very little is known for sign languages, and the form, order and restriction of these constituents should be looked at with care.

References

Main sources on adjectival phrases in sign languages:

- Aristodemo, V. & C. Geraci. 2015. Comparative constructions and visible degrees in LIS. Paper presented at *FEAST* 2016, Venice.
- Kyle, J.G. & B. Woll. 1985. *Sign language: The study of deaf people and their language*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- MacLaughlin, D. 1997. *The structure of determiner phrases: Evidence from American Sign Language*. PhD dissertation, Boston University, Boston, MA.
- Mantovan, L. 2014. Nominal modification In Italian Sign Language (LIS). PhD dissertation, Ca' Foscari University.
- Sandler, W. & D. Lillo-Martin. 2006. *Sign language and linguistic universals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Valli, C. & C. Lucas. 1992. *Linguistics of American Sign Language*. Washington: Gallaudet University Press.

Chapter 6. The structure of adverbial phrases

6.0. Definitions and challenges

6.0.1. What is an adverbial phrase?

Adverbial phrases are constituents headed by **adverbs/adverbs** [Lexicon- Section 3.5], not to be confused with **adverbial clauses** [Syntax- Section 3.5; Semantics- Section 14.2], which are sentences that are constituents of a complex sentence. Adverbial phrases (in bold in the following examples) are **adjuncts**, and as such may modify an adjective (a), another adverbial (b), a clause (c) or a verb (d) by providing information regarding the grammatical categories of **tense/tense** [Semantics- Chapter 1; Morphology- Section 3.2] (*yesterday*), **aspect /aspect** [semantics- Chapter 2; Morphology- Section 3.3] (*already*), and **modality/modality** [Semantics- Chapter 4;

Morphology- Section 3.4] (*necessarily, probably*) or information regarding manner (*proudly*) and place (*here*).

They typically answer questions like *how? where? when? how frequently? to what extent?*

- a. He was **quite** clear
- b. The children spoke **very** loudly
- c. John's horse **certainly** will win the race
- d. John's horse will **quickly** pace towards the finish line

6.0.2. Classes of adverbs

Adverbials can belong to different categories or classes. Some may modify the meaning of the entire sentence, as in (a) where the adverbial *certainly* refers to the fact that *John's horse will win the race*, while others may just modify a constituent such as the verb in (b), where *quickly* specifies the manner of the event described by the verb (*pace*). Sentential adverbials and VP-adverbials usually occupy different positions in the sentence.

- a. John's horse **certainly** will win the race
- b. John's horse will **quickly** pace towards the finish line

Sometimes the same adverbial may be used as a sentential adverbial (as in (a) below), or as an adverbial modifying the verb phrase (b).

- a. **Naturally**, she raised many questions
- b. She **naturally** raised many questions

In (a) the adverb *naturally* means 'of course' and modifies the whole sentence, whereas in (b) it modifies just the verb specifying the manner questions were raised, i.e. 'in a natural way'.

Depending on the kind of information they provide, adverbs may be grouped into different classes.

6.0.3. Analytical challenges

In spoken languages, adverbial phrases may often contain more than one syntactic element modifying the head of the adverbial phrase, as in the following examples:

- a. He climbed the mountain **more quickly**

- b. The children walked in the room **very awkwardly**

Sign languages, however, may express the same meaning without necessarily employing two manual signs. Sign languages may convey complex adverbial phrases by modulating the verb sign in its speed, movement, path and place of articulation. In addition, non-manual markers such as shoulder movement or facial expressions may be the only grammatical markers conveying complex adverbial information.

6.1. Independent manual adverbs

One possibility to convey adverbial information is through an independent dedicated manual sign. In the following example, the sign ON-TIME provides information on the manner in which the action expressed by the verb is carried out.

GIANNI ARRIVE ON-TIME

‘Gianni arrives on time.’

(LIS, Cecchetto et al. 2006: 949)

The grammar developer should identify the manual signs conveying adverbial information as well as their unmarked preferred positions within the sentence. Sometimes besides the unmarked preferred position, other positions are allowed. However, in spoken languages, changes in the position of adverbs within the sentence usually correlate with a difference in **prosody** [Phonology- Chapter 2] and sometimes, as we saw in the previous **section** [Syntax- Chapter 6.0.2], with a change in meaning. The grammar developer is therefore advised to verify whether different available positions for an adverbial manual sign correlate with a change in non-manual marking and a change in meaning.

6.2. Modification of manual signs

A second option selected by sign languages for conveying adverbial information is through the modification of manual signs. The sign for the verb may be, for instance, modified in its speed, movement, path and place of articulation to convey manner information. In the following LIS example, the verbal sign WALK is modified in its speed to convey the adverbial information ‘quickly’.

LUCA WALK-fast

‘Luca walks quickly.’

(LIS)

Classifier predicates/**Classifier predicates** [Morphology- Section 5.1] may also be modified with the same function. In the following LIS example, the classifier predicate

CL-CAR-DRIVING is produced with a zigzag movement to convey information about the manner the action expressed by the classifier predicate is carried out.

LUCA CL-CAR-DRIVING-zigzag
'Luca drives zigzag.' (LIS)

Manner and frequency of movement may be modified to convey also aspectual information.

The grammar developer should verify any change in the modulation of manual signs from their citation form conveying adverbial information.

6.3. Non-manual adverbs

Many sign languages employing independent manual signs to convey adverbial information may also do so through non-manual adverbials. Sometimes, non-manual markers accompany the adverbial manual sign to intensify its meaning. This is the case of ASL deictic locative signs (corresponding to *there* or *here*) that can be produced with tensed facial expression to convey a high degree of physical proximity, or of temporal signs, like RECENT, whose lexical non-manual marking can be intensified to convey a high degree of temporal proximity.

More often, non-manuals are the only markers conveying adverbial information in the sentence. Specific non-manual markers can convey manner information, as in the following LIS example: the non-manual marking 'mm' produced with closed lips simultaneously to the verbal sign WALK conveys the manner adverb 'quietly'.

mm
DANIELE WALK
'Daniele walks quietly.' (LIS, adapted by Leroose 2009: 51)

Non-manual adverbs usually are coextensive with the lexical sign they modify, or may extend over other signs in the case of sentential adverbials.

The grammar developer should identify non-manual adverbials and the spreading domain of non-manual adverbs in the target sign language.

6.4. Classes of adverbs

A broader classification of adverbs regards the domain of the material they modify: while sentential adverbs modify the entire sentence, VP-adverbs modify just the verb.

6.4.1. Sentential adverbs

Sentential adverbs modify the whole sentence conveying the attitude of the speaker/signer towards the content of the sentence.

Probably, Rebecca felt guilty

The sentence below shows a sentential adverb in LIS (CERTAIN) modifying the whole proposition DANIELE COME.

CERTAIN DANIELE COME

‘Daniele is certainly coming.’

(LIS, adapted by Leroose 2009: 56)

6.4.2. VP-adverbs

VP-adverbs modify the sentence predicate by adding information regarding the time, manner, place, aspect, and modality of the described event. In the following sections, adverbial phrases are classified according to the type of adverbial information conveyed. We shall take into consideration the main classes of adverbs available cross-linguistically.

6.4.2.1. Temporal adverbs

Temporal [Semantics- Section 14.2.2] adverbs modify the verb by specifying when the event described by the predicate takes place (see **tense** [Semantics- Chapter 1]). They answer the question *when?*. Among sign languages, tense information is commonly encoded by an independent manual sign, or, in some sign languages, by non-manual markers.

When conveyed by an independent manual sign, time adverbials usually appear sentence-initially, as in the following LSE example.

PAST WEEK MEETING START TEN END QUARTER TO THREE

‘Last week the meeting started at ten and ended at a quarter to three’

(LSE, Cabeza Pereiro & Fernández Soneira 2004: 69)

Other sign languages, like ASL, allow their occurrence also in sentence-final position (a) or between the subject and the (modal) verb (b).

a. J-O-H-N BUY CAR TOMORROW

‘John will buy a car tomorrow.’

- b. J-O-H-N TOMORROW CAN BUY CAR
'John can buy a car tomorrow.' (ASL, Aarons et al. 1995: 238)

6.4.2.2. Manner adverbs

Manner [Semantics- Section 14.2.4] adverbs specify the way in which an event is takes place. They answer the question *how?*. They are commonly conveyed by modifying the verbal sign, or through non-manual markers. In some cases, they are conveyed by an independent manual sign.

If manner information is encoded in the target sign language by an independent manual sign, the grammar developer should verify its unmarked position in the sentence. In LIS, when an independent manual sign is adopted, it occupies a post-verbal position.

- IX-1 LISTEN ANNOYANCE
'I listen to you with annoyance.' (LIS, Natural 2014: 31)

6.4.2.3. Locative adverbs

This class of adverbs provides information regarding the location where the event takes place. They answer the question *where?*. **Locative** [Semantics- Section 14.2.3] information is commonly conveyed by an independent manual sign.

If conveyed by an independent manual sign, the grammar developer should verify the position of locative adverbs in the target sign language. According to Lerose (2009), in LIS locative adverbs occupy a post-verbal position.

- DANIELE EAT OUTSIDE
'Daniele eats outside.' (LIS, adapted by Lerose 2009: 54)

6.4.2.4. Adverbs conveying aspectual information

Sign languages often convey **aspectual information** [Semantics- Chapter 2; Morphology- Section 3.3] through modification of the verb sign.

A **continuative** [Semantics- Section 2.1.2] action (corresponding to the adverb *continuously*) is marked in ASL by slow (arch-shaped) reduplication of the verbal sign. Iteration of an action (corresponding to the adverb *repeatedly*) is expressed in Swedish Sign Language (SSL) through fast **reduplication** of the predicate with repeated short movements. **Habitual** [Semantics- Section 2.1.1] events (corresponding to the adverb

usually) are marked by reduplication of the verbal sign as well, but differ from iterative aspectual information in ASL in that they involve smaller and faster movements.

If the target sign language conveys aspectual information by independent adverbial manual signs, the grammar developer should verify their preferred position in the sentence.

6.4.2.5 Adverbs conveying deontic modality

Deontic adverbs convey the obligatoriness of the action expressed by the sentence predicate (*necessarily*).

A word of caution is needed: deontic adverbs are not to be confused with modal markers expressing deontic **modality/modality** [Morphology- Section 3.4.1; Semantics- Section 4.2] (*must, should*) that sign languages commonly use.

If the target sign language conveys deontic adverbs by independent manual signs, the grammar developer should verify their preferred position in the sentence.

6.4.2.6 Adverbs conveying epistemic modality

Epistemic [Morphology- section 3.4.2; Semantics- Section 4.1] adverbs convey the **speaker/signer's attitude** towards the truth condition of the proposition (*perhaps, certainly*).

If the target sign language conveys epistemic adverbs by independent manual signs, the grammar developer should verify their preferred position in the sentence.

6.4.2.7 Adverbs of degree

Adverbs of degree convey the intensity or degree of a verb (a), adjective (b) or another adverb (c).

- a. Tom couldn't **quite** understand what was going on
- b. He was **extremely** happy
- c. She spoke **very** loudly

Sign languages seem to convey degree adverbs by modifying the manual sign they modify (be it a verb, adjective, or adverb) altering its speed and movement and by the employment of non-manual markers rather than using a dedicated manual sign.

In the following LIS sentence, the adverb of degree 'a lot' modifying the verb STUDY is not overtly realized as a independent sign, rather, the sign for the verb is modified in its realization, namely, it is reduplicated (reduplication is indicated by '++')

in the glosses) and produced with longer and wider movements with respect to its citation form.

DANIELE STUDY++

‘Daniele studies a lot.’

(LIS, adapted by Leroose 2009: 55)

If the target sign language conveys adverbs of degree by independent manual signs, the grammar developer should verify their preferred position in the sentence.

6.4.2.8 Adverbs of frequency

Adverbs of frequency specify how often an event takes place (*frequently, sometimes, seldom*). They answer the question *how often?*.

They partially overlap with adverbs conveying iterative, habitual, and durative aspectual information, but they embrace a broader semantic area. Across sign languages, adverbs of frequency are conveyed by an independent manual sign (a) or by inflecting the verbal manual sign they modify (b).

a. IX-3 PIZZA IX-3 EAT SOMETIME

‘He sometimes eats pizza.’

b. IX-1 WORK ARRIVE LATE++

‘I always arrive late at work.’

(LIS, Natural 2014: 32)

If the target sign language conveys adverbs of frequency by independent manual signs, the grammar developer should verify their preferred position in the sentence.

6.5. Adverbial phrase modifiers

Adverbial phrases may contain more material than just the head, namely, the adverb. When this happens, the head is modified by the material appearing inside the adverbial phrase.

Adverbs can be modified by degree words expressing intensity or **comparison** [Semantics- Chapter 8].

6.5.1 Adverbs modified by degree words expressing intensity

When modified by a degree word expressing intensity, in English the adverb is preceded by *very, so, quite, too, extremely, incredibly*, etc., as in the following example:

John was walking **too** quickly

No specific studies on the modification of an adverb by a degree word expressing intensity are at the moment available in sign languages.

6.5.2 Adverbs modified by degree words expressing comparison

Adverbs may undergo comparison by being modified by degree words expressing comparison in their comparative and superlative forms. When undergoing comparison, in English the adverb is preceded by *more/less* or *most/least* yielding the adverbial phrase *more/less quickly*, *most/least quickly*.

No studies on comparative and superlative degree words modifying an adverb are at the moment available in sign languages.

Elicitation Materials

Since the production of adverbial clauses is not frequent in spontaneous conversation, the grammar developer is advised to use elicitation techniques such as situational context he/she should sign to the informant followed by questions aiming at eliciting adverbial phrases. To exemplify, after presenting the context in (i), the informant can be asked the question in (ii).

- (i) You have a job meeting at 9. It's 8:45 and you are still at home. The job meeting is half an hour away, walking distance, from your place.
- (ii) How are you walking to get there on time?

Another elicitation technique involves asking grammaticality judgments. It is however advisable to use grammaticality judgments after eliciting the data through situation contexts, just to verify the position(s) adverbial manual signs occupy in the sentence.

References

Main sources on adverb phrases in sign languages:

- Aarons, D., B. Bahan, J. Kegl & C. Neidle. 1995. Lexical tense markers in American Sign Language. In: Emmorey, K. & J. Reilly (eds.), *Language, gesture, and space*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, 225-253.
- Cabeza Pereiro, C. & A. Fernández Soneira. 2004. The expression of time in Spanish Sign Language (SLE). *Sign Language & Linguistics* 7(1). 63-82.

- Cecchetto, C., C. Geraci & S. Zucchi. 2006. Strategies of relativization in Italian Sign Language. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 24. 945-975.
- Jacobowitz, L. & W. Stokoe. 1988. Signs of tense in ASL verbs. *Sign language studies* 60. 331-339.
- Lerose, L. 2009. I tipi di avverbi in LIS. In: Bertone C. & A. Cardinaletti (eds.), *Alcuni capitoli della grammatica della LIS*. Venezia, Libreria Editrice Cafoscarina S.r.l., 43-59.
- Natural, A. 2014. Gli avverbi: analisi comparativa tra lingue orali e Lingua dei Segni Italiana. Università Ca' Foscari Venezia. Bachelor Degree Thesis.
- Neidle, C., J. Kegl, D. MacLaughlin, B. Bahan & R. Lee. 2000. *The syntax of American Sign Language. Functional categories and hierarchical structure*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Pfau, R., M. Steinbach & B. Woll. 2012. Tense, aspect, and modality. In: Pfau, R., M. Steinbach & B. Woll (eds.), *Sign language. An international handbook*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 186-204.
- Zucchi, S. 2009. Along the time line: tense and time adverbs in Italian Sign Language. *Natural Language Semantics* 17. 99-139.

General sources on adverb phrases:

- Afarli, T. 1995. A note on the syntax of adverbial phrases. *Norsk Lingvistisk Tidsskrift* 13. 23-40.
- Alexiadou, A. 1997. *Adverb Placement: A Case Study in Antisymmetric Syntax*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Andrews, A. 1982. A note on the constituent structure of adverbials and auxiliaries. *Linguistic Inquiry* 13. 313-317.
- Barrie, M. 2007. On the internal structure of adverbs in Cantonese and English. *Proceedings of the 2007 annual conference of the Canadian Linguistic Association*.
- Cinque, G. 1999. *Adverbs and Functional Heads: A Cross-linguistics Perspective*. New York: Oxford University Press.