Appendix

Appendix 1: Additional Tables and Figures

Field	publications UK	publications US	journals UK	journals US
ACCOUNT	1727	3478	35	24
ECON	13484	37101	174	86
ENT-SBM	975	968	14	6
ETHICS-CSR-MAN	2686	4604	31	13
FINANCE	3208	7986	60	18
ECON HYST	894	710	18	2
HRM&EMP	1849	1828	28	10
IB&AREA	1259	1325	24	8
INFO MAN	885	2977	24	14
INNOV	947	1215	21	2
MDEV&EDU	767	724	10	9
MGDEV&ED	31	7	1	1
MKT	2541	6721	50	14
OPS&TECH	2924	4571	44	10
OR&MANSCI	2966	8691	33	13
ORG STUD	1612	2194	16	9
PLANNING	858	546	7	3
PSYCH (GENERAL)	211	699	2	4
PSYCH (WOP-OB)	335	2339	6	10
PUB SEC	763	2044	11	4
SECTOR	3060	5089	55	23
SOC SCI	2077	2049	17	1
STRAT	552	1059	8	3
Total	46611	98925	688	287

Table A1: Number of publications in journals (2001-2014): UK and US.

Notes: This table reports the number of publications and journals by journal subject area. The journal subject categories are based on both, the Academic Journal Guide (AJG) journal classification and Scopus. This categories are then used to classify the publications into "Economics" and "Business".

Universities of UK	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	Russell (R)/	Remainers (Re)/
								Russell(NR)	Leavers (L)
University of Manchester	250.93	153.00	1.19	0.74	0.24	0.46	0.69	R	Re
University of Oxford	231.86	123.64	1.45	0.81	0.45	0.64	0.52	R	Re
LSE	231.00	143.50	1.39	0.89	0.51	0.71	0.57	R	Re
University of Warwick	208.29	135.14	1.42	0.96	0.30	0.69	0.68	R	Re
University of Nottingham	192.86	144 57	1.27	0.75	0.37	0.50	0.80	B	Re
Cardiff University	144.21	99.93	1.24	0.88	0.17	0.37	0.79	R	100
University College London	127.14	72.79	1.35	0.83	0.54	0.74	0.49	R	Re
Lancaster University	124.57	89.43	1.18	0.86	0.20	0.42	0.81	NR	
University of Leeds	113.64	69.50	1.17	0.73	0.18	0.48	0.67	R	
Imperial College London	113.57	76.36	1.28	0.85	0.30	0.53	0.74	R	D
University of Southampton	108.00	40.43	1.32	0.58	0.20	0.45	0.44	R	Re
City University London	108.00	75.07	1.33	0.93	0.22	0.55	0.76	NR	Re
University of Strathclyde	103.36	64.21	1.20	0.74	0.15	0.41	0.66	NR	
University of Sheffield	100.21	48.07	1.22	0.58	0.19	0.31	0.51	R	Re
University of Bath	99.00	58.71	1.28	0.78	0.22	0.33	0.69	NR	_
Brunel University London	94.93	45.86	1.23	0.58	0.24	0.38	0.52	NR	Re
University of Reading	87.79	42.57	1.18	0.59	0.28	0.42	0.54	NR	
University of Edinburgh	85 71	45.00	1.30	0.71	0.15	0.81	0.74	B	
Cranfield University	84.21	48.14	1.03	0.60	0.08	0.12	0.62	NB	
University of York	81.50	40.36	1.32	0.67	0.52	0.57	0.51	R	Re
University of Essex	77.14	55.14	1.29	0.96	0.51	0.78	0.70	NR	Re
Aston University	74.93	50.71	1.44	0.98	0.13	0.24	0.75	NR	
University of Surrey	73.86	40.64	1.23	0.67	0.24	0.46	0.56	NR	Re
University of Glasgow	73.07	38.86	1.11	0.60	0.31	0.51	0.58	R	Re
University of Leicester	72.00	34.07	1.37	0.67	0.38	0.49	0.51	NR P	Re
University of Exeter	70.64	43.64	1.12	0.30	0.32	0.52	0.62	R	Be
University of East Anglia	70.07	43.21	1.20	0.78	0.54	0.64	0.68	NR	Re
University of Durham	69.71	36.50	1.33	0.69	0.23	0.37	0.57	R	
University of Bristol	69.00	41.07	1.28	0.78	0.38	0.71	0.57	R	Re
University of Kent	64.36	34.29	1.37	0.76	0.38	0.53	0.56	NR	Re
King's College London	62.29	33.93	1.29	0.78	0.10	0.49	0.62	R	D
Queen Mary University of London	61.79	35.30	1.53	0.89	0.49	0.66	0.53	R NB	Re
University of Liverpool	60.21	28.21	1.19	0.59	0.12	0.53	0.49	B	ne
Royal Holloway, University of London	57.00	31.21	1.31	0.74	0.30	0.74	0.49	NR	Re
University of Stirling	55.00	26.71	1.35	0.71	0.28	0.54	0.54	NR	L
Open University	54.71	21.07	1.00	0.39	0.15	0.49	0.39	NR	
Queen's University Belfast	51.00	26.50	1.23	0.63	0.28	0.53	0.50	R	_
University of St Andrews	48.00	28.36	1.54	0.91	0.42	0.48	0.69	NR	Re
University of Ellster	47.80	16.93	0.98	0.40	0.08	0.10	0.40	NR	
University of Hull	47.29	19.50	1.41	0.57	0.13	0.19	0.45	NR	
Heriot-Watt University	46.71	15.79	1.20	0.40	0.20	0.28	0.33	NR	
University of Aberdeen	45.07	21.86	1.22	0.59	0.40	0.44	0.56	NR	Re
Manchester Metropolitan University	44.00	12.71	1.14	0.35	0.09	0.13	0.32	NR	L
University of the West of England, Bristol	43.07	14.86	1.10	0.42	0.13	0.18	0.43	NR	
Middlesex University	42.93	10.57	1.22	0.43	0.22	0.22	0.46	NR	
Birkbeck College	41.57	22.14	1.17	0.38	0.08	0.59	0.54	NR	Be
Swansea University	41.36	17.00	1.41	0.58	0.45	0.37	0.51	NR	L
University of Portsmouth	39.29	17.14	1.10	0.51	0.26	0.33	0.53	NR	
University of Plymouth	36.21	12.00	1.05	0.38	0.11	0.28	0.39	NR	
Bournemouth University	35.21	12.50	1.14	0.43	0.03	0.11	0.38	NR	
Oxford Brookes University	33.93	10.71	1.31	0.38	0.09	0.11	0.33	NR	
Nottingham Trent University	33.86	11.14	1.27	0.48	0.16	0.34	0.39	NR	т
London Metropolitan University	30.14	10.00	1.13	0.41	0.12	0.08	0.41	NR	L
University of Westminster	29.86	9.21	1.09	0.35	0.18	0.13	0.35	NR	1
De Montfort University	29.57	15.64	1.18	0.69	0.04	0.17	0.61	NR	
University of Northumbria at Newcastle	28.50	7.29	1.07	0.36	0.03	0.02	0.35	NR	
Leeds Beckett University	27.71	4.36	1.15	0.21	0.03	0.17	0.18	NR	
Sheffield Hallam University	26.64	8.36	1.05	0.35	0.03	0.14	0.36	NR	
Bangor University	25.86	15.29	1.50	0.75	0.30	0.37	0.55	NR	
University of Dundee	25.79	15.57	1.07	0.34	0.00	0.14	0.37	NR	T.
University of Hertfordshire	23.57	11.00	1.31	0.62	0.33	0.30	0.56	NR	1
Coventry University	22.64	7.07	1.03	0.39	0.08	0.07	0.40	NR	
University of South Wales	21.86	4.43	1.12	0.27	0.02	0.00	0.25	NR	
University of Greenwich	21.86	7.86	1.21	0.49	0.09	0.02	0.48	NR	
University of Central Lancashire	21.79	6.14	1.68	0.48	0.04	0.18	0.31	NR	L D
Edinburgh Napier University	21.21	4.86	1.08	0.26	0.08	0.00	0.27	NR	Re
University of Brighton Keele University	20.36	8.64	1.01	0.42	0.04	0.10	0.45	NR	
Abervstwyth University	18.36	7.21	1.27	0.53	0.19	0.39	0.43	NR	
University of Wolverhampton	17.14	2.43	0.95	0.13	0.03	0.00	0.16	NR	
Robert Gordon University	17.07	6.79	1.13	0.46	0.10	0.18	0.42	NR	
London South Bank University	14.90	1 4 26	1 9 9	0.25	0 10	0.15	1 0 94	IND	1

Table	A2:	UK	universities:	outcomes	averages	(2001-2014)	

 Notes:
 This table reports the outcomes averages for each UK university for:
 1) publications,
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 0.10
 <

Universities of US	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	Selected
Harvard University	375.93	278.29	1.44	1.08	0.47	0.79	0.74	Ves
University of California-Berkeley	201 57	205.43	1.44	1.07	0.46	0.77	0.68	103
University of Michigan	287.64	200.45	1.40	0.92	0.34	0.68	0.00	
University of Penneylyania	280.79	201.50	1.30	1 19	0.34	0.00	0.81	
Penneylyania State University	270 71	161 57	1.10	0.73	0.21	0.57	0.63	Ves
Columbia University	267.93	183 /3	1.10	1.07	0.39	0.74	0.69	103
Texas A&M University	259.14	146 21	1.00	0.76	0.30	0.61	0.60	
Stanford University	257 79	177.57	1.41	0.99	0.43	0.76	0.67	
Cornell University	251.43	158.86	1.36	0.87	0.40	0.63	0.66	
New York University (NYU)	250.64	182.07	1.46	1.08	0.37	0.77	0.73	
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	233.64	143.43	1.29	0.81	0.32	0.61	0.65	
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)	230.86	179.64	1.47	1.15	0.38	0.83	0.77	
Michigan State University	220.00	155.21	1.29	0.94	0.29	0.58	0.78	
Indiana University	215.86	128.00	1.29	0.79	0.25	0.61	0.63	
University of Marvland	209.71	157.57	1.31	0.98	0.36	0.75	0.76	
Northwestern University	201.71	155.14	1.47	1.15	0.41	0.83	0.75	
Arizona State University	196.43	135.79	1.24	0.87	0.18	0.67	0.71	
Rutgers University-New Brunswick	196.21	116.07	1.25	0.75	0.23	0.50	0.64	
Purdue University	195.00	119.29	1.25	0.79	0.31	0.55	0.68	
Ohio State University	194.21	114.64	1.35	0.81	0.32	0.65	0.57	
University of Chicago	193.07	149.64	1.64	1.29	0.58	0.86	0.72	
University of Texas-Austin	187.29	137.71	1.31	0.99	0.23	0.71	0.77	
University of Wisconsin-Madison	186.64	115.64	1.37	0.86	0.40	0.69	0.60	
University of Florida	185.00	115.29	1.31	0.85	0.22	0.56	0.69	
Duke University	181.29	142.29	1.41	1.12	0.43	0.76	0.81	
University of California-Los Angeles (UCLA)	172.29	114.64	1.48	1.00	0.38	0.79	0.62	
Yale University	166.86	109.50	1.69	1.13	0.55	0.81	0.53	
University of Washington	164.43	98.71	1.31	0.81	0.22	0.61	0.64	
University of Southern California	158.07	109.71	1.31	0.93	0.29	0.72	0.71	
University of Georgia	154.57	88.29	1.26	0.72	0.25	0.50	0.61	
University of Minnesota	149.07	93.86	1.45	0.92	0.32	0.67	0.62	
University of North Carolina-Chapel-Hill	145.86	99.57	1.34	0.93	0.32	0.66	0.71	
Georgia Institute of Technology	144.64	108.79	1.12	0.85	0.15	0.53	0.81	
Georgia State University	137.57	87.86	1.43	0.92	0.26	0.54	0.70	
George Mason University	132.36	60.64	1.34	0.63	0.41	0.46	0.48	
North Carolina State University	129.50	67.36	1.23	0.65	0.34	0.69	0.45	
City University of New York (CUNY)	128.79	63.21	1.28	0.65	0.17	0.48	0.52	
Iowa State University	128.43	77.36	1.17	0.72	0.49	0.61	0.66	
Princeton University	127.86	87.29	1.49	1.05	0.56	0.85	0.53	
Carnegie Mellon University	126.57	104.36	1.08	0.90	0.33	0.82	0.85	
University of California-Davis	126.21	86.36	1.42	0.97	0.56	0.67	0.70	
Florida State University	122.93	74.07	1.34	0.80	0.20	0.56	0.61	
University of Arizona	116.14	77.80	1.37	0.92	0.23	0.68	0.68	
George Washington University	110.07	53.07	1.32	0.61	0.29	0.43	0.49	
Diliversity of Connecticut	105.07	60.20	1.32	0.91	0.31	0.51	0.77	
University of Control Florida	100.02	50.70	1.30	0.92	0.37	0.81	0.03	
University of South Carolina	100.33	63.64	1.20	0.86	0.14	0.48	0.00	
University of California-Irvine	98.07	61 79	1.30	0.00	0.31	0.40	0.63	
Auburn University	97.14	40.43	1.40	0.52	0.22	0.38	0.03	
University of Virginia	97.14	64 36	1.26	0.83	0.31	0.72	0.64	
Temple University	94 50	60.43	1.49	0.97	0.10	0.25	0.69	Ves
University of California-San Diego (UCSD)	93.29	62.07	1.67	1.13	0.58	0.84	0.45	
University of Pittsburgh	92.57	63.21	1.19	0.80	0.27	0.66	0.69	
Syracuse University	92.29	52.86	1.34	0.77	0.32	0.58	0.58	
University of Colorado at Boulder	90.14	52.29	1.45	0.86	0.28	0.71	0.56	
University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa	90.00	45.36	1.27	0.66	0.21	0.48	0.54	
University of Texas-Dallas	89.43	78.36	1.52	1.35	0.23	0.52	0.95	
University of Houston	89.14	56.36	1.31	0.84	0.19	0.73	0.63	
University of Missouri	88.50	45.21	1.32	0.67	0.32	0.49	0.54	
Johns Hopkins University	86.71	45.07	1.31	0.70	0.41	0.70	0.44	

Table A3: US universities: outcomes averages (2001-2014)

Notes: This table reports the outcomes averages for each UK university for: 1) publications, (2) publications in top journals, (3) publications per author, (4) publications in top journals per author, (5) proportion of publications in Economics, (6) proportion of Economics publications top journals, (7) proportion of publications in Business top journals, as described in Table 2. Universities are listed in decreasing order according to the number of publications. The last column reports the universities that are selected as control units in the SCM.

Universities of US (table continued)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	Selected
Louisiana State University	85.79	41.86	1.32	0.66	0.23	0.42	0.54	
Boston College	83.71	63.36	1.47	1.13	0.26	0.78	0.77	
Clemson University	83.64	48.00	1.27	0.77	0.21	0.57	0.63	
University of Iowa	81.93	56.93	1.30	0.92	0.29	0.74	0.71	
University of Illinois at Chicago	80.29	39.64	1.20	0.60	0.27	0.46	0.54	
University of Tennessee-Knoxville	79.79	33.79	1.38	0.60	0.27	0.44	0.45	
University of Kentucky	79.50	41.29	1.20	0.67	0.30	0.53	0.56	
Colorado State University	79.29	32.43	1.29	0.54	0.29	0.44	0.42	
Georgetown University	78.14	49.71	1.34	0.86	0.37	0.71	0.61	
Vanderbilt University	75.86	43.86	1.45	0.85	0.43	0.65	0.54	
Emory University	75.64	54.71	1.35	0.98	0.28	0.73	0.74	
Washington University in St. Louis	74.43	56.64	1.43	1.11	0.35	0.76	0.78	
University of Massachusetts-Amherst	72.43	36.29	1.08	0.55	0.28	0.47	0.54	Yes
University of Oklahoma	72.43	46.86	1.18	0.78	0.14	0.61	0.68	
University of Miami	70.93	46.57	1.42	0.94	0.17	0.57	0.68	
State University of New York-Buffalo (SUNY)	69.00	46.21	1.24	0.86	0.15	0.49	0.75	
University of Notre Dame	66.21	45.00	1.29	0.89	0.24	0.67	0.69	
Drexel University	65.43	37.14	1.24	0.71	0.16	0.48	0.59	
Rice University	64.21	47.14	1.44	1.06	0.26	0.71	0.76	
University of Rochester	63.29	49.14	1.34	1.06	0.53	0.77	0.79	Yes
Dartmouth College	61.64	47.21	1.47	1.13	0.37	0.84	0.73	
Brigham Young University	61.07	39.29	1.04	0.68	0.26	0.53	0.69	
American University	60.64	28.71	1.42	0.68	0.26	0.44	0.50	
Southern Methodist University	60.57	39.07	1.42	0.94	0.41	0.67	0.68	
University of Delaware	60.00	27.64	1.22	0.59	0.29	0.50	0.48	Yes
Oklahoma State University	59.71	29.93	1.45	0.73	0.21	0.38	0.54	Yes
University of California-Santa Barbara (UCSB)	57.43	26.00	1.33	0.63	0.49	0.69	0.29	
University of Kansas	56.79	29.93	1.29	0.70	0.23	0.46	0.57	
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute	55.29	41.00	1.40	1.04	0.13	0.60	0.79	
University of Hawaii-Manoa	54.71	20.64	1.36	0.54	0.19	0.43	0.41	
West Virginia University	54.36	19.14	1.31	0.50	0.33	0.48	0.33	
Elected Atlantic University	53.30	29.43	1.30	0.78	0.40	0.05	0.52	
Provida Atlantic University	52.79	20.30	1.22	1.06	0.18	0.45	0.37	
University of California Biyerside	51.01	27.96	1.07	0.70	0.03	0.82	0.43	
Fordham University	50.71	24.30	1.40	0.75	0.41	0.34	0.37	
Virginia Commonwealth University	50.29	24.21	1.00	0.08	0.25	0.54	0.49	Ver
Case Western Beserve University	49.57	33.64	1.20	0.00	0.13	0.64	0.70	103
State University of New York-Binghamton (SUNV)	45.57	29.86	1.37	0.89	0.10	0.46	0.77	
DePaul University	43.57	22.14	1.08	0.59	0.10	0.62	0.53	
State University of New York-Albany (SUNY)	42.43	23.36	1.23	0.67	0.23	0.67	0.51	
University of Wyoming	42.07	27.29	1.64	1.09	0.69	0.61	0.72	Yes
Utah State University	41.36	20.57	1.32	0.65	0.38	0.49	0.49	
University of Colorado at Denver	41.14	22.86	1.29	0.75	0.26	0.63	0.58	
University of North Carolina-Greensboro	41.14	17.71	1.25	0.57	0.16	0.44	0.50	Yes
California Institute of Technology	37.21	26.79	1.59	1.15	0.71	0.87	0.36	
Baylor University	36.64	22.71	1.22	0.76	0.15	0.37	0.68	Yes
College of William & Mary	35.64	21.57	1.30	0.81	0.37	0.55	0.69	
University of California-Santa Cruz (UCSC)	35.21	20.07	1.75	1.05	0.57	0.67	0.53	Yes
Santa Clara University	34.57	22.36	1.32	0.85	0.14	0.59	0.66	
Tulane University	33.93	19.71	1.45	0.88	0.26	0.53	0.66	
Tufts University	32.93	17.36	1.41	0.75	0.48	0.69	0.42	
Appalachian State University	31.36	9.71	1.13	0.39	0.35	0.48	0.29	Yes
University of Nevada-Reno	30.79	12.64	1.24	0.51	0.38	0.44	0.45	Yes
Stony Brook University - SUNY	29.36	12.86	1.56	0.73	0.36	0.69	0.35	
University of Maryland-Baltimore County	23.71	10.00	1.09	0.49	0.43	0.38	0.48	Yes
Brandeis University	21.36	12.64	1.38	0.85	0.68	0.47	0.54	Yes
Middlebury College	17.00	6.43	1.57	0.57	0.70	0.36	0.33	Yes
Claremont McKenna College	16.71	10.79	1.39	0.91	0.61	0.60	0.56	Yes
Williams College	12.50	6.93	1.33	0.74	0.68	0.65	0.25	Yes

Table A4: US universities: outcomes averages (2001-2014)

Notes: This table reports the outcomes averages for each US university for: 1) publications, (2) publications in top journals, (3) publications in Economics, (4) publications in top journals per author, (5) proportion of publications in Economics, (6) proportion of publications in Economics top journals, (7) proportion of publications in Business top journals, as described in Table 2. Universities are listed in a decreasing order according to the number of publications. The last column reports the universities that are selected as control units in the SCM.

University (anonymised)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Burnell 1	10.28	100 28*	1 50****	0.49	0.49	0.48	1.09****
Russell 1	40.38	109.38	1.50	0.42	0.42	0.48	1.02
Russell 2	-25.26	-21.18	-0.24	0.02	0.42	-0.06	0.90 * * * *
Russell 3	173.1*	118.44	0.72^{****}	0.30	0.24	-0.12	0.18
Decentral 4	20.82	22.10	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.06
Russell 4	-29.82	-32.10	-0.30	-0.02	0.02	-0.24	-0.08
Russell 5	47.88	30.72	-0.96****	0.06	-0.30	-0.24	0.06
Bussell 6	-26.76	-64.74	1.74 * * * *	-1.50	0.06	-0.84	-0.72
D 11 7	44.94	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10
Russell (44.34	-8.88	-0.48	0.66	-0.06	0.18	0.12
Russell 8	-2.10	4.80	-1.50****	0.66	0.06	0.30	0.90*
Bussell 9	13.86	130.02*	0.48	1.62	-0.24	1 20****	0.54
	10.00	100.02	0.10	1.02	0.21	1.20	0.01
Russell 10	-18.00	-36.30	-0.24	0.24	-0.54	0.30	-0.48
Russell 11	155.70****	53.05	0.42	0.06	-0.06	0.24	-0.48****
Buggell 12	106 56	51 79	1.02	0.94	0.26	1.08	0.78
itusseli 12	100.50	51.78	1.02	0.24	0.30	1.08	-0.78
Russell 13	97.14	84.30	0.60	0.60	-0.18	-0.78	-0.42
Russell 14	61.62	33.72	0.30	0.30	0.12	0.18	0.30
D	18.06	10.44	0.84	0 54	0.19	0.20	0.18
Russell 15	48.00	=10.44	-0.84	=0.34	=0.12	-0.30	0.18
Russell 16	93.72	54.30	-0.60	-0.12	0.24	1.68****	-1.62
Russell 17	-3.84	53.70	0.78	0.18	-0.12	-0.66	0.42
D	17 59	27.26	0.06	0.54	0.19	0.78	0.24
Russell 18	-17.32	37.20	-0.06	0.54	-0.18	-0.78	0.24
Russell 19	146.82*	81.30	-0.06	0.30	0.06	-0.24	0.02
Bussell 20	93 24	42 72	0.60	0.54	-0.24	0.42	0.24
D	200 84****	268 08****	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.26	0.48
Russell 21	300.84	208.08	-0.30	-0.30	0.30	0.30	-0.48
Russell 22	58.44	-77.04	-0.54	-0.12	-0.12	0.72	-0.12
Russell 23	-3.90	48.12	0.18	0.90	-0.18	-0.12	0.66 * * * *
Bussell 24	470 58****	88.60	-0.20	0.19	0.19	1 08****	-0.49
Trussell 24	-10.00	00.04	-0.30	0.14	0.10	1.00	=0.40
Total Russell	59.67*	39.07^*	0.26	0.58	0.17	0.12	0.86****
Non-Russell 25	93.96	48.00	0.66	1.14****	-0.06	-0.36	-0.12
Non-Bussell 26	56.40	18.18	-1 14	-1.02	0.60*	-0.66	-0.06
New Duesell 07	141.00	60.70	1.17	0.40	0.00	0.10	0.00
Non-Russell 27	141.00	09.72	0.06	0.48	-0.06	0.18	0.06
Non-Russell-Leaver 28	6.42	-18.12	0.78^{****}	-1.50	-0.12	0.12	-0.66
Non-Russell 29	-122.04	-186.78*	-1.98****	-1.38****	-0.12	-1.62****	-0.06
Non Russell Leaver 20	12 28	5.40	0.00	0.49	1 20****	0.42	0.48
Non-Itussen-Leaver 30	43.38	-3.40	-0.90	-0.48	-1.80	-0.42	-0.48
Non-Russell 31	115.68	-5.34	-0.78	-1.32	-0.42	-2.52****	-1.44****
Non-Russell 32	102.42	6.90	-0.42	-1.14	-0.24	-0.06	-0.72****
Non-Bussell 33	-51.66	-37.98	-3 19****	-3 79****	-0.36	-9 59****	-0.54
Non-Russell 55	-01.00	-51.50	-0.42	-0.12	-0.50	-2.02	-0.04
Non-Russell 34	-165.72*	-43.02	-2.34	-2.64	-0.24	-1.32	-1.20****
Non-Russell 35	51.18	-3.48	-1.26	-1.02	-0.12	-1.74****	-0.78
Non-Bussell 36	-15.90	24 84	-0.78	-1.86	-0.42	-1 62****	-0.06
Non Duesell 27	150.000*	7.74	0.10	0.06	0.12	9.40****	1 20****
Non-Russell 37	-152.88**	-1.14	-2.52	0.06	-0.12	-2.40	1.20****
Non-Russell-Leaver 38	-123.90****	-61.62	-0.72****	-0.30	-0.24	-1.14*	0.42
Non-Bussell 39	-76.02	-40.56	-1.74	-1.50	-0.36	-0.84	-0.90*
New Burnell 40	100.00*	180.49****	1 4 4 * * * *	1 69****	0.20	1.20	0.84
Non-Russell 40	-182.28	-189.42	1.44	1.08	-0.30	1.20	0.84
Non-Russell 41	177.72***	136.38^{***}	-0.12	0.30	-0.30	0.48	0.36
Non-Russell 42	-5.16	-24.18	-0.84	-1.38	0.24	-0.48	-1.14**
Non-Bussell 43	168 66*	86.28	-0.78	-2 10*	0.12	1 56****	-0.24
N D II 44	50.00	40.00	0.00****	0.00	0.12	0.10	0.51
Non-Russell 44	-58.02	-49.80	-0.96	-0.30	0.06	0.12	0.78
Non-Russell 45	-26.04	47.76	-1.14^{****}	0.24	-1.08*	-0.36	0.24
Non-Bussell 46	13.50	-3.00	0.84 * * * *	1.56	-0.18	-0.60	0.78
Non Bussell 47	21.86	25.10	9.10*	0.00	0.24	1 20****	0.18
Non-itussen 47	31.80	35.10	-2.10	=0.90	=0.24	-1.80	0.18
Non-Russell 48	-57.54	-70.56	0.54	-0.42	-0.30	-0.06	-1.26****
Non-Russell 49	33.72	-8.40	-1.02	-1.80****	-0.54	-2.64^{****}	-0.66****
Non Buggell 50	116 59	05 82	0.48	0.60	0.19	0.06	0.06
Non-itussen 50	110.52	55.82	-0.48	0.00	-0.18	0.00	-0.00
Non-Russell 51	-5.94	-36.60	-2.70^{++++}	-1.98****	-0.72	-2.10^{++++}	-1.32^{++++}
Non-Russell 52	10.86	-49.14	-0.78	-1.86	-0.48	-2.52^{****}	-1.62****
Non-Bussell 53	-88.68	-26.16	-1.08	-2.58****	0.42	-2.52****	0.24
Non Bussell 54	65 64	24.00	0.00****	0.20	0.19	1 90****	0.42
non-nussen 04	05.04	34.90	-0.90	-0.30	-0.18	-1.00	-0.42
Non-Russell 55	-20.22	40.74	-0.90 * * * *	-0.84	-0.42	-0.90	0.36
Non-Russell 56	33,90	43.14	-0.24	-0.06	-0.30	-0.06	0.30
Non Bussell 57	20.26	20.20	0.01	0.19	0.12	0.49	0.54
non-nussen or	39.30	30.30	-0.01	0.10	0.12	-0.40	0.34
Non-Russell 58	-125.82****	-5.70	-3.30****	-2.52****	-0.06	-2.28****	0.00
Non-Russell 59	-197.76*	90.30	-0.54	0.78	0.18	1.08	0.72
Non-Russell 60	21.60	3.42	-1.50	-0.12	-0.42	-2.16^{****}	-0.48
Non-Bussell, Lonvor 61	-77 59	-15.26	-0.66	-0.60	-0.60*	-2 10****	-0.96****
Non-nussen-Leaver of	-11.32	-13.30	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-2.10	-0.90
Non-Russell 62	112.32	105.96*	0.36	1.38	-0.12	0.78	0.42
Non-Russell 63	213.48*	215.82^{****}	0.12	0.90	0.24	0.72	0.90 * * * *
Non-Bussell 64	65.64	63 66	-0.18	1 1/****	0.12	-0.12	1 0 2****
New Duesell 65	08.46	4.00	0.70	0.79	0.06	0.12	1 00****
non-Russell 05	98.40	4.92	-0.72	-0.72	-0.06	-0.12	-1.08
Non-Russell-Leaver 66	24.60	17.94	-1.02	-0.30	0.54	-0.06	0.06
Non-Russell 67	-63.78	-9.30	-1.02****	-0.18	0.66*	-1.80****	0.30
Non-Bussell 69	240.66*	66 66	1 86****	1.69	-0.72*	1 38****	0.54
Non-Russen 08	240.00	00.00	1.00	1.02	-0.72**	1.30	0.04
Non-Russell 69	123.18****	103.08*	0.84	2.40	-0.42	0.12	1.80****
Non-Russell 70	250.38****	86.64	-0.90	-1.02	-0.30	-1.02	0.12
Non-Bussell 71	-40.02	-58.08	0.00	-1 74	-0.72	-2 28****	-1 20****
New Duesell 70	E4 10	-00.00	0.00	-1.14	-0.12	2.20	0.40
Non-Russell 72	54.18	84.42****	0.90	0.18	-0.36	-0.60	0.48
Non-Russell 73	93.48	-59.52	0.18	0.06	0.60	-0.24	0.06
Non-Russell 74	-9.24	-25 56	0.42	-0.60	-0.60*	-0.72	-1.14****
Non Duggell 75	67.00	50.46	0.72	1 00*	1.00*	0.14****	0.84
non-nussen /5	-07.92	-09.40	-0.78	-1.80	-1.08.	-2.94	-0.64
Non-Russell 76	50.94	-8.76	-3.54 * * * *	-2.40 * * * *	-0.30	-0.66	-0.66
Non-Russell 77	9.84	-48.96	-0.60	-2.82****	-0.48	-2.40 * * * *	-0.90*
Non-Bussell 79	70.50	10.96	0.06	-0.94	-1 44*	-0.49	-0.26
N D U TO	70.00	10.00	0.00	-0.04	-1.44	-0.42	-0.30
Non-Russell 79	75.30	-19.68	-0.24	-2.94****	-0.36	-1.14*	-1.14****
Non-Russell 80	45.54	7.38	1.80*	0.78	-0.06	0.30	-0.36
Non-Russell-Leaver 81	52.62	24.42	-0.48	-1.20	0.12	-1.62****	-0.06
Total Non-Bussell	35.98*	5 78	-0.052	-0.231	-0.167	0.581****	0.505****

Table A5: Cumulated treatment effects per university

Note: This table provides the cumulated treatment effects per university (separating the universities by Russell and Non-Russell and Remainers and Leavers) for the: (1) number of publications, (2) number of publications in top journals, (3) number of publications per author, (4) number of publications in top journals per author, (5) proportion of publications in Economics, (6) proportion of publications in Economics top journals, (7) proportion of publications in Business top journals, as described in Table 2. Values are marked by *, **, ****, **** if they are significant at a level of, 0.10, 0.05, 0.01 or 0.001, respectively.



Figure A1: Distribution of the yearly treatment effects: All, Remainers and Leavers

Notes: This figure reports the distribution of the yearly treatment effects for all, Remainers and Leavers groups of universities for: number of publications (panel a), number of publications in top journals (panel b), number of publications per author (panel c), number of publications in top journals per author (panel d), proportion of publications in Economics (panel e), proportion of publications in Economics top journals (panel f), proportion of publications in Business top journals (panel g), as described in Table 2.

Appendix 2: Further Details on the Synthetic Control Method

2.1. Single treated unit: treatment effects

The SCM creates first the artificial matching unit, for each treated unit for each outcome measure, making use of the pre-treatment information of the treated unit and the set of available untreated units, or the so-called control pool. The matching algorithm follows an iterative two-step optimisation process:

(i) The **inner optimization** estimates the weights that minimize the distance between treated and untreated units' covariates over the pre-treatment period

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{V}) = \arg_{\mathbf{w}} \min ||\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_0 \mathbf{w}||_{\mathbf{V}} = \arg_{\mathbf{w}} \min \sqrt{(\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_0 \mathbf{w})' \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_0 \mathbf{w})}$$
(1)

where \mathbf{X}_1 is the 13 × 1-matrix containing the values of the covariates over the pre-treatment period for the treated unit; \mathbf{X}_0 is the 13 × 121-matrix containing the values for the untreated units; \mathbf{w} is the 121 × 1-vector of optimal weights to create a convex combination of untreated units. \mathbf{V} is a positive-definite and diagonal 13 × 13-matrix, which assigns weights to the variables used in the optimization process. This matrix is initialized at the identity matrix at the beginning of the iterative algorithm.

(ii) The **outer optimization** serves to improve the result by estimating **V**. Specifically, **V** is chosen such that the solution to the $||\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_0 \mathbf{w}||_{\mathbf{V}}$ optimization problem minimizes the (preintervention) mean square prediction error (MSPE) (Abadie et al. 2010) for the outcome measure over the pre-treatment period,

$$MSPE = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{t=2001}^{2008} (Y_t - Y_t^*)^2 \quad \text{where} \quad Y_t^* = w(\mathbf{V})' Y_{jt}$$
(2)

where Y_{jt} is the 121 × 1-vector containing the values of the outcome variable for the 121 US universities at time t.

Steps (i) and (ii) are repeated iteratively until convergence. We use the R packages Synth and *improveSynth* to perform the analysis. As iterative algorithm, R uses both Nelder-Mead and BFGS methods and then chooses the most performing one. The weights determine the artificial control unit. The estimated coefficients, **w**, for each UK university, for one outcome measure, the number of publications, are reported in Table A6.

Then, the SCM computes the difference, $\hat{\alpha}_t$, between the actual values of the outcome measure with those Y^* of the artificial university during the intervention years t (2009-2014). The cumulated Treatment Effect (cTE) for each UK university i is calculated as the sum of the yearly treatment effects across all treatment years:

$$c\hat{TE}_{i} = \sum_{t=2009}^{2014} \hat{\alpha}_{it}$$
 where $\hat{\alpha}_{it} = Y_{it} - Y_{it}^{*}$ (3)

2.2. Assessing the significance of the treatment effects

As mentioned above, the SCM does not generate standard p-values that can be used to test the significance of the treatment effects. To overcome this issue, Abadie et al. (2010) proposes to run the so-called placebo tests. Placebo analysis involves performing SCM for each unit in the controls' pool as if they were treated, using the rest of controls as their pool. This process generates a

distribution of placebo effect estimates. The placebo tests yield null distributions (i.e., distributions under the null hypothesis of no effect due to intervention) for both the yearly differences as well as for the cumulated treatment effect, against which one can compare the original effect estimates. In fact, by being non-parametric, the placebo test approach has the advantage of not imposing any distribution on the errors. If the intervention is the cause of the observed effect, then the gap between the treated units and its synthetic control should be largest for the actual treated unit than for the placebo units (Bouttell et al. 2018). Otherwise, it is reasonable to think that the estimated effects are observed by chance.

The idea of the placebo tests proposed by Abadie et al. (2010) is akin to the classic framework for permutation inference. As in permutation tests, we apply the SCM to every potential control in our sample. This will assess whether the effects estimated by the SCM for the universities affected by the REF 2014 are large relative to the effect estimated for a control university chosen at random. This inferential exercise is exact in the sense that, regardless of the number of available comparison control universities, time periods, and whether the data are individual or aggregate, it is always possible to calculate the exact distribution of the estimated effect of the placebo interventions.

We consider each of the control US universities in the control set as if they were treated. Thus, we apply the SCM to create the best synthetic counterfactual for each US university in the control set using a combination of the remaining universities in the control set. This yields a group of yearly placebo treatment effects, α_{jt}^{PL} , as well as a cumulative placebo treatment effect cTE_j^{PL} for each university j in this potential control set. Following Abadie et al. (2010), we drop the yearly effects and the cumulative treatment effects of the US universities that have a pre-treatment Mean Squared Predictive Error (MPSR) greater or equal to twice that of the treated unit so that we only retain the N_{PL} placebos that are comparable to the treated unit.

Having a distribution of placebos allows us to conduct a two-sided hypothesis. If the REF 2014 did not have any effect, we would expect the effects on the treated UK universities to be similar to the ones computed for the untreated US universities. The p-values for a treated unit i associated to the yearly treatment effect t can be calculated as:

$$p_{it} = \frac{1}{N_{PL}} \sum_{j} \mathbb{1}\{|\alpha_{jt}^{PL}| \ge |\hat{\alpha}_{it}|\} \text{ for each } t,$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $\mathbb{1}\{\cdot\}$ is the indicator function that takes value one if the argument in parentheses is true and zero otherwise, and N_{PL} is the number of universities in the potential control set. Thus, the p_{it} is the proportion of universities in the placebo group for which the treatment for that year is larger than that of *i*. Similarly, the corresponding statistic when taking into account the aggregated effect for all years for unit *i*, cTE_i , is:

$$p_{cTE_{i}} = \frac{1}{N_{PL}} \sum_{j} \mathbb{1}\{|cTE_{j}^{PL}| \ge |c\hat{T}E_{i}|\}.$$
(5)

Panel (a) in Figure A2 shows, as an example, the evolution of the number of publications for City, University of London, and that of its artificial counterfactual university. In panel b, we show the treatment effects $\hat{\alpha}_{it}$ of City (bold line) and those of the control units for placebo tests.

2.3. Multiple treated units: average treatment effects and significance

Accemoglu et al. (2016) calculates the Average Treatment Effect on the Treated (ATT) for a group

Figure A2: Example: Number of Publications of City, University of London, and its synthetic control unit



of treated units by computing a fit-weighted ATT. The fit-weighted ATT is computed as

$$A\hat{T}T = \frac{\sum_{i \in Treat} \frac{cTE_i}{\hat{\sigma}_i}}{\sum_{i \in Treat} \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_i}}; \quad \text{where } \hat{\sigma}_i = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{t=2001}^{t=2008} \hat{\alpha}_{it}^2}{8}}$$
(6)

where $\hat{\sigma}_i$ is the Root of the Mean Squared Predictive Error of the estimated effects over the pretreatment period (RMSPE) for treated unit *i*, that is, the discrepancy between the actual and counterfactual patterns before the intervention. The ATT describes a weighted average of the cumulative effects over the intervention period, using the inverse of the RMSPE, $\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_i}$, as weights. This implies that universities with a better matching have a higher impact on the estimate of the ATT which provides an unbiased estimate of ATT.

To create our (pseudo) placebo tests, we follow the generalization proposed by Cavallo et al. (2013) of Abadie et al. (2010)'s placebo approach to do inferences about the average effect estimated across multiple treated units. A null distribution of placebo ATT effects is again needed. Following Acemoglu et al. (2016), we create 5,000 placebo treatment groups of the same size as the number of treated units, in our case the 81 UK universities, which are extracted with replacement through bootstrap from the set of control units (even if the control group is of smaller size than 81). We again index all these placebo ATTs over j. The p-values for the overall ATT are given by:

$$\hat{p}_{ATT} = \frac{1}{5000} \sum_{j} \mathbb{1}\{|ATT_{j}^{PL}| \ge |A\hat{T}T|\}.$$
(7)

We base our placebo tests on the \hat{p}_{ATT} above and consider that, if it is less than 5%, the average effect of the REF 2014 is significant, i.e., not random or an artefact of the method.

2.4. Assessing the goodness of fit of the matching

There are several ways to check if a weighted average of controls is able to approximate the outcome of the treated unit in the pre-treatment period. One could visually compare the difference in pretreatment outcomes between the treatment unit and its synthetic control. Or, one could look at the distributions of the root of the mean square predictive error over the pre-treatment (RMSPE). Nevertheless, although there is currently no consensus on what constitutes a 'good fit' or how to judge the similarity between treated and control units (Bouttell et al. 2018), most of the works making use of the SCM compare the *distance* between the treatment and synthetic control unit/s during the pre-treatment period, i.e., the RMSPE, for the treated and for the placebo control (US) units. Figure A3 below shows the distributions of the pre-treatment RMSPEs for the treated UK universities and for the placebo US universities for the first two outcome measures of interest, the number of publications in journals and of the number of publications in top journals. As can be appreciated, the distributions of UK universities and US controls match well in both cases.

Figure A3: Kernel estimate distributions of pre-treatment RMSPE for control (US) and UK universities



Notes: It is used for the assessment of the quality of SCM matching for two of the outcomes: number of publications in journals (Panel a) and top journals (Panel b).

Following the placebo approach of Cavallo et al. (2013) (Cavallo, E., Galiani, S., Noy, I. Pantano, J. (2013), 'Catastrophic natural disasters and economic growth.', Review of Economics and Statistics 95(5), 1549–1561), we look at the proportion of placebos that have pre-treatment RM-SPEs at least as large as the average RMSPEs of the treated units. But, instead of using the average of the pre-treatment treated unit RMSPE distribution as a reference for comparison, we consider the *median*, which is more robust to potential outliers or, in our case, UK universities that show a poor matching with their counterfactuals. These universities would be the ones discarded for the computation of the corrected ATTs, following the approach used by Acemoglu et al. (2016). We call the proportion of placebo p_{match} :

$$p_{match} = \frac{1}{N_{PL}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{PL}} \mathbb{1}\{\text{RMSPE}_{j}^{PL} \ge \text{RMSPE}_{Median}^{UK}\}.$$
(8)

Note that p_{match} gives the proportion of the considered placebos that have RMSPEs above the median of the associated to the treated. If placebo RMSPEs are very frequently smaller than those of the treated, then the control group is not able to properly replicate the patterns of the treated units. Thus, the larger the p_{match} , the better the quality of the matching. However, the control units are somehow similar in that we should not expect their RMSPEs to be too high. Therefore, if the control group can reasonably reproduce the treated units, we expect their pre-treatment RMSPE distributions to be close to one another but not too often be the placebo ones smaller than the treated. Thus, we consider that a p_{match} of about 50% indicates an acceptable match.

For all the outcomes, the p_{match} values are reported in the first column of Table 3. For all outcomes, p_{match} is about 50% or higher, and, thus, we consider the quality of the match acceptable. Since the matching is done for each outcome variable, as explained in section 6, the set of matching coefficients is allowed to be different. As an example, Table A6 shows matching

coefficients for the outcome 'Number of Publications'.

Treated	Synthetic control composition
Aberystwyth University	Brandeis University (0.452), Claremont McKenna College (0.432), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.104), Baylor University (0.013)
Aston University	Florida Atlantic University (0.791), University of Georgia (0.209)
Bangor University	Middlebury College (0.330), Claremont McKenna College (0.294), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.231), Williams College (0.144)
Birkbeck College	Middlebury College (0.393), West Virginia University (0.269), Syracuse University (0.199), University of Rochester (0.056), University of Massachusetts-Amherst (0.046), Brandeis University (0.036)
Bournemouth University	Williams College (0.430), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.240), Middlebury College (0.225), Appalachian State University (0.105)
Brunel University London	University of Texas-Dallas (0.777), Arizona State University (0.088), State University of New York-Buffalo (0.061), Purdue University (0.044), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.023), Florida Atlantic University (0.007)
Cardiff University	University of Chicago (0.274), Washington University in St. Louis (0.216), Vanderbilt University (0.197), New York University (0.158), Oklahoma State University (0.093), University of Illinois at Urbana- Champaign (0.038), University of Texas-Dallas (0.025)
City University London	University of Delaware (0.381), Florida Atlantic University (0.292), University of Georgia (0.254), North- western University (0.073)
Coventry University	Claremont McKenna College (0.423), Middlebury College (0.198), Fordham University (0.197), Ap- palachian State University (0.148), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.024), Brandeis University (0.010)
Cranfield University	Florida Atlantic University (0.652), University of Georgia (0.288), Texas A&M University (0.040), University of Arizona (0.021)
De Montfort University	University of Nevada-Reno (0.423), Claremont McKenna College (0.352), University of North Carolina- Greensboro (0.133), College of William & Mary (0.091)
Edinburgh Napier University	Appalachian State University (0.631), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.139), Claremont McKenna College (0.118), Baylor University (0.112)
Glasgow Caledonian University	Appalachian State University (0.562), Florida Atlantic University (0.293), Baylor University (0.116), West Virginia University (0.029)
Heriot-Watt University	Baylor University (0.410), West Virginia University (0.334), Florida Atlantic University (0.103), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.092), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.060)
Imperial College London	University of California-Santa Barbara (0.278), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.247), Stan- ford University (0.187), Georgia State University (0.180), University of California-Davis (0.068), Univer- sity of California-Los Angeles (0.039)
Keele University	Brandeis University (0.548), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.345), Fordham University (0.106)
King's College London	Baylor University (0.304), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.304), Syracuse University (0.193), Williams College (0.100), Temple University (0.070), Harvard University (0.017), Florida Atlantic Uni- versity (0.011)
Kingston University	Appalachian State University (0.271), Williams College (0.270), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.250), Florida Atlantic University (0.105), Baylor University (0.057), Oklahoma State University (0.047)
Lancaster University	University of Texas-Dallas (0.626), University of Georgia (0.274), Texas A&M University (0.066), Florida Atlantic University (0.034)
Leeds Beckett University	Brandeis University (0.558), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.242), Baylor University (0.106), Clare- mont McKenna College (0.095)
London Business School	State University of New York-Buffalo (0.755), Boston College (0.152), Harvard University (0.087), University of Oklahoma (0.006)
London Metropolitan University	University of Nevada-Reno (0.590), Middlebury College (0.204), Baylor University (0.148), Brandeis University (0.053)
LSE	Harvard University (0.336), University of Georgia (0.296), University of Connecticut (0.213), MIT (0.150)
London South Bank University	Williams College (0.504), Middlebury College (0.201), Fordham University (0.198), Brandeis University (0.091), Claremont McKenna College (0.007)
Manchester Metropolitan University	Middlebury College (0.264), Boston College (0.233), Baylor University (0.170), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.153), Florida Atlantic University (0.100), Syracuse University (0.078)
Middlesex University	Florida Atlantic University (0.275), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.243), University of Nevada- Reno (0.168), Claremont McKenna College (0.135), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.131), Baylor University (0.048)
Newcastle University	University of Nevada-Reno (0.499), Baylor University (0.150), Tufts University (0.085), Princeton Univer- sity (0.064), Rutgers University-New Brunswick (0.064), Auburn University (0.061), Syracuse University (0.040), Harvard University (0.037)
Nottingham Trent University	University of Colorado at Denver (0.345), Claremont McKenna College (0.253), Middlebury College (0.235), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.089), Williams College (0.052), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.025)
Open University	Brandeis University (0.322), University of California-Riverside (0.248), University of Oklahoma (0.184), Baylor University (0.113), University of Iowa (0.098), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.035)
Oxford Brookes University	Middlebury College (0.483), Baylor University (0.180), Florida Atlantic University (0.144), Oklahoma State University (0.109), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.084)

Table A6: SCM estimated coefficients: Number of Publications

Treated (Table continued)	Synthetic control composition
Queen Mary University of London	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.293), Florida Atlantic University (0.282), University of Tennessee- Knoxville (0.252), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.089), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.070), University of Georgia (0.013)
Queen's University Belfast	University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.588), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.227), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.095), University of Texas-Dallas (0.043), Purdue University (0.036), Florida Atlantic University (0.010)
Robert Gordon University	Middlebury College (0.516), Claremont McKenna College (0.433), University of North Carolina- Greensboro (0.033), Williams College (0.018)
Royal Holloway, University of London	University of California-Santa Cruz (0.533), Florida Atlantic University (0.215), University of California- Santa Barbara (0.137), City University of New York (0.078), University of Texas-Dallas (0.029), Georgia State University (0.009)
Sheffield Hallam University	Brandeis University (0.437), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.301), Baylor University (0.177), West Virginia University (0.085)
Staffordshire University	Claremont McKenna College (0.786), Williams College (0.214)
Swansea University	Fordham University (0.622), Appalachian State University (0.205), University of Texas-Dallas (0.102), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.041), West Virginia University (0.030)
University College London	University of Chicago (0.315), Rice University (0.273), City University of New York (0.273), Fordham University (0.093), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.046)
University of Aberdeen	Brigham Young University (0.350), State University of New York-Albany (0.258), Stony Brook University (0.140), Washington University in St. Louis (0.091), Fordham University (0.070), University of Iowa (0.060), University of Minnesota (0.030)
University of Bath	Florida Atlantic University (0.488), University of Georgia (0.284), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.210), University of Michigan (0.010), West Virginia University (0.008)
University of Bedfordshire	Claremont McKenna College (0.702), Middlebury College (0.298)
University of Birmingham	Stanford Uni (0.237), Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (0.196), Uni of California-Santa Cruz (0.186), Uni of Colorado at Denver (0.180), Uni of Rochester (0.116), Georgia State Uni (0.050), Temple Uni (0.034)
University of Bradford	University of Tennessee-Knoxville (0.473), Fordham University (0.204), Baylor University (0.152), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.086), Claremont McKenna College (0.085)
University of Brighton	Williams College (0.596), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.388), Florida Atlantic University (0.016)
University of Bristol	West Virginia University (0.393), University of Delaware (0.231), Brandeis University (0.170), Iowa State University (0.123), Syracuse University (0.053), Boston College (0.031)
University of Cambridge	University of California-Santa Barbara (0.433), Harvard University (0.261), Rensselaer Polytechnic Insti- tute (0.150), MIT (0.069), Georgia State University (0.045), University of California-Los Angeles (0.042)
University of Central Lancashire	Claremont McKenna College (0.521), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.433), Appalachian State University (0.045)
University of Dundee	West Virginia University (0.493), Middlebury College (0.353), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.152)
University of Durham	University of Tennessee-Knoxville (0.533), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.237), Fordham University (0.117), Baylor University (0.059), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.054)
University of East Anglia	Appalachian State University (0.337), Syracuse University (0.255), University of North Carolina- Greensboro (0.155), Oklahoma State University (0.132), University of Texas-Dallas (0.061), Iowa State University (0.046), University of Rochester (0.013)
University of East London	Claremont McKenna College (0.674), Middlebury College (0.315), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.011)
University of Edinburgh	Claremont McKenna College (0.323), University of Texas-Dallas (0.294), Georgia State University (0.225), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.108), MIT (0.050)
University of Essex	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.288), Georgia Institute of Technology (0.181), Oklahoma State University (0.164), University of Wyoming (0.158), University of Rochester (0.077), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.070), Iowa State University (0.056), University of Massachusetts-Amherst (0.006)
University of Exeter	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.263), University of Delaware (0.250), University of California- Riverside (0.163), Arizona State University (0.157), Baylor University (0.115), University of Iowa (0.039), North Carolina State University (0.014)
University of Glasgow	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.322), University of Massachusetts-Amherst (0.257), Iowa State University (0.169), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.100), George Washington University (0.086), Oklahoma State University (0.038), University of Tennessee-Knoxville (0.025)
University of Greenwich	Claremont McKenna College (0.747), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.126), Appalachian State University (0.069), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.059)
University of Hertfordshire	Claremont McKenna College (0.737), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.232), Baylor University (0.017), Fordham University (0.014)
University of Hull	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.428), Oklahoma State University (0.375), Florida Atlantic University (0.193)
University of Kent	University of California-Santa Cruz (0.483), Florida Atlantic University (0.214), University of California- Santa Barbara (0.148), University of Texas-Dallas (0.134), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.021)
University of Leeds	University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.290), University of Georgia (0.264), University of Florida (0.226), University of Texas-Dallas (0.210), Arizona State University (0.010)

Treated (Table continued)	Synthetic control composition
University of Leicester	University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.364), Williams College (0.225), Dartmouth College (0.195),
	Boston College (0.095), Harvard University (0.066), Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (0.055)
University of Liverpool	University of California-Santa Cruz (0.405), College of William & Mary (0.290), University of Texas- Dallas (0.171), Claremont McKenna College (0.068), City University of New York (0.066)
University of Manchester	Pennsylvania State University (0.565), Texas A&M University (0.205), Purdue University (0.165), North- western University (0.064)
University of Northumbria at Newcastle	Middlebury College (0.691), Baylor University (0.174), Brandeis University (0.135)
University of Nottingham	Texas A&M University (0.739), Florida Atlantic University (0.124), Syracuse University (0.066),
	Columbia University (0.048), City University of New York (0.023)
University of Oxford	Harvard University (0.477), University of Chicago (0.231), Georgia State University (0.141), City University of New York (0.119) Stanford University (0.032)
University of Plymouth	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.460), University of Nevada-Reno (0.377), Claremont McKenna Col-
	lege (0.074), University of Alabama-Tuscaloosa (0.061), Baylor University (0.028)
University of Portsmouth	University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.586), Florida Atlantic University (0.193), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.110), Stony Brook University (0.100), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.011)
University of Reading	Florida Atlantic University (0.298), Oklahoma State University (0.286), University of Florida (0.225),
	Syracuse University (0.190)
University of Salford	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.552), Stony Brook University - SUNY (0.181), University of Chicago
	(0.102), Oklahoma State University (0.088), University of Texas-Dallas (0.077)
University of Shemeid	Oklanoma State University (0.547), University of Georgia (0.453)
Chiversity of Southampton	Berkeley (0.157), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (0.011)
University of South Wales	Claremont McKenna College (0.289), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.243), Stony Brook University (0.184), Brandeis University (0.177), Middlebury College (0.078), Fordham University (0.029)
University of St Andrews	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.521), Colorado State University (0.135), Baylor University (0.125), California Institute of Technology (0.115), University of Texas-Dallas (0.074), Stony Brook University (0.030)
University of Stirling	University of California-Santa Barbara (0.391), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.361), Florida Atlantic University (0.229), City University of New York (0.009), University of Texas-Dallas (0.007)
University of Strathclyde	University of California-Santa Barbara (0.399), University of Virginia (0.357), University of California- Los Angeles (0.160), University of Minnesota (0.030), University of Pittsburgh (0.023), Stanford Univer- sity (0.019), University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (0.011)
University of Sunderland	Claremont McKenna College (0.834), Middlebury College (0.166)
University of Surrey	Temple University (0.485), Syracuse University (0.245), West Virginia University (0.189), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.080)
University of Sussex	Fordham University (0.555), University of Texas-Dallas (0.259), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.098), Iowa State University (0.049), Appalachian State University (0.026), University of Rochester (0.016)
University of the West of England, Bristol	Appalachian State University (0.311), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.308), Florida Atlantic University (0.216), University of California-Santa Barbara (0.097), Oklahoma State University (0.069)
University of Ulster	Virginia Commonwealth University (0.434), Middlebury College (0.278), Boston College (0.144), Baylor University (0.054), Harvard University (0.033), Syracuse University (0.032), Florida Atlantic University (0.025)
University of Warwick	Pennsylvania State University (0.297), Yale University (0.257), Purdue University (0.231), University of Georgia (0.120), Florida State University (0.058), University of Chicago (0.037)
University of Westminster	University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.426), Middlebury College (0.248), Appalachian State University (0.183), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.105), Florida Atlantic University (0.038)
University of Wolverhampton	Middlebury College (0.535), Appalachian State University (0.304), University of Maryland-Baltimore (0.081), Williams College (0.080)
University of York	Dartmouth College (0.643), Princeton University (0.287), Boston College (0.059), University of North Carolina-Greensboro (0.011)

Notes:. This table provides the Synthetic control method (SCM) estimated coefficients (weights) for the Number of Publications in each UK university. The results for all other variables are available upon request.