

# Organising a Literary Corpus in the Middle Ages

INSTRVMENTA PATRISTICA ET MEDIAEVALIA

Research on the Inheritance of Early and Medieval Christianity

# Organising a Literary Corpus in the Middle Ages

The *Corpus Nazianzenum* and the *Corpus Dionysiacum*

Edited by  
Caroline MACÉ

with the collaboration of  
Michael MUTHREICH

TURNHOUT  
BREPOLS  
2024

# INSTRUMENTA PATRISTICA ET MEDIAEVALIA

Research on the Inheritance of Early and Medieval Christianity

---

Founded by Dom Eligius Dekkers († 1998)

Gert PARDOENS Alexander ANDRÉE Rita BEYERS Emanuela COLOMBI  
Georges DECLERCQ Jeroen DEPLOIGE Paul-Augustin DEPROOST  
Greti DINKOVA-BRUUN Anthony DUPONT Jacques ELFASSI Guy GULDENTOPS  
Hugh HOUGHTON Mathijs LAMBERIGTS Johan LEEMANS Paul MATTEI  
Marco PETOLETTI Dominique POIREL Bram ROOSSEN Kees SCHEPERS  
Paul TOMBEUR Toon VAN HAL Peter VAN NUFFELEN  
Marc VAN UYTFANGHE Wim VERBAAL

Dieser Band wurde durch die Gemeinsame Wissenschaftskonferenz (GWK) im Rahmen des Akademienprogramms mit Mitteln des Bundes (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung) und des Landes Niedersachsen (Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Kultur) gefördert.

© 2024, Brepolis Publishers n. v./s.a., Turnhout, Belgium.

This is an open access publication made available under a CC BY-NC 4.0 International License:  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, for commercial purposes, without the prior permission of the publisher, or as expressly permitted by law, by license or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization.

ISBN 978-2-503-61096-2  
E-ISBN 978-2-503-61097-9  
DOI 10.1484/M.IPM-EB.5.136883  
ISSN 1379-9878  
E-ISSN 2294-8457

Printed in the EU on acid-free paper.  
D/2024/0095/108

## Table of Contents

Caroline MACÉ

Living Bodies of Texts

The *Corpus Nazianzenum* and the *Corpus Dionysiacum* 7

Bernard COULIE

Le Centre d'études sur Grégoire de Nazianze à Louvain-la-Neuve 41

Ekkehard MÜHLENBERG

Das Akademievorhaben „Dionysius Areopagita“ in Göttingen 53

### I. ORIGINS AND EVOLUTION OF THE CORPORA

Margherita MATERA

Le palimpseste *Parisinus graecus 1330*, le plus ancien témoin manuscrit du *Corpus Dionysiacum* 63  
Notes paléographiques et codicologiques

Pierre-Marie PICARD

Les divisions mauristes des œuvres poétiques de Grégoire de Nazianze, des sous-corpus évolutifs à interroger 93

Caroline MACÉ

Éléments d'une histoire ancienne de la tradition des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze 115  
Les variantes arméno-latines de l'*Or. 38*

Alessandro CAPONE

Alle origini delle antiche versioni latine delle *Orazioni* di Gregorio di Nazianzo 161

Véronique SOMERS

Sur les traces d'une édition byzantine des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze ? 179

Maia MATCHAVARIAN	
Books for Bibliophiles	
Two Ancient Collections of the Georgian Translations of Gregory of Nazianzus' Works – NCM S-1696 and NCM A-87	323
 II. READING TOOLS, SCHOLIA AND COMMENTARIES TO THE CORPORA	
Chiara FARAGGIANA DI SARZANA	
Gehören <i>παρατιθέναι</i> , <i>παραγράφειν</i> , <i>παρακείσθαι</i> zum literarischen oder zum kodikologischen Vokabular?	
Überlegungen zur spätantiken Exegese als Paratext	345
Joseph CHURCH	
The Ancient Lexica to the <i>Corpus Dionysiacum</i>	361
Emiliano FIORI	
School and Scholia in the Syriac Miaphysite Tradition	379
Sergio LA PORTA	
Reading the Areopagite	
The Armenian Scholia on the <i>Corpus Dionysiacum</i> and their Use in the Medieval School Curriculum	425
Jonathan LOOPSTRA	
Reading the Corpus of Gregory of Nazianzus by Way of the Margins	449
Thomas SCHMIDT	
Les <i>Commentaires</i> de Basile le Minime aux <i>Discours</i> de Grégoire de Nazianze. Le cas particulier du <i>Discours</i>	511
Gaëlle Rioual	
Basile le Minime, commentateur de quel texte de Grégoire de Nazianze ?	529
Thamar OTKHMEZURI	
The <i>Corpus Nazianzenum</i> and the <i>Corpus Dionysiacum</i> in the Georgian Literary Tradition	563
Jost GIPPERT	
Ephrem the Minor's Preface Revisited	585
Bibliography	599
Indices	665

# School and Scholia in the Syriac Miaphysite Tradition

## The Syriacisation of Dionysius the Areopagite as a Collective Exegetical Effort\*

Emiliano FIORI  
(Venice)

*Di loro non sapevo niente, salvo che commentavano qualcosa, con le loro glosse.  
E questa idea di uno scritto che nasce da un altro scritto,  
lo rielabora, gli aggiunge qualcosa che prima non c'era,  
mi sembrava qualcosa da seguire.*<sup>1</sup>

Roberto Calasso, *Memè Scianca*

The present contribution does not intend to tackle the history of the Syriac textual transmission of the *Corpus Dionysiacum*, but to show how, over many centuries (sixth to twelfth), the collective exegetical effort of the Syriac miaphysite Church made it become a proper Syriac corpus. Indeed, the Areopagitic writings were, for most of their history in the Syriac language, characterised by the addition and interaction of scholia and commentaries by Syriac authors and schools: as a matter of fact, no Syriac manuscript of the Dionysian Corpus (except for the earliest one, as we shall see) is devoid of abundant scholia. At the end of this history, Dionysius had become a “Syriac” father, surrounded by a rich tradition of Syriac exegesis. This long process passed not only through two suc-

\* Thanks are due to Lucas Van Rompay, who read the article with the usual carefulness and gave valuable insights.

<sup>1</sup> “I knew nothing about them, except that, with their glosses, they commented on something. And this idea of a piece of writing that is born from another piece of writing, reworks it, adds something to it that wasn’t there before, this idea seemed to me something to follow” (R. CALASSO, *Memè Scianca*, Milano, 2021, p. 47).

cessive translations of the Corpus itself, but also, and mainly, through the progressive stratification and reciprocal incorporation of Syriac commentaries on Dionysius' writings. If, at the beginning of this itinerary, Syriac readers had to resort to the translation of the Greek scholia of John of Scythopolis, the subsequent layers added by John of Dara, "Theodore bar Zarudi" and Dionysius bar Şalibī contributed to deeply absorb the corpus into the canon of Syriac (miaphysite<sup>2</sup>) literature.

It is on these commentaries as the capital agents of the Syriac appropriation of Dionysius that this article will focus, providing an overview of their specificities, the milieux that produced them and their mutual relationships.

### 1. A Naked Corpus: Sergius of Resh‘ayna (and some newly discovered scholia on his version)

The Dionysian Corpus in Syriac translation was born naked. To be sure, it was born as a corpus, if we understand corpus as the compact body of mostly inseparable writings that Dionysius' oeuvre has always been. It was not born a corpus, however, in the sense that it entered the Syriac world without any apparent commentary tradition behind it. Indeed, it was translated very early, before 536, and the only complete witness to this early translation is the seventh-century manuscript Saint Catherine of Sinai, Syriac 52.<sup>3</sup> This manuscript is also particularly renowned for being the earliest witness overall to the Dionysian corpus. This version was due to the physician and miaphysite priest Sergius of Resh‘ayna,<sup>4</sup> who died in 536, thus, before whatever commentary activity, in any lan-

<sup>2</sup> On the poor reception of Dionysius in the Church of the East, FIORI, "East Syrian Mystics".

<sup>3</sup> SMITH LEWIS, *Catalogue Sinai*, p. 41; KAMIL, *Catalogue Sinai*, p. 153; a more detailed description in Dionigi Areopagita, *Nomi divini*, ed. et tr. FIORI, I, pp. XIII-XXI. A low-quality digital reproduction from a microfilm is available at <https://www.loc.gov/item/00279386632-ms/> (last retrieved 21 April 2023).

<sup>4</sup> The idea that the translation in Sinai Syriac 52 has to be attributed to Sergius originated with SHERWOOD, "Sergius of Reshaina". Indeed, it must be mentioned that the attribution to Sergius is a deduction, and that the manuscript does not explicitly ascribe it to him.

guage, had begun.<sup>5</sup> And indeed, Sinai Syriac 52 is not accompanied by any explanation or marginalia. The treatises are in the order, unusual for the Greek, *DN – CH – MT – EH – Epp.* They are preceded by Sergius' own *Discourse on Spiritual Life*,<sup>6</sup> which he used as introduction to the Corpus.

This is also the earliest attestation of the corpus in this bare form, and one of the few early extant exemplars overall of a Dionysian Corpus without scholia. This first translation, however, was not born in a void. A trustworthy Syriac source of the middle of the sixth century, the *Chronicle* of Ps.-Zachariah, affirms that the translation of the Dionysian corpus was made by Sergius as a service to the orthodox,<sup>7</sup> which, in this context, means the miaphysite cause. Indeed, with all likelihood the translation was given as a commission to Sergius by his bishop, Peter of Resh‘ayna, after the latter attended the confrontation of Chalcedonian and miaphysite clerics in Constantinople in 532.<sup>8</sup> As was usual by that time on the occasion of such debates, the Miaphysites adduced some

<sup>5</sup> Sergius' version is partially edited (*DN, MT*, and what remains of the *Epp.*) in Dionigi Areopagita, *Nomi divini*, ed. et tr. FIORI. Studies on this translation are now abundant; its reasons and purport for the interpretation of the Corpus are interpreted differently by myself and István Perczel. See most recently FIORI, “Sergius of Resh‘ayna”; PERCZEL, “Notes”. Both articles contain exhaustive bibliographies of previous literature by both Fiori and Perczel on Sergius' version.

<sup>6</sup> First edition, with a second-hand French translation, in SHERWOOD, “Mîmo”. An Italian translation in FIORI, *Sergio di Resh‘ayna*.

<sup>7</sup> *Historia ecclesiastica Zachariae Rhetori*, ed. et tr. BROOKS, IX, 19, p. 136 (vol. 3, *textus*), p. 93 (vol. 4, *versio*); *The Chronicle of Pseudo-Zachariah Rhetor*, ed. et tr. GREATREX – PHENIX – HORN, p. 369. See my analysis of this passage in FIORI, “Intellectuel alexandrin”, pp. 61–63.

<sup>8</sup> As is known, the *collatio cum severianis* is described by the Chalcedonian Innocentius of Maronea in his *Epistula ad Thomam presbyterum Thessalonicensem de collatione cum severianis habita* (which was composed in Greek but only survives in a Latin translation) in ACO, IV/2, pp. 169–184, and by three miaphysite sources: (1) the Plerophory of the miaphysite bishops as reported in ps. Zachariah (*Hist. eccl.*, ed. and tr. BROOKS, IX, 15, 115–123 [vol. 3, *textus*], 79–84 [vol. 4, *versio*]; ed. et tr. GREATREX – PHENIX – HORN, pp. 345–354); (2) a Syriac report on what the orthodox (miaphysite) bishops said to Justinian (London, BL, Add. 12155, fols. 110v–111r, transcribed in NAU, *Documents*, pp. 192–

patristic passages in support of their stance, and, among these, there was one from Dionysius' *DNI*,<sup>4</sup> The Areopagite, then, was perceived by the Miaphysites as a source that could help them make their case, and Peter, the bishop of Resh'ayna, probably thought it fit to spread the Corpus also in Syriac. In this sense, the first step of Dionysius' life in Syriac was the result of the collective endeavour of the exiled miaphysite elite who, after Emperor Justin's accession to the throne in 518, was trying to develop the best weapons for their intellectual defence against the Chalcedonians. Sergius' version, although deprived of any marginalia in the manuscript Sinai Syr. 52, was commented upon at least once. In a ninth or, more probably, tenth-century manuscript, Jerusalem, Saint Mark's Monastery 124,<sup>10</sup> eighteen folia (numbered by pages: pp. 13-50) preserve a previously unnoticed sequence of scholia on, so sounds the title, "some difficult words"<sup>11</sup> of the Dionysian Corpus. A look at the language reveals that the version commented

196; and (3) another Syriac report edited by BROCK, "Conversations", with text and translation on pp. 91-113.

The presence of Peter of Resh‘ayna at the Collatio is attested by Innocentius (*ACO*, IV/2, p. 169.27) and by the Syriac document n. 2, BL Add. 12155, fol. 11ov). On the hypothesis that Peter of Resh‘ayna may have been the commissioner of the translation, see FIORI, “Sergius of Resh‘ayna”, pp. 156–157, and Dionigi Areopagita, *Nomi divini*, ed. et tr. FIORI, II, p. XXIV.

<sup>9</sup> The quotation is present in pseudo-Zachariah: see *Hist. eccl.*, ed. and tr. BROOKS, IX, 15, pp. 119-120 (vol. 3, *textus*), p. 82 (vol. 4, *versio*); ed. et tr. GREATREX – PHENIX – HORN, pp. 350-351. A debate on the authenticity of Dionysius' oeuvre famously took place at the *Collatio* and is exclusively attested by Innocentius (*ACO*, IV/2, p. 173.12-18). See Dionigi Areopagita, *Nomi divini*, ed. et tr. FIORI, II, pp. XXII-XXIV, for a detailed analysis of the Dionysian quotation at the *Collatio*.

<sup>10</sup> Accessible at <https://w3id.org/vhml/readingRoom/view/126835> (last retrieved on 20 April 2023; metadata by Adam McCollum); see also DOLABANI, *Catalogue St Mark's Monastery*, pp. 281-282. The manuscript was noticed by I. A. BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, pp. 126-127, who attributed the scholia, with no reasons, to Theodore bar Zarudi (on whom see below). Apart from the fact that they comment on two different versions, a quick comparison between the scholia in SMMJ 124 and Theodore bar Zarudi suffices to prove that they have little to nothing in common from the point of view of the content.

<sup>11</sup> SMMJ 124, p. 13: *କହେନ୍ତିବୁ କହେନ୍ତିବୁ କହେନ୍ତିବୁ*.

upon is indeed Sergius'.<sup>12</sup> Although it is difficult to suggest any dating for these scholia, they can hardly have been composed later than the ninth century, since commentators of Dionysius of that century or later normally used Phokas' version; the scholia, then, may have been composed between the seventh and the eighth century, and they remain an isolated episode: indeed, no other copies are known to exist, nor do they seem to have had any impact on subsequent readers of Dionysius. It is interesting to note that they present a sequence of short lemmas, usually no more than two or three words, from Dionysius' text, immediately followed by the scholion introduced by *ā* (which stands for *αυτός*, "that is/i.e."), which is typical of all exegetical texts in Syriac. On the one hand, they presuppose that the reader can refer to an integral copy of the commented writings; on the other hand, they anticipate or parallel a layout that we are going to find again in the following pages.

## 2. Phokas' Translation of the Corpus (Seventh Century) and the Syriac Version of John of Scythopolis' Scholia

Only shortly after Sergius' death, in the 30s or at the latest in the early 40s of the sixth century, did John, the erudite Chalcedonian bishop of Scythopolis, start writing his Greek scholia to the Dionysian Corpus. John's scholia, which became inseparable from the Corpus in the Greek tradition, also made their way to Syriac, but only around the end of the seventh or in the early eighth century, when a certain Phokas of Edessa, on whom we do not have any important biographical details,<sup>13</sup> again translated the Dionysian Corpus into Syriac. Phokas kept Sergius' *Discourse on Spiritual Life* as an introduction to the Corpus, but prefaced it with other materials: his own introduction-apology on the necessity of a new translation,<sup>14</sup> a translation of John of Scythopolis' introduc-

<sup>12</sup> Moreover, these scholia comment on the Dionysian treatises in the rare order *DN – CH – MT – EH* (the *Epp.* are not commented on), i.e. the sequence of Sergius' translation.

<sup>13</sup> A certain Cyriacus bar Shamuna, who produced a sort of 'edition' of Phokas' translation in 766/7, affirms that he lived in Serugh (see HORNUS, "Corpus dionysien", p. 72): on this Cyriacus, see below in this paragraph.

<sup>14</sup> A first insufficient French translation in VAN ESBROECK, "Triple preface"; for a new English translation with a transcription of the Syriac text, see here below, footnote 16.

tion to the Corpus, and a defence of the authenticity of the Corpus by the deacon George of Scythopolis. He added a note at the end of the Corpus, where he states that he carried out his translation and had it copied within the space of a year.<sup>15</sup> The treatises are in the order (much more common in Greek than Sergius' order) *CH – EH – DN – MT – Epp.* Phokas maintains in the illuminating preface to his own translation that he simply revised Sergius' version, although, in fact, a close reading reveals that his is, in most cases, a brand-new rendering.<sup>16</sup> Moreover, he writes that he found in the Greek exemplar at his disposal

explanations of those words whose comprehension was difficult [...], which were composed by [...] a scholastikos by [his] profession, John by name, from the city of Bishan [Scythopolis, today's Beit Shean]. I took pains [...] to translate those scholia from the Greek language into Syriac. Together with them, however, [I] also [re-translated] those [words] that I found in the earlier translation of Sergius, which are not translated with precision.<sup>17</sup>

These few sentences are indicative of Phokas' approach, which is also the approach of his milieu (the cultivated Syriac miaphysite clergy) at the end of the seventh century and in the following 150 years. Phokas depicts his own work as a search for precision in *wording*, and his decision to translate John's scholia as the result of a didactic concern: since some of Dionysius' *words* are difficult, John will make them more comprehensible for the readers. In both cases, his main interest lies in the correct comprehension of words, not differently from the intention expressed in the title of the anonymous scholia on Sergius of Resh'ayna's version that I dealt with in the previous paragraph. We may define this as the approach of the *grammatikos*; it is the typical scholastic and erudite approach that characterises the early history of the Dionysian corpus in Syriac and marks the starting point of its transformation into a distinctively Syriac corpus. Although we do not know much about Phokas, we can infer that he worked in the late seventh century since

<sup>15</sup> See especially HORNUS, “Le corpus dionysien”, p. 70 for a first orientation on this material. Phokas' final note is transcribed by WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 495-496.

<sup>16</sup> For a preliminary discussion of Phokas' translation technique and a transcription and translation of Phokas' preface from the two most ancient manuscripts, see FIORI, “Opheleia”.

<sup>17</sup> FIORI, “Opheleia”, pp. 191-192.

in his preface he mentions Jacob of Edessa, who died in 708, and Athanasius of Balad, whom he qualifies as the (miaphysite) patriarch of Antioch, which Athanasius was from 685 to his death in 687.<sup>18</sup> Indeed, Phokas situates his own work in the context of a new translation trend, of which Jacob and Athanasius are cited as the most prominent representatives among others:

Athanasius, patriarch of Antioch, and Jacob, bishop of Edessa, with their skill [...] in a certain sense married the two languages, and produced profitable fruits from their joining, together with yet other anonymous people who had come before them – from that time, the art is being refined and clarified, and thanks to their diligence [they] are adopting from the precise rendering of the Greek words that are unusual for the Syrians.<sup>19</sup>

Thus, Phokas programmatically inscribes his revision of Sergius' version into a collective intellectual endeavour aimed at the better comprehension and appropriation of Greek theology and sciences, especially through precision in lexical rendering. Indeed, Phokas' acquaintance with the work of Jacob and Athanasius, as well as his linguistic approach, show that he had to have had some acquaintance with the monastery of Qenneshre. This monastery had been founded in the first half of the sixth century on the river Orontes, not far from Antioch, by an erudite, Greek-speaking miaphysite monk of Aramaic origin, John bar Aphtonia. When the persecutions against miaphysite churchmen started with the accession of Justin to the imperial throne, John bar Aphtonia moved the monastery to the left bank of the Euphrates,<sup>20</sup> where it remained for centuries to follow and progressively became a hub of Hellenistic culture within the Syriac miaphysite Church and, more generally, for the whole Syriac world until at least the tenth century.<sup>21</sup> Between the seventh and ninth century, the high ranks of the Syriac miaphysite

<sup>18</sup> On the dates of both these scholars, see SCHRIER, “Chronological Problems”. Literature on Jacob is very abundant, but an ideal introduction can be found in the collected contributions of TER HAAR ROMENY (ed.), *Jacob of Edessa*. On Athanasius of Balad, also see TEULE, “Athanasius of Balad”.

<sup>19</sup> FIORI, “Opheleia”, p. 192.

<sup>20</sup> On the location of the monastery, see AL-DABTE, “*Iktishāf Dayr Qinnisrīn*”.

<sup>21</sup> On Qenneshre, see e.g. FIORI, “Cultura filosofica”, pp. 129–138; TANNOUS, “Qenneshre”; VILLEY, “Qennešre”; TANNOUS, *Making*, pp. 169–176.

Church were constantly formed at Qenneshre.<sup>22</sup> Although it is impossible to reconstruct it with any precision, judging from the production of Qenneshre intellectuals, the monastery's library must have been very rich in Greek philosophical, scientific and theological books.<sup>23</sup> Writers who studied at the monastery produced erudite Hellenizing works in all these disciplines, and intellectuals who were formed there were the authors of translations of philosophical and theological works, among which are the corpora that are the focus of the present volume. Paul of Edessa, the reviser of the first version of Gregory Nazianzen's Orations, may have studied at Qenneshre,<sup>24</sup> as well as the aforementioned Athanasius of Balad.<sup>25</sup> Qenneshre was only the core, or one of the cores, of a general trend towards the right understanding of words and precision in wording, which obviously played a major role for this highly intellectual and scholastic miaphysite elite, and expressed itself especially in an intense scholastic activity and an increasingly strong literalism in translations.<sup>26</sup> Phokas was evidently part of this movement, which we can define as "collective", insofar as the educated core of a whole Church was involved in it. This is further proved by the fact that, less than a century after his translation, Phokas' work was already slightly revised by a scribe, a certain Cyriacus bar Shamuna of Edessa, probably a monk, who copied the Corpus in 766/7, eliminating Phokas' final note, adding a note of his own hand after Sergius of Resh'ayna's introduction, and restoring Sergius' order of treatises (*DN-CH-MT-EH-Epp.*).<sup>27</sup> This

<sup>22</sup> FIORI, "Cultura filosofica", pp. 130-131.

<sup>23</sup> VILLEY, "Qennešre", pp. 155-156.

<sup>24</sup> According to a hypothesis put forward by Brock in *Syriac Version of the Pseudo-Nonnos Mythological Scholia*, ed. et tr. BROCK, p. 29, n. 7; on p. 30, n. 2, Brock notes that Paul is remembered in a menologium produced, with all likelihood, at Qenneshre.

<sup>25</sup> As we learn from the *Ecclesiastical Chronicle* of Barhebraeus, in Gregorii Barhebraei *Chronicon ecclesiasticum*, ed. ABBELOOS – LAMY, I, cols 287-288.

<sup>26</sup> The development of Syriac translation techniques was famously outlined by BROCK, "Translation Technique" and "Changing Fashions". Without contradicting Brock's general picture, KING, *Syriac Versions*, marked a substantial step forward in the research on this topic.

<sup>27</sup> On Cyriacus and his redactional activity, see HORNUS, "Corpus diophysien", pp. 71-72, 76; WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", p. 167.

rearrangement was termed an “edition” by Hornus<sup>28</sup> and Wiesner.<sup>29</sup> Cyriacus did not touch John’s scholia and preserved them. The numerous modern copies made on Cyriacus’ original manuscript<sup>30</sup> allow us to read Cyriacus’ note, in which he prevents the reader from a possible misunderstanding:

It is necessary that whoever encounters this book of Saint Dionysius knows that [the introduction, scil. Sergius of Resh‘ayna’s *Discourse on Spiritual Life*] is made by Sergius the archiater; the translation from Greek into Syriac, however, is not also his own, but of a man whose name is Phokas bar Sargis of Edessa, who lived in Serugh. This is also [the author of] the apology for the translation that we copied above. However, we included this introductory discourse of the same Sergius, because we know that it will be of no little profit to those who strive to understand Saint Dionysius. Nonetheless, we dropped, based on an honest examination, the version that Sergius made of this book, and we stuck to this one that is now set down, of the same Phokas bar Sargis of Edessa, it being the best choice. We beg for your prayer, o inquiring readers, that you may show mercy

<sup>28</sup> HORNUS, "Corpus dionysien", pp. 71-72, 76.

<sup>29</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", p. 167.

<sup>30</sup> This manuscript, originally preserved at Mosul, in the seventies was in possession of the late Syriac Orthodox Patriarch Mor Ignatius Eywas Zakka, who intended to produce an edition of it (HORNUS, “Corpus dionysien”, p. 72; WIESSNER, “Handschriftenüberlieferung”, p. 167). It is unclear where this manuscript is currently preserved.

<sup>31</sup> Sic (masculine instead of feminine).

<sup>32</sup> Text as found in the manuscript Sharfeh, Syriac Catholic Patriarchate, Rahmani 90, pp. 32-33.

to the one who laboured on this book as (Jesus did to) the thief on the right, amen.

Cyriacus' revision, however, is limited to this small reordering of materials and is, therefore, just a minimal anticipation of the kind of work that characterises the following decades.

After a first overview by Jean-Michel Hornus in 1970,<sup>33</sup> the tradition of Phokas' translation was investigated accurately in Göttingen, firstly, by Gernot Wiesner, who published a long study in 1972,<sup>34</sup> which was intended to pave the way to a critical edition of this version. In that article, he not only clarified the situation of the manuscript tradition of Phokas' version, but also paid attention to the scholia that accompany it and the further commentaries produced in the Syriac miaphysite Church. Unfortunately, the edition never appeared, but, in 1977-1978, not long after Wiesner's seminal article of 1972, Werner Strothmann published a comparative edition of Sergius' and Phokas' versions of *EH*, chapter 4, with all the scholia and commentaries available to him, by John of Scythopolis as well as by other figures that will be mentioned later on in the present article – John of Dara, Theodore bar Zarudi and Dionysius bar Ṣalibī.<sup>35</sup> With these tools at hand, even without a critical edition, we have a clear map of the Syriac land of Dionysius, and we can investigate it in greater detail. Concerning John of Scythopolis' scholia in Syriac, two important features must be mentioned: the first one is that their rendering by Phokas is crucial to assess the real extension of John's commentary in Greek. The story is known: indeed, John's scholia, being rather occasional and sparse, were soon integrated by those of other commentators, especially Maximus the Confessor, who duplicated his commentaries on certain passages or commented on places that John had not touched upon. Consequently, John's scholia were transmitted with the other scholiastic materials in most manuscripts available to us. All the scholia ended up being published as Maximus' work by the Jesuit Pierre Lanssel in 1615 and reprinted by Migne in the fourth volume of the *Patrologia Graeca*, according to the Venice edition of 1755, which, in its turn, reproduced Balthasar Cordier's re-edition of Lanssel's material provided with Cordier's Latin translation. As early as 1940, Hans Urs von Balthasar understood the crucial role the Syriac could play to filter John's commentary from the other scholia and produced a first sifting. Only recently, however, was von

<sup>33</sup> HORNUS, "Corpus dionysien", especially pp. 70-77.

<sup>34</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung".

<sup>35</sup> STROTHMANN, *Sakrament der Myron-Weihe*.

Balthasar's intuition put to profit by Paul Rorem and John Lamoreaux in their monograph on and translation of John's scholia, by Beate Suchla in her 2012 edition of the scholia to the *DN*, and by Alberto Nigra in an important Italian monograph of 2019 on John's theology.<sup>36</sup> All these scholars used different criteria to decide what scholia must be attributed to John, but I cannot dwell on this question now.

The second feature is the scholia's layout. The bishop of Scythopolis did not write a running commentary but only commented on some passages. This allowed enough space for a marginal annotation: indeed, almost all available first-millennium Syriac manuscripts containing these scholia display them in *tabulae ansatae* or frames all around the body of Dionysius' text (whereas this is less frequently the case for second-millennium manuscripts): examples stretch over eleven centuries, as the examples in the following list will make clear. In some early cases, they are apparently written by different hands than those that wrote the main text. Gernot Wiesner accurately studied the techniques used by scribes to link the main body of the text with the scholia, and the importance of affinities in annotation signs and layouts to establish relationships between manuscripts.<sup>37</sup> The following list collects the extant manuscripts known to date where John's scholia accompany Phokas' translation of the Dionysian Corpus.

- London, BL, Add. 12151 (year 804, written by a monk George);<sup>38</sup> John's scholia in the margins.
- London, BL, Add. 12152 (year 837, written by a deacon Addai of Amid);<sup>39</sup> John's scholia in the margins.
- London, BL, Add. 14539 (ninth century);<sup>40</sup> John's scholia in the margins.

<sup>36</sup> VON BALTHASAR, *Kosmische Liturgie*, pp. 644-672 (i.e. the appendix, called "Das Problem der Dionysius-Scholien", where von Balthasar indicated how Phokas' Syriac version could help discern John's authentic scholia, reproducing his former article of 1940: VON BALTHASAR, "Scholienwerk"; SUCHLA, *Maximus-Scholien*; CD, IV/1; ROREM – LAMOREAUX, *John of Scythopolis*; NIGRA, *Pensiero*.

<sup>37</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", pp. 169-188.

<sup>38</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 493-497.

<sup>39</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 497-499. On the scribe Addai see below, note 75.

<sup>40</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 499.

- London, BL, Add. 14540 (ninth century);<sup>41</sup> John's scholia in the margins.
- Città del Vaticano, BAV, Sir. 254 (ninth-tenth century); John's scholia alternate in discrete blocks with Dionysius' text in the main body of writing (see below).<sup>42</sup>
- Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 24 (year 1908, Cyriacus edition, copy of Mattai bar Paulos on Cyriacus' exemplar of 766/7);<sup>43</sup> John's scholia in the main body of the text, alternating with Dionysius' integral text.
- Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 167 (year 1867, Cyriacus edition, written by 'Abd al-Nūr, son of the deacon Behnam);<sup>44</sup> John's scholia in the main body of the text, alternating with Dionysius' integral text.
- Sharfeh, Syriac Catholic Patriarchate, Rahmani 90 (year 1903, Cyriacus edition; copied by 'Abd al-'Azīz, son of the priest George, on an exemplar of Cyriacus which, differently from all other copies, is here dated to 1084 AG, i.e. 772,);<sup>45</sup> John' scholia in the lower margins.
- London, BL, Or. 2306 (year 1548, Cyriacus edition, copy made on Cyriacus' exemplar of 766/7);<sup>46</sup> *non vidi*.
- Manchester, John Rylands Library, 55 (1889, Cyriacus edition, copy of Mattai bar Paulos on Cyriacus' exemplar of 766/7);<sup>47</sup> *non vidi*.
- Harvard, Houghton Library, Syr. 113 (1894, Cyriacus edition, copy of Mattai bar Paulos on Cyriacus' exemplar of 766/7);<sup>48</sup> *non vidi*.
- Pampakuda, Konat Collection, 239 (nineteenth century, Cyriacus edition);<sup>49</sup> John's scholia alternate with Dionysius' texts, both are

<sup>41</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 499-500.

<sup>42</sup> ASSEMANI – ASSEMANI, *Catalogus*, pp. 542-543.

<sup>43</sup> MINGANA, *Catalogue*, I, cols 69-72.

<sup>44</sup> MINGANA, *Catalogue*, I, cols 374-375.

<sup>45</sup> BINGGELI et al., *Catalogue Charfet*, I, pp. 373-376 (entry by Alain Desreuxmaux).

<sup>46</sup> MARGOLIOUTH, *Descriptive List*, p. 6.

<sup>47</sup> COAKLEY, "John Rylands Library", pp. 180-181.

<sup>48</sup> GOSHEN-GOTTSTEIN, *Harvard College Library*, p. 83.

black, in the main body of the text. They are occasionally also in the margins.

- Mardin, Church of the Forty Martyrs, 1003 (year 1962, copy of an exemplar of 1570; order of treatises according to Cyriacus' edition, but with no introductory material);<sup>50</sup> John's scholia in the margins.
- Midyat, Bar Sawmo Library, 20 (year 1973, copy of an exemplar of 1570; order of treatises according to Cyriacus' edition, but with no introductory material);<sup>51</sup> John's scholia in red alternated in the main body of the text with Dionysius' text in black.

The translation of John's scholia, and their peculiar layout, is the crucial passage for the transformation of the Dionysian Corpus into a *Syriac* corpus, that is, into a body of writings surrounded and inseparably permeated by a specifically Syriac tradition of reading and understanding of Dionysius' oeuvre. The first step was the appropriation of this allegorical commentary through the act of translation. Among the witnesses to John's scholia, it is worth mentioning the interesting Vatican manuscript mentioned above in the manuscript list, which has not attracted much attention so far.<sup>52</sup> This codex, Vat. Sir. 254,<sup>53</sup> which I mentioned above in the list, transmits on 41 folia *DN* 3–13 in a very interesting form, which recalls the layout of John's scholia in the lower layer of *Parisinus Graecus* 1330 discussed in the present volume by Margherita Matera. Dionysius' text is written here in a script that seems to date to the ninth or

<sup>49</sup> PALMER – PERCZEL, “New Testimony”. Accessible at <https://w3id.org//vhmmml/readingRoom/view/539759>; metadata by James E. Walters.

<sup>50</sup> Accessible at <https://w3id.org/vhmmml/readingRoom/view/504141> (last retrieved on 21 April 2023); metadata by James E. Walters. It contains the whole corpus, not only the *DN* as in the metadata.

<sup>51</sup> Accessible at <https://w3id.org/vhmmml/readingRoom/view/135796> (last retrieved on 13 April 2023); metadata by Edward Mathews Jr.

<sup>52</sup> The manuscript is not mentioned by NIGRA, *Pensiero*, nor anywhere in Wießner's works. Only Sherwood and Hornus took it into account in brief mentions (SHERWOOD, “Sergius of Reshaina”, p. 177; HORNUS, “Corpus dionysien”, pp. 78–79). Nobody, however, has ever stressed the peculiarity of the layout of John's scholia in this ancient witness.

<sup>53</sup> The manuscript is freely accessible at [https://digi.vatlib.it/view/MSS\\_Vat.sir.254](https://digi.vatlib.it/view/MSS_Vat.sir.254) (last retrieved on 13 April 2023).

tenth century, whereas John's commentaries are written in a completely different, smaller script of the same epoch. They are written in the main body of the text, but in discrete blocks that alternate with blocks containing Dionysius' text; the overall layout of text and commentary must have necessarily been conceived as a coherent whole, so that both scripts must be dated to the same time.

### 3. The Ninth Century: Dionysius the Areopagite in the Age of Dionysius of Tell Maître

The truly Dionysian age in the Syriac world was the ninth century. It was in this century that the Areopagitic corpus became a canonical text for the Syrians, and Dionysius a Syriac Father of the Church in the fullest sense. This canonization came about through the progressive stratification of copies, commentaries and annotations of numerous scholars who were often connected to each other. They were all Miaphysite clerics, who worked intensively around the corpus, and we can, if not clearly see, at least catch a glimpse of a scholastic group work involving the intellectual elite of the Church, most probably under the impulse of its highest ranks and most especially of the patriarch, *nomen omen*, Dionysius of Tell-Maître. Dionysius, who ruled over his Church from 818 until his death in 845, was deeply influential in the Christian life of Syria and Mesopotamia overall.<sup>54</sup> He wrote a crucial *Chronography* that constituted a bedrock of later Syriac miaphysite historiography.<sup>55</sup> Among other things, his patriarchate saw a blooming of works of Hellenizing erudition and most especially of commentaries on the liturgy.<sup>56</sup> In these works, references to Dionysius the Areopagite's interpretation of the sacraments in the *EH* had pride of place; his hierarchical treatises were even specifically commented upon. The centrality of liturgy in the age of patriarch Dionysius and the relevance of the Areopagite in this liturgical movement was certainly an important, if not the main reason for the ninth-century Syriac canonization of Dionysius. Indeed, the most

<sup>54</sup> For a good overview see WOOD, *Imam*.

<sup>55</sup> The necessary starting point is DEBIÉ, *Écriture de l'histoire*, pp. 569-572.

<sup>56</sup> The latter were motivated, among other things, by a harsh liturgical-political controversy on the formula "We break the heavenly bread" that had been ongoing since the times of Dionysius' predecessor Cyriacus of Tagrit (790-817); see WOOD, *The Imam of the Christians*, especially pp. 111-119, and pp. 138-142, 145-146 for the commentaries on liturgy.

prominent miaphysite writer of this age, the understudied but prolific (and somewhat controversial<sup>57</sup>) theological writer John of Dara, wrote a commentary on the Eucharist,<sup>58</sup> and most exemplarily, he may be the author of a long commentary on each of Dionysius' two Hierarchies.<sup>59</sup> It is particularly unfortunate that the attribution of the commentaries on Dionysius cannot be ascertained, since this John was certainly a close acquaintance of patriarch Dionysius of Tell-Mahre, being the dedicatee of Dionysius' *Chronography*. Indeed, the commentary on the *CH* is also attributed to the later writer Moses bar Kepha (d. 903).<sup>60</sup> A sure

<sup>57</sup> Indeed, we do not know much about him except for the fact that he was the dedicatee of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre's *Chronography* (see *Chronique de Michel le Syrien*, X, 20, ed. by J.-B. CHABOT, II, p. 357, and IV, p. 378). Most relevantly, it is not yet possible to establish the exact extent of his genuine oeuvre, since some of the writings attributed to him must be ascribed to a pseudo-John who imitated Moses bar Kepha. On this question, see SCHLIMME, *Hexaemeronkommentar*, I, pp. 14-25; MOSS – RUANI, "Solving". The sole recent edition of one of the major works attributed to John is: John of Dara, *On the Resurrection of Human Bodies*, ed. et tr. SHEMUNKASHO.

<sup>58</sup> Edition and French translation in *Le De Oblatione de Jean de Dara*, ed. et tr. SADER; English translation: VARGHESE, *Commentary of John of Dara*.

<sup>59</sup> These commentaries have been recently introduced and translated by ANDERSON, *Interpretation*, pp. 140-253.

<sup>60</sup> Moses bar Kepha had his floruit after the death of Dionyius of Tell-Mahre. Unfortunately, no real attempt at a straightforward attribution to either John or Moses has been made, and Anderson does not herself seem to be aware of this double attribution (see especially ANDERSON, *Interpretation*, p. 20). This is comprehensible if we consider how little we still know, and how little is critically edited, of the work of both authors, especially of John of Dara. However, contra SCHLIMME, *Hexaemeronkommentar*, p. 19, it is tempting to attribute the whole couple of Commentaries on the Dionysian Hierarchies to John of Dara rather than to Moses bar Kepha, precisely because only the *Commentary on the Heavenly Hierarchy* is assigned to both authors, whereas the *Commentary on the Ecclesiastical Hierarchy* is exclusively ascribed to John, which makes one tend to think that a complete commentary on Dionysius' Hierarchies was, on the whole, a project of John's. Moreover, in the manuscripts of Moses' works, the *Commentary on the Heavenly Hierarchy* is always put after a certainly genuine writing of Moses, *On the creation of angels*. It is probably the thematic affinity with the latter treatise that attracted the *Commentary* into the orbit of Moses' works. Of course, these are external arguments. Only a really thorough analysis

attribution of the Dionysian commentaries to John would add a substantial piece to the Areopagitic picture of the age of patriarch Dionysius. Should they be ascribed to Moses bar Kepha, which is unlikely, they would however attest, well into the ninth century, the continued relevance of the Areopagitic moment at the beginning of the same century. Given their importance for the inculcation of Dionysius in the Syriac world, John's/Moses' commentaries on Dionysius would require a whole paper, and in fact a doctoral dissertation has recently been devoted to them. I shall return to them below in the section devoted to Theodore Bar Zarudi. Suffice it here to say that these Dionysian commentaries, although highly scholastic in style, as all Syriac writers were at the time, are not composed in the form of scholia, nor are they commentaries in the proper sense, since they do not comment upon Dionysian lemmas, and Dionysius' text is almost never quoted as such. They are rather paraphrastic dissertations,<sup>61</sup> free elaborations on the material offered by the hierarchic treatises, and yet, they certainly represent a major step in Dionysius' journey through the Syriac world.

Another acquaintance of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre was the miaphysite bishop of Baghdad, whose name was Basilius as a cleric but Lazarus bar Sobto as a layman.<sup>62</sup> In a note at the end of the oldest manuscript transmitting Phokas' translation, BL Add. 12151 of 804, Lazarus is said to have bought the codex together with his two brothers. The three men are said here to have been monks in a cloister of Dara. It is unlikely, then – be it remarked in passing – that Lazarus did not have any tie with the probable Dionysian commentator John of Dara. Moreover, Dionysius of Tell-Mahre himself writes in his *Chronography* that Lazarus caused a lot of trouble in Baghdad, and he was obliged to depose him in 829

of the style of the *Commentary on the Heavenly Hierarchy* and its possible place within the economy of the work of either author will confirm this hypothesis (SCHLIMME, *Hexaemeronkommentar*, p. 19, offers only impressionistic insights against the attribution to John of Dara: the *Commentary on the Heavenly Hierarchy* must be attributed to Moses "auf Grund bestimmter literarischer und stilistischer Eigentümlichkeiten, wie zB Kompendienstil, Meinungsdiskussion der Väter und Lehrer, scholastisch-dialektische Problembehandlung, und stereotype Diskussionsfloskeln"; nothing that cannot be found in John of Dara's presumably genuine works).

<sup>61</sup> See ANDERSON, *Interpretation*, p. 17.

<sup>62</sup> See e.g. BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, p. 380; BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte*, p. 278; VAN ROMPAY, "Lo'ozar bar Sobtho".

at the request of the local congregation.<sup>63</sup> Unsurprisingly, Lazarus also wrote, among other things, commentaries on liturgy: on baptism,<sup>64</sup> on the Myron,<sup>65</sup> and on the Eucharist in three chapters,<sup>66</sup> the third being devoted to the defence and explanation of the controversial formula “we break the heavenly bread”. The evidence of the ancient Dionysian manuscript BL Add. 12151 indicates, once again, that the high ranks of the miaphysite Church under Dionysius of Tell-Mahre shared the same intellectual interests in liturgy and Hellenizing scholarship, and that the active engagement with the Areopagite was particularly prominent among these interests.

<sup>63</sup> Dionysius' passage in *Chronique de Michel le Syrien*, XII, 14, ed. by J.-B. CHABOT, III, pp. 64–70 and IV, pp. 516–520; also see in Gregorii Barhebræi *Chronicon ecclesiasticum*, ed. ABBELOOS – LAMY, I, cols 365–371; see also *Chronicle of 1234*, in *Anonymi auctoris Chronicon*, ed. CHABOT, II, pp. 263–265.

<sup>64</sup> Preserved in Città del Vaticano, BAV, Sir. 147, of the year 1234: see ASSEMANI – ASSEMANI, *Catalogus*, pp. 273–277, here n. VI at p. 276. The same manuscript also preserves a liturgical commentary on the difference between Chrism and Eucharist by a ‘Rabban’ Daniel (n. IV at p. 275; also found in London, BL, Add. 21210 of the year 1242, fols 197v–199r, see WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 879; and in Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 112, of the year 1905, fols 202r–203r, see MINGANA, *Catalogue*, col. 273), whom I will mention later on in connection with Benjamin of Edessa. This Daniel may well be identical with the Daniel who penned a brief note on the Eucharist (Città del Vaticano, BAV, Borg. Syr. 133; cf. SCHER, “Musée Borgia”, p. 277).

<sup>65</sup> Preserved in the manuscripts Mardin, Church of the Forty Martyrs, 144 of the twentieth century, pp. 317–368; Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 546, fols 228r–237r of the year 1930, but copied from manuscripts of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. For the Mardin manuscript, see VÖÖBUS, “Discoveries”, pp. 291–292; for the Mingana manuscript, see MINGANA, *Catalogue*, col. 1012.

<sup>66</sup> Currently readable in the manuscript Midyat, Dayro d-Mor Gabriel, 83 of the twentieth century, pp. 10vb–30va. See <https://w3id.org/vhmml/reading-Room/view/122891> (last retrieved 15 April 2023). This is a partial, modern copy of the large collection of liturgical materials described by VÖÖBUS, “Discoveries”, p. 292, as belonging to the library of the Church of Meryem Ana in Istanbul. Indeed, the existence of a three-chapter commentary on the Eucharist by Lazarus bar Sobto was mentioned by BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, p. 380.

Coherent with this observation, the erudite teacher Benjamin of Edessa (d. 843)<sup>67</sup> is also supposed to have written a commentary on the Areopagitic corpus in the early decades of the same century, but it seems that nothing of this alleged work has come down to us, except for four references in the tenth-century Syriac lexicon of Bar Bahlul, which quotes Benjamin's commentary four times as a *κοινωνία*, an interpretation, of Dionysius.<sup>68</sup> Most of these glosses evidently refer to the introductory materials rather than to the Dionysian writings themselves.

<sup>69</sup> *لَوْلَه لَوْلَه ... لَوْلَه لَوْلَه حَلَّتْ حَلَّتْ*

<sup>70</sup> *كَيْمَه مَلَه وَ كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه*  
*كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه ... كَيْمَه مَلَه*  
<sup>71</sup> *كَيْمَه مَلَه*

<sup>72</sup> *كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه كَيْمَه مَلَه*

*Bwly* (βουλή): opinion/council [...] and according to the monk Benjamin the highest council.

*Gwrs'*: according to Benjamin the solitary in the commentary on Dionysius it means the new moon.

<sup>67</sup> See especially BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, pp. 382–383; BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte*, pp. 276–277; VAN ROMPAY, “Benjamin of Edessa”.

<sup>68</sup> The four references are listed in *Lexicon syriacum*, ed. DUVAL, III, p. XV, and again in BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte*, p. 276, n. 8.

Note that Bar Bahlul was not miaphysite but belonged to the Church of the East. This highlights that Dionysius had some diffusion in the East Syriac world. Indeed, despite the scarce knowledge of his writings in ascetic-mystical literature until at least Joseph Ḥazzāyā (see above, n. 2), already John of Dalyatha showed a better acquaintance with the Areopagite, Timothy I was in search of his writings at the end of the eighth century (see especially BROCK, “Two Letters”), and Isho‘dad of Merv mentioned him in his biblical commentaries with reference to the angelic orders: *Commentaire d’Isho‘dad de Merv sur l’Ancien Testament*, I: *Genèse*, ed. VAN DEN EYNDE – VOSTÉ, p. 22 (text), 24 (translation).

<sup>69</sup> *Lexicon syriacum*, ed. DUVAL, I, col. 369.

<sup>70</sup> *Lexicon syriacum*, ed. DUVAL, I, col. 475. In *Thesaurus Syriacus*, I, col. 785, Payne Smith translates *كَيْمَه مَلَه* as *interitus lunae*.

<sup>71</sup> *Lexicon syriacum*, ed. DUVAL, I, col. 776.

<sup>72</sup> *Lexicon syriacum*, ed. DUVAL, II, col. 1383.

*Hrq*: in the plural, according to the monk Benjamin, it means the acts of decreeing a sentence; I found it in the commentary on Dionysius.

*Skwtwpwlys* ( $\Sigma \kappa \nu \theta \circ \pi \omega \lambda \varsigma$ ): in the book of the monk Benjamin, in the commentary on Dionysius, it is Bayshan.

This Benjamin was appointed metropolitan of Edessa by Dionysius of Tell Mahre,<sup>73</sup> and can, thus, be considered, along with John of Dara and Lazarus-Basil, as a representative of the intellectual elite close to the patriarch. His works are also lost, but we do have, quite significantly, a short letter of his also on the Eucharist and Baptism.<sup>74</sup> If we are to believe the tenth-century Syriac dictionary that alludes to his commentary, Benjamin's notes on Dionysius must have been a series of scholia on difficult words. Moreover, Benjamin is significantly mentioned in a long scribal note of the second earliest manuscript of Phokas' translation, London, BL, Add. 12152, fol. 194r. The achievement of this manuscript is dated to 30 June 1148 AG (837 CE), which means that it was written when Benjamin was alive. Indeed, the scribe, whose name was Addai of Amid<sup>75</sup> (as declared in the colophon, fol. 193v), qualifies Benjamin as "our master of the dogma" and adds that by the time the manuscript was completed, Benjamin was at the monastery of Tell 'Ada near Antioch with his school.

<sup>73</sup> *Chronique de Michel le Syrien*, ed. CHABOT, III, p. 455, and IV, p. 755.

<sup>74</sup> The letter is preserved in London, BL, Add. 14538, fols 38v-39v. See WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 1004.

<sup>75</sup> This Addai is also known, approximately thirty years later, as the copyist of London, BL, Add. 12159, copied in 868 and containing the whole collection of Severus of Antioch's Cathedral Homilies (WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 534-546; on Addai pp. 545-546). Whereas he was a monk and a deacon in 837, when he copied Dionysius, he is a priest in 868.

<sup>76</sup> See also WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 498 for the complete transcription of this note.

This book was finished in the year 1148 according to the numbering of the Greeks [i.e. 837 CE], on the 30th of the month of Haziran [i.e. June], a Saturday. It was then written [...] in the days of our blessed patriarchs, Dionysius of the apostolic see of Peter, and Mor Joseph of Alexandria, when our master of the dogma, Mor Benjamin, and the school that was with him and in his presence, held temporary residence in the great monastery of Tell ‘Ada, which was in the region of Antioch.

Note here the explicit definition of Benjamin’s group of disciples as a school («*skolē*») and the phrase „*in his presence*”, which will return more than once later. What is more, Addai declares that the Dionysian codex he had finished copying formed a couple with another manuscript, which contained the works of Gregory of Nazianzus. Thus, Addai the scribe connects himself implicitly as a copyist of the works of Dionysius and Gregory Nazianzen to Benjamin’s school, to which he declared he belonged.

From these few data, Benjamin emerges as a man of vast knowledge in patristic, lexicographical and liturgical matters, which he must have transmitted to the pupils who gathered around him. Indeed, he seems to have practiced both the liturgical commentary, so typical of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre’s times, and to have had a taste for the minute analysis of patristic texts in the scholastic style of a *grammatikos*. The connection between Dionysius and Gregory in relation to Benjamin, as we shall see, does not occur by chance.

#### 4. An Excursus: Rabban Benjamin and his School on Gregory Nazianzen

The corpora of both authors seem to have been of the utmost importance for Rabban Benjamin’s school and the epoch of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre as a couple of twin corpora. Indeed, Benjamin is credited not only with the commentary on Dionysius but also with being the owner of the lost antigraph of a preserved manuscript containing Gregory’s Orations, London, BL, Or. 8731 of 834,<sup>77</sup> whose colophon (fol. 87r)

<sup>77</sup> Only a telegraphic description in the *Handlist of Syriac Manuscripts in the British Museum Acquired since 1899*, p. 2, online at <https://archive.org/details/BLHandlist/page/n1/mode/2up> (last retrieved on 14 April 2023), where the manuscript is incorrectly dated to 734 CE; but see the accurate analysis and re-dating by DE HALLEUX, “Benjamin d’Édesse”.

states that the book

“was written in the monastery of Bet Malka, i.e. of Mar Eusebona,<sup>78</sup> in the sanctuary of Mar Simeon the Styliste, when Mar Benjamin, Rabban, [was] in the above-mentioned place and the scribe and reader [was]<sup>79</sup> in the presence of Rabban Mar Benjamin himself, the book having been written thanks to the care and diligence of the said Rabban, and from his own copy”.

Once more, the expression „*in his presence*”, is used in connection with Benjamin as a sort of fixed formula.<sup>80</sup> We will find it again soon.

Most importantly, Benjamin is mentioned as being the inspirer of two sets of anonymous commentaries on Gregory Nazianzen.<sup>81</sup> The first one is found in London, BL, Add. 17197 of the ninth or tenth

<sup>78</sup> It is interesting to note (and certainly worth of further investigation) how Benjamin is found in two monasteries (the great monastery of Tell ‘Ada and the monastery of Mar Eusebona) that were also important in the life of Jacob of Edessa, who died and was buried at Tell ‘Ada, where he spent the last nine years of his life. It is easy to suppose that Benjamin followed the track of the libraries and the books used by Jacob.

<sup>79</sup> The intended meaning may be: "the scribe was also a reader in the presence of" (thus VAN ROEY – MOORS, "Version récente", pp. 82-83).

<sup>80</sup> It is important to note that the scribe of BL Or. 8731 was one Barḥadbsabbo, who is also said to have “corrected and collated” the manuscript BL Add. 12159 of Severus of Antioch’s complete Homilies (fol. 313r, see WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 546), whose scribe, as we saw (see above n. 75), was the Addai of Amid that had copied Dionysius and had some connection with the circle of Benjamin of Edessa. This link between the scribes Addai and Barḥadbsabbo in connection with Benjamin further broadens the picture of this monastic-scholastic milieux, adding to it the effort to produce such a monumental copy of one of Severus of Antioch’s major works.

<sup>81</sup> On these two commentaries and on a third one preserved in London, BL, Add. 17147, see the seminal article by DE HALLEUX, "Commentaires syriaques".

century<sup>82</sup> and is said to follow “the tradition of Benjamin” (رسالة ...، fol. 1v), and the other in London, BL, Add. 14725, of the tenth century,<sup>83</sup> which is said to have been written by a group of scholars who were disciples of Benjamin, and corrected by Benjamin’s most eminent disciple, Mor Daniel. It is useful to recall that, according to Ignatius Barsoum, this Mor Daniel is the same as the liturgical commentator Rabban Daniel I have mentioned briefly above.<sup>84</sup> No evidence supports this identification, which, however, is quite probable: Daniel the liturgical exegete fits very well into the age of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre and the intellectual elite surrounding him and, thus, into Benjamin of Edessa’s milieu.

In fact, the British Library preserves not only two but three different commentaries on Gregory’s Orations. The first commentary, on all the Orations, is found in London, BL, Add. 17147,<sup>85</sup> but it has a strongly individual character and does not need to retain us here.<sup>86</sup> The second commentary, which de Halleux called Commentary 2, is the one contained in BL Add. 17197.<sup>87</sup> It is a synthetic explanation of Gregory’s

<sup>82</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 440-441.

<sup>83</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 441-443.

<sup>84</sup> See note 64. The identification is given as a self-evident fact by BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, pp. 393-394. Barsoum also identifies this figure with still another Daniel, “Daniel the blind of Beth Botin”, who compiled the lessons for Passion Week together with his disciple Isaac (preserved in London, BL, Add. 18714; WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, 162; and in the MS Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 105; see MINGANA, *Catalogue*, col. 258). This identification is fully arbitrary. It is much more likely that (as already thought by BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte*, p. 283) this Daniel is the same as the Daniel, also called ‘Rabban’, who wrote a preface to the pseudo-Philoponian treatise *On difference, number, and division* written by the monks and clerics who debated with Probus in 595/596. The preface is preserved in Città del Vaticano, BAV, Sir. 144, fol. 88v (ASSEMANI – ASSEMANI, *Catalogus*, p. 253). Indeed, the Rabban Daniel who authored this preface is very well acquainted with the work of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre.

<sup>85</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 438-440. On this commentary, see also Jonathan LOOPSTRA’s chapter in the present volume, especially paragraphs 1 and 4.

<sup>86</sup> De Halleux presented it in DE HALLEUX, “Commentaires syriaques”, pp. 105-106 and *passim*.

<sup>87</sup> See DE HALLEUX, “Commentaires syriaques”, pp. 106-107.

Orations 1, 2 and 3. De Halleux calls Commentary 3 the one preserved in BL Add. 14725. It comments at length upon Orations 1, 2, 3, 25, 34 and 21. All three commentaries use Paul of Edessa's translation of 623/624.<sup>88</sup> As far as the layout of these Gregorian commentaries is concerned, de Halleux highlighted that they also share the same style in the presentation of the lemmas, i.e. of the commented on passages of Gregory's text.<sup>89</sup> Just as in the commentary on Sergius of Resh'ayna's translation in the manuscript 124 of Saint Mark's Monastery in Jerusalem, a passage is only evoked by two or three words and does not have a full sense, thus, presupposing that the readers have a complete text in front of them; the lemma is then immediately followed by the characteristic ܣ. De Halleux rightly remarked that this presentation style – by strongly shortened lemmas – was not known to him from any other authors of the eighth to ninth centuries, not even in biblical commentators, and that it is instead found in later centuries, for example, in the eleventh-century author Dionysius bar ܶܲܲܲ – as we shall see. In fact, this is another feature that binds the traditions of Gregory and Dionysius in the ninth century, since the only place where this kind of arrangement of lemmas and scholia can be traced in the first millennium is in commentaries on Dionysius the Areopagite.<sup>90</sup>

I am going to focus here on Commentaries 2 and 3 on Gregory, because both add further invaluable pieces to our picture of the process of the canonization and constitution of patristic corpora in Syriac in the ninth century; both commentaries are related to the school of Rabban Benjamin by preliminary notes in the text, which connect them to the same school that also commented on Dionysius; and, thus,

<sup>88</sup> For isolated divergences from Paul and the specific variants of the commentaries, see DE HALLEUX, "Commentaires syriaques", pp. 129–136. On Paul of Edessa, see above, note 24. The three commentaries are also extensively compared in Jonathan LOOPSTRA's chapter in the present volume, at paragraph 4.

<sup>89</sup> DE HALLEUX, "Commentaires syriaques", p. 131.

<sup>90</sup> As I said, it is found in the commentary on Sergius' version of Dionysius. However, other manuscripts containing further anonymous commentaries on the Areopagite, on which I will dwell later on in this paper, share this way of fashioning the lemmas – namely, two codicological units of Città del Vaticano, BAV, Sir. 107 (fols 80r–113v) and of London, BL, Add. 14541 (fols 11r–18v), respectively, which originally belonged to the same manuscript of not later than the tenth century. See below, also for bibliographical references on these codicological units.

they associate precisely the authors at the centre of the present volume, Gregory and Dionysius, in this process of corpora-construction and canonization.

The note that is placed as a sort of prefatory scholion to Commentary 3 on fol. 103rv of BL Add. 14725 is even more interesting, as it displays a long methodological statement on how the school of Rabban Benjamin worked:

"we begin to write the scholia to the difficult words [note the recurrence of this expression] that [are found] in his Oration(s), the collection of which was taken care of by some pupils who were in the presence of Rabban Benjamin, [men] who, according to their capacity, took care to preserve the tradition descending from him. Mor Daniel, disciple of that man, took trouble to correct [the collected scholia], adding [to them] in some places, when a clearer explanation was needed, but shortening [them] in other places, when it [sc. the explanation] turned out to be superfluous. For sometimes they [sc. the pupils] arranged carelessly things that were said by Rabban in a precise and compelling way. Indeed, somewhere, because of the difficulty of the correction, the whole *chresis* [sc. quo-

<sup>91</sup> Scripsi; MS: 

<sup>92</sup> Scripsi; MS: me id.

tation from Rabban Benjamin] being in need of being changed, and because of the abundance of the labour, and because he [sc. Daniel], too, believed that the proper meaning intended by the author escaped him, he left that which was believed to be in need of correction to the intelligence of the diligent reader [...] [Daniel apologized for the fact] that sometimes a word was explained twice, because some of the [pupils] took other interpretations from other authors and put them together with those of Rabban, and because he did not know, based on the way they were found, which these [other interpretations] were.”

We can glean substantial information on Benjamin’s school from this note: firstly, the commentary is defined as “scholia on difficult words” (مَقْلَعَةٌ حَكِيمَةٌ)، an expression we have already encountered in Phokas’ preface to his own translation of Dionysius and in the set of scholia on Sergius’ version in SMMJ 124. Commentary 3, thus, once again circumscribes its own genre within the limits of a scholastic (and scholiastic) commentary of the kind we have already encountered. Secondly, we learn that there were collectors of Benjamin’s commentaries who were “in his presence” and took care to preserve his tradition. As we saw, the expression “in his presence”, مَعَهُ, has already been used regarding Rabban Benjamin’s school in the colophons of the manuscripts BL or. 8731 and Add. 12152, which contain the works of Gregory and Dionysius, respectively. The recurrence of this phrasing suggests that Rabban Benjamin exclusively or mainly delivered his exegesis in oral form, and that his disciples, who were “in his presence”, compiled his commentaries *apo phones*, just as, for example, centuries earlier at the Neoplatonic school of Alexandria.<sup>93</sup> The disciples made this compilation “according to their capacity”, as the prefatory note states. This capacity is not held in high esteem by the redactor of our note, who, in his turn, probably relies on the critical judgment of the scholar who corrected the scholia collected by these “pupils”: the Mor Daniel mentioned already, who, also being a disciple of Benjamin, realised that the collected commentaries were often in need of correction because of excessive brevity, length or carelessness. Thus, the note records at least three stages of scholastic and exegetical work: Rabban Benjamin’s

<sup>93</sup> On this practice in the Neoplatonic school of Alexandria see, *pars pro toto*, the classical study of M. RICHARD, “Ἄπο φωνῆς”, and HOFFMANN, “Neoplatonic Commentators”, pp. 615-616.

exegesis, which seems to be oral, the *apo phones* commentary collection of some disciples and the partial correction of the latter by Daniel. Moreover, the disciples collected alternative interpretations from other commentators, apparently without marking their authorship and, thus, confusing Mor Daniel. The much shorter title of Commentary 2 points to a different methodology: it seems to have been made by only one disciple according to the tradition of Rabban Benjamin. In this case, we may suppose that the commentary was the output of a single author and not of a collective effort. However, in his case, too, he based himself on Benjamin's "tradition": this may, once again, mean that the anonymous author may have relied on Benjamin's oral teaching, which he may have received "in his presence", *መጋዬ*, or that he organised the notes *apo phones* of other disciples. That this tradition, *አባርያ*, may have been oral could also be suggested by the analogy with the East Syriac *አባርያ* of the school of Nisibis mentioned by Barḥadbshabba 'Arbaya in his *Cause of the Foundation of the Schools* in the sixth century:<sup>94</sup> here, "tradition" was something that went from mouth to ear, as Barḥadbshabba says, and was not immediately recorded in books.<sup>95</sup> De Halleux supposed that the author of this anonymous Commentary 2 should be identified with Daniel himself. This cannot be proved, even though Commentaries 2 and 3 do occasionally overlap, as we shall see. The oral character of Benjamin's teaching seems to be confirmed by the way the same exegetical motifs return in both Commentaries 2 and 3 with strong similarities as to the content but only rarely with literal overlapping. Let us see some examples from Gregory's Oration 2, an apology for having been hesitant in helping his father with the episcopal task at Nazianzus.<sup>96</sup> In paragraph 3, Gregory speaks of the high ranks of the hierarchy as having the same role regarding the Church as the soul regarding the body, or of the *nous* regarding the soul.<sup>97</sup> Here, Commentary 2 paraphrases the text without adding anything relevant

<sup>94</sup> Mar Barḥadbšabba 'Arbaya, *Cause de la fondation des écoles*, ed. SCHER, pp. 382-383.

<sup>95</sup> See VAN ROMPAY, "Théodore de Mopsueste".

<sup>96</sup> The Greek passages will be cited according to Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-3*, ed. BERNARDI. The Syriac text of the Oration is edited in Gregorii Nazianzeni *Versio Syriaca*, V, ed. HAELEWYCK.

<sup>97</sup> τοὺς δὲ εἶναι ποιμένας καὶ διδασκάλους, πρὸς τὸν καταρτισμὸν τῆς Ἐκκλησίας, ὅσοι τῶν πολλῶν εἰσιν ἀνωτέρω κατ' ἀρετὴν καὶ τὴν πρὸς τὸν Θεόν οἰκείωσιν,

to it, whereas Commentary 3 (BL Add. 14725, fol. 125vb) defines the nous as the “flower”, **ܪܘծ**, of the soul. The scholion reads:

ܪܘծ ܗܘל ܢܝܘܐ ܪܘចܪ ܗܘ ܪܘծ ܗܘל ܣܘܪ ܪܘծ ܪܘծ ܗܘ ܠ  
ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ , ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ

[Gregory] is not affirming that the nous (**ܪܘծ**) has its own substance as compared to the soul, just as the soul does if compared with the body, but that it [the nous] is the head, the leader, and the flower of the [soul].

When Gregory is speaking later on in the text, at paragraph 35, of the doctrines of the Church on different topics,<sup>98</sup> among which the soul and the intellect are mentioned, Commentary 3 has nothing significant to say, whereas Commentary 2 inserts here the flower simile (BL Add. 17197, fol. 10rv):

ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ . ܟ . ܣܘܪ [IOV] ܕ ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ . ܟ . ܪܘծ ܠ ܠ  
ܕ ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ , ܪܘծ ܣܘܪ

“the soul”: i.e. how it vivifies the body; “the intellect”: i.e. whether it is the flower of the soul.

Another interesting case is that of paragraph 6 of Gregory’s Oration 2, where the Cappadocian apologises for having hesitated to help his father in the episcopate of Nazianzus and having fled from this responsibility, favouring the ascetic life.<sup>99</sup> Here, Commentary 2 uses concepts (or, to put it better, jargon) from Aristotle’s *Peri hermeneias*, stating that (BL Add. 17197, fol. 5r):

ܣܘܪ  
ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ ܣܘܪ

λόγον ψυχῆς πρὸς σῶμα ἢ νοῦν πρὸς ψυχὴν ἐπέχοντας (Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-3*, ed. BERNARDI, p. 90).

<sup>98</sup> τῶν ἡμετέρων δογμάτων ὅσα περὶ κόσμων ἢ κόσμου πεφιλοσόφηται, περὶ ὥλης, περὶ ψυχῆς, περὶ νοῦ ... (Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-3*, ed. BERNARDI, p. 134).

<sup>99</sup> Ἐπειτά μέ τις ὑπεισήει ἔρως τοῦ καλοῦ τῆς ἡσυχίας καὶ τῆς ἀναχωρήσεως, ἣς ἐραστῆς γενόμενος ἐξ ἀρχῆς (Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-3*, ed. BERNARDI, p. 94).

know that previously (*scil.* in previous paragraphs) he lays down through negation (*apophasis*) the reasons that were not the cause of his going (away from Nazianzus); now he explains through affirmation (*kataphasis*) the reasons why he went.

Nothing similar appears in the scholion of Commentary 3 on the same passage, but if one reads the scholion to a passage that comes just three or four lines later in the same paragraph 6 of Gregory's Oration, the same content is there in a different wording (BL Add. 14725, fol. 127ra):

<sup>100</sup> οὐδὲν γέγονεν μη τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες

“the first reason why he escaped” [tentative translation, the text seems to be corrupted] is formulated in an affirmative way.

A further example of how Benjamin's teaching is actively reformulated by his disciples but can still be detected in its main lines across the different commentaries is the possibility of tracking down some fixed catchwords in the diverging formulations of scholia on the same passages. Commenting on paragraph 7 of Oration 2, where Gregory speaks of the mystical condition of the ascetic who “becomes a stainless mirror and adds light to light and brightness to darkness”,<sup>101</sup> Commentary 2 writes (BL Add. 17197, fol. 5r):

εἰπεντος αὐτῷ εἶπεν .τὸ ιτάντα αὐτῷ εἴδει τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες τὸ εἴδει τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες

just as a mirror reflects the image of the one who looks [at himself] in it, so he too purifies himself and reflects in himself the likeness of God [...];

then, paraphrasing Gregory's phrase “adding light to light”, the commentary goes on to say (fol. 5r):

εἰπεντος αὐτῷ εἶπεν τελείωσεν εύθετον .εἴδει τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες

I add the light of a little knowledge and with this I proceed towards the more perfect one.

<sup>100</sup> Probably a corruption of οὐδὲν γέγονεν μη τὸ πλήρες εἴδει τὸ πλήρες.

<sup>101</sup> ἔσοπτρον ἀκηλίδωτον Θεού καὶ τῶν θείων καὶ ὅν καὶ ἀεὶ γινόμενον, φωτὶ προσλαμβάνοντα φῶς, καὶ ἀμαυροτέρῳ τρανότερον (Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-3*, ed. BERNARDI, p. 96).

Commentary 3 formulates the same concepts differently, but the catch-words remain the same, and the outlined ascensional process is also the same (BL Add. 14725, fol. 127vb):

<sup>103</sup> የአማራር አገልግሎት ስምምነት ተስተካክል ይችላል እና የአማራር አገልግሎት ስምምነት ተስተካክል ይችላል ... የአማራር አገልግሎት ስምምነት ተስተካክል ይችላል

to the light of the purification of the ways of life, which is darker [...] I add light and knowledge, (although) darkly [allusion to 1 Cor 13:12, *in a mirror darkly*], and, proceeding towards the perfection of the ways of life, (I also attain) superior knowledge.

Therefore, we are clearly looking here at a fascinating phenomenon of the fluidity of a tradition that, probably because it was not fixed in a canonically written form, could move, be rearranged and reformulated according to need. The last example, in particular, feels like the reading of different notes taken by different students at the same university class. At the same time, some basic ideas of Rabban Benjamin's exegesis must have been clearcut or perhaps even fixed in writing, since some occasional literal overlapping can be detected, although the latter is admittedly rare. This is the case of the commentary of a passage from paragraph 36 of Oration 2, where Gregory is still enumerating the main doctrines of the Church, especially those concerning the passion, death (here called by Gregory "passions and dissolution") and resurrection of Christ.<sup>105</sup> Here, Commentary 2 interprets thus (BL Add. 17197, fol. 10v):

۱۰۷- مسیح فتنہ تالیف محدث نور الدین احمد بن حنبل  
۱۰۸- مسیح فتنہ تالیف محدث نور الدین احمد بن حنبل

“and passions”: i.e. on why he saved us through his passions and not through his divinity; “dissolution”: whether the soul (of Christ) was really released from his body; “those about the resurrection”: whether the resurrection occurs, and, when it occurs, whether men

<sup>102</sup> Scripsi; MS: ၁၁၈၃၂.

<sup>103</sup> Fortasse *et al.*

<sup>104</sup> Probably something is missing in the Syriac text here.

<sup>105</sup> ὅσα περὶ ... παθημάτων, καὶ ἀναλύσεως, ὅσα τε περὶ ἀναστάσεως (Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours 1-2*, ed. BERNARDI, p. 124).

and women rise with their form; that they truly rise thus, even though the need [*scil.* for any form] ceases; and when they rise, do they rise as spherical bodies or not, as Origen affirmed?

Commentary 3 has the same words concerning the passion (BL Add. 14725, fol. 144vb-145ra):

... መሰጠለሁ እወ ላይ መቀመጥ ተከተል እና ገዢነት

“and the passions”: on why he saved us through his passions and not through his divinity,

with some additions (“whether they were actual, natural and voluntary passions”), but even more interesting is the way the commentary on Jesus’ death is worded:

ወስኑ ስራ ስንቃ የኩብ ማረጋገጫ ወስኑ ምሬ ስቅዎች ስራ ንብረቱ  
የአጠቃላይ የኩብ ማረጋገጫ ወስኑ ምሬ

“dissolution”: whether he died our death, which is a separation of the soul from the body, or whether his was the separation of divinity from humanity.

This immediately brings us back to the more usual case of the same content with different formulation, which is also true for the explanation of Jesus’ resurrection in Commentary 3. This reads:

... የሚ ስራ ስንቃ ማረጋገጫ ንብረቱ የኩብ ማረጋገጫ  
የአጠቃላይ የኩብ ማረጋገጫ ... የኩብ ማረጋገጫ  
... የኩብ ማረጋገጫ

“And about resurrection”: whether it occurs or not, whether we rise with the figure we have now, and whether the body persists [...] and whether the souls migrate to other bodies and dwell in them after the resurrection”.

Curiously enough, there is no explicit mention of Origen here, and yet a reference is made, not to the spherical bodies, but to still another doctrine, metensomatosis, that was traditionally attributed to him by the heresiologists. It is probable that different Origenist doctrines were discussed in class at this point of the commentary on Gregory’s *Or. 2*, and different disciples jotted down only some of the doctrines (perhaps those which struck them more) and, consequently, reproduced them in their written commentaries.

### 5. A Mysterious Figure: ‘Theodore Bar Zarudi’

Since we do not have the commentary on Dionysius of such a relevant figure as Benjamin must have been, at least for his contemporaries, it is surprising that one of the most important passages in the history of commentary tradition in the Syriac Dionysian corpus is, to the contrary, the work of an obscure figure. I am speaking of the commentary of a certain Theodore bar Zarudi, which was preserved in copies and, as I believe, adaptations ranging from the ninth-tenth to at least the fifteenth century and was as successful in the Syriac world as were John of Scythopolis' scholia. We have no information at all concerning this Theodore except for the qualification of “spiritual philosopher” that is given to him in the only manuscript that mentions him explicitly by name, London, BL, Add. 22370 of the fourteenth or fifteenth century.<sup>106</sup> This manuscript is not a witness to the complete Dionysian Corpus, as Wright believed: it contains only short lemmas from the corpus, followed in the body of the text by the commentary of ‘Theodore’ concerning those lemmas, introduced by the usual ܣ. Histories of Syriac literature ignore this Theodore bar Zarudi almost completely. Ignatius Barsoum devoted a short entry to him, assigning him to the ninth century, although without citing any positive evidence of this.<sup>107</sup> Werner Strothmann and especially Gernot Wiesner, however, spent some words on him in their works on the Syriac tradition of the Corpus. In his edition of the Syriac versions of *EH* IV, Werner Strothmann provided the only published specimen of Theodore Bar Zarudi's commentary so far.<sup>108</sup> As to Gernot Wiesner, in his 1972 study on Phokas' version, he suggested that the name Theodore bar Zarudi does not necessarily correspond to the author of the commentary.<sup>109</sup> Indeed, it is true that the London manuscript is straightforward in its attribution: at the beginning and the end of the corpus, it affirms that the commentaries were “made by Rabban Theodore, the spiritual philosopher, who is called bar Zarudi, according

<sup>106</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 500-501.

<sup>107</sup> BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, p. 371. Baumstark cites him only regarding the manuscript BL Add. 22370 (BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte*, pp. 271-272), and so does WRIGHT, *Short History*, p. 93.

<sup>108</sup> STROTHMANN, *Sakrament der Myron-Weihe*, I, pp. 33-55.

<sup>109</sup> WIESSNER, “Handschriftenüberlieferung”, p. 197.

to the divine grace that was bestowed upon him".<sup>110</sup> However, Wiesner observed that BL Add. 22370 is the direct copy of an earlier extant manuscript of the late ninth century, Damascus, Syriac Orthodox Patriarchate, 12/23,<sup>111</sup> and that this manuscript contains the same commentary but without any attribution to this Theodore.<sup>112</sup> This anonymity in the earlier manuscript, Wiesner noted, raises significant doubts about the authorship of the commentary. Concerning the dating of this commentary, the obvious *terminus ante quem* is the date of the most ancient manuscript that preserves it, that is, Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23. Wiesner was able to date it to the end of the ninth century with persuasive arguments,<sup>113</sup> thereby apparently confirming Barsoum's dating of 'Theodore bar Zarudi'. However, one could object that the *only* attribution to the obscure Bar Zarudi is that of BL Add. 22370, and this is a very late witness, whereas the earliest one, Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, does not mention this commentator at all. Given that, in fact, the commentary of BL Add. 22370 is a slightly abridged version of the same commentary in Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, one may suppose that Theodore bar Zarudi is the author of the abridgment, and also of the shortened lemmas, rather than the author of the commentary itself. In conclusion, the question regarding the authorship of this commentary remains open. A substantial hint at the original author, or, at least, at the original milieu, may come from a recent new reading of the endnote of the scribe of Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, which was proposed by Lucas Van Rompay based on a personal reading and on Dolabani's transcription

<sup>110</sup> BL Add. 22370, fol. 27r.

<sup>111</sup> On this important manuscript, see the description in DOLABANI, *Catalogue St Mark's Monastery*, pp. 274-280. DOLABANI et al., "Catalogue Homs", p. 607. Wiesner was able to identify it with the former manuscript Jerusalem, St Mark's Monastery, 2, described in BAUMSTARK – GRAF – RÜCKER, "Markuskloster", pp. 124-125.

<sup>112</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", p. 197; he also notes that the commentary in BL Add. 22370 is a slightly abridged version of that in Dam. Syr. 12/23.

<sup>113</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", pp. 191-192. Wiesner bases his dating on an accurate reading of the barely legible scribal note on p. 296 of the manuscript. Here, the scribe asserts that the volume was copied during the patriarchate of Theodosius of Edessa, thus, between 887 and 896.

of the note in his catalogue.<sup>114</sup> It is worth reproducing this note here (with some additions), which is of great relevance, especially if related to the language of the scribal notes connected with Rabban Benjamin's school, which I discussed in the previous two paragraphs.

لطفاً ... اینها را بگیرید و میتوانند در هر دو قسم از آنها استفاده کنند. اینها را میتوانند در هر دو قسم از آنها استفاده کنند. اینها را میتوانند در هر دو قسم از آنها استفاده کنند. اینها را میتوانند در هر دو قسم از آنها استفاده کنند.

(The one who) [...] took care, acquired, and wrote this book of the saintly Dionysius [...] (is) a weak and sinful person, needing God's mercy, the stranger Jacob [...] for the study and benefit of himself as well as of his spiritual and bodily brothers Aaron, the deacon, and Isaac, sons of Yonatan. [...]

This book was written, examined, and corrected with all care and effort, a new and accurate correction of both the main body of the book and the scholia, that is, elucidations of the treatises, entirely and as far as possible, in the school of one who is pre-eminent among the scholars [...] and teacher of the orthodox dogmas, the modest and venerable Rabban Mor [Lazarus] of Beth Qandasa on the Holy Mountain [of Edessa] the blessed [city].<sup>115</sup>

This note is very similar for its style and language to those we read in the previous paragraphs: once again, we have the explicit mention of a school (*Δασκ*) in which a teacher guides, inspires and supervises the production of a patristic manuscript with scholia and writes scholia himself. The teacher is once again defined as “teacher of dogma(s)”, exactly like Benjamin. This phrase must generically mean, as Van Rompay suggested,<sup>116</sup> “theological studies”. The teacher is, in the

<sup>114</sup> VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", pp. 367-368. DOLABANI, *Catalogue St Mark's Monastery*, pp. 277-278.

<sup>115</sup> The translation is taken from VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", p. 368.

<sup>116</sup> VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", p. 366.

present case, another “Rabban”, Lazarus of Beth Qandas,<sup>117</sup> who was active in the ninth century, possibly slightly later than Benjamin.<sup>118</sup> He is also known as the author of a *Commentary on the Pauline Epistles* preserved in London, BL, Add. 14683 (tenth century),<sup>119</sup> and as the primary author of a commentary on Mark and John preserved in London, BL, Add. 14682 (tenth century),<sup>120</sup> even though the latter is attributed to Ḥārith bar Mor Sīsīn of Sanbāṭ (who also appears to be the scribe of BL Add. 14683).<sup>121</sup> In BL Add. 14683, fols 138v-140v contain a long endnote<sup>122</sup> that explains the genesis of the commentary on Paul and connects the work to the school of Lazarus. The author of the note is a certain George of Beth Naqe, who defines himself as a “trainee before the teacher Rabban Lazarus”, **رساقي لربان لازاروس**, **رساقي معلمه لازاروس**. Here we find once again two terms: **رساق**, “trainee, disciple”, which occurred in the preface to Commentary 3 on Gregory, and the typical expression **رساق**, which confirms their nature of technical terms also

<sup>117</sup> Even though his given name is not legible here, the toponym and the presence of another scholion attributed to him in the same manuscript Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 between *CH* and *EH*, pp. 86-89, make the conjecture certain. See DOLABANI, *Catalogue St Mark's Monastery*, p. 276, and VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", p. 368, n. 15. The scholion bears the title "Scholion, that is elucidation, which was made by the modest Mor Lazarus of Beth Qandasa, in which he teaches, according to the intention of the teacher Dionysius, that the order of the Seraphs is the first of the superior Church". It is also found in London, BL, Add. 18295 (year 1603), fol. 149v, as described by WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, p. 1184.

<sup>118</sup> For a dating of Lazarus, see VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", pp. 368-369, n. 16, with reference to KAUFHOLD, "Bedeutung Jerusalems", pp. 140-142.

<sup>119</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 610-612.

<sup>120</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 608-610.

<sup>121</sup> See VAN ROMPAY, “Lazarus of Beth Qandasa”, especially pp. 365-366 and 369-370 on the two London manuscripts. On Ḥārith, and for the reasons in favour of an attribution of the Commentary on the Gospels to Lazarus of Beth Qandasa as their primary author, see VAN ROMPAY, “Lazarus of Beth Qandasa”, pp. 370-374.

<sup>122</sup> A translation of this note, which has already been transcribed by WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 611-612, in VAN ROMPAY, "Lazarus of Beth Qandasa", pp. 364-365.

after the emergence of Benjamin's school. Another relevant feature of the manuscript Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, which binds it even more closely to this monastic school of Lazarus, is the presence of a scholion on the Seraphs attributed to the same Lazarus.<sup>123</sup> This proves that this style of scholastic communities around a monastic teacher affirmed itself within the Syriac miaphysite Church during the age of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre. The strong analogies with the school of Rabban Benjamin, the formulation of the scribal note of Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 and the presence of Lazarus' scholion on the heavenly hierarchy in the manuscript are even more relevant elements for our research. Indeed, they make one think that the situation is similar to that of Commentaries 2 and 3 on Gregory discussed above: rather than to the phantomatic "Theodore bar Zarudi", the scholia of Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 (and of BL Add. 22370) should probably be attributed to the school of Lazarus of Beth Qandasa and were the product of an elaboration of *apo phones* notes taken "with him and in his presence".

This commentary is so important because it marked the passage from the occasional scholia of John of Scythopolis to a running and, as it were, autochthonous commentary, which became the normal way of commenting upon Dionysius in Syriac.

The length and layout of the commentary changed accordingly: since it is much longer than John's, the commentary was no longer written in the margins, but alternated with Dionysius' words in the main body of the text. The manuscript Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 is particularly interesting in this regard as it is an early exception: it clearly witnesses a phase where the Syrians had produced their own commentary, but still tried to write it outside of the main body of the text, as was the case for John's scholia. This layout is very crammed, and must have soon proved uncomfortable, so much so that already in the ninth-tenth century we can observe the emergence of adaptations of the 'Lazarus-Theodore' commentary which are accommodated into an easier layout. They are written in the main body of the text, alternating with short lemmas from Dionysius' writings and, thus, not with Dionysius' integral texts.

Besides the two manuscripts Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 and BL Add. 22370 that transmit it more or less integrally, two witnesses of the ninth or tenth century have preserved parts of the commentaries on the

<sup>123</sup> See here above footnote 122.

Dionysian Corpus that closely resemble the ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ commentary. These are London, BL, Add. 14541, fols 11-18+25+26+29-38,<sup>124</sup> and, from Città del Vaticano, BAV, Sir. 107, fols 80r-113v.<sup>125</sup> In an article of 1977 (and again in another article of 1978),<sup>126</sup> Wiegner demonstrated that Vat. Sir. 107 and the above-mentioned folios of BL Add. 14541 belong together and form a ‘London-Vatican Commentary’ (= LVC) whereas the rest of Add. 14541, (folis 1-10+19-24+27+28) preserves still another commentary (London Commentary = LC), also copied in the ninth-tenth century, which happens to be close to, but not overlapping with LVC. Both LVC and LC are anonymous, similar to the old manuscript of Damascus, but this may simply be the fruit of chance since we do not have the beginning or the end of either commentary.

Having compared a limited sample of both commentaries with the ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ commentary and with other scholia and commentaries on Dionysius,<sup>127</sup> Wiegner observed that they should be regarded as the earliest point in the autochthonous commentary activity on Dionysius in Syriac; all other known commentaries would have relied on them.<sup>128</sup> From the samples he offers in his 1978 article, one can indeed observe that LVC largely overlaps with ‘Lazarus-Theodore’, and, at first sight, the latter may look like an abridged adaptation of the former. This is possible, but it is far from obvious: only a highly detailed analysis will be able to confirm such a conclusion. Indeed, the samples displayed by Wiegner are not decisive in this regard, since what may seem an abridgment of LVC in the ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ commentary of Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23 is often just a grammatically denser formulation, which the LVC may have chosen to loosen, thereby making it longer.<sup>129</sup> Thus, the direction of the dependence between ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ and LVC must also remain an open question. LC, on the contrary, is quite different from ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ and it will have to be studied separately.

<sup>124</sup> WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum*, II, pp. 501-502.

<sup>125</sup> ASSEMANI – ASSEMANI, *Catalogus*, pp. 56-57.

<sup>126</sup> WIESSNER, “Beobachtungen”; WIESSNER, “Miszelle”.

<sup>127</sup> WIESSNER, “Miszelle”, pp. 278-285.

<sup>128</sup> WIESSNER, “Miszelle”, p. 276.

<sup>129</sup> See e.g. the difference between the longer **وَكَانَ أَكْلًا وَكَانَ أَكْلًا** in Vat Sir. 107, fol. 111ra, and the shorter **وَكَانَ أَكْلًا** in Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, p. 232 (WIESSNER, “Miszelle”, p. 284).

A significant comparative remark on the anonymous commentaries, however, can already be made: the layout of both, although the copies are only slightly later, if not contemporary to Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, attests the shift from marginal note to the integral Corpus to commentary on short lemmas.

Moreover, Wießner also hinted at the relationship between ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ and John of Scytopolis’ scholia. He remarked that ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ did not incorporate John’s scholia, even though it sometimes overlaps with them, but always without citing them. Wießner suggested that the former wanted to substitute the latter.<sup>130</sup> The layout, however, would seem to stand against this hypothesis: as I said, the few extant manuscripts containing ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ and its ‘relative’ LVC, except for Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, do not offer a complete text of the Dionysian writings. They are commentary manuscripts, where the short lemmas barely allude to the complete text. This presupposes that the integral Dionysian writings were read in other manuscripts, and we do not have any manuscript with Phokas’ integral translation, on which ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ commented, that do not also bear John’s scholia (except, once again, for Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23). The two commentaries, then, probably survived side by side.

## 6. Some Brief Notes on Dionysius bar Șalibî and a Comparison between the Commentaries

### *6.1. Dionysius bar Salibi's Commentary: Main Lines*

After ‘Lazarus-Theodore’, whose commentary, judging from the extant manuscripts, must have enjoyed particular diffusion in the ninth and tenth century, we have the commentary on Dionysius by his namesake Dionysius Bar Salibī, or the “lesser” Dionysius as he termed himself in comparison with the Areopagite;<sup>131</sup> composed in the twelfth century, it can be defined as the culminating point of this tradition. Dionysius, the miaphysite metropolitan of Amid, was a polymath and indefatigable exegete of the Bible, the liturgy, the Fathers, and Aristotelian logic.<sup>132</sup> He

<sup>130</sup> WIESSNER, "Handschriftenüberlieferung", p. 197. On the reciprocal relationships between the Dionysian commentaries, see the next paragraph.

<sup>131</sup> In Syriac  , Mingana Syr. 663, fol. 4rb.

<sup>132</sup> For a general overview: BROCK, “Dionysios bar Ṣalibi”; TEULE, “Dionysius bar Ṣalibi”; Dionysius Jakob Bar Ṣalibi, *Syrischer Kommentar zum Römerbrief*, ed. et tr. RABO.

was also a systematic polemist engaged in debate and conversation with other confessions and religions. As he declares explicitly in the preface to it, he conceived his Dionysian commentary as the first of a series of commentaries on the Church Fathers, and, as such, it immediately followed, for chronological reasons, his commentaries on the Bible.<sup>133</sup> Bar Ṣalībī's commentary is so massive (309 folios on two columns in the most ancient copy) and complex that it deserves a separate treatment. Indeed, I will analyse it in greater detail in a dedicated forthcoming contribution, whereas here I shall limit myself to present some very basic elements.

Bar Ṣalībī's Dionysian commentary is preserved in two manuscripts of the same collection: Birmingham, Cadbury Research Library, Mingana Syr. 539<sup>134</sup> and 663.<sup>135</sup> The former is a twentieth-century *descriptus* of the latter and is the work of Ignatius Barsoum (year 1929); Mingana Syr. 663 was probably copied in the thirteenth century, but it may be later (its script also fits into the fourteenth or even the fifteenth century). Concerning its literary genre, it should be noted that Bar Ṣalībī's commentary is very similar to 'Lazarus-Theodore', since it is a running commentary on the whole Dionysian corpus and not a group of occasional scholia similar to John of Scythopolis' work. Because of this characteristic, it is written within the body of the text, and is appended to short allusive lemmas, not to Dionysius' integral text. It is preceded by an original preface in three chapters.<sup>136</sup> Werner Strothmann, who also published Bar Ṣalībī's commentary to *EH 4*,<sup>137</sup> defined Bar Ṣalībī's

<sup>133</sup> "After completing the interpretation of the Old and of the New Testament [...] we had established (etwa'adnan) [to move on] to the interpretation of the Teachers and firstly of the great Dionysius who bears our same name" (ابن دنیسون نکلیت نکنیا داں پردازیک ... نکنیا نکنیا نکنیا داں حمل لکن نکنیا داں نکنیا داں). Mingana Syr. 663, fol. 3va.

<sup>134</sup> MINGANA, *Catalogue*, cols 986-989.

<sup>135</sup> This manuscript is not recorded in the catalogues of Alphonse Mingana, nor is it present in the excellent list of G. RABO, *Dionysius Jakob Bar Ṣalībī*, p. 437. It was mentioned by BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls*, p. 436, as being in Aleppo. STROTHMANN, *Sakrament der Myron-Weihe*, used it for his edition of Bar Ṣalībī's commentary on *EH IV* (see vol. 1, p. XV). See HEAL, "Acquisition History", p. 36, for the provenance and movements of Mingana Syr. 663, which entered the Birmingham collection only after Mingana's death.

<sup>136</sup> Mingana Syr. 663, fols 3va-5rb.

<sup>137</sup> STROTHMANN, *Sakrament der Myron-Weihe*, I, pp. 71-113.

commentary as “scholia”. However, this is not the definition that Bar Ṣalībī provides of his own work in his preface. In the title of the whole book, he calls his work *ܟିମ୍ କହୋନ୍ତା କହୁତ୍ତା*,<sup>138</sup> “elucidation in short and in synthesis”, and he defines it more generically in many places as *କୋଣା*, “interpretation, commentary”. Bar Ṣalībī’s commentary is highly personal, as can be inferred from the fact that he often takes Dionysian passages as a pretext to treat theological topics at length which are at the centre of his polemical or exegetical works, with developments that cannot be found in any other Dionysian commentator. On the other hand, his relationship with the preceding tradition is complex, as he appears to know it very well and use it with creativity in a free interplay of sources. Bar Ṣalībī especially leans towards ‘Lazarus-Theodore’, whom he never mentions but whose layout, content, and wording he often takes up. John of Scythopolis is less present for the obvious reason that his scholia do not cover the whole corpus, but Bar Ṣalībī resorts to him in important cases, such as the argumentation on the authenticity of the Dionysian corpus, which cannot be found in ‘Lazarus-Theodore’.

### *6.2. A Sample of the Relationship between the Commentaries and Scholia*

Before concluding, it is worth providing a concrete example of the relationship between all these exegetic materials through a comparative assessment of their commentaries on the same passages from, respectively, the *CH* and the *EH*.

The commentary of John of Dara on *CH* III,<sup>2</sup> “it is not permitted [...] to do anything outside of the sacred commandments of one’s own principle of initiation”,<sup>139</sup> has a personal wording but is certainly reminiscent of John of Scythopolis. This is John of Dara’s interpretation (Harvard, Houghton Library, Syr. 122, fol. 4rab):

ܩଷ୍ଟ କି ମୁର ଉଦ୍‌ଦେଶ କି . ଏହାମା ମାସିଃ ଏମୁଖ୍ୟ କ୍ଷିତିଃ , ଓ  
ଦୂରା ପ୍ରକଟିତ ଏହାମା ଏହା ମୁର ହେ ତା ଲାଗୁ ଲାଗୁ  
କ୍ଷେତ୍ର କିମ୍ କହୁନ୍ତା କହୁତ୍ତା କହୁନ୍ତା କହୁତ୍ତା . ଏହା ହେ ହେ ହେ  
. ଆହୁ ତା , ଯଥିରା କୋଣା କହୁନ୍ତା . ଏହା ଅଧିକ କହୁନ୍ତା କହୁତ୍ତା

<sup>138</sup> Mingana Syr. 663, fol. 3va, title. The sequence of the Syriac words, though awkward, is thus in both Mingana Syr. 539 and 663.

<sup>139</sup> *CD*, II, p. 18: Οὐ γὰρ θεμιτόν ἐστι ... ἐνεργῆσαι τι καθόλου παρὰ τὰς τῆς οἰκείας τελεταρχίας ιερᾶς διατάξεις.

"This is the orderly way of the high priesthood, that it does not presume against those things that are not permitted to them. For it is not right for one of those who are assigned to the rank of the priesthood that he should overstep and that he should do something that is elevated above his degree, nor for a deacon that he should baptize or celebrate the Eucharist, nor for a priest that he should perform an ordination, or that he should consecrate the chrism. But each of them should remain in the order to which he was called (cf. 1 Cor 7:24)".<sup>140</sup>

Let us now read John of Scythopolis (BL Add. 12151 fol. 15v):

كُلُّ مُؤْمِنٍ لَّمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ. لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَفْعُلْ مَا لَمْ يَرِدْ عَلَىٰهُ أَنْ يَتَعَلَّمْ.

I understand this to mean that someone enrolled in the clerical order ought not do anything greater than his own proper rank. Rather, he should only do and hand on through his teaching what is proper to his own rank: most certainly he should not reach beyond his own rank. For example, presbyters teach and present the gift [in the liturgy], but do not ordain; deacons teach but do not baptize [...] or offer [the gifts in the liturgy], and so on [...] in order that what was said by the divine Paul might be rightly preserved: "in whatever state each was called, let him remain" (1 Cor. 7:24).<sup>141</sup>

Interestingly, the commentary of 'Lazarus-Theodore' on the same passage overlaps only with the part of John of Dara's commentary that *does not* overlap with John of Scythopolis. Theoretically, we cannot determine the direction of their reciprocal dependence. One may speculate that it was John of Dara who conflated John of Scythopolis and 'Lazarus-Theodore' into one synthetic commentary, especially if we consider that Lazarus of Beth Qandasa was active before John of Dara (but his disciples, who collected his oral teaching, were his contem-

<sup>140</sup> ANDERSON, *Interpretation*, p. 146.

<sup>141</sup> Translation based on ROREM – LAMOREAUX, *John of Scythopolis*, p. 154.

poraries). Otherwise, it is ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ who decided to exclude John of Scytopolis because his scholia were already widely known in the Syriac world, and to integrate a selection of John of Dara’s commentaries into his own scholia instead, reducing and simplifying them so that they might fit into a running commentary. His annotation reads (Damascus SOP Syr. 12/23, p. 38 col. b):

that is, he is explaining what the sacred order is, namely, that it does not presume against anything that is not permitted to it [...] but that, according to the commandments and rules of the high priesthood, the initiated lay people, the initiators and the deacons, each of them observes its service, to which it was limited; and it is not possible that he does otherwise, lest he slip from the splendour of divinization.

Dionysius bar Şalibî's commentary on the passage is probably inspired by 'Lazarus-Theodore' in his lexical choices, a phenomenon that can be observed fairly frequently in his commentary: "that is, outside of the evangelic commandments and of the apostolic rules, which are the principle and the cause of their initiations, they are not permitted to do anything".<sup>142</sup>

The situation can be summarized in a synoptic table through the help of different types of highlighting.

<sup>142</sup> Mingana Syr. 663, fol. 13vb: مَنْدَكَهُ عَلَيْكَ لَكَ هَذِهِ قَوْنَاهُ كَهْلَكَهْ: مَنْدَكَهُ عَلَيْكَ لَكَ هَذِهِ قَوْنَاهُ كَهْلَكَهْ: مَنْدَكَهُ عَلَيْكَ لَكَ هَذِهِ قَوْنَاهُ كَهْلَكَهْ.

CH III, 2: "It is not permitted to do anything outside of the sacred commandments of one's own principle of initiation"

John of Scytopolis	John of Dara	'Lazarus-Theodore'	Bar Şalībī
<p>I understand this to mean that <b>someone enrolled in the clerical order ought not do anything greater than his own proper rank.</b>        Rather, he should only do and hand on through his teaching what is proper to his own rank: most certainly <b>he should not reach beyond his own rank.</b>        For example, <b>presbyters</b> teach and present the gift [in the liturgy], but <b>do not ordain; deacons</b> teach but <b>do not baptize</b> [...] or offer [the gifts in the liturgy], and so on [...] in order that what was said by the divine Paul might be rightly preserved: "<b>in whatever state each was called, let him remain</b>" (1 Cor. 7: 24)</p>	<p>This is the orderly way of the high priesthood, that it does not presume against those things that are not permitted to them. <b>For it is not right for one of those who is in an ordered rank of the priesthood that he should overstep</b> and that he should do something in order to fulfil one of his own desires, <b>nor for a deacon that he should baptize</b> or celebrate the Eucharist, <b>nor for a priest that he should perform an ordination</b>, or that he should consecrate chrism. <b>But each of them should remain in the order to which he was called</b> (cf. 1 Cor 7:24)</p>	<p>that is, he is explaining what the sacred order is, namely, that it does not presume against anything that is not permitted to it [...] but that, according to the commandments and rules of the high priesthood, each of them observes its service, for which it was determined; and it is not possible at all that he does otherwise, lest he slip from the splendour of divinization.</p>	<p>that is, outside of the evangelic commandments and of the apostolic rules, which are the principle and the cause of their initiations, they are not permitted to do anything at all.</p>

### Provisional Conclusions

After – and despite – Wießner's and Strothmann's pioneering studies in the seventies, research on the rich tradition of Syriac Dionysian commentaries remains an unexplored and highly promising territory. Critical editions must still be produced, and, on their basis, comparative analyses must be conducted in order to really understand the historical development of the Syriac tradition of Dionysian exegesis. The present sounding, however, has shown not only how rich the material is, but also and especially how revelatory it can be about scholastic and, more broadly, intellectual practices among Syriac miaphysite cultivated elites. The evolution of Dionysian commentaries, from the (however selective and targeted) transposition of John of Scythopolis' Greek scholia to the beginning of a genuinely Syriac commentary tradition provides insights into Syriac scholarship rather than solely about Dionysius. On the one hand, Phokas' rendering of John's scholia is not an isolated endeavour; it programmatically aims to position itself within the collective scholarly tradition of Qenneshre. On the other hand, the fervent activity surrounding Dionysius from the late eighth to the mid-ninth century (involving figures such as Benjamin of Edessa, John of Dara, Lazarus-Basil, 'Lazarus-Theodore') serves as a representative expression of the broader interests of miaphysite erudite monastic schools, offering a better understanding of them. In fact, it unveils them as schools, since without reading their commentaries on Dionysius and on Gregory Nazianzen (with the relevant paratexts) it would be difficult to perceive all these scholars as part of a scholastic network. Dionysius bar Ṣalibī recapitulates this process in his own Commentary, which is conceived as a substantial component within his broader synthesis of the intellectual heritage of his Church.

As far as the reciprocal literary relationships between the commentaries is concerned, it is too early to draw any conclusions after these soundings; however, the impression is that 'Lazarus-Theodore', John of Dara, and Dionysius Bar Ṣalibī after them, did not want to substitute the Greek John of Scythopolis, but to integrate it with an annotation that 1) was more systematic than John's sparse notes, and 2) included the genuinely Syriac tradition of commentary on Dionysius. The first reason explains why 'Lazarus-Theodore' is no longer conceived as a group of sparse scholia but as a running commentary, and, thus, is frequently

alternated with Dionysius' shortened text within the main body of the text.

The second reason showcases a phenomenon that, in some regards, is parallel to what was happening in the East Syriac tradition in the same centuries, where Theodore of Mopsuestia remained the main authority to explain the biblical text, but his commentaries were programmatically accompanied by the “Syriac tradition”, as it was called, i.e. a synthesis of the exegetical voices stemming from the schools of Nisibis and of other centres of learning. This applied in the Syriac miaphysite Church to the texts of some canonical Fathers rather than exclusively to the Bible: to Gregory Nazianzen and to Dionysius in particular. The main actors of this process were, just as in Nisibis, teachers and disciples of schools which conceived of themselves as such, with a precise terminology (especially the term *دَوَّار*) to indicate them. Exegesis (but on the Fathers) was, not differently from Nisibis, the main focus in these schools, and the formation of an original Syriac “tradition” of teaching and learning was as important to these miaphysite intellectuals as it was to their East Syriac counterparts. One may even suppose that miaphysite scholars did this in an attempt to emulate the East Syriac schools. Differences between the two scholastic cultures and styles are, of course, as important as these analogies, if not more important. The perception of the analogies, however, is crucial to set up a comparison between East Syriac and miaphysite school – certainly a *desideratum* for future studies.

As far as Dionysius is concerned, this meant that the story of the exegesis on his *Corpus* in Syriac is also a story ‘*sub specie Dionysii*’ of the intellectual and scholastic development of the Syriac miaphysite Church. It is through the incorporation by ‘Lazarus-Theodore’ and Dionysius bar Ҫalibî of the collective effort of past generations of scholars (particularly of ‘Rabbans’ like Lazarus of Beth Qandasa and Benjamin of Edessa) into a ductile stream of commentary tradition, that this scholastic movement of the Syriac miaphysite Church achieved the Syriacisation of Dionysius and delivered the Areopagitic corpus to the Syriac world as a fully Syriac corpus.

## ABSTRACT

Despite the crucial importance of Sergius of Resh‘ayna’s sixth-century translation, the real birth of Dionysius the Areopagite’s oeuvre as a canonical corpus in Syriac was marked by the version of Phokas of Edessa at the end of the seventh century. Phokas added to this version the translation of John of Scythopolis’ scholia, which had been written in the middle of the sixth century. These scholia were still the work of a Greek author; it was their appearance in Syriac, however, that elicited an intense production of analogous glosses by Syriac authors in the following centuries. This most especially holds true for the first half of the ninth century, when a group of West Syriac scholars gathered around the as yet little known Lazarus of Beth Qandasa (end of the eighth century?) and Benjamin of Edessa (d. after 843) and collectively studied Phokas’ Areopagite (along with the Syriac translations of Gregory Nazianzen), composing new scholia on his writings. The same circle of scholars also distinguished itself through the remarkable number of liturgical commentaries they produced, and Dionysius’ hierarchical treatises were key in this domain: John of Dara’s (d. c. 860) commentaries on both Dionysian *Hierarchies* represent a peak of this process. Around the same time, a further, rather elusive commentary appeared, which was attributed to a certain, otherwise unknown, “Theodore bar Zarudi” in the second millennium, but was probably connected to the school of Lazarus of Beth Qandasa. This commentary is, unlike that of John of Scythopolis, a complete cycle of scholia on the whole Dionysian Corpus, written directly in Syriac, and marked the actual beginning of a Syriac tradition of Dionysian exegesis. This collective poring over Dionysius’ oeuvre was finally taken over in the twelfth century and arranged in the only commentary on the whole Corpus by another Dionysius, Dionysius bar Salibi. The present paper will briefly outline this history, presenting samples of the scholia, the commentaries and the colophons that accompany the manuscript witnesses of Phokas’ translation. At the same time, it will increase our knowledge of the barely known development of a proper scholastic movement within the Syriac miaphysite Church.

## RÉSUMÉ

Malgré l’importance cruciale de la traduction de Sergius de Resh‘ayna au VI<sup>e</sup> siècle, la véritable naissance de l’œuvre de Denys l’Aréopagite en tant que corpus canonique en syriaque a été marquée par la version de Phocas d’Édesse à la fin du VII<sup>e</sup> siècle. Phocas a ajouté à cette version la

traduction des scholies de Jean de Scythopolis, composées au milieu du VI<sup>e</sup> siècle. Ces scholies étaient encore l'œuvre d'un auteur grec ; c'est cependant leur apparition en syriaque qui a suscité une intense production de gloses analogues par les auteurs syriaques au cours des siècles suivants. C'est particulièrement vrai pour la première moitié du IX<sup>e</sup> siècle, lorsqu'un groupe d'érudits syriaques occidentaux s'est réuni autour de Lazare de Beth Qandasa (fin du VIII<sup>e</sup> siècle?), encore peu connu, et de Benjamin d'Édesse (mort après 843) et ont étudié collectivement l'Aréopagite de Phocas (ainsi que les traductions syriaques de Grégoire de Nazianze), composant de nouvelles scholies sur ses écrits. Le même cercle d'érudits s'est également distingué par le nombre remarquable de commentaires liturgiques qu'ils ont produits, et les traités hiérarchiques de Denys se sont avérés essentiels dans ce domaine : les commentaires de Jean de Dara († vers 860) sur les deux *Hiérarchies* dionysiaques représentent un point culminant dans ce processus. À peu près à la même époque, un autre commentaire, plutôt insaisissable, est apparu, qui a été attribué au deuxième millénaire à un certain « Theodore bar Zarudi », autrement inconnu, mais qui était probablement lié à l'école de Lazare de Beth Qandasa. Ce commentaire est, contrairement à celui de Jean de Scythopolis, un cycle complet de scholies sur l'ensemble du *Corpus* dionysiaque, écrit directement en syriaque, et marque le début effectif d'une tradition syriaque d'exégèse dionysiaque. Cette plongée collective dans l'œuvre de Denys fut finalement reprise au XII<sup>e</sup> siècle et arrangée dans le seul commentaire de tout le *Corpus* par un autre Denys, Denys bar Salibi. Le présent article retrace brièvement cette histoire, en présentant des échantillons des scholies, des commentaires et des colophons qui accompagnent les manuscrits témoins de la traduction de Phocas. En même temps, il augmentera notre connaissance du développement à peine connu d'un mouvement scolaire proprement dit au sein de l'Église syriaque miaphysite.

## Bibliography

The reader will find here all abbreviations and bibliographic references used in the chapters: (1) journals and series; (2) dictionaries, references books, online resources; (3) primary sources (editions and translations); (4) secondary literature; (5) library names (manuscript collections).

Editions and translations of primary sources used in the present volume are referred to in abbreviated form in the chapters. Works edited only in *PG* are not mentioned in this bibliography, nor are ancient editions, whose titles are often so similar that they cannot be referred to in abbreviated form without causing confusion. For the same reason books published before 1700 are not abbreviated and therefore not found in this list.

Titles are sometimes shortened, their punctuation is normalised, and the information is simplified, reduced to the Latin abbreviated forms in primary sources: “ed.” and “ed. et tr.”, and to the English forms “(ed.)” and “(eds.)” in secondary literature. Places of publication are given in their actual linguistic form, notwithstanding the fact that the publication may be in another language, e.g. Latin. The same is true for the names of modern authors. Authors’ names are alphabetised under the prefix if they have one, and diacritics are ignored for the purpose of alphabetisation. If a publishing house has more than one seat, only the main place of publication is given.

All webpages mentioned in the list were last accessed on 10 December 2023.

Abbreviations of Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita’s and Gregory of Nazianzus’ works:

*CD* = *Corpus Dionysiaca*

*CH* = *De caelesti hierarchia*

*DN* = *De divinis nominibus*

*EH* = *De ecclesiastica hierarchia*

*Ep(p).* = *Epistula(e)*

*MT* = *De mystica theologia*

*CN* = *Corpus Nazianzenum*

*Carm.* = *Carmina*

*Ep(p).* = *Epistula(e)*

*Or.* = *Orationes*

## I. Journals and Series

*AC = L'Antiquité Classique*

*AnBoll = Analecta Bollandiana*

*ASNSP = Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Lettere e Filosofia*

*BBGG = Bollettino della Badia Greca di Grottaferrata*

*BT = Bibliotheca Teubneriana*

*Byz = Byzantion*

*BZ = Byzantinische Zeitschrift*

*CCSA = Corpus Christianorum Series Apocryphorum*

*CCSG = Corpus Christianorum Series Graeca*

*CCSL = Corpus Christianorum Series Latina*

*CD = Corpus Dionysiacum*

*CN = Corpus Nazianzenum*

*CodMan = Codices manuscripti. Zeitschrift für Handschriftenkunde*

*CSCO = Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium*

*CSEL = Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum*

*CUF = Collection des Universités de France*

*FOC = The Fathers of the Church*

*GCS = Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten drei Jahrhunderte*

*GNO = Gregorii Nysseni Opera*

*GRBS = Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies*

*IPM = Instrumenta Patristica et Mediaevalia*

*JA = Journal Asiatique*

*JÖB = Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinistik*

*JThS = Journal of Theological Studies*

*MH = Museum Helveticum*

*Mus = Le Muséon*

- NAWG* = *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Philosophisch-historische Klasse*
- OCA* = *Orientalia Christiana Analecta*
- OCP* = *Orientalia Christiana Periodica*
- OLA* = *Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta*
- OLP* = *Orientalia Lovaniensia Periodica*
- OrChr* = *Oriens Christianus*
- PG* = *Patrologia Graeca*
- PIOL* = *Publications de l'Institut Orientaliste de Louvain*
- PL* = *Patrologia Latina*
- PO* = *Patrologia Orientalis*
- POr* = *Parole de l'Orient*
- PTS* = *Patristische Texte und Studien*
- REAug* = *Revue des Études Augustiniennes / Revue d'Études Augustiniennes et Patristiques*
- REB* = *Revue des Études Byzantines*
- REG* = *Revue des Études Grecques*
- RHT* = *Revue d'Histoire des Textes*
- ROC* = *Revue de l'Orient Chrétien*
- RSPT* = *Revue des Sciences Philosophiques et Théologiques*
- RSBN* = *Rivista di Studi Bizantini e Neoellenici*
- SC* = *Sources Chrétaines*
- SE* = *Sacris Erudiri*
- SGKA* = *Studien zur Geschichte und Kultur des Altertums*
- SMC* = *Studies in Manuscript Cultures*
- ST* = *Studi e Testi*
- SH* = *Subsidia Hagiographica*
- TC* = *Trends in Classics*
- TU* = *Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur*

## 2. Dictionaries, Reference Books, On-line Ressources

*BHG* = F. HALKIN, *Bibliotheca Hagiographica Graeca*, 3rd edn, Bruxelles, 1957  
(*SH*, 8a); *Novum Auctarium*, Bruxelles, 1984 (*SH*, 65).

*BHL = Bibliotheca Hagiographica Latina, Antiquae et Mediae Actatis*, Bruxelles, 1898-1899 (*SH*, 6); H. FROS, *Novum Supplementum*, Bruxelles, 1986 (*SH*, 70).

*BHO* = P. PEETERS, *Bibliotheca Hagiographica Orientalis*, Bruxelles, 1910 (SH, 10).

*CPG* = M. GEERARD, *Clavis Patrum Graecorum*, 5 vols., Turnhout 1974-1987; J. NORET, *CPG*, III, 2nd edn, Turnhout, 2003; M. GEERARD, J. NORET, *Supplementum*, Turnhout, 1998; *Clavis Clavium* = <https://clavis.brepols.net/clacla/Default.aspx>.

*DBBE (Database of Book Epigrams)* = <https://www.dbbe.ugent.be/>.

Diktyon = <http://www.diktyon.org/en/identifiants-du-reseau/manuscrits/>; see  
*Pinkes.*

*e-GEDSH* = G. A. KIRAZ et al. (eds.), *Gorgias Encyclopedic Dictionary of the Syriac Heritage: Electronic Edition*, <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/index.html>.

*Gorgias Syriac Dictionary* = S. BROCK, G. KIRAZ, *Gorgias Concise Syriac Dictionary*, Piscataway, NJ, 2015.

LAMPE = G. W. H. LAMPE, *A Patristic Greek Lexicon*, Oxford, 1961.

*LSJ* = H. G. LIDDELL, R. SCOTT, *A Greek-English Lexicon*, new edition revised and augmented throughout by H. S. JONES, 9th edn, Oxford, 1996.

*MGH = Monumenta Germaniae Historica*, online: <https://www.dmgh.de/>.

*ODB* = A. KAZHDAN (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium*, New York, 1991.

*OHDA* = M. EDWARDS, D. PALLIS, G. STEIRIS (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Dionysius the Areopagite*, Oxford, 2022.

*Pinakes* = <https://pinakes.irht.cnrs.fr/>.

*Pseudo-Chrysostomica* = S. VOICU, *Pseudo-Chrysostomica: An Online Database on the Texts Wrongly Attributed to John Chrysostom*, <https://www.trismegistos.org/pseudo-chrysostomica>.

*RE* = G. WISSOWA et al. (eds.), *Paulys Real-Encyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft* [...], Stuttgart, 1893-1978.

*RepNaz*, I-VI = J. MOSSAY, *Repertorium Nazianzenum. Orationes. Textus Graecus*, 6 vols.: I: *Codices Galliae*; [J.M., with the collaboration of X. LEQUEUX] II: *Codices Americae, Angliae, Austriae*; III: *Codices Belgii, Bulgariae, Constantinopolis, Germaniae, Graeciae (pars prior), Helvetiae, Hiberniae, Hollandiae, Poloniae, Russiarum, Scandinauiac, Ucrainac et codex uagus*; IV: *Codices Cypri, Graeciae (pars altera), Hierosolymorum*; [J.M. and L. HOFFMANN] V: *Codices Civitatis Vaticanae*; [J.M. and B. COULIE] VI: *Codices Aegypti, Bohemiae, Hispaniae, Italiae, Serbieae. Addenda et corrigenda*, Paderborn, 1981, 1987, 1993, 1995, 1996, 1998 (*SGKA*, n.s., 2: *Forschungen zu Gregor von Nazianz*, I, 5, 10, II, 12, 14).

*RgK*, I-III = E. GAMILLSCHEG, D. HARLFINGER, H. HUNGER, *Repertorium der griechischen Kopisten 800-1600*, I: *Handschriften aus Bibliotheken Großbritanniens*, 3 vols.; II: *Handschriften aus Bibliotheken Frankreichs und Nachträge zu den Bibliotheken Großbritanniens*, 3 vols.; III: *Handschriften aus Bibliotheken Roms mit dem Vatikan*, 3 vols., Wien, 1981, 1989, 1997 (*Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Byzantinistik*, 3/1-3).

*TLG* = TLG®. *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae. A Digital Library of Greek Literature*, <http://www.tlg.uci.edu/>.

*Thesaurus Syriacus* = R. PAYNE SMITH, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, 2 vols., Oxford, 1879-1901.

### 3. Primary Sources (editions and translations)

NB: In this list the alphabetic order is not fully respected, because the names of the ancient and medieval authors are given according to the language of the edition or translation.

*ACO* = *Acta conciliorum oecumenicorum*, series prima, 4 vols., ed. E. SCHWARTZ, Berlin, 1914-1940.

Ambroise de Milan, *Sur la mort de Théodore*, ed. et tr. Y.-M. DUVAL, B. GAIN, Paris, 2022 (*SC*, 629).

*Analecta hymnica graeca e codicibus eruta Italiae inferioris*, ed. G. SCHIRÒ, IV: *Canones decembris*, ed. A. KOMINIS, Roma, 1976.

*Anecdota graeca e codd. manuscriptis bibliothecarum Oxoniensium*, ed. J. A. CRAMER, IV, Oxford, 1836.

**Anonyma apocrypha (armeniace)**

Անկանոն գիրք առաքելականը, ed. K'. Č'RAK'EAN, Venezia, 1904.

French translation: *Écrits apocryphes sur les apôtres. Traduction de l'édition arménienne de Venise*, I: *Pierre, Paul, André, Jacques, Jean*, tr. L. LELOIR, Turnhout, 1986 (CCSA, 1).

**Anonyma hagiographica (georgice)**

ძველი ქართული აგიოგრაფიული ლიტერატურის ძეგლები, II [Monuments of the Old Georgian Hagiographic Literature, II], ed. I. ABULADZE et al., Tbilisi, 1967 [repr.: 2020].

*Georgian Monks on Mount Athos: Two Eleventh-Century Lives of the Hegoumenoi of Iviron*, tr. T. GRDZELIDZE, London, 2009.

**Anonyma liturgica (georgice)**

უძველესი ქართული ხელნაწერები ავსტრიაში (ხანმეტი ღეკციონარი, ფსალმუნი, სვიმეონ სალოსის ცხოვრება, ოვანე ოქროპირისა და იაკობ მოციქულის ქამისწირვები) [Ancient Georgian Manuscripts in Austria (Khanmeti Lectionary, Psalms, Life of Symeon the Holy Fool, Liturgies of St John Chrysostom and St James the Apostle)], ed. V. IMNAISHVILI, Tbilisi, 2004.

*Liturgia Ibero-Graeca Sancti Iacobi. Editio, translatio, retroversio, commentarii*, ed. L. KHEVSURIANI, M. SHANIDZE, M. KAVTARIA, T. TSE-RADZE, S. VERHELST, Münster, 2011 (*Jerusalemer Theologisches Forum*, 17).

*Anonyme Kirchengeschichte* (Gelasius Cyzicenus), ed. G. Ch. HANSEN, Berlin, 2002 (GCS, n.s., 9).

*Anonymi auctoris Chronicon ad annum Christi 1234 pertinens*, ed. J.-B. CHABOT, II, Leuven, 1916 (CSCO, 82; *Scriptores syri*, 37).

*Anthemius et Fragmentum Bobiense in mathematici gracci minores*, ed. J. L. HEILBERG, København, 1927 (Det Kgl. Videnskabernes Selskab. Historisk-filologiske Meddelelser, 23/3).

*Apollonii Pergaei Quae graece exstant cum commentariis antiquis*, ed. J. L. HEILBERG, II, Leipzig, 1893.

[Mar] Barḥadbsabba ‘Arbaya, évêque de Halwan (vi<sup>e</sup> siècle), *Cause de la fondation des écoles*, ed. et tr. A. SCHER, Paris, 1908 (PO, 4/4).

[Gregorii] Barhebræi *Chronicon ecclesiasticum*, ed. J. B. ABBELOOS, T. J. LAMY, I, Paris, 1872.

*Nomocanon* [Gregorii] Barhebraei, ed. P. BEDJAN, Paris / Leipzig, 1898.

Basil, *Letters*, I: *Letters 1-58*, tr. R. J. DEFERRARI, Cambridge, MA, 1926 (*Loeb Classical Library*, 190).

Basilius Minimus: s. Gregorius Nazianzenus (commentarii).

ბიბლია. ძველი აღთქმა [Bible. Old Testament], 2 vols., Tbilisi, 2017.

*Catena Graecorum patrum in Novum Testamentum*, ed. J. A. CRAMER, II, Oxford, 1841.

### Dionysius Areopagita (et commentarii)

*Corpus Dionysiacum*, I: Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita, *De divinis nominibus*, ed. B. R. SUCHLA, Berlin, 1990 (PTS, 33).

*Corpus Dionysiacum*, II: Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita, *De coelesti hierarchia*, *De ecclesiastica hierarchia*, *De mystica theologia*, *Epistulae*, ed. G. HEIL (†), A. M. RITTER, Berlin, 2012<sup>2</sup> (PTS, 67) [1991<sup>1</sup> (PTS, 36)].

*Corpus Dionysiacum*, III/1: Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita, *Epistola ad Timotheum de morte apostolorum Petri et Pauli*, ed. et tr. C. MACÉ, E. MÜHLENBERG, M. MUTHREICH, C. WULF – *Homilia* (BHL 2187), ed. et tr. E. MÜHLENBERG, Berlin, 2021 (PTS, 79).

*Corpus Dionysiacum*, IV/1: Ioannis Scythopolitani *Prologus et scholia in Dionysii Areopagitae librum De divinis nominibus*, ed. B. R. SUCHLA, *Corpus Dionysiacum*, IV/1, Berlin, 2011 (PTS, 62).

Denys l'Aréopagite, *La Hiérarchie céleste*, ed. et tr. G. HEIL, M. DE GANDILLAC, R. ROQUES, Paris, 1970<sup>2</sup> (SC, 58 bis) [1958<sup>1</sup> (SC, 58)].

Dionysii Areopagitae *De divinis nominibus*. Praefationem, textum, apparatus, ed. S. LILLA (†), C. MORESCHINI, Alessandria, 2018 (*Hellenica. Testi e strumenti di letteratura greca antica, medievale e umanistica*, 71).

### Versio armeniaca

*The Armenian Version of the Works Attributed to Dionysius the Areopagite*, ed. et tr. R. THOMSON, 2 vols., Leuven, 1987 (CSCO, 488-489; *Scriptores armeniaci*, 17-18).

*Two Anonymous Sets of Scholia on Dionysius the Areopagite's Heavenly Hierarchy*, 2 vols., ed. et tr. S. LA PORTA, Leuven, 2008 (*CSCO*, 623-624; *Scriptores armeniaci*, 29-30).

**Versio iberica** (under the name of Petrus the Iberian)

პეტრე იბერიელი (ფსევდო-დიონისის არეოპაგელი), შრომები. ეფრემ მცირის თარგმანი [Peter the Iberian, *Corpus Areopagiticum* (Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite). Works. Ephrem Mtsire's Translation], ed. S. ENUKASHVILI, Tbilisi, 1961.

ქართულ-ბერძნული საღვთისმეტყველო განმარტებანი ანგელოზურ ძალთა შესახებ [Georgian-Greek Theological Commentaries on the Celestial Hierarchy], ed. A. TCHUMBURI-DZE, Tbilisi, 2001.

**Versio syriaca**

Dionigi Areopagita, *Nomi divini, teologia mistica, epistole: La versione siriaca di Sergio di Rēs‘aynā* (VI secolo), ed. et tr. E. FIORI, 2 vols., Leuven, 2014 (*CSCO*, 656-657; *Scriptores syriaci*, 252-253).

**Vitae (BHG 554 and 556)**

BHG 556 (Michael Syncellus): s. PODOLAK, “Panegirico”.

BHG 554: s. PODOLAK, “Passione greca”.

Dionysius Jakob Bar Šalibi, *Syrischer Kommentar zum Römerbrief*, ed. et tr. G. RABO, Wiesbaden, 2019 (*Göttinger Orientforschungen*, 1st s.: *Syriaca*, 56).

Dorothée de Gaza, *Œuvres spirituelles*, ed. et tr. L. REGNAULT, J. DE PRÉVILLE, Paris, 1963 (*SC*, 92).

Giorgio di Pisidia, *Carmi*, ed. L. TARTAGLIA, Torino, 1998.

**Gregorius Nazianzenus**

**Carmina**

[Saint] Grégoire de Nazianze, *Œuvres poétiques*, I/1: *Poèmes personnels* (II, I, I-II), ed. et tr. A. TUILIER, G. BADY, J. BERNARDI, Paris, 2004 (*CUF*).

[Saint] Grégoire de Nazianze, *Œuvres poétiques*, II: *Poèmes épistolaires* (II, 2, I-8), ed. et tr. R. M. BÉNIN, Paris, 2021 (*CUF*).

Gregor von Nazianz, *De vita sua*, ed. C. JUNGCK, Heidelberg, 1974.

- Gregor von Nazianz, *Gegen den Zorn* (I, 2, 25), ed. M. OBERHAUS, Paderborn, 1991 (*SGKA*, n.s., 2: *Forschungen zu Gregor von Nazianz*, 8).
- Gregorio Nazianzeno, *Ad Olimpiade* (II, 2, 6), ed. L. BACCI, Pisa, 1996.
- Gregorio Nazianzeno, *Poesie*, I, tr. C. MORESCHINI, I. COSTA, C. CRIMI, G. LAUDIZI, Roma, 1994 (*Collana di testi patristici*, 115).
- Gregorio Nazianzeno, *Poesie*, II, tr. C. CRIMI, I. COSTA, Roma, 1999 (*Collana di testi patristici*, 150).

### Orationes

- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 1-3, ed. et tr. J. BERNARDI, Paris, 1978 (*SC*, 247).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 4-5, ed. et tr. J. BERNARDI, Paris, 1983 (*SC*, 309).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 6-12, ed. et tr. M.-A. CALVET-SEBASTI, Paris, 1995 (*SC*, 405).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 20-23, ed. et tr. J. MOSSAY, Paris, 1980 (*SC*, 270).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 24-26, ed. et tr. J. MOSSAY [with the collaboration of G. LAFONTAINE], Paris, 1981 (*SC*, 284).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 27-31, ed. et tr. P. GALLAY, Paris, 1978 (*SC*, 250).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 32-37, ed. et tr. C. MORESCHINI, P. GALLAY, Paris, 1985 (*SC*, 318).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 38-41, ed. et tr. C. MORESCHINI, P. GALLAY, Paris, 1990 (*SC*, 358).
- Grégoire de Nazianze, *Discours* 42-43, ed. et tr. J. BERNARDI, Paris, 1992 (*SC*, 384).
- St Gregory of Nazianzus, *On God and Christ. The Five Theological Orations and Two Letters to Cledonius*, tr. F. WILLIAMS, L. WICKHAM, New York, 2002 (*Popular Patristics*, 23).
- St Gregory of Nazianzus, *Festal Orations*, tr. N. HARRISON, New York, 2008 (*Popular Patristics*, 36).
- St Gregory of Nazianzus, *Select Orations*, tr. M. VINSON, Washington, DC, 2003 (*FOC*, 107).

### **Epistulae**

Grégoire de Nazianze, *Lettres*, ed. et tr. P. GALLAY, 2 vols., Paris, 1964, 1967 (*CUF*).

### **Versio armeniaca**

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio armeniaca*, I: *Or. 2, 12, 9*, ed. B. COULIE, Turnhout, 1994 (*CCSG*, 28; *CN*, 3).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio armeniaca*, II: *Or. 4 et 5*, ed. A. SIRINIAN, Turnhout, 1999 (*CCSG*, 37; *CN*, 6).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio armeniaca*, III: *Or. 21, 8*, ed. B. COULIE; *Or. 7*, ed. A. SIRINIAN, Turnhout, 1999 (*CCSG*, 38; *CN*, 7).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio armeniaca*, IV: *Or. 6*, ed. C. SANSPEUR, Turnhout, 2007 (*CCSG*, 61; *CN*, 21).

### **Versiones ibericae**

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, I: *Or. 1, 45, 44, 41*, ed. H. METREVELI, K. BEZARACHVILI, T. KOURTSIKIDZE, N. MELIKICHVILI, T. OTKHMEZURI, M. RAPHAVA, M. CHANIDZE, Turnhout, 1998 (*CCSG*, 36; *CN*, 5).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, II: *Or. 15, 24, 19*, ed. H. METREVELI, K. BEZARACHVILI, M. DOLAKIDZE, T. KOURTSIKIDZE, M. MATCHAVARIANI, N. MELIKICHVILI, M. RAPHAVA, M. CHANIDZE, Turnhout, 2000 (*CCSG*, 42; *CN*, 9).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, III: *Or. 38*, ed. H. METREVELI, K. BEZARACHVILI, M. DOLAKIDZE, T. KOURTSIKIDZE, N. MELIKICHVILI, T. OTKHMEZURI, M. RAPHAVA, Turnhout, 2001 (*CCSG*, 45; *CN*, 12).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, IV: *Or. 43*, ed. B. COULIE, H. METREVELI (†), K. BEZARACHVILI, T. KOURTSIKIDZE, N. MELIKICHVILI, T. OTKHMEZURI, M. RAPHAVA, Turnhout, 2004 (*CCSG*, 52; *CN*, 17).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, V: *Or. 39, 40*, ed. B. COULIE, H. METREVELI (†), K. BEZARACHVILI, T. KOURTSIKIDZE, N. MELIKICHVILI, M. RAPHAVA, Turnhout, 2007 (*CCSG*, 58; *CN*, 20).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, VI: *Or.* 11, 21, 42, ed.

B. COULIE, H. METREVELI (†), K. BEZARACHVILI, T. KOURTSIKIDZE (†), N. MELIKICHVILI, M. RAPHAVA, Turnhout, 2013 (CCSG, 78; CN, 26).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, VII: *Or.* 16, 14, ed.

B. COULIE, H. METREVELI (†), K. BEZARACHVILI, T. KOURTSIKIDZE (†), N. MELIKICHVILI, T. OTKHMEZURI, M. RAPHAVA, Turnhout, 2018 (CCSG, 86; CN, 28).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio iberica*, VIII: *Or.* 6, 23, 22, ed.

B. COULIE, T. OTKHMEZURI, Turnhout, 2020 (CCSG, 95; CN, 30).

### **Versiones latinae**

[Tyrannii] Rufini *Orationum Gregorii Nazianzeni novem interpretatio*,

ed. A. ENGELBRECHT, Wien, 1910 (CSEL, 46).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio latina*, I: *Epp.* 102 et 101, ed.

A. CAPONE, Turnhout, 2021 (CCSG, 99; CN, 32).

### **Versiones syriacae**

[Sancti] Gregorii Theologi *Liber carminum iambicorum, versio syriaca antiquissima e Cod. Vat. CV*, I, ed. P. J. BOLLIG, Beirut, 1895.

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio syriaca*, I: *Or.* 40, ed. J.-C. HAELEWYCK, Turnhout, 2001 (CCSG, 49; CN, 14).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio syriaca*, II: *Or.* 13, 41, ed.

A. B. SCHMIDT, Turnhout, 2002 (CCSG, 47; CN, 15).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio syriaca*, III: *Or.* 27, 38, 39, ed.

J.-C. HAELEWYCK, Turnhout, 2005 (CCSG, 53; CN, 18).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio syriaca*, IV: *Or.* 28, 29, 30, 31 ed.

J.-C. HAELEWYCK, Turnhout, 2007 (CCSG, 65; CN, 23).

[Sancti] Gregorii Nazianzeni *Opera. Versio syriaca*, V: *Or.* 1, 2, 3, ed. J.-C. HAELEWYCK, Turnhout, 2011 (CCSG, 77; CN, 25).

### **Commentarii**

Basilii Minimi *In Gregorii Nazianzeni Orationes 4 et 5 commentarii*, ed. et tr. G. RIOUAL, Turnhout, 2019 (CCSG, 90; CN, 29).

Basilii Minimi *In Gregorii Nazianzeni Orationem 38 commentarii*, ed. et tr. T. SCHMIDT, Turnhout, 2001 (CCSG, 46; CN, 13).

[Pseudo-]Nonniani *In IV Orationes Gregorii Nazianzeni Commentarii*, ed. J. NIMMO SMITH, Turnhout, 1992 (CCSG, 27; CN, 2).

*A Christian's Guide to Greek Culture. The [Pseudo-]Nonnus Commentaries on Sermons 4, 5, 39 and 43 by Gregory of Nazianzus*, tr. J. NIMMO SMITH, Liverpool, 2001 (*Translated Texts for Historians*, 37).

Pseudo-Nonniani *In IV Orationes Gregorii Nazianzeni Commentarii. Versio iberica*, ed. T. OTKHMEZURI, Turnhout, 2002 (CCSG, 50; CN, 16).

*The Syriac Version of the [Pseudo-]Nonnos Mythological Scholia*, ed. et tr. S. P. BROCK, London, 1971 (*University of Cambridge Oriental Publications*, 20).

### Vita (BHG 723)

*Gregorii Presbyteri Vita sancti Gregorii Theologi*, ed. et tr. X. LEQUEUX, Turnhout, 2001 (CCSG, 44; CN, II).

Gregorius Nyssenus, *Contra Eunomium*, ed. W. JAEGER, Leiden, 1960 (GNO, I-II).

Gregorius Nyssenus, *De beatitudinibus*, ed. J. F. CALLAHAN, Leiden, 1992 (GNO, VII/2).

Gregorius Presbyterus: s. Gregorius Nazianzenus (vita).

Hesychii Alexandrini *Lexicon*, I, ed. K. LATTE, København, 1953.

Hieronymus, *De viris illustribus / Berühmte Männer*, ed. et tr. C. BARTHOLD, 2nd edn, Mülheim, 2011.

Italian translation: Gerolamo, *Gli uomini illustri*, ed. et tr. A. CERESA GASTALDO, Firenze, 1988.

*Commentaire d'Išodad de Merv sur l'Ancien Testament*, I: *Genèse*, ed. et tr. C. VAN DEN EYNDE, J.-M. VOSTÉ, 2 vols., Leuven, 1950, 1955 (CSCO, 126 et 156; *Scriptores syri*, 67 et 75).

იოანე დამასკელი, დიალექტიკა [John of Damascus, Dialectica], ed. M. RAPHAVA, Tbilisi, 1976.

[წმ.] იოანე დამასკელი, მართლმადიდებლური სარწმუნოების ზედმიწევნითი გადმოცემა. ორი ძველი ქართული თარგმანი ეფრემ მცირისა და არსენ იყალთოელისა [St John of Damascus, Exact Exposition of the Orthodox Faith. Two Ancient Georgian Translations of St Ephrem Mtsire and St Arseni Ikaltoeli], ed. R. MIMINOSHVILI, M. RAPHAVA, Tbilisi, 2000.

- Johannes Scythopolitanus: s. Dionysius Areopagita (*CD*, IV/1).
- Le De Oblatione de Jean de Dara*, ed. et tr. J. SADER, Leuven, 1970 (*CSCO*, 308-309; *Scriptores syri*, 132-133).
- John of Dara, *On the Resurrection of Human Bodies*, ed. et tr. A. SHEMUNKA-SHO, Piscataway, NJ, 2020 (*Bibliotheca Nisibinensis*, 4).
- Justinianus, *Epistula contra tria capitula*, ed. E. SCHWARTZ, *Drei dogmatische Schriften Iustinians*, München, 1939 (*Abhandlungen der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-historische Abteilung*, n.s., 18).
- Kartlis Tskhovreba. A History of Georgia*, tr. R. METREVELI et al., English edn by S. JONES, Tbilisi, 2018.
- Komitas, Կոմիտաս, ed. K. TĒR-MKRTČEAN, Ejmiacin, 1914 [repr.: *Le sceau de la foi*, Louvain, 1974 (*Bibliothèque arménienne de la Fondation Calouste Gulbenkian*)].
- Das sogenannte "Kyrill"-Lexikon in der Fassung der Handschrift E (Codex Breemensis G II)*, ed. U. HAGEDORN, 2 vols., Köln, 2005, <https://kups.ub.uni-koeln.de/1813/>.
- Lexicon syriacum auctore Hassano bar Bablule: voces syriacas græcasque cum glossis syriacis et arabicis complectens*, ed. R. DUVAL, 3 vols., Paris, 1888-1901.
- Marinus, *Proclus ou Sur le bonheur*, ed. et tr. H. D. SAFFREY, A.-PH. SEGONDS [with the collaboration of C. LUNA], Paris, 2001 (*CUF*).
- Maximus Confessor, *On Difficulties in the Church Fathers: The Ambigua*, ed. et tr. N. CONSTAS, 2 vols., Cambridge, MA, 2014 (*Dumbarton Oaks Medieval Library*, 28-29).
- Maximi Confessoris *Mystagogia, una cum latina interpretatione Anastasii Bibliothecarii*, ed. C. BOUDIGNON, Turnhout, 2011 (*CCSG*, 69).
- Maximi Confessoris *Quaestiones ad Thalassium*, I: *Quaestiones 1-55, una cum latina interpretatione Ioannis Scotti Eriugenae iuxta posita*, ed. C. LAGA, C. STEEL, Turnhout, 1980 (*CCSG*, 7).
- Maxime le Confesseur, *Questions à Thalassios*, I: *Questions 1-40*, ed. et tr. J.-C. LARCHET, F. VINEL, Paris, 2010 (*SC*, 529).
- Michael Psellus, *De omnifaria doctrina*, ed. L. G. WESTERINK, Nijmegen, 1948.
- Michaelis Pselli *Orationes forenses et acta*, ed. G. T. DENNIS, Stuttgart, 1994 (*BT*).
- Michaelis Pselli *Poemata*, ed. L. G. WESTERINK, Stuttgart, 1992 (*BT*).

- Michaelis Pselli *Theologica*, I, ed. P. GAUTIER, Leipzig, 1989 (*BT*).
- Chronique de Michel le Syrien*, ed. et tr. J.-B. CHABOT, 4 vols., Paris, 1899-1910.
- კლარჯული მრავალთავი [Mravaltavi of Klarjeti], ed. T. MGALOBLISHVILI, Tbilisi, 1991.
- უდაბნოს მრავალთავი [Mravaltavi of Udabno], ed. A. SHANIDZE, Z. TCHUMBURIDZE, Tbilisi, 1994.
- ათონის მრავალთავი, იოანე ბოლნელის ქადაგებანი [Mravaltavi of Mt Athos, Ioane Bolneli's Sermons], ed. M. MAISURADZE, M. MAMULASHVILI, A. GHAMBASHIDZE, M. CHKHENKELI, Tbilisi, 1999.
- Nicetas Eugenianus, *De Drosillae et Chariclis amoribus*, ed. F. CONCA, apparatus fontium operam dedit A. GIUSTI, Amsterdam, 1990 (*London Studies in Classical Philology*, 24).
- Nicola Callicle, *Carmi*, ed. et tr. R. ROMANO, Napoli, 1980 (*Byzantina et neohellenica Neapolitana*, 8).
- [Pseudo-]Nonnos: s. Gregorius Nazianzenus (commentarii).
- Origene, *Omelie sulla Genesi*, ed. et tr. M. SIMONETTI, M. I. DANIELI, Roma, 2002.
- The Philocalia of Origen*, ed. A. ROBINSON, Cambridge, 1893.
- Porphyrius, *Vita Plotini*, ed. P. HENRY, H.-R. SCHWYZER, *Plotini Opera*, I, Leiden, 1951 (*Museum Lessianum. Series philosophica*, 33).
- Rhetores graeci*, ed. L. SPENGEL, III, Leipzig, 1856.
- Rufinus: s. Gregorius Nazianzenus (versiones latinae).
- A Collection of Letters of Severus of Antioch from Numerous Syriac Manuscripts*, ed. et tr. E. W. BROOKS, II, Paris, 1920 (*PO*, 14/1 = 67).
- Les Homiliae Cathedrales de Sévère d'Antioche, traduction syriaque de Jacques d'Édesse: Homélies 120-125*, ed. M. BRIÈRE, Paris, 1960 (*PO*, 29/1).
- Socrate de Constantinople, *Histoire Ecclésiastique*, I, ed. et tr. P. PÉRICHON, P. MARAVAL, Paris, 2004 (*SC*, 477).
- English translation: *The Ecclesiastical History of Socrates*, surnamed Scholasticus or the Advocate comprising a History of the Church, tr. E. WALFORD, H. DE VALOIS, London, 1853.
- Suidae lexicon*, I, ed. A. ADLER, München, 1928 (*Lexicographi Graeci*, 1) [repr.: 2001].

*Synagogue [Συναγωγὴ λέξεων χρησίμων]: Texts of the Original Version and of MS. B*, ed. I. C. CUNNINGHAM, Berlin, 2003 (*Sammlung griechischer und lateinischer Grammatiker*, 10).

*Synaxarium ecclesiae Constantinopolitanae e codice Sirmondiano nunc Beronensi*, ed. H. DELEHAYE, Bruxelles, 1902 (*Acta Sanctorum*, 62).

*Le synaxaire arménien de Ter Israel (II. Mois de Hori)*, ed. G. BAYAN, Paris, 1911 (PO, 6/2).

### *Syriac Peshitta Bible in English Translation*

*Exodus*, tr. M. MEYER, Piscataway, NJ, 2017.

*Hebrews and the General Catholic Epistles*, tr. D. KING, J. WALTERS, Piscataway, NJ, 2016.

*John*, tr. J. CHILDERS, Piscataway, NJ, 2014.

*Romans*, tr. D. KING, Piscataway, NJ, 2013.

*The Twelve Prophets*, tr. D. WALTER, G. GREENBERG, Piscataway, NJ, 2012.

*Historia ecclesiastica Zachariae Rhetori vulgo adscripta*, ed. et tr. E. W. BROOKS, 4 vols., Paris, 1919-1924 (*Scriptores syri*, 3rd s., 5-6) [repr.: 2 vols., Leuven, 1953 (CSCO, 83-84; *Scriptores syri*, 38-39)].

*The Chronicle of Pseudo-Zachariah Rhetor: Church and War in Late Antiquity*, ed. et tr. G. GREATREX, R. P. PHENIX, C. B. HORN, Liverpool, 2011 (*Translated Texts for Historians*, 55).

### 4. Secondary Literature (including catalogues)

ABULADZE (ed.), აღწერილობა (Q კოლექცია), I = I. ABULADZE (ed.), საქართველოს სახელმწიფო მუზეუმის ქართულ ხელნაწერთა აღწერილობა (Q კოლექცია) [Description of Georgian Manuscripts of the State Museum of Georgia, Q fund], I, compiled by E. METREVELI and K. SHARASHIDZE, Tbilisi, 1957.

ABULADZE, “მრავალთავი” = I. ABULADZE, “მრავალთავი” [Mravalta-vi], აკადემიკოს ნიკო მარის სახელობის ენის, ისტორიისა და მატერიალური კულტურის ინსტიტუტის მოამბე, 14 (1944), pp. 241-316 [repr. in I. ABULADZE, შრომები, III, Tbilisi, 1981, pp. 32-106].

ACERBI, “Types” = F. ACERBI, “Types, Function, and Organization of the Collections of Scholia to the Greek Mathematical Treatises”, *TC*, 6/1 (2014), pp. 115-169.

- ADONTZ, *Denys de Thrace* = N. ADONTZ, *Denys de Thrace et les commentateurs arméniens*, Leuven, 1970.
- ALBRECHT – MATERA, “Testimonianze” = F. ALBRECHT, M. MATERA, “Testimonianze di παράγραφοι ‘a coda ondulata’ in alcuni manoscritti greci e copti”, *Nέα Πόμη*, 14 (2017), pp. 5-35.
- AL-DABTE, “Iktishāf Dayr Qinnisrīn” = Y. AL-DABTE, “Iktishāf Dayr Qinnisrīn (Monastery of Qinnisre)”, *Mahd al Ḥadarāt*, 2 (2007), pp. 83-99.
- ALEXIDZE, “Georgian Version” = L. ALEXIDZE, “The Georgian Version of the Scholia on *Corpus Dionysiacum*: Commentaries known under the name of ‘Maximus’”, in MGALOBLISHVILI – KHOPERIA (eds.), *Maximus the Confessor*, pp. 113-131.
- ALPERS, “Bremer Cyrill-Glossar” = K. ALPERS, “Zum Bremer ‘Cyrill-Glossar’ (Bremen, SuUB msc 0011): Eine philologisch-historische Nachlese”, in BROCKMANN et al. (eds.), *Griechisch-byzantinische Handschriftenforschung*, II, pp. 351-362.
- ALPERS, “Griechische Lexikographie” = K. ALPERS, “Griechische Lexikographie in Antike und Mittelalter”, in H.-A. KOCH (ed.), *Welt der Information. Wissen und Wissensvermittlung in Geschichte und Gegenwart*, Stuttgart, 1990, pp. 14-38.
- ANDERSON, *Interpretation* = E. L. ANDERSON, *The Interpretation of Pseudo-Dionysius in the Works of John of Dara*, PhD thesis, Yale University, 2016.
- ANDRIST, “Definition” = P. ANDRIST, “Toward a Definition of Paratexts and Paratextuality: The Case of Ancient Greek Manuscripts”, in L. I. LIED – M. MANIACI (eds.), *Bible as Notepad: Tracing Annotations and Annotation Practices in Late Antique and Medieval Biblical Manuscripts*, Berlin, 2018 (*Manuscripta Biblica*, 3), pp. 130-149.
- ARZHANOV, “Menander in Syriac” = Y. ARZHANOV, “Menander in Syriac: From Euthalian Apparatus to Scholia on Gregory of Nazianzus”, *Studia graeco-arabica*, 7 (2017), pp. 1-18.
- ARZHANOV, *Syriac Sayings* = Y. ARZHANOV, *Syriac Sayings of Greek Philosophers*, Leuven, 2019 (CSCO, 669; *Subsidia*, 138).
- ASLANYAN, *Pseudo-Dionysius* = V. ASLANYAN, *Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita und die armenische Philosophie (13.-15. Jhd.)*, Tübingen, 2022.
- ASSEMANI – ASSEMANI, *Catalogus* = J. S. ASSEMANI, S. E. ASSEMANI, *Bibliothecæ Apostolicæ Vaticanae codicum manuscriptorum catalogus. Partis*

*primæ, tomus tertius, complectens reliquos codices chaldaicos sive syriacos*, Roma, 1759.

ASTRUC, “Signes marginaux” = C. ASTRUC, “Remarques sur les signes marginaux de certains manuscrits de S. Grégoire de Nazianze”, *AnBoll*, 92 (1974), pp. 289-295.

BADY, “Figures” = G. BADY, “Les figures du Théologien : les citations de Grégoire de Nazianze dans les manuels byzantins de figures rhétoriques”, in SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, pp. 256-322.

BADY, “Ordre et désordre” = G. BADY, “Ordre et désordre des *Poèmes* de Grégoire le Théologien : à propos du *Laurentianus pluteus VII*, 10”, in *Motivi e forme della poesia cristiana antica tra Scrittura e tradizione classica, XXXVI Incontro di studiosi dell’antichità cristiana*, II, Roma, 2008 (*Studia Ephemeridis Augustinianum*, 108), pp. 337-348.

BADY, “Rufin d’Aquilée” = G. BADY, “Un homme en tout point incomparable”: Grégoire le Théologien vu par Rufin d’Aquilée”, in B. CABOURET, A. PETERS-CUSTOT, C. ROUXPETEL (eds.), *La réception des pères grecs et orientaux en Italie au Moyen Âge (V<sup>e</sup>-XV<sup>e</sup> siècle)*, Paris, 2020 (*Cerf Patri-moines*), pp. 45-60.

BARET et al., “Phylogenetic Analysis” = P. BARET, M. DUBUSSON, A.-C. LANTIN, C. MACÉ, “Experimental Phylogenetic Analysis of a Greek Manuscript Tradition”, *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences*, 89 (2003), pp. 117-124.

BARRAL-BARON – KECSKEMÉTI, *Médecins des textes* = M. BARRAL-BARON – J. KECSKEMÉTI, *Médecins des textes, médecins des âmes. Adrien Turnèbe et Guillaume Morel, les préfaces de deux imprimeurs érudits*, Turnhout, 2020 (*Nugae humanistiae*, 20).

BARSOUM, *Makhtūtāt Ṭūr‘abdīn*, I = I. A. BARSOUM, *Makhtūtāt Ṭūr‘abdīn* (مخطوطات طورعبدين), I, Ma‘arrat Saydnaya, 2008.

BARSOUM, *Scattered Pearls* = I. A. BARSOUM, *The Scattered Pearls: A History of Syriac Literature and Sciences*, Piscataway, NJ, 2003.

BASCHET, *Dépôt des archives* = A. BASCHET, *Histoire du dépôt des archives des affaires étrangères. À Paris au Louvre en 1710, à Versailles en 1763 et de nouveau à Paris en divers endroits depuis 1796*, Paris, 1875.

BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte* = A. BAUMSTARK, *Geschichte der syrischen Literatur, mit Ausschluss der christlich-palästinensischen Texte*, Bonn, 1922.

- BAUMSTARK – GRAF – RÜCKER, “Markuskloster” = A. BAUMSTARK, G. GRAF, A. RÜCKER, “Die literarischen Handschriften des jakobitischen Markusklosters in Jerusalem”, *OrChr*, n.s., 2 (1912), pp. 120-136.
- BAUR, *Initia* = C. BAUR, *Initia Patrum Graecorum*, 2 vols., Città del Vaticano, 1955 (*ST*, 180-181).
- BERNARDI, *Prédication* = J. BERNARDI, *La prédication des Pères cappadociens. Le préicateur et son auditoire*, Paris, 1968 (*Publications de la Faculté des Lettres et Sciences humaines de l'Université de Montpellier*, 30).
- BERNARDI, *Théologien* = J. BERNARDI, *Saint Grégoire de Nazianze: le Théologien et son temps*, 330-390, Paris, 1995.
- BEZARASHVILI, ეფრემ მცირე, II = K. BEZARASHVILI, ბიზანტიური და ძველი ქართული რიტორიკის თეორიისა და ლექსთწყობის საკითხები ანტიოქიური კოლოფონების მიხედვით: ეფრემ მცირე, II [The Problem of Byzantine and Old Georgian Rhetorical Theory and Versification According to the Antiochene Colophons: Ephrem Mtsire, II], Tbilisi, 2011.
- BEZARASHVILI, თეორია და პრაქტიკა = K. BEZARASHVILI, რიტორიკისა და თარგმანის თეორია და პრაქტიკა გრიგოლ ღვთისმეტყველის თხზულებათა ქართული თარგმანების მიხედვით [Theory and Practice of Rhetoric and Translation. A Study of Georgian Translations of Gregory the Theologian's Writings], Tbilisi, 2004.
- BEZARASHVILI – OTKHMEZURI, “Education and Scholarship” = K. BEZARASHVILI, T. OTKHMEZURI, “Education and Scholarship in Byzantium: the Byzantine Manuscript (Cod. Tbilis. Gr. 48) Containing Works of Basil of Caesarea”, *Adamantius*, 17 (2011), pp. 220-237.
- BIANCONI, “Duplici scribendi forma” = D. BIANCONI, “*Duplici scribendi forma. Commentare Bernard de Montfaucon*”, *Medioevo e Rinascimento*, n.s., 23 (2012), pp. 299-317.
- BIANCONI, “Nuova testimonianza” = D. BIANCONI, “Una ‘nuova’ testimonianza di minuscola corsiva greca antica dai margini di un libro latino”, in M. CAPASSO, M. DE NONNO (eds.), *Studi paleografici e papirologici in ricordo di Paolo Radiciotti*, Lecce, 2015 (*Papyrologica Lupiensia. Supplemento*, 24), pp. 68-89.
- BINGGELI, “Traditions hagiographiques” = A. BINGGELI, “Les traditions hagiographiques orientales liées à Denys l'Aréopagite”, *Bibliothèque de l'École des chartes*, 172 (2014 [2019]), pp. 141-153.

- BINGGELI et al., *Catalogue Charfet*, I = A. BINGGELI et al., *Catalogue des manuscrits syriaques et garshuni du Patriarcat syriaque-catholique de Charfet*, I: *Fonds Rahmani 1-125*, Dar'un-Harissa [Lebanon], 2021.
- BLAKE, “Catalogue (Suite)” = R. P. BLAKE, “Catalogue des manuscrits géorgiens de la bibliothèque patriarchale grecque à Jérusalem (Suite)”, *ROC*, 3th s., 4 [= 24] (1924), pp. 190-210 [pp. 70-90].
- BLAKE, “ეფრემის ლიტერატურული მოღვაწეობიდან” = R. P. BLAKE, “Ephrem Mtsire's literary activity”, *From Ephrem Mtsire's literary activity*, Mtsire, 1 (1926), pp. 157-172.
- BLUM, *Kallimachos* = R. BLUM, *Kallimachos und die Literaturverzeichnung bei den Griechen. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der Biobibliographie*, Frankfurt am Main, 1977 (*Sonderdruck aus dem Archiv für Geschichte des Buchwesens*, 18).
- BONMARIAGE – MOUREAU, “Corpus Dionysiacum Arabicum” = C. BONMARIAGE, S. MOUREAU, “*Corpus Dionysiacum Arabicum*: étude, édition critique et traduction des *Noms Divins IV, § 1-9*”, *Mus*, 124 (2011), pp. 181-227 and pp. 419-459.
- BORDIER, *Peintures* = H. BORDIER, *Description des peintures et autres ornements contenus dans les manuscrits grecs de la Bibliothèque Nationale*, Paris, 1885.
- BOSSINA, “How Many Books” = L. BOSSINA, “How Many Books Does It Take to Make an Emperor’s Library? Constantine VII Porphyrogenitus and a Chapter of History of the Manuscript Book”, in N. DE CASTILLA, F. DÉROCHE, M. FRIEDRICH (eds.), *Libraries in the Manuscript Age*, Berlin, 2023 (*SMC*, 29), pp. 161-181.
- BOUDIGNON, “Qu'est-ce qui fait un corpus?” = C. BOUDIGNON, “Qu'est-ce qui fait un corpus ? À propos du Pseudo Denys l'Aréopagite”, in G. DORIVAL (ed.), *Qu'est-ce qu'un corpus littéraire? Recherches sur le corpus biblique et les corpus patristiques*, Leuven, 2005 (*Collection de la Revue des études juives*, 35), pp. 109-128.
- BRAVO GARCÍA – PÉREZ MARTÍN – SIGNES CODOÑER (eds.), *Legacy of Bernard de Montfaucon* = A. BRAVO GARCÍA, I. PÉREZ MARTÍN, J. SIGNES CODOÑER (eds.), *The Legacy of Bernard de Montfaucon: Three Hundred Years of Studies on Greek Handwriting: Proceedings of the Seventh International Colloquium of Greek Palaeography (Madrid – Salamanca, 15-20 September 2008)*, 2 vols., Turnhout, 2010 (*Bibliologia*, 31).
- BREGADZE, აღწერილობა = T. BREGADZE, გრიგოლ ნაზიანზელის თხზულებათა შემცველ ქართულ ხელნაწერთა აღწერილობა [Description of the manuscript portraits of Gregory of Nazianzus, kept in the collections of the Georgian National Museum, Tbilisi, 2010].

- scription of the Georgian Manuscripts Containing the Works of Gregory of Nazianzus], Tbilisi, 1988.*
- BREGADZE, “Répertoire” = T. BREGADZE, “Répertoire des manuscrits de la version géorgienne des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in B. COULIE (ed.), *Versiones orientales, repertorium Ibericum et studia ad editiones curandas*, Turnhout, 1988 (CCSG, 20; CN, 1), pp. 19-126.
- BRÉHIER, “Discours inédit” = L. BRÉHIER, “Un discours inédit de Psellos: accusation du patriarche Michel Cérulaire devant le Synode (1059)”, *REG*, 71 (1903), pp. 375-416.
- BRITA – KAROLEWSKI, “Multiple-Text Manuscripts” = A. BRITA – J. KAROLEWSKI, “Unravelling Multiple-Text Manuscripts: Introducing Categories Based on Content, Use, and Production”, in J. QUENZER (ed.), *Exploring Written Artefacts: Objects, Methods, and Concepts*, Berlin, 2021 (SMC, 25), pp. 459-490.
- BROCK, “Changing Fashions” = S. P. BROCK, “Changing Fashions in Syriac Translation Technique: The Background to Syriac Translations under the Abbasids”, *Journal of the Canadian Society for Syriac Studies*, 4 (2004), pp. 3-14.
- BROCK, “Conversations” = S. P. BROCK, “The Conversations with the Syrian Orthodox under Justinian (532)”, *OCP*, 47 (1981), pp. 87-121.
- BROCK, “Dionysios bar Ҫalibi” = S. P. BROCK, “Dionysios bar Ҫalibi (d. 1171)”, in *e-GEDSH*, <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/Dionysios-bar-Salibi>.
- BROCK, “Greek into Syriac” = S. P. BROCK, “Greek into Syriac and Syriac into Greek”, *Journal of the Syriac Academy*, 3 (1977), pp. 1-17 [repr. in S. P. BROCK, *Syriac Perspectives on Late Antiquity*, London, 1984 (*Collected Studies*, 199), Chap. 2].
- BROCK, “Jacob of Edessa” = S. BROCK, “Jacob of Edessa’s Discourse on the Myron”, *OrChr*, 63 (1979), pp. 20-36.
- BROCK, “Translation Technique” = S. P. BROCK, “Towards a History of Syriac Translation Technique”, in R. LAVENANT (ed.), *III Symposium Syriacum, 1980: Les contacts du monde syriaque avec les autres cultures (Goslar 7-II Septembre 1980)*, Roma, 1983 (OCA, 221), pp. 1-14 [repr. in S. P. BROCK, *Studies in Syriac Christianity: History, Literature and Theology*, Aldershot, 1992 (*Collected Studies*, 357), Chap. 10].
- BROCK, “Two Letters” = S. P. BROCK, “Two Letters of the Patriarch Timothy from the Late Eighth Century on Translations from Greek”, *Arabic Sciences and Philosophy*, 9 (1999), pp. 233-246.

- BROCKMANN et al. (eds.), *Griechisch-byzantinische Handschriftenforschung* = C. BROCKMANN, D. DECKERS, D. HARLFINGER, S. VALENTE (eds.), *Griechisch-byzantinische Handschriftenforschung. Traditionen, Entwicklungen, neue Wege*, 2 vols., Berlin, 2020.
- BROIA – FARAGGIANA, “Vat. gr. 2061” = D. BROIA, C. FARAGGIANA DI SARZANA, “Per una rilettura del palinsesto *Vat. gr. 2061A*: saggio di ripristino digitale e di edizione diplomatica del Nomocanone alla luce del sistema RE.CORD®”, in LUCA – PERRIA (eds.), *Studi in onore di P. Canart*, III, pp. 67–78
- BROIA – FARAGGIANA – LUCÀ, *Manoscritti palinsesti* = D. BROIA, C. FARAGGIANA DI SARZANA, S. LUCÀ, *Manoscritti palinsesti criptensi: lettura digitale sulla banda dell'invisibile*, Ravenna, 1998 (*Quaderni della Facoltà di conservazione dei beni culturali di Ravenna*).
- BRONS, *Sekundäre Textpartien* = B. BRONS, *Sekundäre Textpartien im Corpus Pseudo-Dionysiacum? Literaturkritische Betrachtungen zu ausgewählten Textstellen*, Göttingen, 1975 (NAWG, 1975/5).
- BRUBAKER, *Vision and Meaning* = L. BRUBAKER, *Vision and Meaning in 9th-Century Byzantium, Images as Exegesis in the Homilies of Gregory of Nazianzus*, Cambridge, 1999 (*Cambridge Studies in Palaeography & Codicology*, 6).
- CAMERON, *Last Pagans* = A. CAMERON, *The Last Pagans of Rome*, Oxford, 2011.
- CANART, “En marge” = P. CANART, “En marge de la question aréopagétique: la lettre XI de Denys à Apollophane”, *Byz*, 41 (1971), pp. 18–27.
- CANART, “Palimpsestes” = P. CANART, “Les palimpsestes des fonds grecs de la Bibliothèque Vaticane. Une liste sommaire et quelques précisions”, in JANSSENS – ROOSSEN – VAN DEUN (eds.), *Philomathestatos*, pp. 45–55 [repr. in P. CANART (with the collaboration of M. L. AGATI, M. D’AGOSTINO), *Études de paléographie et de codicologie*, II, Città del Vaticano, 2008 (ST, 451), pp. 1311–1321].
- CANER, *Rich and Pure* = D. CANER, *The Rich and The Pure. Philanthropy and the Making of Christian Society in Early Byzantium*, Oakland, CA, 2021 (*Transformation of the Classical Heritage*, 62).
- CANFORA, “Formation” = L. CANFORA, “La formation des corpora”, in E. NORELLI (ed.), *Recueils normatifs et canons dans l’Antiquité. Perspectives nouvelles sur la formation des canons juif et chrétien dans leur contexte culturel*, Prahins, 2004 (*Publications de l’Institut Romand des Sciences Bibliques*, 3), pp. 25–46.

- CANTARELLA, “Basilio Minimo” = R. CANTARELLA, “Basilio Minimo, Scolii inediti con introduzione e note, I”, *BZ*, 25 (1925), pp. 292-309.
- CAPONE, “Gerolamo” = A. CAPONE, “Gerolamo interprete dei Salmi nel periodo romano”, in I. SCHAAF (ed.), *Hieronymus Romanus. Studies on Jerome and Rome on the Occasion of the 1600th Anniversary of his Death*, Turnhout, 2021 (*IPM*, 87), pp. 355-393.
- CAPONE, “Note critiche” = A. CAPONE, “Note critiche alla versione latina dell’*Or. 45* di Gregorio di Nazianzo”, *Paideia*, 74 (2019), pp. 425-438.
- CAPONE, “Nuova edizione” = A. CAPONE, “Per una nuova edizione della versione latina dei testi gregoriani contenuti nel *Laurentianus San Marco* 584: le *Epistole a Cledonio*”, in A. CAPONE, A. BECCARISI (eds.), *Aliter. Controversie religiose e definizioni di identità tra Tardoantico e Medioevo*, Roma, 2015 (*Temi e testi*, 137), pp. 29-36.
- CAPONE, “*Or. 19*” = A. CAPONE, “Greg. Naz. *Or. 19*: versione latina e testo greco”, *REAug*, 65/1 (2019), pp. 119-134.
- CASSIN, “Gregory of Nyssa” = M. CASSIN, “Gregory of Nyssa’s Hagiographic Homilies: Authorial Tradition and Hagiographical-Homiletic Collections. A Comparison”, in GIPPERT – MACÉ (eds.), *Homiletic Collections*, pp. 15-28.
- CASSON – HETTICH, *Nessana*, II = L. CASSON, E. L. HETTICH, *Excavations at Nessana*, II: *Literary Papyri*, Princeton, NJ, 1950.
- CAVALLO, “Funzione” = G. CAVALLO, “Funzione e strutture della maiuscola greca tra i secoli VII-IX”, in GLÉNISSON – BOMPAIRE – IRIGOIN (eds.), *Paléographie grecque*, pp. 95-137.
- CAVALLO, “Mano” = G. CAVALLO, “Una mano e due pratiche. Scrittura del testo e scrittura del commento nel libro greco”, in GOULET-CAZÉ (ed.), *Commentaire*, pp. 57-64.
- CAVALLO, “Storia” = G. CAVALLO, “La storia dei testi antichi a Bisanzio. Qualche riflessione”, in J. HAMESSE (ed.), *Les problèmes posés par l'édition critique des textes anciens et médiévaux*, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1992 (*Université Catholique de Louvain. Publications de l'Institut d'Etudes Médiévales. Textes, Études, Congrès*, 13), pp. 95-111 [repr. in G. CAVALLO, *Dalla parte del libro*, Urbino, 2002 (*Ludus philologiae*, 10), pp. 177-194].
- CAVALLO – MAEHLER, *Greek Bookhands* = G. CAVALLO, H. MAEHLER, *Greek Bookhands of the Early Byzantine Period, A.D. 300-800*, London, 1987 (*Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies of the University of London. Supplementary papers*, 47).

- CERQUIGLINI, *Praise* = B. CERQUIGLINI, *In Praise of the Variant: A Critical History of Philology*, tr. B. WING, Baltimore, MD, 1999.
- CHADWICK, “Rufinus” = H. CHADWICK, “Rufinus and the Tura Papyrus of Origen’s Commentary on Romans”, *JThS*, n.s., 10 (1959), pp. 10-42.
- CHAUSSY, “Dom Louvard” = Y. CHAUSSY, “Dom Louvard et l’édition de saint Grégoire de Nazianze”, *REA*, 31 (1985), pp. 71-81.
- CHEVALLIER, *Dionysiaca*, I = P. CHEVALLIER, *Dionysiaca. Recueil donnant l’ensemble des traductions latines des ouvrages attribués au Denys de l’Aéropage*, I, Brugge, 1937.
- CHIESA, “Principles” = P. CHIESA, “Principles and practice”, in ROELLI (ed.), *Handbook of Stemmatology*, pp. 74-87.
- CHIONIDES – LILLA, *Brachigrafia* = N. P. CHIONIDES, S. LILLA, *La brachigrafia italo-bizantina*, Città del Vaticano, 1981 (*ST*, 290).
- CLÉMENT, *Lettres*, VII = P. CLÉMENT, *Lettres, instructions et mémoires de Colbert*. [...], VII: *Lettres privées, supplément, appendice*, Paris, 1873.
- CLIVAZ, “Posture littéraire” = C. CLIVAZ, “Peut-on parler de posture littéraire pour un auteur antique?”, *CONTEXTES*, 8 (2011), doi.org/10.4000/contextes.4722.
- COAKLEY, “John Rylands Library” = J. F. COAKLEY, “A Catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts in the John Rylands Library”, *Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester*, 75 (1993), pp. 105-207.
- COULIE, “Armenian Translations” = B. COULIE, “Armenian Translations from Greek Texts or the Inscription of Armenian Particularism in the Byzantine Commonwealth”, in E. BONFIGLIO, C. RAPP (eds.), *Armenia and Byzantium Without Borders: Mobility, Interactions, Responses*, Leiden, 2023 (*Armenian Texts and Studies*, 7), pp. 15-38.
- COULIE, “Édition critique” = B. COULIE, “L’édition critique des versions arméniennes de textes grecs. Réflexions sur le cas de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in D. HARLFINGER (ed.), *Φιλοφρόνημα. Festschrift für Martin Sicherl zum 75. Geburtstag. Von Textkritik bis Humanismusforschung*, Paderborn, 1990 (*SGKA*, 1: *Monographien*, 4), pp. 59-72.
- COULIE, “Jérusalem” = B. COULIE, “Jérusalem et la diffusion des œuvres de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in V. SOMERS – YANNOPOULOS (eds.), *Philokapadox*, pp. 19-47.
- COULIE, *Répertoire* = B. COULIE, *Répertoire des bibliothèques et des catalogues de manuscrits arméniens*, Turnhout, 1992.

- COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I = B. COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, Turnhout, 2000 (CCSG, 41; CN, 8).
- CRISCI, *Palinesti di Grottaferrata* = E. CRISCI, *I palinesti di Grottaferrata. Studio codicologico e paleografico*, 2 vols., Napoli, 1990 (*Pubblicazioni dell'Università degli Studi di Cassino. Sezione di studi filologici, letterari, storici, artistici e geografici*, 2).
- CRISCI, “Produzione libraria” = E. CRISCI, “La produzione libraria nelle aree orientali di Bisanzio nei secoli VII e VIII”, in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 5-24.
- CRISCI, *Scrivere greco* = E. CRISCI, *Scrivere greco fuori d'Egitto. Ricerche sui manoscritti greco-orientali di origine non egiziana dal IV secolo a.C. all'VIII d.C.*, Firenze, 1996 (*Papyrologica Florentina*, 27).
- CRISCI – DEGNI, *Scrittura greca* = E. CRISCI, P. DEGNI, *La scrittura greca dall'antichità all'epoca della stampa. Un'introduzione*, Roma, 2012.
- CROUZEL, “Prologues” = H. CROUZEL, “Les prologues de Rufin à ses traductions d’Origène [I prologhi di Rufino alle sue traduzioni di Origene]”, in *Storia ed esegesi*, pp. 109-120 [pp. 121-124].
- CUNNINGHAM, “Visual Thinking” = M. B. CUNNINGHAM, “Visual Thinking and the Influence of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite in the Homilies and Hymns of Andrew of Crete”, in F. DELL’ACQUA, S. MAINOLDI (eds.), *Pseudo-Dionysius and Christian Visual Culture, c. 500-900*, Cham, 2020 (*New Approaches to Byzantine History and Culture*), pp. 212-237.
- D’AGOSTINO, “Gregorio” = M. D’AGOSTINO, “Il Gregorio Nazianzeno Ambr. E 49 inf. + E 50 inf. (Gr 1014). Un’indagine codicologica con qualche riflessione paleografica”, in P. CHERUBINI, G. NICOLAI (eds.), *Sit Liber gratus quem servulus est operatus. Studi in onore di Alessandro Pratesi*, Città del Vaticano, 2012 (*Littera antiqua*, 19), pp. 91-102.
- D’AIUTO, “Alcuni copisti” = F. D’AIUTO, “Su alcuni copisti di codici miniati mediobizantini”, *Byz*, 67 (1997), pp. 5-59.
- D’AIUTO, “Alcuni copisti 2” = F. D’AIUTO, “Su alcuni copisti di codici miniati mediobizantini 2”, in LUCA – PERRIA (eds.), *Studi in onore di P. Canart*, III, pp. 119-150.
- DALEY, *Gregory* = B. E. DALEY, *Gregory of Nazianzus*, London, 2006.
- D’ANCONA (ed.), *Libraries* = C. D’ANCONA (ed.), *The Libraries of the Neoplatonists*, Leiden, 2007 (*Philosophia antiqua*, 107).

- DAUNTON-FEAR, “Spoken Words” = A. DAUNTON-FEAR, “Can we Hear the Spoken Words of Gregory of Nazianzus?”, *Scrinium*, 13 (2017), pp. 72-83.
- DE ANDIA (ed.), *Postérité* = Y. DE ANDIA (ed.), *Denys l’Aréopagite et sa postérité en Orient et en Occident. Actes du colloque international, Paris, 21-24 septembre 1994*, Paris, 1997 (*Collection des Études augustiniennes. Série Antiquité*, 151).
- DEBIÉ, *Écriture de l’histoire* = M. DEBIÉ, *L’écriture de l’histoire en syriaque: transmissions interculturelles et constructions identitaires entre hellénisme et islam*, Leuven, 2015 (*Late Antique History and Religion*, 12).
- DEGNI, “Corsiva” = P. DEGNI, “La corsiva all’origine della minuscola bizantina (secoli VI-VII): aspetti morfologici e funzioni”, *Scripta*, 8 (2015), pp. 69-86.
- DE GREGORIO, “Materiali” = G. DE GREGORIO, “Materiali vecchi e nuovi per uno studio della minuscola greca tra VII e IX secolo”, in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 83-151.
- DE GROOT, *Readers’ Perspectives* = S. DE GROOT, *Readers’ Perspectives on Early Christian Texts: Book Epigrams in the Byzantine Manuscripts of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite*, PhD thesis, Universiteit Gent, 2021 [unpublished].
- DE HALLEUX, “Benjamin d’Édesse” = A. DE HALLEUX, “Rabban Benjamin d’Édesse et la date du ms. B.L., Or., 8731”, in DRIJVERS et al. (eds.), IV *Symposium Syriacum*, pp. 445-451.
- DE HALLEUX, “Commentaires syriaques” = A. DE HALLEUX, “Les commentaires syriaques des Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze. Un premier sondage”, *Mus*, 98 (1985), pp. 103-147.
- DE HALLEUX, “Version syriaque” = A. DE HALLEUX, “La version syriaque des Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in MOSSAY (ed.), II. *Symposium Nazianzenum*, pp. 75-III.
- DELISLE, *Cabinet des manuscrits*, I = L. DELISLE, *Le cabinet des manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Impériale. Étude sur la formation de ce dépôt comprenant les éléments d’une histoire de la calligraphie, de la miniature, de la reliure, et du commerce des livres à Paris avant l’invention de l’imprimerie*, I, Paris, 1868.
- DELLA CORTE, “Anulus Sexti” = F. DELLA CORTE, “L’Anulus Sexti di Rufino”, in *Rufino di Concordia*, I, pp. 195-205.

- DEMOEN, *Pagan and Biblical Exempla* = K. DEMOEN, *Pagan and Biblical Exempla in Gregory Nazianzen: a Study in Rhetoric and Hermeneutics*, Turnhout, 1996.
- DEMOEN – RICCIERI – TOMADAKI, “Paul in Byzantine Epigrams” = K. DEMOEN, R. RICCIERI, M. TOMADAKI, “Paul in Byzantine Epigrams”, in M. CACOUROS – J.-H. SAUTEL (eds.), *Des cahiers à l’histoire de la culture à Byzance: Hommage à Paul Canart, Codicologue (1927-2017)*, Leuven, 2021 (*OLA*, 306), pp. 117-134.
- DEMOEN – SOMERS, “Adscripta métriques” = K. DEMOEN, V. SOMERS, “Grégoire de Nazianze, le Fils du tonnerre. Encore quelques adscripta métriques dans les manuscrits grégoriens”, in SOMERS – YANNOPOULOS (eds.), *Philokappadox*, pp. 199-221.
- DENECKER, *Ideas on Language* = T. DENECKER, *Ideas on Language in Early Latin Christianity from Tertullian to Isidore of Seville*, Leiden, 2017 (*Supplements to Vigiliae Christianae*, 142).
- DETIENNE, “Tradition syriaque” = C. DETIENNE, “Grégoire de Nazianze dans la tradition syriaque”, in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 175-183.
- DILL, “Übernahme” = U. DILL, “Zur Übernahme des Begriffs σχόλιον in die lateinische Sprache”, *MH*, 61 (2004), pp. 92-128.
- DJOBADZE, *Materials* = W. Z. DJOBADZE, *Materials for the Study of Georgian Monasteries in the Western Environs of Antioch on the Orontes*, Louvain, 1976 (*CSCO*, 372; *Subsidia*, 48).
- DOLABANI, *Catalogue St Mark’s Monastery* = F. Y. DOLABANI, *Catalogue of Syriac Manuscripts in St Mark’s Monastery (Dayro d’Mor Marqos)*, Damascus, 1994.
- DOLABANI, *Catalogue Za’faran Monastery* = F. Y. DOLABANI, *Catalogue of Syriac Manuscripts in Za’faran Monastery*, Beirut, 1994.
- DOLABANI et al., “Catalogue Homs” = F. Y. DOLABANI, R. LAVENANT, S. P. BROCK, S. K. SAMIR, “Catalogue des manuscrits de la bibliothèque du patriarcat Syrien Orthodoxe à Homs (auj. à Damas)”, *POr*, 19 (1994), pp. 555-661.
- DORANDI, *Stylet* = T. DORANDI, *Le stylet et la tablette. Dans le secret des auteurs antiques*, Paris, 2000 (*L’âne d’or*, 12).
- DORIVAL, “Commentaires” = G. DORIVAL, “Des commentaires de l’Écriture aux chaînes”, in C. MONTDÉSERT (ed.), *Le monde grec ancien et la Bible*, Paris, 1984 (*Bible de tous les temps*, 1), pp. 361-383.

- DRIJVERS et al. (eds.), IV *Symposium Syriacum* = H. J. W. DRIJVERS et al. (eds.), IV *Symposium Syriacum, 1984: Literary Genres in Syriac Literature* (Groningen – Oosterhesselen 10-12 September), Roma, 1987 (OCA, 229).
- DUBUSSON – MACÉ, “Discours 2” = M. DUBUSSON, C. MACÉ, “L’apport des traductions anciennes à l’histoire du texte de Grégoire de Nazianze. Application au Discours 2”, *OCP*, 69 (2003), pp. 287-340.
- DURANTEL, *Thomas* = J. DURANTEL, *Saint Thomas et le Pseudo-Denis*, Paris, 1919.
- DUSSIEUX, *Colbert* = L. DUSSIEUX, *Étude biographique sur Colbert*, Paris, 1886.
- DUVAL, *Mots de l'édition* = F. DUVAL, *Les mots de l'édition des textes*, Paris, 2015 (*Manuels de l'École des chartes*).
- DUVAL, *Livre de Jonas* = Y.-M. DUVAL, *Le livre de Jonas dans la littérature chrétienne grecque et latine. Sources et influence du Commentaire sur Jonas de saint Jérôme*, 2 vols., Paris, 1973.
- EHRHARD, *Überlieferung* = A. EHRHARD, *Überlieferung und Bestand der hagiographischen und homiletischen Literatur der griechischen Kirche von den Anfängen bis zum Ende des 16. Jahrhunderts*, Erster Teil: *Die Überlieferung*, 3 vols., Leipzig, 1937-1952 (TU, 50-52).
- ЕПИФАНОВИЧ, *Материалы* = S. ЕРІФАНОВІЧ, *Материалы к изучению жизни и творений преп. Максима Исповедника*, Kiev, 1917.
- ERISMANN, “On the Significance” = C. ERISMANN, “On the Significance of the Manuscript Parisinus graecus 437: The Corpus Dionysiacum, Iconoclasm, and Byzantine-Carolingian Relations”, in *Menschen, Bilder, Sprache, Dinge: Wege der Kommunikation zwischen Byzanz und dem Westen*, II: *Menschen und Worte*, Heidelberg, 2019 (*Byzanz zwischen Orient und Okzident*, 9/2), pp. 95-101.
- FAHL – FAHL (eds), *Corpus* = S. FAHL – D. FAHL (eds) [with other collaborators], *Das Corpus des Dionysios Areiopagites in der slavischen Übersetzung von Starec Isaija [...] (Monumenta linguae Slavicae dialecti veteris, 55/1-5)*, 5 vols., Freiburg im Breisgau, 2010-2013.
- FALCONE, “Prohibition” = G. FALCONE, “The prohibition of commentaries to the Digest and the antecessorial literature”, *Subseciva Groningana*, 9 (2014), pp. 1-36.
- FARAGGIANA, “Nomocanon” = C. FARAGGIANA DI SARZANA, “Il Nomocanon Paris. gr. 1330, ‘horride rescriptus’ su pergamene in maiuscola contenenti un antico commento ad Aristotele, il *Corpus Dionysiacum* e testi patristici”, *Nέα Πάχη*, 6 (2009), pp. 191-225.

- FARAGGIANA et al., “Philocalia” = C. FARAGGIANA DI SARZANA, F. PIERI, Z. MELISSAKIS, S. FOSCHETTI, “La *Philocalia* prima e dopo l’epoca di Fozio: indagini sulla sua trasmissione, con due appendici”, *Adamantius*, 27 (2021), pp. 20-52.
- FAULHABER, *Propheten-Catenen* = M. FAULHABER, *Die Propheten-Catenen nach römischen Handschriften*, Freiburg im Breisgau, 1899 (*Biblische Studien*, 4).
- FIORI, “Cultura filosofica” = E. FIORI, “La cultura filosofica e scientifica greca nella Chiesa siro-occidentale (VI-VIII secolo): un tentativo di interpretazione e uno sguardo d’insieme”, in VERGANI, (ed.), *L’eredità religiosa e culturale dei Siri-occidentali tra VI e IX secolo. Atti del 6º Incontro sull’Oriente cristiano di tradizione siriaca*, Milano, Biblioteca Ambrosiana, 25 maggio 2007, Milano, 2012, pp. 117-144.
- FIORI, “East Syrian Mystics” = E. FIORI, “Dionysius the Areopagite and the East Syrian Mystics: The Phantom of a Greek Heritage”, in M. PERKAMS, A. M. SCHILLING (rds.), *Griechische Philosophie und Wissenschaft bei den Ostsyryern: Zum Gedenken an Mār Addai Scher (1867-1915)*, Berlin, 2020 (*Transmissions*, 3), pp. 77-97.
- FIORI, “Intellectuel alexandrin” = E. FIORI, “Un intellectuel alexandrin en Mésopotamie. Essai d’une interprétation d’ensemble de l’œuvre de Sergius de Rēš‘aynā”, in E. CODA, C. MARTINI BONADEO (eds.), *De l’Antiquité tardive au Moyen Âge. Études de logique aristotélicienne et de philosophie grecque, syriaque, arabe et latine offertes à Henri Hugonnard-Roche*, Paris, 2014 (*Études musulmanes*, 44), pp. 59-90.
- FIORI, “Opheleia” = E. FIORI, “From *Ophelēia* to Precision: Dionysius the Areopagite and the Evolution of Syriac Translation Techniques”, in S. BRENTJES (ed.), *Narratives on Translation across Eurasia and Africa. From Babylonia to Colonial India*, Turnhout, 2022 (*Contact and Transmission*, 3).
- FIORI, *Sergio di Resh’ayna* = E. FIORI, *Sergio di Resh’ayna. Trattato sulla vita spirituale*, Magnano, 2008 (*Testi dei Padri della Chiesa*, 93).
- FIORI, “Sergius of Resh’ayna” = E. FIORI, “Dionysius the Areopagite in Syriac: The Translation of Sergius of Resh’ayna”, in *OHD*A, pp. 155-171.
- FOLLIERI, *Byzantina et Italograeca* = E. FOLLIERI, *Byzantina et Italograeca. Studi di filologia e di paleografia*, ed. by A. ACCONCIA LONGO, L. PERRIA, A. LUZZI, Roma, 1997 (*Storia e letteratura*, 195).

- FOLLLIERI, *Codices graeci* = E. FOLLLIERI, *Codices graeci Bibliothecae Vaticanae selecti temporum locorumque ordine digesti commentariis et transcriptionibus instructi*, Città del Vaticano, 1969 (*Exempla scripturarum*, 4).
- FOLLLIERI, “Minuscola libraria” = E. FOLLLIERI, “La minuscola libraria dei secoli IX e X”, in GLÉNISSON – BOMPAIRE – IRIGOIN (eds.), *Paléographie grecque*, pp. 139-165 [repr. in FOLLLIERI, *Byzantina et Italograeca*, pp. 205-248].
- FOLLLIERI, “Tommaso di Damasco” = E. FOLLLIERI, “Tommaso di Damasco e l’antica minuscola libraria greca”, *Rendiconti dell’Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di scienze morali, storiche e filologiche*, 8/29 (1974), pp. 145-163 [repr. in FOLLLIERI, *Byzantina et Italograeca*, pp. 163-185].
- FONKIĆ, *Византийский маюскул VIII-IX вв: к вопросу о датировке рукописей* = B. L. FONKIĆ, *Византийский маюскул VIII-IX вв: к вопросу о датировке рукописей*, Москва, 2020 (Российская академия наук, Институт всеобщей истории, Центр “Палеография, кодикология, дипломатика”. Монфокон: исследования по палеографии, кодикологии и дипломатике, 5).
- FONKIĆ, “Datazione” = B. L. FONKIĆ, “Sulla datazione dei codici greci del secolo IX”, in BRAVO GARCÍA – PÉREZ MARTÍN – SIGNES CODOÑER (eds.), *Legacy of Bernard de Montfaucon*, pp. 37-43.
- FONKIĆ – POLJAKOV, *Греческие рукописи* = B. L. FONKIĆ, F. B. POLJAKOV, *Греческие рукописи Московской синодальной библиотеки: Палеографические, кодикологические и библиографические дополнения к каталогу архимандрита Владимира (Филантропова)*, Москва, 1993.
- FORRAI, “Anastasius Bibliothecarius” = R. FORRAI, “Anastasius Bibliothecarius and his Textual Dossiers: Greek Collections and their Latin Transmission in 9th-century Rome”, in S. GIOANNI, B. GRÉVIN (eds.), *L’Antiquité tardive dans les collections médiévales: textes et représentations VI<sup>e</sup>-XIV<sup>e</sup> siècle*, Roma, 2008 (*Collection de l’École française de Rome*, 403), pp. 319-337.
- FORRAI, “Notes” = R. FORRAI, “The Notes of Anastasius on Eriugena’s Translation of the *Corpus Dionysiacum*”, *Journal of Medieval Latin*, 18 (2008), pp. 74-100.
- FRANCHI DE’ CAVALIERI – LIETZMANN, *Specimina* = P. FRANCHI DE’ CAVALIERI, J. LIETZMANN, *Specimina codicum graecorum Vaticanorum*, Bonn, 1910.
- FRIEDRICH – C. SCHWARKE (eds.), *One-Volume Libraries* = M. FRIEDRICH, C. SCHWARKE (eds.), *One-Volume Libraries: Composite and Multiple-Text Manuscripts*, by (SMC, 9), Berlin, 2016.

- GAIN, “Panorama” = B. GAIN, “Panorama de l’oraison funèbre dans l’Antiquité classique et chrétienne”, *Exercices de rhétorique*, 19 (2022), pp. 1-35.
- GALAVARIS, *Liturgical Homilies* = G. GALAVARIS, *The Illustrations of the Liturgical Homilies of Gregory Nazianzenus*, Princeton, NJ, 1969 (*Studies in Manuscript Illumination*, 6).
- GALLAY, *Manuscrits des lettres* = P. GALLAY, *Les manuscrits des lettres de Saint Grégoire de Nazianze*, Paris, 1957 (*Collection d’études anciennes*).
- GARDTHAUSEN, *Paläographie* = V. GARDTHAUSEN, *Griechische Paläographie*, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1911 and 1913 [1st edn in one vol., 1879].
- GARLAND – RAPP, “Mary of Alania” = L. GARLAND, S. RAPP, “Mary ‘of Alania’: Woman and empress between two worlds”, in L. GARLAND (ed.), *Byzantine Women. Varieties of Experience, 800-1200*, London, 2006, pp. 91-124.
- GENETTE – MACLEAN, “Paratext” = G. GENETTE, M. MACLEAN, “Introduction to the Paratext”, *New Literary History*, 22 (1991), pp. 261-272.
- GENTRY, “Text and Translation” = P. J. GENTRY, “Text and Translation of All Known Colophons Relating to Origen’s Hexapla”, in J. D. MEADE (ed.), *The Forerunners and Heirs of Origen’s Hexapla: The Proceedings of the Inaugural Colloquium of the Phoenix Seminary Text & Canon Institute*, Göttingen, 2024 (*De Septuaginta Investigationes*) [in press].
- GERTZ – SICHERL, “Palatinus graecus 90” = N. GERTZ, M. SICHERL, “Der *Palatinus graecus 90*. Zur Geschichte einer Quelle der *Editio princeps* Aldina der Gedichte Gregors von Nazianz”, in MOSSAY (ed.), II. *Symposium Nazianzenum*, pp. 141-143.
- GIANNELLI, *Codices 1485-1683* = C. GIANNELLI, *Codices Vaticani Graeci. Codices 1485-1683*, Città del Vaticano, 1950.
- GIANNOULI, *Kommentare zum Großen Kanon* = A. GIANNOULI, *Die beiden byzantinischen Kommentare zum Großen Kanon des Andreas von Kreta: Eine quellenkritische und literaturhistorische Studie*, Wien, 2007 (*Wiener Byzantinistische Studien*, 26).
- GIPPERT, “Cod. Vind. georg. 4” = J. GIPPERT, “Cod. Vind. georg. 4 – An Unusual Type of Mravaltavi”, in GIPPERT – MACÉ (eds.), *Homiletic Collections*, pp. 81-116.
- GIPPERT, “Mravaltavi” = J. GIPPERT, “Mravaltavi – A special type of Georgian multiple-text manuscripts”, in FRIEDRICH – C. SCHWARKE (eds.), *One-Volume Libraries*, pp. 47-91;

GIPPERT – MACÉ (eds.), *Homiletic Collections* = J. GIPPERT, C. MACÉ (eds.), *Homiletic Collections in Greek and Oriental Manuscripts = manuscript cultures*, 13 (2019).

GIROLAMI, “Mediazione culturale” = M. GIROLAMI, “Rufino e la mediazione culturale tra oriente e occidente”, in M. GIROLAMI (ed.), *L’Oriente in Occidente. L’opera di Rufino di Concordia. Atti del XIII Convegno internazionale di studi promosso dalla Facoltà teologica del Triveneto e dal Gruppo italiano di ricerca su Origene e la tradizione alessandrina* (Portogruaro, 6-7 dicembre 2013), Brescia, 2014 (*Adamantius. Supplementi*, 4), pp. 11-26.

GLÉNISSON – BOMPAIRE – IRIGOIN (eds.), *Paléographie grecque* = J. GLÉNISSON, J. BOMPAIRE, J. IRIGOIN (eds.), *La paléographie grecque et byzantine. Actes du Colloque international organisé dans le cadre des Colloques internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique à Paris du 21 au 25 octobre 1974*, Paris, 1977 (*Colloques internationaux du CNRS*, 559).

GOEKE-MAYR, G. MAKRIS, “Dating the Patmiacus 171” = E. GOEKE-MAYR, G. MAKRIS, “Dating the Codex *Patmiacus 171*: Iconoclastic Remarks on the Byzantine Illuminated Manuscripts of the *Book of Job* and the Supposed Origins of the Catenas in the 6<sup>th</sup> century”, in BROCKMAN et al. (eds.), *Griechisch-byzantinische Handschriftenforschung*, II, pp. 437-459.

GONNELLI, “De vita humana” = F. GONNELLI, “Il *De vita humana* di Giorgio di Pisidia”, *Bollettino dei classici*, 3rd s., 12 (1991), pp. 118-138.

GOSHEN-GOTTSTEIN, *Harvard College Library* = M. H. GOSHEN-GOTTSTEIN, *Syriac Manuscripts in the Harvard College Library: A Catalogue*, Missoula, MT, 1979 (*Harvard Semitic Studies*, 23).

GOTTHEIL, “Tract” = R. GOTTHEIL, “A Tract on Syriac Conjunctions”, *Hebraica*, 4/3 (1888), pp. 167-173.

GOULET-CAZÉ (ed.), *Commentaire* = M. O. GOULET-CAZÉ (ed.) [with the collaboration of T. DORANDI, R. GOULET, H. HUGONNARD-ROCHE, A. LE BOULLUEC, E. ORNATO], *Le commentaire entre tradition et innovation. Actes du colloque international de l’Institut des traditions textuelles, Paris et Villejuif, 22-25 septembre 1999*, Paris, 2000 (*Bibliothèque d’histoire de la philosophie. Nouvelle série*, 8).

GRABAR, *Manuscrits grecs* = A. GRABAR, *Les manuscrits grecs enluminés de provenance italienne (IX<sup>e</sup>-XI<sup>e</sup> siècles)*, Paris, 1972 (*Bibliothèque des Cahiers archéologiques*, 8).

GRAFFIN, “Jacques d’Édesse” = F. GRAFFIN, “Jacques d’Édesse réviseur des homélies de Sévère d’Antioche d’après le ms. syriaque *B. M. Add. 12.159*”,

- in F. GRAFFIN (ed.), II *Symposium Syriacum*, Roma, 1978 (*OCA*, 205), pp. 243-255.
- GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire", I = J. GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire des manuscrits de la version arabe de Grégoire de Nazianze. Première partie: Égypte", *Mus*, 97 (1984), pp. 221-253.
- GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire", II = J. GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire des manuscrits de la version arabe de Grégoire de Nazianze. Deuxième partie: Italie, Royaume-Uni", *Mus*, 98 (1985), pp. 197-229.
- GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire", III = J. GRAND'HENRY, "Répertoire des manuscrits de la version arabe de Grégoire de Nazianze. Troisième partie: France, Liban, Jérusalem, Allemagne occidentale, Allemagne orientale, Hollande, U.R.S.S.", *Mus*, 99 (1986), pp. 169-194.
- GRAND'HENRY – TUERLINCKX, "Version arabe" = J. GRAND'HENRY, L. TUERLINCKX, "La version arabe des *Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze*", in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 201-226.
- GUIDA, "Nuovo testo" = A. GUIDA, "Un nuovo testo di Gregorio Nazianzeno", *Prometheus*, 2 (1976), pp. 193-226.
- HAELEWYCK, "Versions syriaques" = J.-C. HAELEWYCK, "Les versions syriaques des *Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze*: un processus continu de révision", *Babelao*, 6 (2017), pp. 76-85.
- HAMBURGER, *Birth of the Author* = J. F. HAMBURGER, *The Birth of the Author: Pictorial Prefaces in Glossed Books of the Twelfth Century*, Toronto, 2021 (*Text – Image – Context*, 9; *Studies and Texts*, 225).
- HAMMOND "Last Ten Years" = C. P. HAMMOND "The Last Ten Years of Rufinus' Life and the Date of his Move South from Aquileia", *JThS*, n.s., 28 (1977), pp. 372-429.
- HANRIOT-COUTET, "Discours 35" = A. HANRIOT-COUTET, "Quel est l'auteur du Discours 35 transmis parmi les œuvres de Grégoire de Nazianze?", *Revue d'histoire et de philosophie religieuses*, 71 (1991), pp. 89-99.
- HARLFINGER, "Weitere Beispiele" = D. HARLFINGER, "Weitere Beispiele früherer Minuskel", in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 153-156.
- HARDT, *Catalogus*, IV = I. HARDT, *Catalogus codicum manuscriptorum graecorum Bibliothecae Regiae Bauaricae*, IV, München, 1810.
- HARNACK, *Geschichte*, I = A. HARNACK, *Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur bis Eusebius*, I: *Die Überlieferung und der Bestand*, Leipzig, 1893.

- HARNACK, *Lehrbuch*, II = A. HARNACK, *Lehrbuch der Dogmengeschichte*, II: *Die Entwicklung des kirchlichen Dogmas*, 4th edn, Tübingen, 1909 (*Sammlung theologischer Lehrbücher*, 2).
- HATHAWAY, *Hierarchy* = R. F. HATHAWAY, *Hierarchy and the definition of order in the letters of Pseudo-Dionysius. A study in the form and meaning of the Pseudo-Dionysian writings*, Den Haag, 1969.
- HEAL, “Acquisition History” = K. HEAL, “Notes on the Acquisition History of the Mingana Syriac Manuscripts”, in F. BRIQUEL-CHATONNET, M. DEBIÉ (eds.), *Manuscripta Syriaca. Des sources de première main*, Paris, 2015 (*Cahiers d’études syriaques*, 4), pp. 11-38.
- HEIBERG, “Fragmentum Bobiense” = J. L. HEIBERG, “Zum Fragmentum mathematicum Bobiense”, *Zeitschrift für Mathematik und Physik. Historisch-literarische Abtheilung*, 28 (1883), pp. 121-128.
- HILSDALE, “Translatio” = C. J. HILSDALE, “Translatio and Objecthood: The Cultural Agendas of Two Greek Manuscripts at Saint-Denis”, *Gesta*, 56/2 (2017), pp. 151-178.
- HOFFMANN, “Bibliothèques” = P. HOFFMANN, “Bibliothèques et formes du livre à la fin de l’antiquité. Le témoignage de la littérature néoplatonicienne des V<sup>e</sup> et VI<sup>e</sup> siècles”, in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 601-632.
- HOFFMANN, “Neoplatonic Commentators” = P. HOFFMANN, “What Was Commentary in Late Antiquity? The Example of the Neoplatonic Commentators”, in M. L. GILL, P. PELLEGRIN (eds.), *A Companion to Ancient Philosophy*, Chichester, 2006, pp. 597-622,
- HÖLLGER (ed.), *Handschriftliche Überlieferung* = W. HÖLLGER (ed.), *Die handschriftliche Überlieferung der Gedichte Gregors von Nazianz*, I. *Die Gedichtgruppen XX und XI*, Paderborn, 1985 (*SGKA*, n.s., 2: *Forschungen zu Gregor von Nazianz*, 3).
- HORNUS, “Corpus dionysien” = J. M. HORNUS, “Le corpus dionysien en syriaque”, *POr*, 1 (1970), pp. 69-94.
- HUNGER, “Fettaugen-Mode” = H. HUNGER, “Die sogenannte Fettaugen-Mode in griechischen Handschriften des 13. und 14. Jahrhunderts”, *Byzantinische Forschungen*, 4 (1972), pp. 105-113.
- HUNGER, *Profane Literatur*, I = H. HUNGER, *Die hochsprachliche profane Literatur der Byzantiner*, I: *Philosophie, Rhetorik, Epistolographie, Geschichtsschreibung, Geographie*, München, 1978 (*Handbuch der Altertumswissenschaften*, 12: *Byzantinisches Handbuch*, 5/1).

- HUNGER, *Prooimion* = H. HUNGER, *Prooimion. Elemente der byzantinischen Kaiseridee in den Arengen der Urkunden*, Wien, 1964 (*Wiener byzantinistische Studien*, 1).
- HUTTER, “Marginalia decorata” = I. HUTTER, “Marginalia decorata”, in BRAVO GARCÍA – PÉREZ MARTÍN – SIGNES CODOÑER (eds.), *Legacy of Bernard de Montfaucon*, pp. 97–106, 721–734.
- HUTTER, “Patmos 33” = I. HUTTER, “Patmos 33 im Kontext”, *RSBN*, n.s., 46 (2009), pp. 73–126.
- HUTTER, *Vaticana* = I. HUTTER, *Corpus der byzantinischen Miniaturenhandschriften*, VI/1: *Corpus der italogriechischen dekorierten Handschriften der Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana*, I–III, Stuttgart, 2022.
- HUXLEY, *Anthemius of Tralles* = G. L. HUXLEY, *Anthemius of Tralles. A study in Later Greek Geometry*, Cambridge, MA, 1959.
- IRIGOIN, “Denys l’Aréopagite en occident” = J. IRIGOIN, “Les manuscrits grecs de Denys l’Aréopagite en Occident, les empereurs byzantins et l’abbaye royale des Saint-Denis en France”, in DE ANDIA (ed.), *Postérité*, pp. 19–29.
- JACKSON, “Binding 1679–1683” = D. F. JACKSON, “Colbert Greek Manuscript Binding 1679–1683”, *CodMan*, 76/77 (2011), pp. 51–59.
- JACKSON, “Binding to 1675” = D. F. JACKSON, “Colbert Greek Manuscript Binding to 1675”, *CodMan*, 66/67 (2008), pp. 55–65.
- JACKSON, “Colbert Greek Library” = D. F. JACKSON, “The Colbert Greek Library, from 1676 to 1678”, *CodMan*, 73/74 (2010), pp. 43–57.
- JANSSENS – ROOSEN – VAN DEUN (eds.), *Philomathestatos* = B. JANSSENS, B. ROOSEN, P. VAN DEUN (eds.), *Philomathestatos: Studies in Greek and Byzantine Texts presented to Jacques Noret for his Sixty-fifth Birthday*, Leuven, 2004 (*OLA*, 137).
- JONES – MARTINDALE – MORRIS, *Prosopography* I = A. H. M. JONES, J. R. MARTINDALE, J. MORRIS, *The Prosopography of the Later Roman Empire*, I, Cambridge, 1971.
- JØRG – KASSER, *Hamburger Papyrus* = D. B. JØRG, R. KASSER, *Hamburger Papyrus bil. 1: die alttestamentlichen Texte des Papyrus bilinguis 1 der Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Hamburg. Canticum canticorum (coptice), Lamentationes Ieremiae (coptice), Ecclesiastes (graece et coptice)*, Genève, 1981 (*Cahiers d’orientalisme*, 18).
- KALAMAKIS, Λεξικὰ τῶν ἐπῶν Γρηγορίου τοῦ Θεολόγου μετὰ γενικῆς θεωρήσεως τῆς Πατερικῆς λεξικογραφίας, Athēna, 1992.

- KAMIL, *Catalogue Sinai* = M. KAMIL, *Catalogue of All Manuscripts in the Monastery of St Catharine on Mount Sinai*, Wiesbaden, 1970.
- KARAVITES, “Byzantine Hymnography” = P. KARAVITES, “Gregory Nazianzinos and Byzantine Hymnography”, *Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 113 (1993), pp. 81-98.
- KASSER, *Bodmer XXII* = R. KASSER, *Papyrus Bodmer XXII et Mississippi Coptic Codex II: Jérémie XL, 3-LII, 34, Lamentations, Epître de Jérémie, Baruch I, 1-V, 5 en sahidique*, Genève, 1964.
- KAUFHOLD, “Zur Bedeutung Jerusalems” = H. KAUFHOLD, “Zur Bedeutung Jerusalems für die syrisch-orthodoxe Kirche”, in W. BRANDMÜLLER (ed.), *L’idea di Gerusalemme nella spiritualità cristiana del medioevo. Atti del Convegno internazionale in collaborazione con l’Istituto della Görres-Gesellschaft di Gerusalemme, Gerusalemme, Notre Dame of Jerusalem Center, 31 agosto - 6 settembre 1999*, Città del Vaticano, 2003 (Pontificio Comitato di Scienze Storiche. Atti e documenti, 12), pp. 132-165.
- KENNEDY, *History of Rhetoric*, III = G. KENNEDY, *A History of Rhetoric*, III: *Greek Rhetoric under Christian Emperors*, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- KENYON, *Chester Beatty*, VI = F. G. KENYON, *The Chester Beatty Biblical Papyri: Descriptions and Texts of Twelve Manuscripts on Papyrus of the Greek Bible*, VI: *Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ecclesiasticus*, London, 1937.
- KÉVORKIAN – TER-STÉPANIAN, *Manuscrits arméniens* = R. KÉVORKIAN, A. TER-STÉPANIAN [with the collaboration of B. OUTTIER, G. TER-VARDANIAN], *Manuscrits arméniens de la Bibliothèque nationale de France*, Paris, 1998.
- KHARANAULI, “თარგმანის ტექნიკის” = A. KHARANAULI, “თარგმანის ტექნიკის ხერხები (ტრანსკრიბცია, ტრანსლაცია, იმიტაცია, სიმულაცია, ემულაცია, ინტერპრეტაცია) და ენის განვითარების საშუალებები ძველი აღთქმის უძველესი თარგმანების მაგალითზე” [The Methods of Translation Technique (Transcription, Translation, Imitation, Simulation, Emulation, Interpretation) and the Means of Language Development on the Example of the Ancient Georgian Translations of the Old Testament], in D. TVALTVADZE, R. KURDADZE (eds.), ქართველური ენათმეცნიერება (სამეცნიერო მრომების კრებული. ეძღვნება აკად. აკაკი შანიძეს), VI-VII, Tbilisi, 2021, pp. 281-292.
- KING, *Syriac Versions* = D. KING, *The Syriac Versions of the Writings of Cyril of Alexandria: A Study in Translation Technique*, Leuven, 2008 (CSCO, 626; Subsidia, 123).

- KOCHLAMAZASHVILI et al., “წმიდა გრიგოლი ნოსელი” = E. KOCHLAMAZASHVILI et al., “წმიდა გრიგოლი ნოსელი, საღმრთოსმეტყველო, ეგზეგეტიკური და პანეგირიკული ობზულები [St Gregory of Nyssa, Theological, exegetical and panegyrical works]”, in საქართველოს ეკლესიის კალენდარი 2005 წლისათვის (“ნათლისმცემლის” წელიწერი), Tbilisi, 2004, pp. 147-367.
- KOMINIS, *Πατμιακή βιβλιοθήκη* = A. Δ. KOMINΗ, *Πατμιακή βιβλιοθήκη*, Τόμος Α': Κώδικες 1-101, Athēna, 1988.
- KOMINIS, *Πίνακες* = A. Δ. KOMINΗ, *Πίνακες χρονολογημένων Πατμιακών κωδίκων*, Athēna, 1968.
- LAFONTAINE, “Sur l'amour des pauvres” = G. LAFONTAINE, “La version copte bohaïrique du discours ‘Sur l'amour des pauvres’ de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Mus*, 93 (1980), pp. 199-236.
- LAFONTAINE, “Sur la Pâque” = G. LAFONTAINE, “La version copte sahidique du discours ‘Sur la Pâque’ de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Mus*, 93 (1980), pp. 37-52.
- LAFONTAINE, “Version copte” = G. LAFONTAINE, “La version copte des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Mus*, 94 (1981), pp. 37-45.
- LAFONTAINE – COULIE, *Version arménienne* = G. LAFONTAINE, B. COULIE, *La version arménienne des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze. Tradition manuscrite et histoire du texte*, Leuven, 1983 (CSCO, 446; *Subsidia*, 67).
- LANGEN, “Nochmals” = J. LANGEN, “Nochmals die Schule des Hierotheus”, *Revue Internationale de Théologie*, 7 (1899), pp. 367-373.
- LANGERBECK, *Studien zu Demokrits Ethik* = H. LANGERBECK, *Δόξις ἐπιρυσμών. Studien zu Demokrits Ethik und Erkenntnislehre*, Berlin, 1935 (*Neue Philologische Untersuchungen*, 10) [repr.: Dublin, 1967].
- LANGERBECK, “Interpretation” = H. LANGERBECK, “Zur Interpretation Gregors von Nyssa”, *Theologische Literaturzeitung*, 82 (1957), pp. 81-90.
- LA PORTA, *Armenian Scholia* = S. LA PORTA, *The Armenian Scholia on Dionysius the Areopagite. Studies on their Literary and Philological Tradition*, Leuven, 2008 (CSCO, 625; *Subsidia*, 122).
- LA PORTA, “Monasticism” = S. LA PORTA, “Monasticism and the Construction of the Armenian Intellectual Tradition”, in I. A. MURZAKU (ed.), *Monasticism in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Republics*, London, 2016 (*Routledge Religion, Society and Government in Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet States*, 3), pp. 332-352.

- LA PORTA, “Purging John of Scythopolis” = S. LA PORTA, “Purging John of Scythopolis: A Miaphysite Redaction of the Scholia on the *Corpus Dionysiacum* and its Armenian Version”, *Mus*, 126/1 (2013), pp. 45-82.
- LA PORTA, “Reception” = S. LA PORTA, “The Reception and Influence of the Corpus of Works Attributed to Dionysius the Areopagite in the Medieval Armenian Spiritual Tradition”, *ARC: The Journal of the Faculty of Religious Studies, McGill University*, 35 (2007), pp. 211-226.
- LA PORTA, “Sept arts libéraux” = S. LA PORTA, “Les sept arts libéraux et l’école de Glajor-Tat’ew (XIII<sup>e</sup>-XIV<sup>e</sup> siècle)”, in V. CALZOLARI (ed.), *Les arts libéraux et les sciences dans l’Arménie ancienne et médiévale*, Paris, 2022 (*Textes et traditions*, 36), pp. 193-214.
- LA PORTA, “Two Visions” = S. LA PORTA, “Two Visions of Mysticism: The *Corpus Dionysiacum* and the *Book of Lamentation*,” *Revue Théologique de Kaslik*, 3-4 (2009-2010), pp. 243-257.
- LASH, “Techniques of a Translator” = C. J. A. LASH, “Techniques of a Translator: Work-notes on the Methods of Jacob of Edessa in Translating the Homilies of Severus of Antioch”, in F. PASCHKE (ed.), *Überlieferungsgeschichtliche Untersuchungen*, Berlin, 1981 (*TU*, 125), pp. 365-383.
- LÉVY, “Épectase” = A. LÉVY, “Aux confins du créé et de l’incréé: les dimensions de l’épectase chez Grégoire de Nysse”, *RSPT*, 84 (2000), pp. 247-274.
- LILLA, “Introduzione” = S. LILLA, “Introduzione allo studio dello Ps. Dionigi l’Areopagita”, *Augustinianum* 22 (1982), pp. 533-577.
- LILLA, “Osservazioni” = S. LILLA, “Osservazioni sul testo del *De divinis nominibus* dello Ps. Dionigi l’Areopagita”, *ASNSP*, 3rd s., 10/1 (1980), pp. 125-202.
- LILLA, “Ricerche” = S. LILLA, “Ricerche sulla tradizione manoscritta del *De divinis nominibus* dello Ps. Dionigi l’Areopagita”, *ASNSP*, 2nd s., 34/3-4 (1965), pp. 296-386.
- LO CICERO, *Tradurre* = C. LO CICERO, *Tradurre i Greci nel IV secolo. Rufino di Aquileia e le Omelie di Basilio*, Roma, 2008 (*Studi e testi tardoantichi*, 9).
- LOKIN – VAN BOCHOVE, “Compilazione” = J. H. A. LOKIN, TH. E. VAN BOCHOVE, “Compilazione – educazione – purificazione. Dalla legislazione di Giustiniano ai *Basilica cum scholiis*”, in J. H. A. LOKIN, B. H. STOLTE (eds.), *Introduzione al diritto bizantino. Da Giustiniano ai Basilici*, Pavia, 2011, pp. 99-146.

- LOOPSTRA, *Patristic Masora* = J. LOOPSTRA, *The Patristic “Masora”*, Leuven, 2020 (CSCO, 689; *Scriptores syri*, 265).
- LOOPSTRA, *Patristic Selections* = J. LOOPSTRA, *Patristic Selections in the “Masoretic” Handbooks of the Qarqapta Tradition*, PhD thesis, 2 vols., The Catholic University of America, 2009 [unpublished].
- LUCÀ, “Ars renovandi” = S. LUCÀ, “*Ars renovandi*. Modalità di riscrittura nell’Italia greca medievale”, in S. LUCÀ (ed.), *Libri palinsesti greci: conservazione, restauro digitale, studio. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Villa Mondragone-Monte Porzio Catone-Università di Roma Tor Vergata-Biblioteca del Monumento nazionale di Grottaferrata, 21-24 aprile 2004*, Roma, 2008, pp. 131-154.
- LUCÀ, “Attività scrittoria” = S. LUCÀ, “Attività scrittoria e culturale a Rossano: da S. Nilo a S. Bartolomeo da Simeri (secoli X-XII)”, in *Atti del congresso internazionale su S. Nilo di Rossano. 28 settembre-1° ottobre 1986*, Grottaferrata, 1989, pp. 25-73.
- LUCÀ, “Presunta omilia” = S. LUCÀ, “Una presunta omilia di Gregorio di Nazianzo: Περὶ ζωῆς αἰώνιου (CPG 3090)”, in *Polyanthema. Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica offerti a Salvatore Costanza*, II = *Studi tardoantichi*, 8 (1989 [1991]), pp. 43-57.
- LUCÀ, “Scriba e committente” = S. LUCÀ, “Lo scriba e il committente dell’Addit. 28270 (ancora sullo stile ‘rossanese’”, in *Miscellanea di studi in onore di P. Marco Petta per il LXX compleanno* = BBGG, n.s., 47 (1993), pp. 165-225.
- LUCÀ, “Scritture” = S. LUCÀ, “Scritture e libri della ‘scuola niliana’”, in G. CAVALLO, G. DE GREGORIO, M. MANIACI (eds.), *Scritture, libri e testi nelle aree provinciali di Bisanzio. Atti del seminario di Erice (18-25 settembre 1988)*, Spoleto, 1991 (*Biblioteca del “Centro per il collegamento degli studi medievali e umanistici nell’Università di Perugia”*, 5), pp. 319-388.
- LUCÀ, “Sottoscrizione” = S. LUCÀ, “Sulla sottoscrizione in versi del Vat. gr. 2000 (ff. 1-154)”, in C. BRAIDOTTI, E. DETTORI, E. LANZILLOTTA (eds.), *Oὐ πᾶν ἐφήμερον. Scritti in memoria di Roberto Pretagostini*, Roma, 2009, pp. 275-308.
- LUCÀ – PERRIA (eds.), *Studi in onore di P. Canart*, III = S. LUCÀ, L. PERRIA (eds.), *Ὀπάρα. Studi in onore di mgr Paul Canart per il LXX compleanno*, III = BBGG, n.s., 53 (1999).
- LÜDTKE, “Überlieferung” = W. LÜDTKE, “Zur Überlieferung der Reden Gregors von Nazianz”, *OrChr*, n.s., 3 (1913), pp. 263-276.

- LUNDHAUG – LIED, “Studying Snapshots” = H. LUNDHAUG, L. I. LIED, “Studying Snapshots: On Manuscript Culture, Textual Fluidity, and New Philology”, in H. LUNDHAUG, L. I. LIED (eds.), *Snapshots of Evolving Traditions: Jewish and Christian Manuscript Culture, Textual Fluidity, and New Philology* (TU, 175), Berlin, 2017, pp. 1-19.
- LUZZATTO, “Grammata e syrmata” = M. J. LUZZATTO, “Grammata e syrmata. Scrittura greca e produzione libraria tra VII e IX secolo”, *Analecta Papyrologica*, 14-15 (2002-2003), pp. 5-89.
- MACDOUGALL, “Callimachus” = B. MACDOUGALL, “Callimachus and the Bishops: Gregory of Nazianzus’s Second Oration”, *Journal of Late Antiquity*, 9 (2016), pp. 171-194.
- MACÉ, “Basilii” = C. MACÉ, review of Basilii *In Or. 4 et 5*, ed. RIOUAL, and RIOUAL, *Lire Grégoire, Byz*, 91 (2021), pp. 471-485.
- MACÉ, “Citations” = C. MACÉ, “Les citations de Grégoire de Nazianze dans l’*Edictum Rectae Fidei de Justinien*”, *JÖB*, 52 (2002), 89-93.
- MACÉ, “Édition récente” = C. MACÉ, “À propos d’une édition récente de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *AC*, 77 (2008), pp. 243-256.
- MACÉ, “Fragment” = C. MACÉ, “Un fragment *Contre les astronomes* attribué à Grégoire de Nazianze ou à Grégoire de Nysse (CPG 3063)”, in G. VAN RIEL, P. D’HOINE (eds.), *Fate, Providence and Moral Responsibility. Collected studies in honour of Carlos Steel*, Leuven, 2014 (*Ancient and Medieval Philosophy*, I, 49), pp. 357-382.
- MACÉ, “Histoires mythologiques” = C. MACÉ, “Les Histoires mythologiques du Pseudo-Nonnos et la tradition des Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze. À propos du manuscrit Sélestat, Bibliothèque municipale, 105”, *Byz*, 71 (2001), pp. 110-130.
- MACÉ, “Hymn” = C. MACÉ, “The *Hymn to What is beyond Everything* Attributed to Dionysius the Areopagite and Gregory of Nazianzus”, *Byz*, 93 (2023), pp. 193-221.
- MACÉ, “Indirect tradition” = C. MACÉ, “Indirect tradition”, in ROELLI (ed.), *Handbook of Stemmatology*, pp. 148-160.
- MACÉ, “Latin and Armenian” = C. MACÉ, “Latin and Armenian translations and the prehistory of the Homilies of St Gregory of Nazianzus”, *Comparative Oriental Manuscript Studies Newsletter*, 1 (2011), pp. 21-24.

- MACÉ, “Lettre” = C. MACÉ, “La lettre de Denys l’Aréopagite à Timothée sur la mort des apôtres Pierre et Paul: l’apport de la version géorgienne”, *Apocrypha*, 31 (2020 [2021]), pp. 61-104.
- MACÉ, “Lives and Deaths” = C. MACÉ, “The Lives and Deaths of Dionysius the Areopagite”, *Mus*, 135/1 (2022), pp. 143-207.
- MACÉ, “Medieval Translation” = C. MACÉ, “A Medieval Translation from Georgian into Latin: The *Epistola ad Timotheum de morte apostolorum Petri et Pauli* Attributed to Dionysius the Areopagite”, *AnBoll*, 140 (2022), pp. 67-89.
- MACÉ, “Note” = C. MACÉ, “Note sur la tradition manuscrite d’un passage disputé du discours 38 de Grégoire de Nazianze (BHG 1938)”, *AnBoll*, 122 (2004), pp. 51-68.
- MACÉ, “OHDA” = C. MACÉ, review of *OHDA*, *Plekos*, 25 (2023), pp. 47-71, <https://www.plekos.uni-muenchen.de/2023/r-dionysius-areopagita.pdf>.
- MACÉ, “Signe héliaque” = C. MACÉ, “Note sur le signe héliaque dans et hors des manuscrits de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Scriptorium*, 55 (2001), pp. 307-309.
- MACÉ, “Tradition indirecte” = C. MACÉ, “La tradition indirecte ancienne (v<sup>e</sup>-vi<sup>e</sup> s.) des discours de Grégoire de Nazianze. Deux citations chez les historiens Socrate et Sozomène”, in JANSSENS – ROOSSEN – VAN DEUN (eds.), *Philomathestatos*, pp. 377-388.
- MACÉ, “Versio armeniaca III” = C. MACÉ, review of Gregorii, *Versio armeniaca*, III, ed. COULIE – SIRINIAN, *Mus*, 113 (2000), pp. 464-468.
- MACÉ – ANDRIST, “Elias of Crete” = C. MACÉ, P. ANDRIST, “Elias of Crete’s Commentary on Gregory of Nazianzus’s Homilies in Codex Basel AN I 8: A Philological and Codicological Approach”, *Nέα Πώμη*, 13 (2016 [2017]), pp. 171-239.
- MACÉ – ANDRIST, “Understanding” = C. MACÉ, P. ANDRIST, “Understanding the Genesis of a Multi-layered Byzantine Manuscript: The Illuminated Copy of Elias of Crete’s Commentary on Gregory Nazianzen (Basel AN I 8)”, *JÖB*, 69 (2019 [2021]), pp. 289-304.
- MACÉ – BARET – LANTIN, “Philologie et phylogénétique” = C. MACÉ, P. BARET, A.-C. LANTIN, “Philologie et phylogénétique: regards croisés en vue d’une édition critique d’une homélie de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in A. BOZZI, L. CIGNONI, J.-L. LEBRAVE (eds.), *Digital Technology and Philological Disciplines*, Pisa, 2004 (*Linguistica Computazionale*, 20-21), pp. 305-341.

- MACÉ – SANSPEUR, “Discours 6” = C. MACÉ, C. SANSPEUR, “Nouvelles perspectives pour l’histoire du texte des Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze. Le cas du Discours 6 en grec et en arménien”, *Mus*, 113 (2000), pp. 377-416.
- MACÉ – SCHMIDT – WEILER, “Classement” = C. MACÉ, T. SCHMIDT, J.-F. WEILER, “Le classement des manuscrits par la statistique et la phylogénétique: les cas de Grégoire de Nazianze et de Basile le Minime”, *RHT*, 31 (2001), pp. 241-273.
- MAEHLER, “Évolution matérielle” = H. MAEHLER, “L’évolution matérielle de l’hypomnème jusqu’à la basse époque. Le cas du POxy. 856 (Aristophane) et PWürzburg 1 (Euripide)”, in GOULET-CAZÉ (ed.), *Commentaire*, pp. 29-36.
- MAGDALINO, “Évaluation de dons” = P. MAGDALINO, “Évaluation de dons et donation de livres dans la diplomatie byzantine”, in M. GRÜNBART (ed.), *Geschenke erhalten die Freundschaft. Gabentausch und Netzwerkpflege im europäischen Mittelalter*, Berlin, 2011 (*Byzantinische Studien und Texte*), pp. 103-116.
- MAINOLDI, *Dietro Dionigi* = E. S. MAINOLDI, *Dietro ‘Dionigi l’Areopagita’. La genesi e gli scopi del Corpus Dionysiacum*, Roma, 2018 (*Institutiones. Saggi, ricerche e sintesi di pensiero tardo-antico, medievale e umanistico*, 6).
- MAINOLDI, “Dionysius latinus II” = E. S. MAINOLDI, “Dionysius latinus II: Anastasio il Bibliotecario e le implicazioni politico-ecclesiastiche ecumeniche delle *Versiones Dionysii carolingi*”, in A. BUCOSSI, A. CALIA (eds.), *Contra Latinos et adversus Graecos. The Separation between Rome and Constantinople from the Ninth to the Fifteenth Century*, Leuven, 2020 (*OLA*, 286; *Bibliothèque de Byzantion*, 22), pp. 105-128.
- MAKRIS, “Hypatios” = G. MAKRIS, “Zwischen Hypatios von Ephesos und Lorenzo Valla. Die areopagitische Echtheitsfrage im Mittelalter”, in T. BOIADJIEV – G. KAPRIEV – A. SPEER (eds.), *Die Dionysius-Rezeption im Mittelalter. Internationales Kolloquium in Sofia vom 8. bis 11. April 1999*, Turnhout, 2000 (*Rencontres de Philosophie Médiévale*, 9), pp. 3-39.
- MALI, “De symbolica theologia” = F. MALI, “Hat es die Schrift *De symbolica theologia* von Dionysius Ps.-Areopagita gegeben? Anmerkungen zu den Nachrichten des Sergius von Rēšainā über Dionysius Ps.-Areopagite”, in M. TAMCKE (ed.), *Syriaca. Zur Geschichte, Theologie, Liturgie und Gegenwartslage der syrischen Kirchen. 2. Deutsches Syrologen-Symposium (Juli 2000, Wittenberg)*, Hamburg, 2002 (*Studien zur Orientalischen Kirchengeschichte*, 17) pp. 213-224.

- MALI, *Erste Summa* = F. MALI, *Eine erste Summa theologiae. Datierung, Werk und Pseudepigraphie des Dionysius (Ps.-Areopagita)*, Habilitationschrift, Meitingen, 1997 [unpublished].
- MANGO, “Vision and Meaning” = C. MANGO, review of BRUBAKER, *Vision and Meaning, Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies*, 24 (2000), pp. 278-281.
- MANIACI, *Archeologia* = M. MANIACI, *Archeologia del manoscritto. Metodi, problemi, bibliografia recente*, Roma, 2002 (I libri di Viella, 34).
- MANN (ed.), *Lexicon Gregorianum* = F. MANN (ed.), *Lexicon Gregorianum. Wörterbuch zu den Schriften Gregors von Nyssa*, 9 vols., Leiden, 1999-2013, Brill online.
- MARGOLIOUTH, *Descriptive List* = G. MARGOLIOUTH, *Descriptive List of Syriac and Karshuni MSS. in the British Museum Acquired since 1873*, London, 1899
- MARIEV, “Hypatios of Ephesos” = S. MARIEV, “Hypatios of Ephesos and Ps.-Dionysios Areopagites”, *BZ*, 107 (2014), pp. 113-138.
- MARR, იერუსალიმის ქართულ = N. MARR, იერუსალიმის ქართულ ხელნაწერთა მოკლე აღწერილობა / Краткое описание грузинских рукописей библиотеки греческого патриархата в Иерусалиме [Short Description of the Georgian Manuscripts of the Library of the Greek Patriarchate in Jerusalem], ed. by E. METREVELI, Tbilisi, 1955.
- MARR, “Предварительный отчет” = N. MARR, “Предварительный отчет о работах на Синае, веденныхых въ сотрудничествѣ съ И. А. Джаваховыимъ, и въ Йерусалимѣ, въ поѣздку 1902 г. (апрѣль – ноябрь)” [Preliminary report of works on the Sinai, carried out in cooperation with I. A. Javakhov, and in Jerusalem during a journey in 1902 (April – November)], *Сообщенія Императорскаго Православнаго Палестинскаго общества*, 14/2 (1903), pp. 1-51.
- MARROU, “Technique” = H. I. MARROU, “La technique de l'édition à l'époque patristique”, *Vigiliae Christianae*, 3 (1949), pp. 208-224.
- MARTI, “Lateinische Predigten” = H. MARTI, “Lateinische Predigten zwischen Mündlichkeit und Schriftlichkeit”, *MH*, 62/2 (2005), pp. 105-115.
- MARTIN, “Tradition karkaphienne” = J. P. P. MARTIN, “Tradition karkaphienne, ou la massore chez les Syriens”, *JA*, 6th s., 14 (1869), pp. 245-379.

MARTINI, *Catalogo* = E. MARTINI, *Catalogo dei manoscritti greci esistenti nelle biblioteche italiane*, II: *Catalogus codicum Graecorum qui in Bibliotheca Vallicellana Romae adservantur*, Milano, 1902.

MATCHAVARIAN, “დავით ტბელის” = M. MATCHAVARIAN, “დავით ტბელის თარგმანებად ცნობილი სხვა თხზულებები გრიგოლ ღვთისმეტყველის თხზულებათა ქართულ კრებულებში” [Works Known as Translated by David Tbeli in the Collections of St Gregory of Nazianzus’ Homilies], მრავალთავი, ფილოლოგიურ-ისტორიული ძიებანი, 21 (2005), pp. 139-145.

MATCHAVARIAN, “Names of Christ” = M. MATCHAVARIAN, “The Names of Christ in the Georgian Version of Gregory of Nazianzus’s Homily II by Euthymius the Athonite”, in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 259-271.

MATCHAVARIAN, “სამი ქართული თარგმანი” = M. MATCHAVARIAN, “გრიგოლ ნაზიანზელის მეორე პომილიის სამი ქართული თარგმანი” [Three Georgian Translations of Gregory Nazianzen’s Second Homily], in ფილოლოგიური ძიებანი, Tbilisi, 1995, pp. 201-225.

MATCHAVARIAN, “შესხმა” = M. MATCHAVARIAN, “წმ. დიმიტრი თესალონიკელის შესხმა ქართულ მწერლობაში” [The “Praise” of St Demetrios of Thessaloniki in the Georgian Literature], მაცნე, ენისა და ლიტერატურის სერია, 1-4 (2004-2005), pp. 165-176.

MATERA, *Textes dionysiens* = M. MATERA, *Les textes dionysiens du manuscrit palimpseste Parisinus graecus 1330, avec un excursus sur les schémas inédits de logique aristotélicienne*, PhD thesis, Labex Hastec (EPHE), Paris – Alma Mater Studiorum Università di Bologna, 2021 [unpublished].

MATHEWS – SANJIAN, *Armenian Gospel Iconography* = T. MATHEWS, A. SANJIAN, *Armenian Gospel Iconography: The Tradition of the Glajor Gospel*, Washington, DC, 1991 (*Dumbarton Oaks Studies*, 29).

MATHIEU, “Authenticité” = J.-M. MATHIEU, “Authenticité de l’*Exhortatio ad virgines* (Carmen I, ii, 3)”, in MOSSAY (ed.), II. *Symposium Nazianzenum*, pp. 145-158.

MATHIEU, “Jérôme” = J.-M. MATHIEU, “Grégoire de Nazianze et Jérôme. Commentaire de l’*In Ephesios 3, 5, 32*”, in Y.-M. DUVAL (ed.), *Jérôme entre l’Occident et l’Orient. XVIe centenaire du départ de saint Jérôme de Rome et son installation à Bethléem. Actes du Colloque de Chantilly (septembre 1986)*, Paris, 1988, pp. 115-127.

- MAZZUCCHI, "Alcune vicende" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Alcune vicende della tradizione di Cassio Dione in epoca bizantina", *Aevum* 53 (1979), pp. 94-139.
- MAZZUCCHI, "Damascio" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Damascio autore del *Corpus Dionysiacum* e il dialogo περὶ πολιτηκῆς ἐπιστήμης", *Aevum*, 80 (2006), pp. 299-334.
- MAZZUCCHI, "John of Scytopolis" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "John of Scythopolis' marginal commentary on the *Corpus Dionysiacum*", *TC*, 6/1 (2014), pp. 170-175.
- MAZZUCCHI, "Minuscola libraria" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Minuscola libraria. Translitterazione. Accentazione", in D. HARLFINGER, G. PRATO (eds.), *Paleografia e codicologia greca (Atti del II Colloquio internazionale Berlin-Wolfsbüttel, 17-20 ottobre 1983)*, Alessandria, 1991, pp. 41-45.
- MAZZUCCHI, "Minuscole greche" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Minuscole greche corsive e librarie", *Aegyptus*, 67 (1977), pp. 169-189.
- MAZZUCCHI, "Sistema di accentazione" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Sul sistema di accentazione dei testi greci in età romana e bizantina", *Aegyptus*, 59/1-2 (1979), pp. 145-167.
- MAZZUCCHI, "Storia medievale" = C. M. MAZZUCCHI, "Per la storia medievale dei codici biblici B e Q, del Demostene Par. gr. 2934, del Dione Cassio Vat. gr. 1288 e dell' *Ilias picta ambrosiana*", in BRAVO GARCÍA – PÉREZ MARTÍN – SIGNES CODONÉR (eds.), *Legacy of Bernard de Montfaucon*, pp. 133-141.
- McGUCKIN, "Gregory" = J. A. McGUCKIN, "Gregory: The Rhetorician as Poet", in J. BØRTNES, T. HÄGG (eds.), *Gregory of Nazianzus. Images and Reflections*, København, 2006, pp. 193-212.
- McGUCKIN, *Intellectual Biography* = J. A. McGUCKIN, *St Gregory of Nazianzus: An Intellectual Biography*, Crestwood, NY, 2001.
- MC LYNN, "Self-Made Holy Man" = N.B. MC LYNN, "A Self-Made Holy Man: The Case of Gregory Nazianzen", *Journal of Early Christian Studies*, 6/3 (1998), pp. 463-483.
- MC NAMEE, "Missing Links" = K. MC NAMEE, "Missing Links in the Development of Scholia", *GRBS*, 36 (1995), pp. 399-414.
- MEMOLI, "Fedeltà" = A. F. MEMOLI, "Fedeltà di interpretazione e libertà espresiva nella traduzione rufiniana dell'Oratio XVII di Gregorio Nazianzeno", *Aevum*, 43 (1969), pp. 459-484.

- METREVELI, “იოანე” = E. METREVELI, “იოანე პატრიკისა და პეტრე პატრიკის იდენტიფიკაციისათვის” [On the identification of Ioane Patrikios and Petre Patrikios], in METREVELI, ნარკვევები, pp. 196-225.
- METREVELI, ნარკვევები = E. METREVELI, ნარკვევები ათონის კულტურულ-საგანმანათლებლო კერის ისტორიიდან [Studies on the History of the Cultural and Educational Centre of Athos], Tbilisi, 1996.
- METREVELI, სააღაპე წიგნი = E. METREVELI, ათონის ქართველთა მონასტრის სააღაპე წიგნი [The Book of Synodikon of the Georgian Monastery on Mount Athos], Tbilisi, 1998.
- METREVELI, “თეოფილე” = E. METREVELI, “თეოფილე ხუცესმონაზონის ცხოვრებისა და მოღვაწეობის აღდგენის ცდა” [An Attempt to Reconstruct the Life and Activity of Theophile the Hieromonk], in METREVELI, ნარკვევები, pp. 226-238.
- MGALOBLISHVILI, “Sabatminduri” = T. MGALOBLISHVILI, “The Georgian Sabaite (Sabatminduri) Literary School and the Sabatmindian Version of the Georgian Mravaltavi (Polykephalon)”, in J. PATRICH (ed.), *The Sabaite Heritage in the Orthodox Church from the Fifth Century to the Present*, Leuven, 2001 (*OLA*, 98), pp. 229-233.
- MGALOBLISHVILI – KHOPERIA (eds.), *Maximus the Confessor* = T. MGALOBLISHVILI, L. KHOPERIA (eds.), *Maximus the Confessor and Georgia*, London, 2009 (*Iberica Caucasicæ*, 3).
- MINGANA, Catalogue, I = A. MINGANA, *Catalogue of the Mingana Collection of Manuscripts now in the Possession of the Trustees of the Woodbrooke Settlement, Selly Oak, Birmingham*, I, Cambridge, 1933.
- MOLIN PRADEL, *Katalog* = M. MOLIN PRADEL, *Katalog der griechischen Handschriften der Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Hamburg*, Wiesbaden, 2002 (*Serta Graeca*, 14).
- MONDIN, “Consoli” = L. MONDIN, “I consoli di Dio: un *topos* poetico cristiano”, in M. MANCA, M. VENUTI (eds.), “*Paulo maiora canamus*”. *Raccolta di studi per Paolo Mastandrea*, Venezia, 2021, pp. 325-350.
- MONDRAIN, “Écriture cursive” = B. MONDRAIN, “Une écriture cursive grecque inconnue du X<sup>e</sup> siècle dans le manuscrit de Munich gr. 331”, *Scriptorium*, 54/2 (2000), pp. 252-267.
- MONTANA, “Anything” = F. MONTANA, “Anything but a marginal question. On the meaning of παρακείμενον σχόλιον and παραγράφεσθαι”, *TC*, 6/1 (2014), pp. 24-38.

- MONTANA, “Making” = F. MONTANA, “The Making of Greek Scholiastic *Corpora*”, in F. MONTANARI, L. PAGANI (eds.), *From Scholars to Scholia, Chapters in the History of Ancient Greek Scholarship*, Berlin, 2011 (*TC Supplementary Volumes*, 9), pp. 105-161.
- MONTANA, “Note” = F. MONTANA, “Due note di lessico scolastico: παρακείμενον σχόλιον επαραγγάφεσθαι”, in F. MONTANA (ed.), *Ἀνήρ πολύτροπος. Ricerche di filologia greca antica dedicate dagli allievi a Franco Montanari*, Roma, 2010, pp. 185-195.
- MORANI, “Ancient Armenian Translation” = M. MORANI, “Ancient Armenian Translation from Greek Texts: Questions of Method”, in F. GAZZANO, L. PAGANI, G. TRAINA (eds.), *Greek texts and Armenian Traditions: An Interdisciplinary Approach*, Berlin, 2016 (*TC Supplementary Volumes*, 39), pp. 3-21.
- MORESCHINI, *Filosofia e letteratura* = C. MORESCHINI, *Filosofía e letteratura in Gregorio di Nazianzo*, Milano, 1997.
- MORESCHINI, “Maestro” = C. MORESCHINI, “Gregorio Nazianzeno maestro di Gerolamo”, *Maia*, 71/3 (2019), pp. 630-639.
- MORESCHINI, “Rufino” = C. MORESCHINI, “Rufino traduttore di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, in *Rufino di Concordia*, I, pp. 227-285.
- MOSS – RUANI, “Solving” = Y. MOSS, F. RUANI, “Solving the Ninth-Century West Syrian Synoptic Problem”, *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 143 (2023), pp. 581-606.
- MOSSAY (ed.), II. *Symposium Nazianzenum* = J. MOSSAY (ed.), II. *Symposium Nazianzenum. Louvain-la-Neuve, 25-28 août 1981*, Paderborn, 1983 (*SGKA*, n.s., 2: *Forschungen zu Gregor von Nazianz*, 2).
- MOSSAY, “Quelques manuscrits” = J. MOSSAY, “Quelques manuscrits de Paris relatifs à l’édition de S. Grégoire de Nazianze par les Mauristes”, *AC*, 50 (1981), pp. 545-561.
- MOSSAY, “Signe héliaque” = J. MOSSAY, “Le signe héliaque. Notes sur quelques manuscrits de S. Grégoire de Nazianze”, in L. HADERMANN-MISGUICH, G. RAEPSTAET (eds.), *Rayonnement grec. Hommages à Charles Delvoye*, Bruxelles, 1982 (*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Faculté de Philosophie et Lettres*, 83), pp. 273-284.
- MOSSAY, *Thesaurus*, I = J. MOSSAY, CETEDOC, *Thesaurus Sancti Gregorii Nazianzeni. Orationes, Epistulae, Testamentum*, Turnhout, 1990 (*Corpus Christianorum*).

- MOSSEY – COULIE, *Thesaurus*, II = J. MOSSEY, B. COULIE, CETEDOC, *Thesaurus Sancti Gregorii Nazianzeni. Carmina, Christus Patiens, Vita*, Turnhout, 1991 (*Corpus Christianorum*).
- MOURIKI – ŠEVČENKO, “Manuscrits enluminés” = D. MOURIKI, N. P. ŠEVČENKO, “Manuscrits enluminés”, in A. D. KOMINIS (ed.), *Patmos, les trésors du monastère*, Athēna, 1988, pp. 277-323.
- MUCCIGROSSO, “*Carmen ad Virginem*” = M. MUCCIGROSSO, “Il *Carmen ad Virginem* (I,2,3) di Gregorio di Nazianzo: edizione critica della versione latina anonima (VI s.)”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 19 (2022), pp. 127-154.
- MUCCIGROSSO, “Orazione 19” = M. MUCCIGROSSO, “L’*Orazione 19* di Gregorio di Nazianzo: versione armena e testo greco”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 15 (2018), pp. 173-202.
- MÜLKE, *Autor* = M. MÜLKE, *Der Autor und sein Text. Die Verfälschung des Originals im Urteil antiker Autoren*, Berlin, 2008 (*Untersuchungen zur antiken Literatur und Geschichte*, 93).
- NAU, *Documents* = F. NAU, *Documents pour servir à l’histoire de l’Église nestorienne*, Paris, 1917 (*PO*, 13).
- NICHANIAN, *Ages et usages* = M. NICHANIAN, *Ages et usages de la langue arménienne*, Paris, 1989.
- NICHOLLS, “Galen and Libraries” = M. C. NICHOLLS, “Galen and Libraries in the *Peri Alupias*”, *Journal of Roman Studies*, 101 (2011), pp. 123-142.
- NICOLOPOULOS – MOSSEY, “Sinaiticus Graecus MG 25” = P. NICOLOPOULOS, J. MOSSEY, “Le codex Sinaiticus Graecus MG 25”, *Byz*, 72 (2002), pp. 475-496.
- NIGRA, “Attribuzione” = A. NIGRA, “Note per l’attribuzione a Massimo il Confessore di parte degli *Scholia al Corpus Dionysiacum*: le cruces identificative in alcuni manoscritti della versione latina di Anastasio Bibliotecaio”, *Augustinianum*, 61 (2021), pp. 237-262.
- NIGRA, *Pensiero* = A. NIGRA, *Il pensiero cristologico-trinitario di Giovanni di Scitopoli tra neocalcedonismo e prima recezione del Corpus Dionysiacum*, Roma, 2019 (*Studia Ephemeridis Augustinianum*, 156).
- NIMMO-SMITH, “Early Scholia” = J. NIMMO-SMITH, “The Early Scholia of the Sermons of Gregory of Nazianzus”, in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 69-146.

- NIMMO SMITH, “Scholia Oxoniensia” = J. NIMMO SMITH, “Sidelights on the Sermons: The *Scholia Oxoniensia* on Gregory Nazianzen’s *Orations 4 and 5*”, in SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, pp. 135-201.
- NODIA, “Грузинские материалы” = I. NODIA, “Грузинские материалы о византийской императрице Марфе-Марии” [Georgian Materials on the Byzantine Empress Martha-Maria], in ბიზანტიური ეტიუდები, Tbilisi, 1978, pp. 146-155.
- NODIA, “მარიამ დედოფალი” = I. NODIA, “მარიამ დედოფალი XI ს. მეორე ნახევრის ბიზანტიურ პოლიტიკურ ცხოვრებაში” [Queen Mariam in the Political Life of the Second Half of 11th Century Byzantium], თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტის შრომები, 183 (1978), pp. 143-153.
- NÜNLIST, *Ancient Critic* = R. NÜNLIST, *The Ancient Critic at Work. Terms and Concepts of Literary Criticism in Greek Scholia*, Cambridge, 2009.
- OMONT, *Inventaire sommaire* = H. OMONT, *Inventaire sommaire des manuscrits grecs de la Bibliothèque nationale et des autres Bibliothèques de Paris et des Départements. Introduction et table alphabétique*, Paris, 1898.
- OMONT, *Missions archéologiques* = H. OMONT, *Missions archéologiques françaises en Orient aux XVII<sup>e</sup> et XVIII<sup>e</sup> siècles*, 2 vols., Paris, 1902.
- ORLOV (ed.), *Jewish Roots* = A. A. ORLOV (ed.), *Jewish Roots of Eastern Christian Mysticism: Studies in Honor of Alexander Golitzin*, Leiden, 2020 (*Supplements to Vigiliae Christianae*, 160).
- ORSILI, *Catene neotestamentarie* = P. ORSILI, *Gregorio Nazianzeno nelle catene neotestamentarie greche*, PhD thesis, Università deli studi di Macerata, 2014, <https://docplayer.gr/31395634-Nelle-catene-neotestamentarie-greche.html>.
- ORSINI, *Studies* = P. ORSINI, *Studies on Greek and Coptic Majuscule Scripts and Books*, Berlin, 2019 (SMC, 15).
- ORSINI, “Vat. Ottob. gr. 424” = P. ORSINI, “Nuovi frammenti del codice Vat. Ottob. gr. 424”, *Codices Manuscripti & Impressi*, 95-96 (2014), pp. 1-14.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “ანტიოქიის რეგიონის” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “ანტიოქიის რეგიონის ქართული მწიგნობრული პროცესების რეკონსტრუქციისათვის: კნინოდენითა გემოასხილვითა გიჩუენოთ ექმოვ მდინარისა დიდისა” [For the Reconstruction of the Literary Processes of the Antioch Region...], მრავალთავი, ფილოლოგიურ-ისტორიული ძიებანი, 26 (2019), pp. 350-377.

- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Basilius Minimus” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “The Georgian Translation of Basilius Minimus’ Commentaries on the Liturgical Sermons of Gregory of Nazianzus”, in SOMERS – YANNOPOULOS (eds.), *Philokappadox*, pp. 135-150.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Black Mountain” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “The Black Mountain”, in T. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ (ed.), *Medieval Georgian Literary Culture and Book Production in the Christian Middle East and Byzantium*, Münster, 2022 (*Jerusalemer Theologisches Forum*, 42), pp. 287-364.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Georgian Prefaces” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Medieval Sources about Greek Manuscripts: Georgian Prefaces by Ephrem Mtsire to John of Damascus’ *The Fountain of Wisdom*”, in BROCKMANN et al. (eds.), *Griechisch-byzantinische Handschriftenforschung*, I, pp. 283-292.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, კომენტარული ქანრი = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, კომენტარული ქანრი შუა საუკუნეების ქართულ მთარგმნელობით ტრადიციაში. ეფრემ მცირე და გრიგოლ ღვთისმეტყველის თხზულებათა კომენტარები [The Commentarial Genre in the Medieval Georgian Translation Tradition. Ephrem Mtsire and Commentaries on the Sermons of Gregory the Theologian], Tbilisi, 2011.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Liturgical Sermons” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “The Liturgical Sermons of Gregory of Nazianzus. On the Date of the Georgian Translation by Ephrem Mtsire”, in SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, pp. 469-475.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Maximus the Confessor” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Maximus the Confessor’s *Ambigua ad Iohannem* in the Georgian Translation Tradition”, in MGALOBLISHVILI – KHOPERIA (eds.), *Maximus the Confessor*, pp. 73-85.
- ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Signes marginaux” = Т. ОТКХМЕЗУРИ, “Les signes marginaux dans les manuscrits géorgiens de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Mus*, 104 (1991), pp. 335-347.
- PALLA, “Agapeti” = R. PALLA, “*Agli agapeti*: un ciclo di componimenti di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, in C. BURINI DE LORENZI, M. DE GAETANO (eds.), *La poesia tardoantica e medievale: IV Convegno internazionale di studi, Perugia, 15-17 novembre 2007. Atti in onore di Antonino Isola per il suo 70° genetliaco*, Alessandria, 2010 (Centro internazionale di studi sulla poesia greca e latina in età tardoantica e medievale. *Quaderni*, 5), pp. 119-144.
- PALLA, “Ciclo di epigrammi” = R. PALLA, “Da dodici a uno. Le molte vicende di un ciclo di epigrammi (Greg. Naz. *Epitaph.* 119 = *Anth. Pal.* 8,2-11)”, in

- F. BERARDI, L. BRAVI, L. CALBOLI MONTEFUSCO (eds.), *Sermo varius et accommodatus. Scritti per Maria Silvana Celentano*, Perugia, 2018 (*Papers on rhetoric. Monographs*, 5), pp. 169-176.
- PALLA, “Γράντιος” = R. PALLA, “Γράντιος ο Σιγάντιος? Origine e sviluppi di un falso problema. A proposito di Greg. Naz. epigr. 1-2 (PG 38, 81-83)”, in P. ARDUINI (ed.), *Studi offerti ad Alessandro Perutelli*, Roma, 2008 (*Aracne 10. Scienze dell'antichità, filologico-letterarie e storico-artistiche*, 414), vol. 2, pp. 311-323.
- PALLA, “Edizione aldina” = R. PALLA, “Quello che avremmo dovuto sapere sull’edizione aldina dei Carmi di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, in M. SALVADORE (ed.), *La poesia tardoantica e medievale: I Convegno internazionale di studi, Macerata, 4-5 maggio 1998*, Alessandria, 2001 (*Centro internazionale di studi sulla poesia greca e latina in età tardoantica e medievale. Quaderni*, 1), pp. 249-260.
- PALLA, “Edizioni antiche” = R. PALLA, “‘Edizioni antiche’ ed ‘edizioni moderne’ dei Carmi di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, in M. CORTESI (ed.), *Leggere i padri tra passato e presente. Atti del Convegno internazionale di studi, Cremona, 21-22 novembre 2008*, Firenze, 2010 (*Millennio medievale*, 88; *Atti di convegni*, 26), pp. 127-144.
- PALLA, “Ordinamento” = R. PALLA, “Ordinamento e polimetria delle poesie bibliche di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, *Wiener Studien*, 102 (1989), pp. 170-185.
- PALMER – PERCZEL, “New Testimony” = A. PALMER, I. PERCZEL, “A New Testimony from India to the Syriac Version of Pseudo-Dionysius (Pampakuda, Konat Collection, MS. 239)”, *Iran and the Caucasus*, 6 (2002), pp. 11-26.
- PANCIERA, “Protettore” = S. PANCIERA, “Un protettore di Spoleto”, *Spolegium*, 34-35 (1990), pp. 11-20.
- PAPAIOANNOU, *Michael Psellos* = S. PAPAIOANNOU, *Michael Psellos: Rhetoric and Authorship in Byzantium*, Cambridge, 2013.
- PAPAIOANNOU, “Authors” = S. PAPAIOANNOU, “Authors”, in S. PAPAIOANNOU (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Byzantine Literature*, New York, pp. 483-524.
- PAPANIKOLAOU, “Lexicon Cyrilli” = D. PAPANIKOLAOU, “Sacred, Profane, Troublesome, Adventurous: The *Lexicon Cyrilli* across Ages and Manuscripts”, *Bulletin of the John Rylands Library*, 96 (2020), pp. 1-18.

- PARAMELLE, “Morceau égaré” = J. PARAMELLE, “Morceau égaré du *Corpus Dionysiacum* ou Pseudo-Pseudo-Denys? Fragment grec d’une *Lettre à Tite inconnue*”, in DE ANDIA (ed.), *Postérité*, pp. 237-268.
- PARIS, *Manuscrits françois* = P. PARIS, *Les manuscrits françois de la Bibliothèque du roi, leur histoire et celle des textes allemands, anglois, hollandois, italiens, espagnols de la même collection*, I, Paris, 1836.
- PARKER – TREIGER, “Philo’s Odyssey” = E. PARKER, A. TREIGER, “Philo’s Odyssey into the Medieval Jewish World: Neglected Evidence from Arab Christian Literature”, *Dionysius*, 30 (2012), pp. 117-146.
- PASINI, *Bibliografia* = C. PASINI, *Bibliografia dei manoscritti greci dell’Ambrosiana (1857-2006)*, Milano, 2007 (*Bibliotheca erudita*, 30).
- PERA, *Thomae* = C. PERA, S. *Thomae Aquinatis In librum Beati Dionysii De divinis nominibus expositio*, Roma, 1950.
- PERCZEL, “Dionysius the Areopagite” = I. PERCZEL, “Dionysius the Areopagite”, in K. PARRY (ed.), *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Patristics*, Chichester, 2015 (*The Wiley Blackwell Companions to Religion*), pp. 211-225.
- PERCZEL, “Notes” = I. PERCZEL, “Notes on the Earliest Greco-Syriac Reception of the Dionysian Corpus”, in *OHDA*, pp. 172-204.
- PERCZEL, “Pseudo-Didymian” = I. PERCZEL, “The Pseudo-Didymian *De trinitate* and Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite: A preliminary study”, in M. VINZENT (ed.), *Studia Patristica*, 58, Leuven, 2013, pp. 83-108.
- PERCZEL, “Revisiting” = I. PERCZEL, “Revisiting the Christian Platonism of Pseudo-Dionysius”, in ORLOV (ed.), *Jewish Roots*, pp. 267-307.
- PÉREZ MARTÍN, “Byzantine Libraries” = I. PÉREZ MARTÍN, “Byzantine Libraries: The Public and the Private”, in N. DE CASTILLA, F. DÉROCHE, M. FRIEDRICH (eds.), *Libraries in the Manuscript Age*, Berlin, 2023 (*SMC*, 29), pp. 183-208.
- PERRIA, “Origini” = L. PERRIA, “Alle origini della minuscola libraria greca. Morfologia e stilizzazioni”, in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 157-167.
- PERRIA, “Repertorio” = L. PERRIA, “Per un repertorio dei codici greci in minuscola di età antica”, *RSBN*, 33 (1996), pp. 21-30.
- PERRIA, *Storia* = L. PERRIA, *Γραφίς: per una storia della scrittura greca libraria, secoli IV a.C. – XVI d. C.*, Roma, 2011 (*Quaderni di Nέα Πώμη*, 1).

- PERRIA, “Tradizione manoscritta” = L. PERRIA, “*Paleographica*, I: In margine alla tradizione manoscritta dello Pseudo Dionigi l’Areopagita”, *RSBN*, n.s., 37 (2000), pp. 43-60.
- PERŠIČ, “1941-2021” = A. PERŠIČ, “1941-2021: un ottantennio di alterni giudizi sulla paternità didimea del *De Trinitate* dopo la scoperta dei papiri di Tura”, *Schweizerische Zeitschrift für Religions- und Kulturgeschichte*, 115 (2021), pp. 157-185.
- PETRIDOU – TEREZIS, “George Pachymeres” = L. PETRIDOU, C. TEREZIS, “George Pachymeres’ gnoseological system and his inductive method in the Paraphrase of *De divinis nominibus* of Dionysius the Areopagite”, *Augustinianum*, 55 (2015), pp. 405-426.
- PICARD, *Poèmes moraux* = P.-M. PICARD, *Les Poèmes moraux de Grégoire de Nazianze: justification et présentation du corpus, édition et traduction annotée d’une sélection de poèmes*, PhD thesis, Université PSL, École doctorale de l’École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris, 2022 [unpublished].
- PINTAUDI, “Papiri greci” = R. PINTAUDI, “I papiri greci d’Egitto e la minuscola libraria”, in PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci*, pp. 68-82.
- PITRA, *Iuris ecclesiastici historia*, II = J. B. PITRA, *Iuris ecclesiastici Graecorum historia et monumenta*, II: *A VI ad IX saeculum*, Roma, 1868.
- PODOLAK, “Composition” = P. PODOLAK, “La composition du *Corpus Dionysiaca* et son authenticité supposée”, *Apocrypha*, 22 (2011), pp. 167-184.
- PODOLAK, “Giovanni di Scitopoli” = P. PODOLAK, “Giovanni di Scitopoli interprete del *Corpus Dionysiaca*”, *Augustinianum*, 47/2 (2007), pp. 335-386.
- PODOLAK, “Panegirico” = P. PODOLAK, “L’agiografia di Dionigi fra Oriente e Occidente: breve studio del suo sviluppo ed edizione del panegirico di Michele Sincello (*BHG* 556)”, *Byz*, 85 (2015), pp. 179-258.
- PODOLAK, “Passione greca” = P. PODOLAK, “L’agiografia di Dionigi fra Oriente e Occidente: edizione della passione greca *Μετὰ τὴν μακαρίαν καὶ ἐνδοξοτάτην* (*BHG* 554)”, *Byz*, 87 (2017), pp. 299-321.
- POIREL, “Mirage dionysien” = D. POIREL, “Le mirage dionysien: la réception latine du Pseudo-Denys jusqu’au XII<sup>e</sup> siècle à l’épreuve des manuscrits”, *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*, 151 (2007), pp. 1435-1454.

- POLESSO SCHIAVON, “Trattato inedito” = P. POLESSO SCHIAVON, “Un trattato inedito di meteorologia di Eustrazio di Nicea”, *RSBN*, 2-3 (1965-1966), pp. 290-304.
- POLLMANN, *Baptized Muse* = K. POLLmann, *The Baptized Muse: Early Christian Poetry as Cultural Authority*, Oxford, 2017.
- PORRO, “P. Oxy. XX 2258” = A. PORRO, “*P. Oxy. XX 2258 ricon siderato*”, *Eikasmos*, 22 (2011), pp. 311-332.
- PRATO (ed.), *Manoscritti greci* = G. PRATO (ed.), *I manoscritti greci tra riflessione e dibattito. Atti del V Colloquio Internazionale di Paleografia Greca, Cremona, 4-10 ottobre 1998*, 3 vols., Firenze, 2000 (*Papyrologica Florentina*, 31).
- QUANTIN, “Jésuites” = J.-L. QUANTIN, “Les jésuites et l’érudition anglicane”, *Dix-septième siècle*, 237 (2007), pp. 691-711.
- QUARTA, “Or. 45” = G. QUARTA, “L’Or. 45 di Gregorio di Nazianzo: tradizione manoscritta e problemi testuali”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 19 (2022), pp. 225-256.
- RAMELLI, “Origen, Evagrius, and Dionysius” = I. RAMELLI, “Origen, Evagrius, and Dionysius”, in *OHDA*, pp. 94-108.
- RAPHAVA, “Georgian and Armenian Commentaries” = M. RAPHAVA, “Georgian and Armenian Commentaries on the First Theological Oration by Gregory Nazianzen (Oration 27)”, in B. OUTTIER, C. B. HORN, B. LOURIE, A. OSTROVSKY (eds.), *Armenia Between Byzantium and the Orient. Celebrating the Memory of Karen Yuzbashian (1927-2009)*, Leiden, 2019 (*Texts and Studies in Eastern Christianity*, 16), pp. 127-151.
- RAPHAVA, “მოვლე ტექსტები” = M. RAPHAVA, “გრიგოლ ნაზიანზელის ორი პომილის (Or. 38, Or. 39) მოვლე ტექსტები ქართულ მრავალთავებში” [Short Texts from Gregory of Nazianzus’ Two Homilies (Or. 38, Or. 39) in Georgian Mravaltavi], მაცნე, ენისა და ლიტერატურის სერია, 1-4 (1995), pp. 141-148.
- RAPHAVA – COULIE, “Colophons” = M. RAPHAVA, B. COULIE, “Les colophons d’Éphrem Mtsire et les traductions géorgiennes de Grégoire de Nazianze”, *Mus* 104 (1991), pp. 109-124.
- REIL, “Akzentuation” = M. REIL, “Zur Akzentuation griechischer Handschriften”, *BZ*, 19/2 (1910), pp. 476-529.
- RENDEL HARRIS, *Annotators* = J. RENDEL HARRIS, *The Annotators of Codex Bezae. With Some Notes on Sortes Sanctorum*, London, 1901.

- REYNOLDS – WILSON, *D'Homère à Érasme* = L. D. REYNOLDS, N. G. WILSON, *D'Homère à Érasme. La transmission des classiques grecs et latins*, tr. C. BERTRAND, Paris, 1984.
- RHOBY, “Aspekte des Fortlebens” = A. RHOBY, “Aspekte des Fortlebens des Gregor von Nazianz in byzantinischer und postbyzantinischer Zeit”, in M. GRÜNBART (ed.), *Theatron. Rhetorische Kultur in Spätantike und Mittelalter*, Berlin, 2007 (*Millennium-Studien*, 13), pp. 409–417.
- RHOBY, *Epigramme* = A. RHOBY [nach Vorarbeiten von R. STEFEC], *Ausgewählte byzantinische Epigramme in illuminierten Handschriften*, Wien, 2018 (*Byzantinische Epigramme in inschriftlicher Überlieferung*, 4).
- RICHARD, “Ἄπὸ φωνῆς” = M. RICHARD, “Ἄπὸ φωνῆς”, *Byz*, 20 (1950), pp. 191–222.
- RICHARD, “Léonce et Pamphile” = M. RICHARD, “Léonce et Pamphile”, *RSPT*, 27 (1938), pp. 27–52.
- RIMOLI, “Paternità” = P. RIMOLI, “La paternità del *Christus patiens* tra Gregorio di Nazianzo e Teodoto di Ancira”, *Adamantius*, 22 (2016), pp. 215–230.
- RIOUAL, *Lire Grégoire* = G. RIOUAL, *Lire Grégoire de Nazianze au X<sup>e</sup> siècle. Étude des Commentaires de Basile le Minime aux Discours 4 et 5*, Turnhout, 2020 (*IPM*, 82).
- RITTER, *Stemmatisierungsversuche* = A. M. RITTER, *Stemmatisierungsversuche zum Corpus Dionysiacum Areopagiticum im Lichte des EDV-Verfahrens*, Göttingen, 1980 (*NAWG*, 1980/6).
- ROBICHAUD, “Valla and Erasmus” = D. J.-J. ROBICHAUD, “Valla and Erasmus on the Dionysian Question”, in *OHDA*, pp. 491–515.
- ROELLI (ed.), *Handbook of Stemmatology* = P. ROELLI (ed.), *Handbook of Stemmatology: History, Methodology, Digital Approaches*, Berlin, 2020.
- ROOSEN, “Tranquil Life” = B. ROOSEN, “Precepts for a Tranquil Life. A new edition of the *Ad neophytes de patientia* [CPG 7707.32]”, *JÖB*, 64 (2014), pp. 247–283.
- ROREM – LAMOREAUX, *John of Scythopolis* = P. ROREM, J. C. LAMOREAUX, *John of Scythopolis and the Dionysian Corpus: Annotating the Areopagite*, Oxford, 1998 (*Oxford Early Christian Studies*).
- ROUSSE, “Saint Grégoire de Nazianze” = J. ROUSSE, “Saint Grégoire de Nazianze”, in *Dictionnaire de spiritualité*, VI, Paris, 1967, col. 932–971.

- Rufino di Concordia = Rufino di Concordia e il suo tempo. Atti del Convegno internazionale di studi, Concordia, Portogruaro, 18-21 settembre 1986, 2 vols., Udine, 1987 (Antichità Altoadriatiche, 31).*
- SAJDAK, *Historia critica* = J. SAJDAK, *Historia critica scholiastarum et commentatorum Gregorii Nazianzeni*, I, Kraków, 1914 (*Meletemata Patristica*, 1).
- SAKKELION, *Πατμιακή βιβλιοθήκη* = I. SAKKELION, *Πατμιακή βιβλιοθήκη*, Athēna, 1890.
- SAKKELION – SAKKELION, *Καταλόγος* = I. SAKKELION, A. SAKKELION, *Καταλόγος τῶν χειρογράφων τῆς Ἐθνικῆς Βιβλιοθήκης τῆς Ελλάδος*, Athēna, 1892.
- SAMINSKY, “Illuminated Manuscripts” = A. SAMINSKY, “Georgian and Greek Illuminated Manuscripts from Antioch”, in K. CIGGAAR, M. METCALF (eds.), *Antioch from the Byzantine Reconquest until the End of the Crusader Principality*, Leuven, 2006 (*OLA*, 147), pp. 17–78.
- SÁNCHEZ, “Género” = P. J. G. SÁNCHEZ, “El género *De viris illustribus*: de Suetonio a S. Jerónimo”, *Anuario de estudios filológicos*, 14 (1991), pp. 131–142.
- SANDERS, *Freer Collection* = H. A. SANDERS, *The Old Testament manuscripts in the Freer Collection*, New York, 1917 (*University of Michigan Studies. Humanistic Series*, 8).
- SANI, “Orazioni” = C. SANI, “Le *Orazioni* di Gregorio di Nazianzo. Le vicende della sua *oratoria sacra*”, in C. MORESCHINI (ed.), Gregorio di Nazianzo, *Tutte le Orazioni*, Milano, 2000 (*Il pensiero occidentale*), pp. XXXVI–I-LXXVI.
- SANJIAN, *Catalogue* = A. SANJIAN, *A Catalogue of Medieval Armenian Manuscripts in the United States*, Berkeley, CA, 1976.
- DE SANTOS OTERO, “Later Acts” = A. DE SANTOS OTERO, “Later Acts of Apostles”, in W. SCHNEEMELCHER (ed.), *New Testament Apocrypha*, II: *Writings Relating to the Apostles, Apocalypses and Related Subjects*, tr. R. McL. WILSON, Louisville, KY, 1992, pp. 426–482.
- SAUTEL, “Essai de terminologie” = J.-H. SAUTEL, “Essai de terminologie de la mise en page des manuscrits à commentaire”, *Gazette du livre médiéval*, 35 (1999), pp. 17–31.
- SAUTEL, *Répertoire de réglures* = J.-H. SAUTEL, *Répertoire de réglures dans les manuscrits grecs sur parchemin. Base de données établie à l'aide du fichier Leroy et des catalogues récents*, Turnhout, 1995 (*Bibliologia*, 13).

- SCAZZOSO, *Ricerche* = SCAZZOSO, *Ricerche sulla struttura del linguaggio dello Pseudo-Dionigi Areopagita*, Milano, 1967.
- SCHELTEMA, *Enseignement* = H. J. SCHELTEMA, *L'enseignement de droit des antécesseurs*, Leiden, 1970.
- SCHER, "Musée Borgia" = A. SCHER, "Notice sur les manuscrits syriaques du Musée Borgia aujourd'hui à la Bibliothèque Vaticane", *JA*, 10th s., 13 (1909), pp. 249-287.
- SCHLIMME, *Hexaemeronkommentar* = L. SCHLIMME, *Der Hexaemeronkommentar des Moses bar Kepha: Einleitung, Übersetzung und Untersuchungen*, 2 vols., Wiesbaden, 1977 (*Göttinger Orientforschungen*, 1st s.: *Syriaca*, 14).
- SCHMERLING, *Художественное оформление* = R. SCHMERLING, *Художественное оформление грузинской рукописной книги IX-XI столетий* [Artistic Decoration of the Georgian Manuscript Book of the 9th-11th Centuries], Tbilisi, 1979.
- SCHMIDT, "Literary Tradition" = A. B. SCHMIDT, "The Literary Tradition of Gregory of Nazianzus in Syriac Literature and Its Historical Context", *Harp*, II (1998), pp. 127-134.
- SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II = A. B. SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, Turnhout, 2010 (CCSG, 73; CN, 24).
- SCHMIDT – QUASCHNING-KIRSCH, "Syrischen Handschriften" = A. B. SCHMIDT, M. QUASCHNING-KIRSCH, "Die syrischen Handschriften der Homilien des Gregor von Nazianz. Repertorium mit Nachträgen und Siglenverzeichnis", *Mus*, II (2000), pp. 87-114.
- SCHMIDT, "Liste révisée" = T. SCHMIDT, "Les Commentaires de Basile le Minime : liste révisée des manuscrits et des éditions", *Byz*, 70 (2000), pp. 155-181.
- SCHMINCK – GETOV, *Repertorium*, II = A. SCHMINCK, D. GETOV, *Repertorium der Handschriften des byzantinischen Rechts*, Teil II: *Die Handschriften des kirchlichen Rechts*, I (Nr. 328-427), Frankfurt am Main, 2010 (Forschungen zur byzantinischen Rechtsgeschichte, 28).
- SCHRIER, "Chronological Problems" = O. J. SCHRIER, "Chronological Problems Concerning the Lives of Severus bar Mašqā, Athanasius of Balad, Julianus Romāyā, Yohannān Sābā, George of the Arabs and Jacob of Edessa", *OrChr*, 75 (1991), pp. 62-90.

- SEGNERI, “Spigolature” = A. SEGNERI, “Spigolature pseudodidimiane”, *Augustinianum*, 61 (2021), pp. 53-102.
- SEMBIANTE, “Osservazioni” = A. SEMBIANTE, “Osservazioni sulla versione siriaca del settimo *Carmen arcanum* di Gregorio Nazianzeno”, *Mus*, 135 (2022), pp. 1-28.
- SERVENTI, “Attività ecdotica” = S. SERVENTI, “Πρὸς ἀκριβὴ τῶν ἐντυγχανόντων γνῶσιν: Attività ecdotica in una ‘collezione completa’ delle *Orazioni* di Gregorio Nazianzeno (Ambr. E 49-50 inf.)”, *Nέα Ράμη*, 18 (2021), pp. 5-35.
- SGARBI, “Philo’s Stylemes” = R. SGARBI, “Philo’s Stylemes vs Armenian Translation Stylemes”, in S. MANCINI LOMBARDI, P. PONTANI, *Studies on the ancient Armenian version of Philo’s works*, Leiden, 2011, pp. 147-156.
- SHERWOOD, “Mimro” = P. SHERWOOD, “Mimro de Serge de Rešayna sur la vie spirituelle”, *L’Orient Syrien*, 5 (1960), pp. 433-459; 6 (1961), pp. 95-115, 121-156.
- SHERWOOD, “Sergius of Reshaina” = P. SHERWOOD, “Sergius of Reshaina and the Syriac versions of pseudo-Denis”, *SE*, 4 (1952), pp. 174-183.
- SHIRINYAN, “Արտաքին գրեանք” = M. SHIRINYAN, “Արտաքին՝ և նույն՝ գրեանք” [‘External’ and ‘subtle’ writings], *Aštanak*, 2 (1998), pp. 15-45.
- SHOEMAKER, “Dormition” = S. J. SHOEMAKER, “Ps.-Ps.-Dionysius on the Dormition of the Virgin Mary: The Armenian Letter of Dionysius to Titus”, in ORLOV (ed.), *Jewish Roots*, pp. 325-336.
- SIMELIDIS, “Lexica” = C. SIMELIDIS, “The Compilation of the *Lexica* to the Poems of Gregory of Nazianzus: *Lexicon Casinense* and Paraphrase A for the *Gedichtgruppe I*”, in SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, pp. 203-221.
- SIMELIDIS, Selected Poems = C. SIMELIDIS, *Selected Poems of Gregory of Nazianzus. A Critical Edition with Introduction and Commentary*, Göttingen, 2009 (*Hypomnemata. Untersuchungen zur Antike und zu ihrem Nachleben*, 177).
- SIMONET, “Discours 41” = J.-L. SIMONET, “Les variantes communes des versions syriaques, arménienne et latine dans le Discours 41 de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in SCHMIDT (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, II, pp. 585-604.
- SIMONETTI, “Attività letteraria” = M. SIMONETTI, “L’attività letteraria di Rufino negli anni della controversia originiana”, in *Storia ed esegezi*, pp. 89-107.

- SIMONETTI, “Omelie sulla Genesi” = M. SIMONETTI, “Le *Omelie sulla Genesi* di Origene: un’antologia?”, in A. MONACI CASTAGNO (ed.), *La biografia di Origene fra storia e agiografia. Atti del VI Convegno di studi del Gruppo italiano di ricerca su Origene e la tradizione alessandrina* (Torino 11-13 settembre 2002), Villa Verucchio, 2004 (*Adamantius. Biblioteca*, 1), pp. 259-273.
- SINKO, *De traditione* = T. SINKO, *De traditione orationum Gregorii Nazianzeni, Pars prima*, Kraków, 1917 (*Meletemata patristica*, 2).
- SINKO, *De traditione indirecta* = T. SINKO, *De traditione indirecta*, Kraków, 1923 (*Meletemata Patristica*, 3).
- SMITH LEWIS, *Catalogue Sinai* = A. SMITH LEWIS, *Catalogue of the Syriac Ms. in the Convent of S. Catharine on Mount Sinai*, London, 1894 (*Studia Sinaitica*, 1).
- SLUSSER, “To Philagrius” = M. SLUSSER, “The *To Philagrius on Consubstantiality* of Gregory Thaumaturgus”, in E. A. LIVINGSTONE (ed.), *Studia Patristica*, 19, Leuven, 1989, pp. 230-235.
- SOMERS, “Collections de XVI” = V. SOMERS, “Les collections byzantines de XVI *Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze*”, *BZ*, 95 (2002), pp. 102-135.
- SOMERS, “Compléments” = V. SOMERS, “Description des collections complètes des *Orationes de Grégoire de Nazianze*: quelques compléments”, *Byz*, 71 (2001), pp. 462-504.
- SOMERS, “Cyprien” = V. SOMERS, “La tradition du *Discours sur Cyprien* de Grégoire de Nazianze : quelques observations”, *Babelao*, 10-II (2022), pp. 443-480.
- SOMERS, *Histoire* = V. SOMERS, *Histoire des collections complètes des Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze*, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1997 (*PIOL*, 48).
- SOMERS, “Palimpsestes viennois” = V. SOMERS, “Les palimpsestes viennois de Grégoire de Nazianze à la lumière des nouvelles technologies”, *Graeco-latina et Orientalia*, 39-40 (2018), pp. 95-136.
- SOMERS, “Poèmes” = V. SOMERS, “Quelques poèmes en l’honneur de S. Grégoire de Nazianze : édition critique, traduction et commentaire”, *Byz*, 69 (1999), pp. 528-564.
- SOMERS, “Scholies”, I = V. SOMERS, “Les scholies marginales à l’*Or. 16* dans quelques manuscrits de Grégoire de Nazianze (première partie)”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 16 (2019), pp. 251-331.

- SOMERS, “Scholies”, II = V. SOMERS, “Les scholies marginales à l’*Or. 16* dans quelques manuscrits de Grégoire de Nazianze (deuxième partie)”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 18 (2021), pp. 101-205.
- SOMERS, “Scholies”, III = V. SOMERS, “Les scholies marginales à l’*Or. 16* dans quelques manuscrits de Grégoire de Nazianze (troisième partie)”, *BBGG*, 3rd s., 19 (2022), pp. 303-424.
- SOMERS, “Stichométrie” = V. SOMERS, “La stichométrie des collections complètes des *Discours de Grégoire de Nazianze*”, in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 15-50.
- SOMERS – MACÉ, “Adscripta métriques” = V. SOMERS, C. MACÉ, “Sur la beauté du livre et la contemplation du divin. Édition et traduction de quelques adscripta métriques des manuscrits de Grégoire de Nazianze”, in COULIE (ed.), *Studia Nazianzenica*, I, pp. 51-68.
- SOMERS – YANNOPOULOS (eds.), *Philokappadox* = V. SOMERS, P. YANNOPOULOS (eds.), *Philokappadox. In memoriam Justin Mossay*, Leuven, 2016 (*OLA*, 251; *Bibliothèque de Byzantion*, 14).
- Storia ed esegesi* = *Storia ed esegesi in Rufino di Concordia. Secondo Convegno internazionale di studi su “Esegesi e storia in Rufino di Concordia”, Concordia Sagittaria, Portogruaro, Sesto al Reghena, 18-20 maggio 1990*, Udine, 1992 (*Antichità Altoadriatiche*, 39).
- STORIN, “Autohagiobiography” = B. K. STORIN, “Autohagiobiography: Gregory of Nazianzus among His Biographers”, *Studies in Late Antiquity*, 1/3 (2017), pp. 254-281.
- STORIN, “Letter Collection” = B. K. STORIN, “The Letter Collection of Gregory of Nazianzus”, in C. SOGNO, B. K. STORIN, E. J. WATTS (eds.), *Late Antique Letter Collections. A Critical Introduction and Reference Guide*, Oakland, CA, 2017, pp. 81-101.
- STORIN, *Letter Collection Translation* = B. K. STORIN, *Gregory of Nazianzus’s Letter Collection. The Complete Translation*, Oakland, CA, 2019.
- STORIN, *Self-portrait* = B. K. STORIN, *Self-portrait in Three Colors: Gregory of Nazianzus’s Epistolary Autobiography*, Oakland, CA, 2019.
- STROTHMANN, *Sakrament der Myron-Weihe* = W. STROTHMANN, *Das Sakrament der Myron-Weihe in der Schrift de Ecclesiastica Hierarchia des Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita in syrischen Übersetzungen und Kommentaren*, 2 vols., Wiesbaden, 1977-1978 (*Göttinger Orientforschungen*, 1st s.: *Syriaca*, 15).

- SUCHLA, “Dionysian Corpus” = B. R. SUCHLA, “The Dionysian Corpus”, in *OHDA*, pp. 13-32.
- SUCHLA, *Dionysius Areopagita* = B. R. SUCHLA, *Dionysius Areopagita. Leben – Werk – Wirkung*, Freiburg im Breisgau, 2008.
- SUCHLA, “John of Scytopolis” = B. R. SUCHLA, “John of Scytopolis and the Dionysian Corpus”, in *OHDA*, pp. 205-221.
- SUCHLA, *Maximus-Scholien* = B. R. SUCHLA, *Die sogenannten Maximus-Scholien des Corpus Dionysiacum Areopagiticum*, Göttingen, 1980 (*NAWG*, 1980/3).
- SUCHLA, *Redaktion* = B. R. SUCHLA, *Eine Redaktion des griechischen Corpus Dionysiacum Areopagiticum im Umkreis des Johannes von Skythopolis, des Verfassers von Prolog und Scholien. Ein dritter Beitrag zur Überlieferungsgeschichte des CD*, Göttingen, 1985 (*NAWG*, 1985/4).
- SUCHLA, *Überlieferung* = B. R. SUCHLA, *Die Überlieferung des Prologs des Johannes von Skythopolis zum griechischen Corpus Dionysiacum Areopagiticum. Ein weiterer Beitrag zu Überlieferungsgeschichte des CD*, Göttingen, 1984 (*NAWG*, 1984/4).
- SUCHLA, *Verteidigung* = B. R. SUCHLA, *Verteidigung eines platonischen Denkmodells einer christlichen Welt*, Göttingen, 1995 (*NAWG*, 1995/1).
- TANNOUS, *Making* = J. TANNOUS, *The Making of the Medieval Middle East. Religion, Society, and Simple Believers*, Princeton, NJ, 2018.
- TANNOUS, “Qenneshre” = J. TANNOUS, “You Are What You Read: Qenneshre and the Miaphysite Church in the Seventh Century”, in P. WOOD (ed.), *History and Identity in the Late Antique Near East*, Oxford, 2013 (*Oxford Studies in Late Antiquity*), pp. 83-102.
- TER HAAR ROMENY (ed.), *Jacob of Edessa* = B. TER HAAR ROMENY (ed.), *Jacob of Edessa and the Syriac Culture of his Day*, Leiden, 2008 (*Monographs of the Peshitta Institute Leiden*, 18).
- TEULE, “Athanasius of Balad” = H. G. B. TEULE, “Athanasius of Balad”, in D. THOMAS, B. ROGGEMA (eds.), *Christian-Muslim Relations: A Bibliographical History*, I: 600-900, Leiden, 2009, (*History of Christian-Muslim Relations*, II), pp. 157-159.
- TEULE, “Dionysius bar Ҫalibi” = H. TEULE, “Dionysius bar Ҫalibi”, in D. R. THOMAS, A. MALLETTE (eds.), *Christian-Muslim Relations: A Bibliographical History*, III: 1050-1200), Leiden, 2011 (*History of Christian-Muslim Relations*, 15), pp. 665-670

- THÉRY, *Études Dionysiennes*, I = G. THÉRY, *Études Dionysiennes*, I: *Hilduin traducteur de Denys*, Paris, 1932 (*Études de philosophie médiévale*, 16).
- THOMSON, “Armenian Version” = R. THOMSON, “The Armenian Version of Ps.-Dionysius Areopagita”, in H. LEHMANN (ed.), *Aarhus armeniaca*, Aarhus, 1982 (*Acta Jutlandica*, 57), pp. 115-123.
- TREIGER, “Arabic Version” = A. TREIGER, “The Arabic Version of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite’s *Mystical Theology*, Chapter 1: Introduction, critical Edition, and Translation”, *Mus*, 120 (2007), pp. 365-393.
- TREIGER, “New Evidence” = A. TREIGER, “New Evidence on the Arabic Versions of the *Corpus Dionysiacum*”, *Mus*, 118 (2005), pp. 219-240.
- TRISOGLIO, “Interpolazioni” = F. TRISOGLIO, “Sulle interpolazioni nella XLV orazione di S. Gregorio Nazianzeno”, *Aevum*, 39 (1965), pp. 25-44.
- TRISOGLIO, “Quarantennio di studi” = F. TRISOGLIO, “San Gregorio Nazianzeno in un quarantennio di studi (1925-1965)”, *Rivista Lasalliana*, 40 (1973) pp. 1-462.
- TRIZIO, “Collezione filosofica” = M. TRIZIO, “Un’eredità difficile. La ‘Collezione filosofica’ alla prova dei secoli XI e XII: il caso dei commentator”, in D. BIANCONI, F. RONCONI (eds.), *La “collection philosophique” face à l’histoire. Péripéties et tradition*, Spoleto, 2020, pp. 119-167.
- TROYANOS, *Fonti* = S. TROYANOS, *Le fonti del diritto bizantino*, tr. P. BUONGIORNO, Torino, 2015.
- TSERADZE, “აგიოგრაფიულ-ჰომილეტიკური” = T. TSERADZE, “აგიოგრაფიულ-ჰომილეტიკური კრებულების უძველესი ქართული ხელნაწერი ტრადიცია” [The Ancient Georgian Manuscript Tradition of Hagiographical and Homiletical Collections], მრავალთავი, ფილოლოგიურ-ისტორიული ძიებანი, 21 (2005), pp. 27-45.
- TURTURRO, “Trattato” = G. TURTURRO, “Il trattato περὶ θείων ὀνομάτων dello ps.-Areopagita nei mss. Laurenziani. Contributo a una futura edizione critica”, *Bessarione*, 12 (1907-1908), pp. 93-138.
- TVALTVADZE, ეფრემ მცირის კოლოფონები = D. TVALTVADZE, ეფრემ მცირის კოლოფონები [Ephrem Mtsire’s Colophons], Tbilisi, 2009.
- TZAMALIKOS, *Cassian the Sabaite* = P. TZAMALIKOS, *A Newly Discovered Greek Father: Cassian the Sabaite Eclipsed by John Cassian of Marseille*, Leiden, 2012 (*Supplements to Vigiliae Christianae*, III).

- VAN ESBROECK, “Euthyme” = M. VAN ESBROECK, “Euthyme l’Hagiorite : Le traducteur et ses traductions”, *Revue des études géorgiennes et caucasiennes*, 4 (1988), pp. 73-107.
- VAN ESBROECK, *Homéliaires géorgiens* = M. VAN ESBROECK, *Les plus anciens homéliaires géorgiens. Étude descriptive et historique*, Louvain-la-Neuve, 1975 (PIOL, 10).
- VAN ESBROECK, “Quatre traités” = M. VAN ESBROECK, “Sur quatre traités attribués à Grégoire et leur contexte marcellien (CPG 3222, 1781 et 1787)”, in H. R. DROBNER, C. KLOCK (eds.), *Studien zu Gregor von Nyssa und der christlichen Spätantike*, Leiden, 1990 (*Supplements to Vigiliae Christianae*, 12), pp. 4-15.
- VAN ESBROECK, “Triple préface” = M. VAN ESBROECK, “La triple préface syriaque de Phocas”, in DE ANDIA (ed.), *Postérité*, pp. 167-186.
- VANNESTE, *Mystère* = J. VANNESTE, *Le mystère de Dieu, essai sur la structure rationnelle de la doctrine mystique du Pseudo-Denys L’Aréopagite*, Paris, 1959.
- VAN ROEY – MOORS, “Version ancienne” = A. VAN ROEY, H. MOORS, “Les discours de saint Grégoire de Nazianze dans la littérature syriaque, I. Les manuscrits de la version ‘ancienne’”, *OLP*, 4 (1973), pp. 122-133.
- VAN ROEY – MOORS, “Version récente” = A. VAN ROEY, H. MOORS, “Les discours de saint Grégoire de Nazianze dans la littérature syriaque, II. Les manuscrits de la version ‘récente’”, *OLP*, 5 (1974), pp. 79-125.
- VAN ROMPAY, “Benjamin of Edessa” = L. VAN ROMPAY, “Benjamin of Edessa (first half of the ninth century)”, in *e-GEDSH*, <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/entry/Benjamin-of-Edessa>.
- VAN ROMPAY, “Lazarus of Beth Qandasa” = L. VAN ROMPAY, “Lazarus of Beth Qandasa, Hārith bar Sīsīn and the Ninth-Century *Commentary on the Gospel of John*”, *POr*, 45 (2019), pp. 363-392.
- VAN ROMPAY, “Lo’ozar bar Sobtho” = L. VAN ROMPAY, “Lo’ozar bar Sobtho, Lazarus (early ninth century)”, in *e-GEDSH*, <https://gedsh.bethmardutho.org/Loozar-bar-Sobtho>.
- VAN ROMPAY, “Théodore de Mopsueste” = L. VAN ROMPAY, “Quelques remarques sur la tradition syriaque de l’œuvre exégétique de Théodore de Mopsueste”, in DRIJVERS et al. (eds.), *IV Symposium Syriacum*, pp. 33-43.
- VARGHESE, *Commentary of John of Dara* = B. VARGHESE, *The Commentary of John of Dara on the Eucharist*, Piscataway, NJ, 2011 (*Mōrān ’Eth’ō*, 12).
- VASSIS, *Initia* = I. VASSIS, *Initia Carminum Byzantinorum*, Berlin, 2005.

- VIANÈS, “Aspects de la mise en page” = L. VIANÈS, “Aspects de la mise en page dans les manuscrits de chaînes sur Ezéchiel”, in GOULET-CAZÉ (ed.), *Commentaire*, pp. 79-88.
- VILLEY, “Qennešre” = E.VILLEY, “Qennešre et l’astronomie aux VI<sup>e</sup> et VII<sup>e</sup> siècles”, in E.VILLEY (ed.), *Les sciences en syriaque*, Paris, 2014 (*Études syriaques*, II), pp. 149-190.
- VЛАДИМИР, *Систематическое описание рукописей Московской Синодальной (Патриаршей) библиотеки*, Moskva, 1894.
- VOICU, “Earliest Greek Homiliaries” = S. J. VOICU, “The Earliest Greek Homilies”, GIPPERT – MACÉ (eds.), *Homiletic Collections*, pp. 6-14.
- VON BALTHASAR, *Kosmische Liturgie* = H. U. VON BALTHASAR, *Kosmische Liturgie. Das Weltbild Maximus’ des Bekenners*, 2nd edn, Einsiedeln, 1961.
- VON BALTHASAR, “Scholienwerk” = H. U. VON BALTHASAR, “Das Scholienwerk des Johannes von Skythopolis”, *Scholastik*, 15 (1940), pp. 16-38.
- VÖÖBUS, “Discoveries” = A. VÖÖBUS, “Important Discoveries for the Early Stage of the West Syrian Liturgico-Historical Genre. La‘zar bar Sabeta”, *SE*, 22 (1974-1975), pp. 289-293.
- WAGNER, *Rufinus* = M. WAGNER, *Rufinus, the Translator: A Study of his Theory and his Practice as Illustrated in his Version of the Apologetica of St Gregory Nazianzen*, Washington, DC, 1945 (*Patristic Studies*, 73).
- WAY, “Gregorius Nazianzenus” = A. C. WAY, “Gregorius Nazianzenus”, in P. O. KRISTELLER, F. E. CRANZ (eds.), *Catalogus translationum et commentariorum: Medieval and Renaissance Latin Translations and Commentaries. Annotated Lists and Guides*, II, Washington, DC, 1971, pp. 43-192.
- WAY, “Addenda et Corrigenda” = A. C. WAY, “Addenda et Corrigenda”, in F. E. CRANZ, P. O. KRISTELLER (eds.), *Catalogus translationum et commentariorum: Medieval and Renaissance Latin Translations and Commentaries. Annotated Lists and Guides*, III, Washington, DC, 1976, pp. 413-425.
- WEIDMANN, “Pseudepigraphie” = C. WEIDMANN, “Zum Problem der Pseudepigraphie in patristischen lateinischen Predigten”, in U. HEIL (ed.), *Das Christentum im frühen Europa. Diskurse – Tendenzen – Entscheidungen*, Berlin, 2019 (*Millennium-Studien*, 75), pp. 93-116.

- WEITZMANN, *Buchmalerei* = K. WEITZMANN, *Die byzantinische Buchmalerei des 9. und 10. Jahrhunderts*, Berlin, 1935 [repr.: Wien, 1996]; *Addenda und Appendix*, Wien, 1996.
- WENSKUS, *Emblematischer Codewechsel* = O. WENSKUS, *Emblematischer Codewechsel und Verwandtes in der lateinischen Prosa. Zwischen Nähesprache und Distanzsprache*, Innsbruck, 1998 (*Innsbrucker Beiträge zur Sprachwissenschaft. Vorträge und Kleinere Schriften*, 70).
- WERHAHN, “Dubia und Spuria” = H. M. WERHAHN, “Dubia und Spuria unter den Gedichten Gregors von Nazianz”, in F. L. CROSS (ed.), *Studia Patristica*, 7/1, Berlin, 1966, pp. 337–347.
- WERHAHN, “Übersichtstabellen” = H. M. WERHAHN, “Übersichtstabellen zur handschriftlichen Überlieferung der Gedichte Gregors von Nazianz”, in HÖLLGER (ed.), *Handschriftliche Überlieferung*, pp. 15–35.
- WIESSNER, “Beobachtungen” = G. WIESSNER, “Beobachtungen an zwei syrischen Handschriften mit Kommentaren zum syrischen *Corpus Dionysiacum*”, in R. H. FISCHER (ed.), *A Tribute to Arthur Vööbus: Studies in Early Christian Literature and Its Environment, Primarily in the Syrian East*, Chicago, IL, 1977, pp. 73–82.
- WIESSNER, *Handschriftenüberlieferung* = G. WIESSNER, *Zur Handschriftenüberlieferung der syrischen Fassung des Corpus Dionysiacum*, Göttingen, 1972 (NAWG, 1972/3).
- WIESSNER, “Miszelle” = G. WIESSNER, “Eine Miszelle zur syrischen Kommentierung des Pseudo-Dionysius Areopagita”, in W. STROTHMANN (ed.), *Erkenntnisse und Meinungen*, II, Wiesbaden, 1978 (*Göttinger Orientforschungen*, ist s.: *Syriaca*, 17), pp. 273–285.
- WILSON, “Relation” = N. G. WILSON, “The Relation of Text and Commentary in Greek Books”, in C. QUESTA, R. RAFFAELLI (eds.), *Il libro e il testo. Atti del Convegno internazionale (Urbino, 20–23 settembre 1982)*, Urbino, 1984, pp. 103–110.
- WILSON, “Scholarly Hands” = N. WILSON, “Scholarly Hands of the Middle Byzantine Period”, in GLÉNISSON – BOMPAIRE – IRIGOIN (eds.), *Paléographie grecque*, pp. 222–239.
- WOOD, *Imam* = P. WOOD, *The Imam of the Christians. The World of Dionysius of Tel-Mahre, c. 750–850*, Princeton, NJ, 2021.
- WRIGHT, *Catalogue British Museum* = W. WRIGHT, *Catalogue of Syriac Manuscripts in the British Museum Acquired since the Year 1838*, 3 vols., London, 1870–1872 [repr.: Piscataway, NJ, 2004].

WRIGHT, *Catalogue Cambridge* = W. WRIGHT, *Catalogue of the Syriac Manuscripts in the Library of the University of Cambridge*, 2 vols., Cambridge, 1901 [repr.: Piscataway, NJ, 2002].

WRIGHT, *Short History* = W. WRIGHT, *A Short History of Syriac Literature*, London, 1894.

ZARBHANALIAN, Մատենադարան = G. ZARBHANALIAN, Մատենադարան հայկական թարգմանութեանց նախնեաց (Դար IV-XIII) [Catalogue of ancient Armenian translations], Venezia, 1889.

ZUNTZ, “Aristophanes-Scholien” = G. ZUNTZ, “Die Aristophanes-Scholien der Papyri”, *Byz*, 13 (1938), pp. 631-690; 14 (1939), 545-614 [repr.: Berlin, 1975]

### 5. Abbreviations of library names (manuscript collections)

BAV = Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana

BL = British Library

BML = Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana

BnF = Bibliothèque nationale de France

BNM = Biblioteca Nazionale Marciana

BSB = Bayerische Staatsbibliothek

EBE = Εθνική Βιβλιοθήκη της Ελλάδος

GIM = Gosudarstvennyj Istoricheskij Muzej

KHM – Niko Berdzenishvili Kutaisi State Historical Museum

NCM – Korneli Kekelidze Georgian National Centre of Manuscripts (Tbilisi)

ÖNB = Österreichische Nationalbibliothek

SMMJ = St Mark Monastery in Jerusalem