COMMUNICATION

Water Splitting



High-Yield Production of Monolayer FePS₃ Quantum Sheets via Chemical Exfoliation for Efficient Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution

Zhongzhou Cheng, Tofik Ahmed Shifa, Fengmei Wang, Yi Gao, Peng He, Kai Zhang,* Chao Jiang, Quanlin Liu, and Jun He*

2D layered transition metal phosphorus trichalcogenides (MPX₃) possess higher in-plane stiffness and lower cleavage energies than graphite. This allows them to be exfoliated down to the atomic thickness. However, a rational exfoliation route has to be sought to achieve surface-active and uniform individual layers. Herein, monolayered FePS₃ quantum sheets (QSs) are systematically obtained, whose diameters range from 4–8 nm, through exfoliation of the bulk in hydrazine solution. These QSs exhibit a widened bandgap of 2.18 eV as compared to the bulk (1.60 eV) FePS₃. Benefitting from the monolayer feature, FePS₃ QSs demonstrate a substantially accelerated photocatalytic H₂ generation rate, which is up to three times higher than the bulk counterpart. This study presents a facile way, for the first time, of producing uniform monolayer FePS₃ QSs and opens up new avenues for designing other low-dimensional materials based on MPX₃.

Owing to their interesting and useful properties, 2D materials, such as graphene,^[1] MoS_2 ,^[2] and g-C₃N₄,^[3] have led to the increasing attention of scientific communities during the last decades. The size effects exhibited by few-layered nanosheets of these materials result in fundamentally unique properties that substantially differ from their bulk counterparts.^[4] Nowadays, a new class of 2D layered metal phosphorus trichalcogenides (MPX₃, where M = Fe, Mn, Ni, etc. and X = S or Se) have received tremendous attentions.^[5–7] It is gratifying that various important findings have been reported regarding the MPX₃ materials in different applications.^[8] For instance, Zhang et el., have recently calculated the carrier mobility of 2D MnPSe₃ monolayer and found a remarkably high value of 625.9 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at room temperature,^[9] which is even higher than other typical 2D materials such as monolayer MoS₂ (200 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹)^[10] and WS₂ (214 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹). Although it is still under development, catalytic applications of nanostructured materials in this family have notable promising features. Theoretical speculations^[9] reveal that the positions of their conduction and valance bands energy levels straddle the water redox potentials, making them appealing for photocatalyzing water splitting reactions. Moreover, the calculated high carrier mobilities of the 2D MPX₃ materials such as MnPSe₃ indicate that the transfer of carriers to reactive sites would be easier

in the photocatalytic process, reducing the possibility of electron–hole recombination. It is also important to note that the different kinds of elements in MPX₃ (particularly the calchogen and the metal atom) give rise to the variation of the bandgaps from 1.3 to 3.5 eV.^[5] This rich and appropriate bandgaps bring the possibility to efficiently use visible light and design best performing photocatalysts. Our group has recently reported a systematic way of synthesizing ultrathin 2D NiPS₃^[11] and MnPX₃ (X = S and Se)^[12] nanosheets. These experimental realizations communicated the promising hydrogen evolving activities of MPX₃ under illumination of simulated solar light without cocatalyst or sacrificial agents. In line with this, the

Z. Z. Cheng, T. A. Shifa, Dr. F. M. Wang, P. He, Prof. C. Jiang, Prof. J. He	Y. Gao, Prof. K. Zhang
CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience	i-Lab
CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication	Suzhou Institute of Nano-Tech and Nano-Bionics
National Center for Nanoscience and Technology	Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100190, China	Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, P. R. China
E-mail: hej@nanoctr.cn	E-mail: kzhang2015@sinano.ac.cn
Z. Z. Cheng, Prof. Q. L. Liu	Y. Gao
School of Materials Science and Engineering	Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Advanced Organic Chemical
University of Science and Technology	Materials–Hubei Key Laboratory of Ferro and Piezoelectric Materials
Beijing 100083, China	and Devices
T. A. Shifa, Prof. J. He	Faculty of Physics and Electronic Sciences
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences	Hubei University
Beijing 100049, China	Wuhan 430062, Hubei, P. R. China

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201707433.





issue of performance enhancement in photocatalysis is associated with strong light harvesting capability in the visible and near-infrared region, multiple charge carrier generation, and large surface area to volume ratio. Quantum sheets (QSs) are the best candidates to virtually meet these requirements.^[13,14] Recent studies show that the QSs demonstrate a particularly prominent multiple-exciton generation effect, which is considered as a promising way to reduce heat-related energy losses in solar system by splitting one high-energy photon into multiple low-energy excitons thereby increasing energy conversion efficiency.^[15,16] Given the very promising features of MPX₃ in catalysis and the attributes of QSs in photochemistry, the design of quantum confined materials in this family is of a great benefit to realize multiply advantageous photocatalyst.

Here, we made use of an important concept to enable us design QSs. That is, most of the MPX₃ compounds have higher in-plane stiffness (60–120 N m⁻¹) and lower cleavage energies (0.29–0.54 J m⁻²)^[9] as compared to graphite(0.37 J m⁻²).^[17] This suggests that the surface-active MPX₃ nanosheet can be practically exfoliated from the bulk.^[18,19] Following this, we employ a facile way to exfoliate layered FePS₃ into monolayer QSs with a uniform lateral size of 4–8 nm. Benefitting from our facile exfoliation, the in-plane surface is greatly altered toward exposing more active sites, which is prominent feature of efficient catalysis. Accordingly, photocatalytic test shows that the hydrogen generation rate of the FePS₃ QSs is up to three times (290 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) higher than that of bulk FePS₃ (94 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) under the same illumination conditions.

We believe that, this work opens up a clear avenue for investigation of other members in this family at the level of QSs.

The bulk FePS₃ crystal was synthesized by chemical vapor transport (CVT) method in a sealed quartz tube as can be seen from the schematic diagram in Figure 1a. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the as prepared hexagonal FePS₃ sheet, with a size about 200 µm, and the corresponding energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping images are clearly shown in Figure 1b. More crystal structures of the bulk FePS₃ are displayed in Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information. To obtain the desired FePS₃ QSs, a two-step exfoliation method was implemented. First, the prepared bulk FePS3 was intercalated by reacting with hydrazine (N₂H₄) in hydrothermal condition (Figure 1c). Second, the intercalated FePS3 crystals were exfoliated by sonication at a high power of 100 W for 4 h to form the QSs, as shown in Figure 1d. It can be seen that the QSs are evenly distributed on the substrate. The statistical distribution of the diameter (inset) illustrates that the isolated QSs tend to be 4-8 nm in size. This may provide better homogeneity for further characterization and testing. More transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the QSs are depicted in Figure S3 of the Supporting Information. It is obvious that the FePS₃ QSs, with the size below 10 nm, are well dispersed on the ultrathin carbon film with a homogeneous thickness (Figure S3b,c, Supporting Information). The mechanism of the exfoliation processes can reasonably be explained by a redox rearrangement model.^[20] At the first glance, part of the N₂H₄ were oxidized to N₂H₅⁺



Figure 1. Preparation of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs. a) The schematic of chemical vapor transport (CVT) method. b) SEM and the corresponding EDS elemental mapping images of a bulk FePS₃ as prepared. c) The decomposition product of pre-exfoliated bulk FePS₃ (Fe: green, P: red, S: yellow). d) The TEM image of the FePS₃ QSs. A diameter statistic of the size distribution of FePS₃ QSs is given in the inset.



ADVANCED MATERIALS

during intercalation. Up on heating, the intercalated N₂H₅⁺ was decomposed to different gaseous species such as N₂, NH₃, and H₂ owing to its poor thermal stability. This brought the formation of expanded crystals in FePS₃, which after were treated at high power sonication. The ultrasonic waves in solvent would generate cavitation bubbles and then collapse into high-energy jets, breaking up the expanded crystals and producing QSs. In addition, modeling has shown that if the surface energy of the solvent is similar to that of the layered material, the energy difference between the exfoliated and reaggregated states will be very small, removing the driving force for reaggregation.^[21-23] For example, graphene, h-BN, transition metal dichalcogenides materials, and some transition metal oxide materials have been exfoliated by using suitable solvents such as N-methyl-pyrrolidone.^[24] In our system, FePS₃ material was estimated to have a surface energy of $\approx 100 \text{ mJ} \text{ m}^{-2}$.^[9,25] On the other hand, the solvent surface energy is related to the surface tension by^[23]

$$E_{\rm sur}^{\rm sol} = \gamma + TS_{\rm sur}^{\rm sol} \tag{1}$$

where γ is the solvent surface tension (mN m⁻¹), E_{sur}^{sol} is the solvent surface energy (mJ m⁻²), *T* is the temperature (\approx 300 K), and S_{sur}^{sol} is the solvent surface entropy (\approx 0.1 mJ m⁻² K⁻¹). Given the surface tension of water ($\gamma = 72$ mN m⁻¹ at 300 K) and hydrazine hydrate ($\gamma = 74$ mN m⁻¹ at 300 K), their surface energy can be calculated as 102 and 104 mJ m⁻², respectively, which are very close to that of FePS₃. This result means that

water and hyadrazine hydrate are suitable solvents to realize the successful exfoliation into monolayer FePS₃ QSs.

In order to have a detailed examination of the samples, we characterized the obtained materials by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The HRTEM image of the bulk FePS₃ was shown **Figure 2**a. The space of 0.321 nm can be indexed to the (002) plane of FePS₃. A clear observation is apparent from Figure 2b from which a \approx 7 nm diameter of quantum sheet (QS) can be seen. Furthermore, the lattice stripes with space of 0.186 nm belonging to the (310) plane of FePS₃ are observed, solidly corroborating the formation of the desired material. More details about the crystal structure of the bulk and QSs are displayed in Figures S3–S5 in the Supporting Information. For visualization through atomic force microscopy (AFM), the FePS₃ QSs were drop-casted onto sapphire, by a 20 μ L pipette. The thickness profile of the QSs reveals that most of the QSs are monolayers (Figure S6, Supporting Information).

To further confirm the crystallographic assertion, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs were obtained and displayed in Figure 2c. Besides the peaks belonging to fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate (The International Centre for Diffraction Data $\langle ICDD \rangle$, PDF#46-1088), a perfect alignment with the standard card (ICDD, PDF#30-0663) for all the peaks of bulk FePS₃ suggests the high phase purity of our synthesized material. The sharp peaks at 13.8° and 27.8° can be indexed to (001) and (002) planes of the FePS₃ crystals, respectively. Comparing the QSs with the bulk, it is obvious that the peak at 13.8° is disappeared



Figure 2. Characterization of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs. HRTEM of: a) the FePS₃ bulk and b) QSs. c) XRD and d) Raman spectra of the bulk and QSs samples.

for the case of QSs. This can be attributed to the diminishing of (001) plane as the bulk FePS₃ crystals is transformed into monolayer. We also characterized the surface chemical states by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), shown in Figure S7 in the Supporting Information. Accordingly, the high-resolution XPS spectra of Fe 2p region indicate that the oxidation state of Fe in FePS₃ is +2. A critical comparison of XPS spectra (bulk vs QSs) reveals that there is no obvious change on the surface chemical states, further corroborating the safety and stability of our exfoliation method.

www.advancedsciencenews.com

The analysis of Raman spectra gives more insight understanding of the distinction between the bulk and monolayer QSs, displayed in Figure 2d. According to the report by Scagliotti et al.,^[26] the Raman modes of FePS3 originate from two parts of the crystal structure (the vibrations of metal atom and the P_2S_6 unit, which belongs to the D_{3d} symmetry group). Three A_{1g} -type modes (polarized, $A_{1g}^{(1-3)}$) and three E_{g} -type modes (depolarized, $E_g^{(1-3)}$) from the vibrations of the P_2S_6 unit could be resolved in the spectra (Figure S8, Supporting Information). As shown in Figure 2d, the peaks at 214 cm^{-1} (E $_{\rm g}{}^{(1)}),$ 240 cm^{-1} $(A_{1g}^{(1)})$, 274 cm⁻¹ $(E_g^{(2)})$, 372 cm⁻¹ $(A_{1g}^{(2)})$, and 586 cm⁻¹ $(E_g^{(3)})$ can be assigned to the FePS3 QSs, and those at 153 cm^{-1} ($\breve{E}_{u}),$ 223 cm⁻¹ ($E_g^{(1)}$), 245 cm⁻¹ ($A_{1g}^{(1)}$), 272 cm⁻¹ ($E_g^{(2)}$), and 382 cm⁻¹ ($A_{1g}^{(2)}$), 486 cm⁻¹ ($A_{1g}^{(3)}$), and ≈580 cm⁻¹ ($E_g^{(3)}$) can be associated to the bulk FePS₃.^[26–28] The A_{1g} modes represent the stretching vibration of the P-P band, which indicate the out-of plane vibrations of the P_2S_6 unit (Figure S8, Supporting Information). The $A_{1g}^{(1)}$ is due to the opposite movement of the S_3P-PS_3 unit, which has been found very sensitive to alkali-ion intercalation and to the *c*-axis expansion. Moreover, the $A_{1g}^{(2)}$ and $A_{1g}^{(3)}$ modes represent the symmetric stretching vibration of the *P*–*S* bonds and the relative movement of the S_3P – PS_3 unit, respectively. Moreover, the E_g modes are meant for the tangential vibration of the P-P bond, which indicate the in-plane vibrations of the P_2S_6 unit (Figure S8, Supporting Information). The $E_g^{(1)}$ and $E_g^{(2)}$ are active in two orthogonal scattering geometries where as the $E_g^{(3)}$ is sensitive to the lattice distortions.^[26] The peak at about 153 cm⁻¹ is the Raman counterpart of the strongly infrared active E_{u} -type mode of the P_2S_6 unit observed in all members of the MPS₃ compound absorption spectra.

When the unit cell is doubled along the c axis, the out-ofplane vibration of two P_2X_6 units in adjacent layers becomes Raman active.^[26,29] From the inset of Figure 2d, the absence of E_u peak in QSs sample suggests the achievement of monolayer FePS₃ QSs.

To explore the optical properties of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs, UV/vis/NIR diffuse-reflection spectra (DRS) and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) were used and the results are shown in Figure 3. According to the Kubelka-Munk theory, the absorption coefficient (α) could be obtained by the Kubelka-Munk function (F(R)) from the diffuse reflectance measurement.^[30] Also, the bandgap energy of the material is estimated by the intercept of the tangent to the X-axis (hv) in the Tauc plot^[31] (inset of Figure 3a). The FePS₃ is known to be an indirect bandgap semiconductor so that the $(\alpha h v)^{1/2}$ instead of $(\alpha h v)^2$ is set as the Y-axis fro contracting the Tauc plot.^[32] Accordingly, the bandgap values of FePS3 bulk and QSs are found to be 1.60 and 2.18 eV, respectively (Figure 3a, inset). The UPS was used to determine the valence band energy level (E_v) of the bulk and QSs, as shown in Figure 3b. Through subtracting the width of He I UPS spectra from the excitation energy (21.22 eV), the E_v values were calculated to be -5.40 eV for the bulk and -5.57 eV for the QSs (vs E_{Vacuum}), respectively. Then the conduction band energy (E_c) of the material can be calculated by $E_v - E_g$ (-3.80 eV for bulk and -3.39 eV for QSs). As a matter of fact, QSs exhibit a wider bandgap (2.18 eV), compared to the bulk (1.60 eV). No matter how the FePS₃ QSs display a more negative overpotential than H⁺/H₂ (-0.20 V for bulk and -0.61 V for QSs, vs NHE, PH = 7), the feature of QSs enables the accumulation of abundant hot electrons at E_c for catalyzing the hydrogen evolution reaction (Figure S9, Supporting Information).

Having seen the well-exfoliated QSs of FePS₃ and the appropriately positioned E_c level for sunlight driven catalysis of H_2 gas production, we conducted photocatalytic hydrogen evolution experiments in aqueous solution containing 10 vol% triethanolamine (TEOA) as the hole scavenger. The performance of bulk FePS₃ was also measured under exactly the same condition for the sake of comparison. As can be seen in **Figure 4**a, FePS₃ QSs show a high efficiency of 290 µmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ compared with the significantly lower value of 94 µmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ for



Figure 3. Optical properties of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs. a) UV/vis/NIR diffusive reflectance absorption spectra and estimated bandgap potential (inset) of synthesized FePS₃ bulk (1.60 eV) and QSs (2.18 eV). b) UPS spectra of the bulk and QSs, in which the dashed red lines mark the baseline and the tangents of the curve.







Figure 4. photocatalytic performance of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs. a) Plots of hydrogen production through direct photocatalytic water splitting of the FePS₃ bulk and QSs, in 100 mL deionized water with 10% TEOA as sacrificial agent. b) Cycling measurements of hydrogen gas generation of the FePS₃ QSs. c) Schematics of photocatalytic reaction processes for the FePS₃ bulk and QSs.

bulk FePS₃. Furthermore, the stability of QSs for hydrogen production was evaluated and the result is depicted in Figure 4b. The sample exhibits a feasible ability in the cycling measurements of H₂ generation under light illumination for a continuous 40 h test. This enhancement can be attributed to the great amount of exposed boundaries providing more active sites and efficient separation of photogenerated electrons in QSs. From such all dimensional quantum confinement, it can be inferred that there are significant differences from the bulk in photocatalytic reaction, as shown in Figure 4c. Under the light illumination, the photogenerated electron and hole are located in the conduction band and valence band separately. The photogenerated electrons are consumed to produce H₂ molecules while the photogenerated holes are used to oxide the sacrificial agent (TEOA). Exfoliating the bulk into monolayered FePS₃ QSs highly contributes to the increase in surface area/active sites, which is beneficial for the enhancement of photocatalytic activity.

In summary, we have developed a facile way to obtain monolayer FePS₃ QSs from the bulk FePS₃, which was first prepared by CVT method. The QSs demonstrate a lateral size below 10 nm. The exfoliation method brought no change on the chemical composition and state of the elements in FePS₃. Given the 3D confinement, there resulted an associated change in the electronic band structure of the obtained QSs as compared to the bulk. A three times performance enhancement (290 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ for the QSs and 94 μ mol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ for bulk) is observed for QSs in photocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction that can be attributed to the monolayer effects. We believe that these facilely synthesized monolayer QSs can also find a very promising performance in other applications as well.

Experimental Section

Preparation of FePS₃ Powders: A stoichiometric amount of high-purity elements (Fe: 99.99%, P: 99.99%, S: 99.99%,) and iodine (30 mg) as a transport agent were sealed into a quartz tube under vacuum and heated at 700 °C for two weeks. After cooled down to room temperature, a product of black powder with metallic luster was obtained.

Preparation of FePS₃ QSs: The as-synthesized bulk FePS3 (30 mg) with 20 mL of hydrazine hydrate (80%) was sealed in an autoclave and heated at 80 °C for 4 h. The mixture was then sonicated in a high-power sonic bath (100 W) for 4 h to form a homogeneous suspension. Eventually, the desired FePS₃ QSs were obtained by a centrifugal separation at 8000 rpm for 15 min to remove unexfoliated material. For the further measurements, the QSs were spin-coated on the FTO and dried at 60 °C for 24 h.

Characterization of Materials: SEM and the corresponding EDS mapping images were obtained from a Hitachi S4800 field-emission scanning electron microanalyzer with EDS. TEM and HRTEM images were obtained via employing a Tecnai G2 F20 with beam energy of 200 keV. The thickness was analyzed by AFM (MFP-3D Infinity). XRD



www.advancedsciencenews.com

patterns were collected from a D/MAX-TTRIII(CBO) system using a Cu-K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). Raman spectroscopy was measured at room temperature on an inVia Renishaw system at the excitation line of 532 nm. The Raman peak of Si at 520 cm⁻¹ was used as a reference to calibrate the spectrometer. XPS was performed on the Thermo Scientific ESCALab 250Xi using 200 W monochromatic Al Klpharadiation. The 500 μ m X-ray spot was used for SAXPS analysis. The base pressure in the analysis chamber was about 3×10^{-9} mbar. Typically, the hydrocarbon C1s line at 284.8 eV from adventitious carbon is used for energy referencing. UV/vis/NIR DRS were recorded on a Lambda 750 spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere. The valence band energy of the samples was analyzed on Thermo Scientific ESCALab 250Xi using UPS. The gas discharge lamp was used for UPS, with helium gas admitted and the HeI (21.22 eV) emission line employed. The helium pressure in the analysis chamber during analysis was about 2×10^{-8} mbar. The data were acquired with -10 V bias.

Measurement of Photocatalytic H_2 Evolution: Photocatalytic water splitting experiments were conducted in a 500 mL cylinder quartz reactor at ambient temperature. A 300 W xenon lamp used as a light source. In a typical H_2 evolution experiment, the prepared photocatalyst (on FTO, about 0.6 mg cm⁻²) was placed at the bottom of reactor containing 100 mL of aqueous solution with 10% TEOA. Before irradiation, the system was vacuumed for about 30 min to remove the air inside and to ensure that the system was under the anaerobic condition. A certain amount of gas was intermittently sampled and analyzed by gas chromatography (GC7900, Shimadzu, Japan, TCD, nitrogen as a carrier gas and 5 Å molecular sieve column) by using Ar as a carrier gas. A baseline was recorded for each test before exposure to xenon lamp.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (No. 2016YFA0200700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21703047, 21373065, 61474033, 61574050, and 11674072), Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA09040201), and CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the support of Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords

chemical exfoliation, FePS₃, monolayer quantum sheets, water splitting

Received: December 20, 2017 Revised: March 15, 2018 Published online:



www.advmat.de

- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, A. A. Firsov, *Science* 2004, 306, 666.
- [2] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, i. V. Giacometti, A. Kis, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2011, 6, 147.
- [3] X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen, M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.* 2009, *8*, 76.
- [4] K. F. Mak, C. Lee, J. Hone, J. Shan, T. F. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2010, 105, 136805.
- [5] B. L. Chittari, Y. Park, D. Lee, M. Han, A. H. MacDonald, E. Hwang, J. Jung, *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *94*, 184428.
- [6] A. Wildes, V. Simonet, E. Ressouche, G. J. Mcintyre, M. Avdeev, E. Suard, S. Kimber, D. Lançon, G. Pepe, B. Moubaraki, *Phys. Rev. B* 2015, *92*, 224408.
- [7] J.-U. Lee, S. Lee, J. H. Ryoo, S. Kang, T. Y. Kim, P. Kim, C.-H. Park, J. G. Park, H. Cheong, *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 7433.
- [8] M. A. Susner, M. Chyasnavichyus, M. A. McGuire, P. Ganesh, P. Maksymovych, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, *29*, 1602852.
- [9] X. Zhang, X. Zhao, D. Wu, Y. Jing, Z. Zhou, Adv. Sci. 2016, 3, 1600062.
- [10] Y. Cai, G. Zhang, Y. W. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6269.
- [11] F. Wang, T. A. Shifa, P. He, Z. Cheng, J. Chu, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, F. Wang, Y. Wen, L. Liang, *Nano Energy* **2017**, 40, 673.
- [12] T. A. Shifa, F. M. Wang, Z. Z. Cheng, P. He, Y. Liu, C. Jiang, Z. Wang, J. He, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1800548.
- [13] X. Y. Liu, H. Chen, R. Wang, Y. Shang, Q. Zhang, W. Li, G. Zhang, J. Su, C. T. Dinh, F. de Arquer, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1605646.
- [14] S. Zhou, N. Liu, J. Zhao, Comput. Mater. Sci. 2017, 130, 56.
- [15] M. Zamkov, Nat. Energy 2017, 2, 17072.
- [16] J. B. Sambur, T. Novet, B. Parkinson, Science 2010, 330, 63.
- [17] R. Zacharia, H. Ulbricht, T. Hertel, Phys. Rev. B 2004, 69, 155406.
- [18] X. Li, X. Wu, J. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11065.
- [19] D. Mukherjee, P. M. Austeria, S. Sampath, ACS Energy Lett. 2016, 1, 367.
- [20] J. Zheng, H. Zhang, S. Dong, Y. Liu, C. T. Nai, H. S. Shin, H. Y. Jeong, B. Liu, K. P. Loh, *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 2995.
- [21] V. Nicolosi, M. Chhowalla, M. G. Kanatzidis, M. S. Strano, J. N. Coleman, *Science* **2013**, *340*, 1226419.
- [22] J. N. Coleman, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 46, 14.
- [23] Y. Hernandez, V. Nicolosi, M. Lotya, F. M. Blighe, Z. Sun, S. De, I. McGovern, B. Holland, M. Byrne, Y. K. Gun'Ko, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2008, *3*, 563.
- [24] J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. O'Neill, S. D. Bergin, P. J. King, U. Khan, K. Young, A. Gaucher, S. De, R. J. Smith, *Science* **2011**, *331*, 568.
- [25] T. Björkman, A. Gulans, A. V. Krasheninnikov, R. M. Nieminen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 235502.
- [26] M. Scagliotti, M. Jouanne, M. Balkanski, G. Ouvrard, G. Benedek, Phys. Rev. B 1987, 35, 7097.
- [27] K. Z. Du, X. Z. Wang, Y. Liu, P. Hu, M. I. B. Utama, C. K. Gan, Q. Xiong, C. Kloc, ACS Nano 2015, 10, 1738.
- [28] X. Wang, K. Du, Y. Y. F. Liu, P. Hu, J. Zhang, Q. Zhang, M. H. S. Owen, X. Lu, C. K. Gan, P. Sengupta, 2D Mater. 2016, 3, 031009.
- [29] Y. Mathey, R. Clement, C. Sourisseau, G. Lucazeau, Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2773.
- [30] Y. C. Zhang, Z. N. Du, K. W. Li, M. Zhang, D. D. Dionysiou, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2011, 3, 1528.
- [31] P. Chen, Y. Su, H. Liu, Y. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2013, 5, 12073.
- [32] Z. Cheng, F. Wang, T. A. Shifa, C. Jiang, Q. Liu, J. He, Small 2017, 13, 1702163.