

This pdf is a digital offprint of your contribution in E. Borgna, I. Caloi, F.M. Carinci & R. Laffineur (eds), *MNHHM/MNEME: Past and Memory in the Aegean Bronze Age*, ISBN 978-90-429-3903-5

The copyright on this publication belongs to Peeters Publishers.

As author you are licensed to make printed copies of the pdf or to send the unaltered pdf file to up to 50 relations. You may not publish this pdf on the World Wide Web – including websites such as academia.edu and open-access repositories – until three years after publication. Please ensure that anyone receiving an offprint from you observes these rules as well.

If you wish to publish your article immediately on open-access sites, please contact the publisher with regard to the payment of the article processing fee.

For queries about offprints, copyright and republication of your article, please contact the publisher via peeters@peeters-leuven.be

AEGAEUM 43

Annales liégeoises et PASPiennes d'archéologie égéenne

MNHMH / MNEME

PAST AND MEMORY IN THE AEGEAN BRONZE AGE

**Proceedings of the 17th International Aegean Conference,
University of Udine, Department of Humanities and Cultural Heritage,
Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Department of Humanities,
17-21 April 2018**

Edited by Elisabetta BORGNA, Ilaria CALOI, Filippo Maria CARINCI and Robert LAFFINEUR

PEETERS
LEUVEN - LIÈGE
2019

CONTENTS

Preface	xi
KEYNOTE LECTURE	
James C. WRIGHT <i>Mnemonics for Archaeologists</i>	3
A. MINOAN PALACES, REGIONAL LANDSCAPES AND BUILT ENVIRONMENTS	
Simona TODARO <i>Living with the Past: Settlement Mobility and Social Memory in Early Bronze Age Mesara</i>	17
Filippo M. CARINCI <i>Phaistos and Ayia Triadha, from the Final Neolithic to the Early Iron Age: Two Places of Memory</i>	25
Jeffrey S. SOLES <i>The Creation of Social Memory in Minoan Mochlos</i>	35
Maud DEVOLDER <i>The Dungeon. Recalling the West Façade of the Protopalatial Palace at Malia</i>	41
D. Matthew BUELL and John C. McENROE <i>Architecture and Memory at Gournia: Meaningful Places</i>	49
Thomas M. BROGAN <i>Rejecting the Past? LM II-III B Settlements in the Mirabello</i>	59
Florence GIGNEROT-DRIESSEN <i>From Peak Sanctuaries to Hilltop Settlements: Reshaping a Landscape of Memory in Late Minoan III C Crete</i>	65
B. MINOAN FUNERARY LANDSCAPES	
Sevasti TRIANTAPHYLLOU, Sotiria KIORPE and Metaxia TSIPOPOULOU <i>Manipulating Bodies, Constructing Social Memory: Ways of Negotiating, Re-inventing and Legitimizing the Past at the Petras Cemetery, Siteia, Crete</i>	73
Metaxia TSIPOPOULOU and David RUPP <i>The Pre- and Proto-palatial Cemetery at Petras-Kephala : a Persistent Locale as an Arena for Competing Cultural Memories</i>	81
Katerina KOPAKA <i>Neighbours in Perpetuity. A “lone” Prehistoric Pithos Burial at Gavdos – A Link with long Living Collective Memory</i>	95
C. RITUAL AND SOCIAL PRACTICES	
Iphiyenia TOURNAVITOU <i>Ritual Breakage in Minoan Peak Sanctuaries. The Disposal and Manipulation of Collective Memory. Reality and Myth</i>	107
Ilaria CALOI <i>Visible and Commemorative structured Deposits. Keeping the Memory of Communal Social Practices at Minoan Palaces</i>	115
Philip P. BETANCOURT, Leanna KOLONAUSKI and Sydney R. SARASIN <i>Minoan Memories in the Shrine of Eileithyia at Inatos, Crete</i>	121

Charlotte LANGOHR	
<i>In vino veritas? In Search of the Evidence for past Minoan Wine Rituals before the Krater</i>	125
D. MEMORIAL PRACTICES IN THE CYCLADES	
Marisa MARTHARI	
<i>Raos and Akrotiri: Memory and Identity in LC I/LM I Thera as Reflected in Settlement Patterns and Ceramic Production</i>	135
Jason EARLE	
<i>A Memorable Feast at Late Bronze Age Phylakopi</i>	145
E. MEMORIES OF MYCENAEAN PALACES AND SETTLEMENTS	
Ken A. and Diana WARDLE	
<i>The Citadel of Mycenae: a Landscape of Myth and Memory</i>	153
Christofilis MAGGIDIS	
<i>The Palace Throne at Mycenae: Constructing Collective Historical Memory and Power Ideology</i>	165
Heleni PALAIOLOGOU	
<i>Facing the Mycenaean Past at Mycenae</i>	173
Vasileios L. ARAVANTINOS	
<i>Old Memories versus New Trends in Postpalatial Thebes</i>	187
Lena PAPAZOGLU-MANIOUDAKI, Constantinos PASCHALIDIS and Olivia A. JONES	
<i>Community and Memory in the Periphery of the Mycenaean World: Incidents in the Life of the Mygdalia Settlement Near Patras, Achaea</i>	199
Joanne M.A. MURPHY	
<i>Power Plays at Pylos: the Past and Memory in the Tombs and at the Palace</i>	209
F. THE PAST IN MAINLAND FUNERARY BEHAVIOUR AND THE USE OF MYCENAEAN TOMBS	
Anna PHILIPPA-TOUCHAIS	
<i>Death in the Early Middle Helladic Period (MH I-II): Diversity in the Construction of Mnemonic Landscapes</i>	221
Michaela ZAVADIL	
<i>Remembering Old Graves? Jar Burials in the Mycenaean Period</i>	233
Nikolas PAPADIMITRIOU	
<i>From Hiatus to Visual Memory. Changing Mnemonic Processes in Early Mycenaean Greece</i>	243
Robert LAFFINEUR	
<i>Monumentalizing Memory at Mycenae: The Acropolis "Grave Circle A"</i>	253
Rodney D. FITZSIMONS	
<i>Constructing a Legendary Past: Possible Archaising Elements in the Funerary Landscape of Late Bronze Age Mycenae</i>	261
Bryan E. BURNS and Brendan BURKE	
<i>Memorializing the First Mycenaeans at Eleon</i>	269
Aleydis VAN DE MOORTELE, Salvatore VITALE, Bartłomiej LIS and Giuliana BIANCO	
<i>Honoring the Dead or Hero Cult? The long Afterlife of a Prepalatial Elite Tomb at Mitrou</i>	277

Kim SHELTON and Lynne KVAPIL <i>Among the Ancestors at Aidonia</i>	293
Robert Angus K. SMITH and Sevasti TRIANTAPHYLLOU <i>Remembering the Dead: Memory and Mortuary Ritual at the Mycenaean Cemetery of Ayia Sotira, Nemea</i>	301
Thanasis J. PAPADOPOULOS <i>Honouring the Ancestors and the Particular Role of Social Memory in Western Mycenaean Greece. The Evidence of Tombs and Burial Customs</i>	305
Konstantina AKTYPI, Olivia A. JONES and Michalis GAZIS <i>Use and Reuse of the Past: Case Studies from Mycenaean Achaea</i>	319
Elisabetta BORGNA, Gaspare DE ANGELI, Agata LICCIARDELLO, Assunta MERCOGLIANO and Andreas G. VORDOS <i>Natural and Human Components shaping a Landscape of Memory during the Long-term Occupation of the Trapeza, Aigion, Achaea</i>	329
Ann-Louise SCHALLIN <i>Constructing Links with the Past. Later Activity in Late Bronze Age Tombs at Dendra</i>	339
Naya SGOURITSA <i>Politics of Mortuary Veneration in Mycenaean Attica</i>	343
G. MODES OF TRANSMISSION, CONSTRUCTION OF MEMORY AND THE MAKING OF TRADITIONS	
Joseph MARAN <i>Between Remembering and Forgetting: Monuments of the Past and the “Invention of Tradition”</i>	353
Diamantis PANAGIOTOPOULOS <i>From ‘Tradition’ to ‘Cultural Memory’. Towards a Paradigm Shift in Aegean Archaeology</i>	363
Lyvia MORGAN <i>Art, Culture and Memory: A Case Study</i>	371
Thomas F. STRASSER, Sarah C. MURRAY and Christina KOLB <i>The Asphendou Cave Petroglyphs: Reading and Recording an Eye-Witness to the Stone Age</i>	377
Helene WHITTAKER <i>Memory and Figured Worlds in the Minoan Bronze Age</i>	383
Lefteris PLATON <i>The Uncertainties Inherent in Interpreting the Pictorial Memory and the Blend of Ideas and Actualities drawn from a Glorious Past: the Case of Postpalatial Minoan Religious Iconography</i>	389
Constance VON RÜDEN <i>The Fish in the Bathtub. Evocating Memory through Post-Palatial Burial Practices</i>	395
Angelos PAPADOPOULOS <i>Mneme and Propaganda in the Early Late Bronze Age Aegean: the Case of the ‘Siege Rhyton’</i>	405
Assaf YASUR LANDAU <i>The Memory Machine: How 12th-Century BCE Iconography Created Memories of the Philistines (and Other Sea People)</i>	413

H. HEIRLOOMS AND ANTIQUES

Fritz BLAKOLMER

- The Glory that was Knossos! Heirlooms, Reception and the Significance of Development in the Arts of the Aegean Late Bronze Age* 425

Brent DAVIS, Emilia BANOÛ, Louise A. HITCHCOCK and Anne P. CHAPIN

- Curation in the Bronze Age Aegean: Objects as Material Memories* 435

Andreas G. VLACHOPOULOS

- Mneme and Techne in the Cyclades. The Case of Akrotiri, Thera* 443

Irini NIKOLAKOPOULOU

- Objects of Memory or Objects of Status? The Case of Cycladic Bichrome Ware Vases in Aegean Contexts* 455

Leonidas VOKOTOPOULOS

- Remembering and Honouring the Past at Choiromandres, Zakros* 463

Nicola CUCUZZA and Orazio PALIO

- Between Memory and Reuse in Late Minoan III Mesara: the Stone Vessels at Kannìa* 473

Alice CROWE

- Old Things, New Contexts: Bronze Age Objects in Early Iron Age Burials at Knossos* 481

Olga KRZYSZKOWSKA

- Changing Perceptions of the Past: The Role of Antique Seals in Minoan Crete* 487

Jörg WEILHARTNER

- The Use of 'Heirlooms' in Mycenaean Sealing Practices* 497

Mary K. DABNEY

- Heirlooms for the Living, Heirlooms for the Dead* 507

I. TRANSMISSION AND PERCEPTION OF IDENTITIES THROUGH SPACE AND TIME

Alberto CAZZELLA and Giulia RECCHIA

- Memories and Legacies of Cultural Encounters and Contacts with the Aegean in the Central Mediterranean (2500-1700 BC)* 513

Luca GIRELLA, Peter PAVÚK and Magda PIENIAŻEK

- Past and Present: Defining Identities and Memory along the East Aegean and Western Anatolian Interface* 523

Eleni SALAVOURA

- Prehistoric Arkadia as a Landscape of Memory for the Ancient Greeks* 533

Louise A. HITCHCOCK, Aren M. MAEIR and Madaline HARRIS-SCHÖBER

- Tomorrow never Dies: Post-Palatial Memories of the Aegean Late Bronze Age in the Mediterranean* 543

Evangelia STEFANI and Nikolas MEROUSIS

- Space and Mneme in Late Bronze Age Macedonia* 551

Mercourios GEORGIADIS

- Memory and the Past in the Mycenaean and Post-Mycenaean South-Eastern Aegean* 559

Salvatore VITALE and Calla MCNAMEE

- Ideological and Narrative Memory on Late Bronze Age Kos: from Theory to Case Study* 569

J. ARCHIVAL MEMORY

- Artemis KARNAVA
Minoan Archives: a Case for the Preservation of Institutional Memory 579
- Thomas G. PALAIMA
The Importance of Memory, Memory Triggers and Memory Agents in Mycenaean and Later Greek Culture: Some Linear B, Epic and Classical Evidence 591

K. THE AEGEAN LEGACY IN THE GREEK WORLD

- John G. YOUNGER
Minoan Religion: State Myth, Private Memory 603
- Karen Polinger FOSTER
The New Aegean Memories and Metaphors: Athena as a Swallow, Herakles as a Lion 609
- Santo PRIVITERA
"Words are Stones". Of Tombs, Walls and the Memory of the Mythical Kings on the Athenian Acropolis 619

L. THE RECEPTION OF THE AEGEAN PAST

- Nicoletta MOMIGLIANO
Memory and Modern Reception of the Aegean Bronze Age 629
- J. Alexander MACGILLIVRAY
The Artifice of Archaeology and the Making of Minoan Memories 639
- Pietro MILITELLO
Phaistos: a Memory for the Future. How to tell an Archaeological Site 645

M. POSTERS

- Natalie ABELL and Evi GOROGIANNI
The Past in Practice: Craft Producers and Material Culture Change at Ayia Irini, Kea 655
- Maria Emanuela ALBERTI
Survival or Recycling? Early Helladic Balance Weights in Mycenaean Contexts 659
- Sofia ANTONELLO
The Minoan Double Vase: Echoes of a Ritual Artifact 663
- Georgia BALDACCI
Toasting in Protopalatial Ruins: a LM I Intentional Deposition in the MM IIB Building of the Acropoli Mediana at Phaistos 667
- Ioannis BITIS and Fragoula GEORMA
Architecture and Wall Paintings at Akrotiri in Thera. Components and Formulation of Collective Memory 671
- Claire CAMBERLEIN
Choosing an Adequate Methodological Approach and Methodology for Antique Objects in Archaeology 677
- Vasiliki CHRYSOVITSANOU
From Repulsion to Fascination to 'Cycladomania': Changes in the Archaeological Analysis of Cycladic Figurines and their Relation to History of Art and Public Imagery 681

Paola CONTURSI	
<i>Heroes, Ancestors or merely Dead? (Ab)uses of the Mycenaean Past in the Historical Period</i>	687
Janusz CZEBRESZUK	
<i>A Story about the Consequences of one Journey. Possible Effects of Long-term Amber Jewelry Usage by the Mycenaeans</i>	693
Chiara DE GREGORIO	
<i>The Deposito delle Camerette at Ayia Triadha</i>	697
Jacob E. HEYWOOD and Brent DAVIS	
<i>Painted Larnakes of the Late Minoan III Period: Funerary Iconography and the Stimulation of Memory</i>	703
Tobias KRAPF	
<i>Searching for Neo-Minoan Architecture</i>	709
Angélique LABRUDE	
<i>Late Minoan IIIA-B Larnakes as Part of the Rites of Passage? Funerary Times and the Construction of Memory</i>	713
Anastasia LERIOU	
<i>Ritualising Memory: A View from 11th Century Cyprus</i>	719
Christina MITSOPOULOU and Olga POLYCHRONOPOULOU	
<i>The Archive and Atelier of the Gilliéron Artists: Three Generations, a Century (1870s-1980s)</i>	725
Sarah C. MURRAY	
<i>Tradition and Memory at Postpalatial Perati in East Attica</i>	731
Niki PAPAKONSTANTINOY, Sevasti TRIANTAPHYLLOU and Maria STATHI	
<i>Acts of Memorialization of the Dead Body in the Mycenaean Cemetery at Kolikrepi-Spata, Attica</i>	737
Alessandro SANAVIA	
<i>Painted Parading Lions on an MM IB Ceremonial Basin: a Case of Symbolic Transference and Remembrance of an Emblem in Early Protopalatial Phaistos</i>	743
Caroline J. TULLY and Sam CROOKS	
<i>The Self Possessed: Framing Identity in Late Minoan Glyptic</i>	749
Agata ULANOWSKA and Małgorzata SIENNICKA	
<i>Transmission of Practice, Transmission of Knowledge: Dynamics of Textile Production in the Bronze Age Aegean</i>	753
Ioannis VOSKOS	
<i>Homeric Ithaca and Mycenaean Cephalonia: Diachronic Trends of Identity/Memory Construction and Modern Perceptions of the Past</i>	759
ENDNOTE	
Jan DRIESSEN	
<i>Claiming the Bones, Naming the Stones? Appropriating a Minoan Past</i>	765
TO CONCLUDE ...	
Thomas G. PALAIMA	
<i>Linear B VE Vd 2018 a Memorial sēma: Remembering Life, Work, Love and Death in Venice</i>	777

VISIBLE AND COMMEMORATIVE STRUCTURED DEPOSITS. KEEPING THE MEMORY OF COMMUNAL SOCIAL PRACTICES AT MINOAN PALACES

Introduction

In the Aegean the best-known examples of structured deposits are the foundation deposits, which are plentiful in Minoan Crete.¹ These deposits usually consist of objects that were intentionally deposited in a 'structured' manner on the ground or in a shallow pit, and buried beneath a new wall or floor in order to inaugurate the new feature. Beside them, the Bronze Age site of Phaistos, overlooking the Mesara plain in South-central Crete, provides a fair number of a new type of structured deposit that occur especially in the so-called First Palace, dating from the 19th to 17th cent. BC, *i.e.* the Protopalatial period. These structured deposits are here called 'filled-in bench and platform deposits'. They mainly consist of complete or fragmentary ceramic vases, as well as occasionally other objects (*e.g.* animal bones, stone vases and/or loomweights), that are placed in a 'structured' way, with which I mean that have either been positioned vertically and/or horizontally and sometimes include stacked cups.² These deposits have attracted the attention of the first excavators of Phaistos (*i.e.* Luigi Pernier and Doro Levi) and of other scholars because they are similar to foundation deposits,³ but actually they are not buried under the new architectural features, instead are contained in structures that remain visible and functional after their sealing.

The characteristics of these structured deposits are the following: (1) deliberate and intentional deposition of material in a structured manner (vertical or horizontal deposition of vases, with also handleless conical cups in stacks); (2) the sealing up of the deposit, which implies the choice of removing the selected objects from circulation; (3) the intentional deposition of objects that reflect a not normal event, whatever feasting or non-ordinary (*i.e.* ritual) communal action; (4) the visibility of the deposit or of the 'container' of the deposit.

¹ On foundation deposits in Minoan Crete: C. BOULOTIS, "Μινωικοί αποθέτες θεμελίωσης," in T. DETORAKIS (ed.), *Πεπραγμένα του Ε' Διεθνούς Κρητολογικού Συνεδρίου (Άγιος Νικόλαος, 25 Σεπτεμβρίου - 1 Οκτωβρίου 1981)* A' (1985) 248-257; O. PELON, "Un dépôt de fondation au palais de Malia," *BCH* 110 (1986) 3-19; J.A. MACGILLIVRAY, L.H. SACKETT and J. DRIESSEN, "'Aspro Pato': A Lasting Liquid Toast from the Master-builders of Palaikastro to their Patron," in P.P. BETANCOURT, R. LAFFINEUR, V. KARAGEORGHIS and W.-D. NIEMEIER (eds), *MELETEMATA. Studies in Aegean Archaeology presented to Malcolm H. Wiener as He enters his 65th Year* (1999) 465-468; V. LA ROSA, "Liturgie domestiche o depositi di fondazione? Vecchi e nuovi dati da Festòs e Haghia Triada," *CretAnt* 3 (2002) 13-50; V. LA ROSA, "I saggi della campagna 2004 a Festòs," *ASAtene* 82 (2004) 640-647; S. PRIVITERA, "Pregare insieme, libare da soli: i vasi capovolti tra rituale individuale e comunitario nella Creta minoica," *ASAtene* 82,2 (2004) 429-441; V. HERVA, "The Life of Buildings: Minoan Building Deposits in an Ecological Perspective," *OJA* 24 (2005) 215-227.

² For a recent revision of these structured deposits from Phaistos see: I. CALOI, "Preserving Memory in Minoan Crete: Filled-in Bench and Platform Deposits from the First Palace of Phaistos," *JGA* 2 (2017) 33-52.

³ For previous works on these structured deposits from Phaistos see: I. CALOI, "Il vano β e il MM IB ad Haghia Fotinì di Festòs," *ASAtene* 83 (2005) 19-45; S. TODARO, "The Latest Prepalatial Period and the Foundation of the First Palace at Phaistos: a Stratigraphic and Chronological Re-assessment," *CretAnt* 10 (2009) 105-145; G. BALDACCI, "Banchine Protopalaziali a Festòs. Il caso delle strutture con riempimento di vasi," in F.M. CARINCI, N. CUCUZZA, P. MILITELLO and O. PALIO (eds), *KRETES MINOIDOS. Tradizione e identità minoica tra produzione artigianale, pratiche cerimoniali e memoria del passato* (2011) 313-328; I. CALOI, "Memory of a Feasting Event in the First Palace of Phaistos: Preliminary Observations on the Bench Deposit of Room IL," *CretAnt* 13 (2012) 41-59; CALOI (*supra* n. 2).

A recent re-analysis of these Phaistian deposits has convinced me that some of these peculiar filled-in structures are connected with the biography of the First Palace of Phaistos, marking some events whose mnemonic record is materialised in the expressly constructed benches or platforms.⁴

In this paper I want to focus on the potential mnemonic value of these deposits, which seem to have remained visible as memorandum. In the next pages, first I present two significant cases from Protopalatial Phaistos, then I dwell upon two possible cases respectively from the Neopalatial court-centred building of Sissi and of Gournia.

Two filled-in bench and platform structured deposits from Phaistos

Among the four filled-in bench and platform deposits identified at Phaistos,⁵ I focus on the two following cases: the first comes from Corridor III/7, which is located in a central position between the southern and the northern wing of the First Palace and connects the Middle West Court (*Piazzale I*) with the Central Court (*Cortile 40*); the second one was found in Room IL, located in the northern part of the South-West Building of the palace. Both these structures have been excavated by Doro Levi during his 1950-1966 excavations at Phaistos, during which he brought to light the First Protopalatial Palace of Phaistos, with its South-West Building and North-West Building, as well as with its courts (*i.e.* Central Court, Middle West Court and Lower West Court) and peripheral quarters.⁶

The first filled-in structure from Protopalatial Phaistos is the low bench discovered by Levi during his 1959 excavation of the Protopalatial levels of Corridor III/7.⁷ This structure was filled-in with ceramic vases and animal bones, sealed up and then used as a bench.

Among the Protopalatial remains Levi found in Corridor III/7, the most important is the huge wall α , which is 18m long. It is the northern limit of three pavings going from the Middle West Court to the Central Court, as well as the southern limit of a paved room, dubbed *aula lastricata*. The filled-in bench, which is 65cm wide and 15cm high, was set against the southern side of the long wall α .⁸ Filippo Carinci and Vincenzo La Rosa suggested a MM IB date for wall α based on its association with MM IB pottery. On the basis of stylistic comparisons, the two scholars attributed the ceramic material from inside the bench to Early MM IB, corresponding to the construction phase of the First Palace.⁹

The bench deposit comprises 102 handleless conical cups (henceforth conical cups), of which only one is decorated, three small pouring vessels, as well as fragments of both drinking and pouring vases.¹⁰ Some faunal remains (bones attributed to cattle, sheep and pigs) were also found together with the pottery, and interpreted as refuse from a consumption event. The presence of numerous conical cups and animal bones associated with the structured manner of the deposition (a number of conical cups were stacked), have led Carinci and La Rosa to interpret the bench deposit as the result of a communal feast;¹¹ moreover, Simona Todaro has proposed to link the feasting to the construction of the northern wing of the First Palace in Early MM IB.¹² Indeed, the make-up of the bench is stratigraphically associated with the construction of the paved room (*aula lastricata*) and of the huge wall α , which are both parts of the northern wing of the palace.

Looking at the biography of the deposited objects, they have been first consumed in a special ceremonial event that occurred in Early MM IB, then collected and deposited all together in the same 'container', that is a new bench. This seems to have been remained visible and functional as a bench at

⁴ See CALOI (*supra* n. 2).

⁵ See table in CALOI (*supra* n. 2) 38-39, fig. 4.

⁶ See the publication of the 1950-1966 excavation campaigns at Phaistos in: D. LEVI, *Festòs e la civiltà minoica*, vols. I-II.1 (1976) and D. LEVI and F.M. CARINCI, *Festòs e la civiltà minoica*, vol. II.2 (1988).

⁷ LEVI (*supra* n. 6) 250-252.

⁸ CALOI (*supra* n. 2) 42, fig. 6; see also LEVI (*supra* n. 6) 250-252.

⁹ F.M. CARINCI and V. LA ROSA, "Revisioni Festie," *CretAnt* 8 (2007) 88-98.

¹⁰ CARINCI and LA ROSA (*supra* n. 9) 97, figs 104-108.

¹¹ See CARINCI and LA ROSA (*supra* n. 9) 98.

¹² S. TODARO, *The Phaistos hills before the Palace: a Contextual Reappraisal* (2013) 96.

least during the first phases of the First Palace life. The position of the bench is strategic, as it is located at the key point between the two main courts, as well as between the two wings of the palace. Its central position and its high visibility should have played an important role for the Phaistian community who invested in the construction of the new palatial building. Indeed, the community could easily recognise the symbolic value of the bench, which contained the mnemonic record of the communal feast held to commemorate the construction event.

The second filled-in structure from Protopalatial Phaistos is the platform excavated by Levi during his 1951-1952 excavation campaign in Room IL of the main palatial building of Phaistos,¹³ namely the South-West Building. This was constructed in MM IB and destroyed at the end of MM IIB. Following recent studies by Carinci, the platform was constructed along the northern wall of the room at the time of the renovation programme of the building, which occurred in MM II, that means after the first construction of the palatial building and before its final destruction.¹⁴

The platform is exceptionally large: it is 60cm high, 155cm wide and is 250cm long.¹⁵ It was built with stones, filled-in with hundreds of vessels and objects, both ceramic and lithic, and with animal remnants, and finally sealed up with slabs. My recent reinvestigation of the material from the platform allowed me to date it to MM IIA and interpret the deposit as the result of a 'feasting hoard'. Beside 710 ceramic vases, the platform deposit includes also three clay loomweights, three stone vessels and faunal remains. The considerable quantity of vessels, especially conical cups, together with the presence of cooking pots and bone fragments, suggest some kind of communal drinking and eating. Moreover, the ceramic material from the platform was deposited in a 'structured' manner (*i.e.* vertical and horizontal position, with some conical cups in stacks) and within a uniform fill, suggesting that the material was deposited at one time.¹⁶

Of a total of 710 complete and restorable ceramic vases, there are 525 drinking pots. The platform deposit's most surprising feature is the exaggerated number of conical cups: of a total of 500 complete and restorable examples, 430 are plain while only 70 are decorated.¹⁷ It is noteworthy to mention that the platform included also a number of ritual implements, namely three bull *rhyta* decorated in polychromy, two fire-boxes, two horned pots, one fruitstand and one grater.¹⁸ Preliminary analysis of the 252 bone fragments collected during his excavation, has permitted their attribution to cattle, sheep and pigs. The most interesting example is the partial skull of an *agrimi* with almost entirely preserved horns.¹⁹

As stated above, the formal deposition and hoarding of feasting paraphernalia retrieved from inside the platform in Room IL appears to be the repository from a single feasting event held nearby, most likely in the Lower West Court of the palace. In this sense, the platform deposit's make-up, including hundreds of drinking pots and several special paraphernalia dating to MM IIA, *i.e.* earlier than the MM IIB destruction levels, seems connected with the architectural and functional transformations that occurred there. The filled-in platform can therefore be interpreted as the material mnemonic record of an exceptional and memorable event, likely represented by a feasting event on the occasion of the renovation of the northern part of the South-West Building, and especially of Room IL.²⁰

¹³ F. CARINCI, "Per una rilettura funzionale dell'ala Sud-occidentale del Palazzo di Festòs: il caso dei vani IL-XXVII/XXVIII," *CretAnt* 12 (2011) 41-43. Among the main trasformations occurred in the South-West Building between MM IB and MM IIB, a new entrance at the ground floor of the South-West Building was opened in Corridor L and a new door was opened in the western wall of Room IL connecting the room with the Lower West Court through Corridor L, then, the ceiling of Room IL was lift up, and finally a new large and paved platform was constructed on the northern wall of the room.

¹⁴ See above n. 13.

¹⁵ CALOI (*supra* n. 2) 44-45, figs 9-10.

¹⁶ CALOI (*supra* n. 3, 2012) 41-59.

¹⁷ CALOI (*supra* n. 3, 2012) 47-48, 51, figs 8-9.

¹⁸ CALOI (*supra* n. 3, 2012) 51, fig. 6 and 54, Table A.

¹⁹ CALOI (*supra* n. 3, 2012) 52, fig. 10.

²⁰ On the subject see also CALOI (*supra* n. 2).

Looking at the social meaning of these Phaistian structures, it appears reasonable that the choice not to scatter the material, but to place it in a specific context and in a structured manner is a practice aimed at preserving and memorialising events and/or places.²¹ Differently from foundation deposits and from other structured deposits attested in Minoan Crete,²² these Phaistian structured deposits are not buried in pits or under the floors/walls of buildings, but are sealed in built, above ground and visible structures, which are fully integrated into the life of the building in which they are found. In fact, Phaistian filled-in bench and platform deposits are sealed within settings that remain visible and functional (for example, people can use platforms to work and prepare food, as well as to sit on as benches). It appears therefore that the social meaning of the Phaistian structured deposits is to remind people living in and/or visiting the palace of the event connected with the deposit sealed within the bench/platform, and thus to develop and reinforce the sense of being part of the community that gravitates toward Phaistos and shares the same habitus. In fact, only people living or attending Phaistos could know or remember that these platforms and benches were made-up of the remains of feasting or other social events connected to the construction of specific parts or areas of the Phaistos palatial building. Since these specific filled-in structures were constructed with material belonging to a certain stage of the Protopalatial period, but went on to be used during the successive phases of the same Protopalatial period, they seem to materialise the temporal continuity of use of the palatial rooms or areas where they have been built. The high concentration at one site of these specific, multi-layered features points to the Phaistians need to mark their settlement's continuity and longevity.

Filled-in benches and platforms are so far not attested outside Phaistos in the Protopalatial period. We have, however, identified two parallel cases at Neopalatial Sissi and Gournia.

Two cases from Neopalatial Sissi and Gournia

At Sissi, a comparable case has been discovered in Building F, *i.e.* the eastern wing of the Neopalatial court-centred building located on the south-east summit of the Kephali hill.²³ In 2011, along the eastern wing's south-east angle of the court-centred building, removal of the topsoil brought to light a conspicuous Neopalatial pottery deposit; this was deliberately placed in a box-like structure placed against

²¹ On the subject see: Y. HAMILAKIS, "Re-collecting the Fragments: Archaeology as Mnemonic Practice," in K. LILIOS and V. TSAMIS (eds), *Material Mnemonics. Everyday Memory in Prehistoric Europe* (2010) 188-199; Y. HAMILAKIS, "Sensuous, Memory, Materiality and History: Rethinking the 'Rise of the Palaces' on Bronze Age Crete," in A.B. KNAPP and P. VAN DOMMELEN (eds), *The Cambridge Prehistory of the Bronze and Iron Age Mediterranean* (2014) 320-336. See also below n. 22.

²² On foundation deposits see *supra* n. 1; on other kinds of structured deposits see, for example, the cases at Neopalatial Knossos (the well-known Temple Repositories: E. HATZAKI, "Structured Deposition as Ritual Action at Knossos," in A.L. D'AGATA and A. VAN DE MOORTELE [eds], *Archaeologies of Cult. Essays on Ritual and Cult in Crete in Honor of Geraldine C. Gesell* [2009] 19-30; E. HATZAKI, "Under the Floor. Structured Deposits from Cists and Pits at the Bronze Age Palace at Knossos," in *Πεπραγμένα Ι Διεθνούς Κρητολογικού Συνεδρίου (Χανιά, 1-8 Οκτωβρίου 2006), Στρογγυλή Τραπέζα "Τελετουργικά δείπνα και τελετουργικές αποθέσεις στην Κρήτη των Προϊστορικών χρόνων," Τόμος Α, Τμήμα Α' [2011] 241-253), at Neopalatial Malia (J. DRIESSEN, A. FARNOUX and C. LANGOHR, "Favissae. Feasting Pits in LM III," in L.A. HITCHCOCK, R. LAFFINEUR and J. CROWLEY [eds], *DAIS. The Aegean Feast. Proceedings of the 12th International Aegean Conference, University of Melbourne, 25-29 March 2008* [2008] 197-205), at Nopigeia-Drapanias (Y. HAMILAKIS and K. HARRIS, "The Social Zooarchaeology of Feasting: the Evidence from the "Ritual" Deposit at Nopigeia-Drapanias," in ANDREADAKI-VLASAKI [*supra*] 199-218) and at Thronos/Kephala (A.L. D'AGATA, "Ritual and Rubbish in Dark Age Crete: The Settlement of Thronos/Kephala (Ancient Sybrita) and the Pre-Classical Roots of a Greek City," *Aegean Archaeology* 4 [1997-2000] 45-59).*

²³ On the court-centred building at Sissi see J. DRIESSEN, "Continued Excavation of the Court-Centred Building at Sissi," in J. DRIESSEN, M. ANASTASIADOU, I. CALOI, T. CLAEYS, S. DEDERIX, M. DEVOLDER, S. JUSSERET, C. LANGOHR, Q. LETESSON, I. MATHIOUDAKI, O. MOUTHUY and A. SCHMITT, *Excavations at Sissi IV. Preliminary Report on the 2015-2016 campaigns* (2018) 151-153 with bibliography.

a major wall (*i.e.* wall F30) of Building F.²⁴ Plaster fragments were found together with whole and mendable vases, suggesting that the deposit was originally kept within a plastered bench. The deposit appears to be a secondary fill, deliberately deposited as a single event. The study of this closed deposit, which dates to MM IIIB, has allowed for its interpretation as the result of a feasting event, perhaps to be connected with the inauguration of the MM IIIB construction or re-construction of Building F facing the court-centred building.²⁵

The feasting hoard is composed of 176 diagnostic ceramic objects. They include a very high concentration of intact and mendable plain, conical cups (*i.e.* 98 examples, plus 42 fragmentary), some of which were deposited in stacks, a few number of decorated cups, several bowls, pouring vessels and cooking pots, as well as special ritual implements, such as one imported globular *rhyton*, one miniature jar and one red-painted figurine in the shape of a snake.²⁶ No animal bones have been retrieved from the deposit. We can therefore suggest either that food remains of the feasting event were placed or thrown away in another area, or that cooking pots were not used to prepare meat, but other kinds of foods (legumes?).

The composition of the assemblage and the stratigraphic position of the deposit within Building F agree well with an interpretation as a feasting deposit, accompanying a construction event so that it can also be labelled a building deposit. With this I mean that, on the construction of Building F, a feasting event was held of which the paraphernalia were deliberately deposited in a special container placed within the building. Its dating to the MM IIIB would give a *terminus ad quem* for the construction of the building.²⁷

We have observed that the Sissi deposit is not underground, but accommodated in a box-like structure (a bench?) built against a major wall of Building F. As such it is comparable with the visible and commemorative filled-in bench or platform deposits found at Protopalatial Phaistos, where they were constructed in crucial areas/rooms of the First Palace in order to memorialise the foundation of new wings or buildings. The memory of the feasting event for the MM IIIB construction (or re-construction) of Building F would have then been perpetuated through the visible box-like structure.

A similar case could be represented by the early LM IB deposit found at Gournia, in the South-West wing of the palace.²⁸ In Room 13, which is located in the south-west corner of the palace, were found two superimposed deposits dating respectively to MM IIIA and to early LM IB, which correspond to two building levels.²⁹ The highest building level includes the ashlar walls on the south and west sides of the rooms. These ashlar walls contained the upper deposit, which included 300 vessels dating to early LM IB, organic remains, and carefully placed bits of pumice.³⁰ This deposit has been interpreted by the excavators as the result of a communal feasting. Matt Buell and John McEnroe, whom I thank for this information, suggested that these later ashlar walls and associated deposit had to do with a post-Theran rebuilding in early LM IB.³¹ As in the cases observed at Protopalatial Phaistos and at Neopalatial Sissi, this

²⁴ On the excavation of Building F see S. JUSSERET, “The excavation of Zones 6 and 7,” in J. DRIESSEN, I. SCHOEP, F. CARPENTIER, I. CREVECOEUR, M. DEVOLDER, F. GAIGNEROT-DRIESSEN, P. HACIGÜZELLER, V. ISAAKIDOU, S. JUSSERET, C. LANGOHR, Q. LETESSON and A. SCHMITT, *Excavations at Sissi, II. Preliminary Report on the 2009-2010 Campaigns* (2011) 153-177; S. JUSSERET, “The excavation of Zone 6,” in J. DRIESSEN, I. SCHOEP, M. ANASTASIADOU, F. CARPENTIER, I. CREVECOEUR, S. DEDERIX, M. DEVOLDER, F. GAIGNEROT-DRIESSEN, S. JUSSERET, C. LANGOHR, Q. LETESSON, F. LIARD, A. SCHMITT, C. TSORAKI and R. VEROPOULIDOU, *Excavations at Sissi III. Preliminary Report on the 2011 Campaign* (2012) 135-154.

²⁵ I. CALOI, “Inaugurating the Court-centred Building? A MM IIIB Feasting Deposit at Neopalatial Sissi,” *SMEA NS* 4 (2018 forthcoming).

²⁶ See the publication of the pottery from this deposit in CALOI (*supra* n. 25).

²⁷ CALOI (*supra* n. 25).

²⁸ L.V. WATROUS, D.M. BUELL, J.C. MCENROE, J.G. YOUNGER, L.A. TURNER, B.S. KUNKEL, K. GLOWACKI, S. GALLIMORE, A. SMITH, P.A. PANTOU, A. CHAPIN and E. MARGARITIS, “Excavations at Gournia, 2010-2012,” *Hesperia* 84.3 (2015) 397-465.

²⁹ WATROUS *et al.* (*supra* n. 28) 429-431, fig. 21.

³⁰ WATROUS *et al.* (*supra* n. 28) 430-431, fig. 22.

³¹ M. BUELL and J. MCENROE, “Addressing Disruption: Contextual Study of the Ashlar Mmasonry at

early LM IB deposit was not an isolated and buried foundation deposit, but a reminder of communal participation in the building process. Differently from the Phaistian deposits, however, this deposit was eventually covered by a LM IB floor; indeed, the second course ashlar block suggests that the LM IB walls framing Room 13 rose above the floor level. However, as suggested by the two scholars,³² until late in LM IB, the pavement of the Southwest Court continued over the foundations of House El, which is located immediately South-West of Room 13. This would have made the small Room 13 even more prominent when seen from the outside. This may imply that the ‘visibility’ of Room 13 was somewhat commemorative of the rebuilding operations occurred in early LM IB. The similarity with Phaistos is, therefore, in the intention of making visible the containers of feasting deposits connected to building or rebuilding operations in the palaces’ life.

Concluding remarks

Filling-in new architectural structures with intentionally deposited objects appears to be a social practice strictly associated with the Protopalatial Phaistian community, and to have become a part of Phaistian tradition since the emergence of the First Palace.³³ Indeed, it arose in MM IB with the foundation of the First Palace and stopped in MM IIB, with the palace's collapse. This practice sounds like a means to create a common symbolic language to be recognised by the Protopalatial Phaistian community, and can thus be interpreted as a ritualised attempt to establish a clear link between people and the place where they live or where they are symbolised. Since in the Neopalatial period parallel situations are encountered also in other Minoan palaces, it seems therefore plausible that these kinds of visible structured deposits were born at Protopalatial Phaistos and then widespread in other long-lasting Minoan palaces during the successive Neopalatial period, keeping the same function of embodying the mnemonic record of communal events connected to important changes in the palaces’ biography. From what observed in the previous pages, it appears that at Protopalatial Phaistos and at Neopalatial Sissi the reminders of communal social actions accompanying the construction of palatial buildings or areas are visible benches or platforms, instead, at Gournia it is the prominence of Room 13 which reminds people that a communal process has led to the re-construction of the Gournia palace. The visibility of the ‘containers’ of these deposits (whatever benches, platforms or rooms) are reminders for the community, with the aim to remember the feasting or social events connected to the building process that had produced the constructions where the structures are found.

Ilaria CALOI

Gournia (Crete),” in M. DEVOLDER, I. KREIMERMAN and J. DRIESSEN (eds), *Ashlar. Exploring the materiality of cut stone masonry in the eastern Mediterranean Bronze Age* (2019 forthcoming).

³² BUELL and MCENROE (*supra* n. 31).

³³ See CALOI (*supra* n. 2).