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Preface

The idea of a volume paying tribute to Owen Wright’s scholarship had been around for some time before this particular initiative took shape. We should not have been surprised, then, that the first round of emails produced a positively worrying level of response. How on earth were we to fit everything in? It would have been very easy to imagine a volume at least twice the size of the present one. In the event, not everybody could contribute. Among those not able to, the following would like to add their names to those represented in this volume, as a mark of their respect for Owen’s friendship and scholarship: Hooman Asadi, Evrim Binbaş, Saida Daukeyeva, Ruth Davis, Rich Jankowsky, Angelika Jung, Laudan Nooshin, Edwin Seroussi, Federico Spinetti and Will Sumits. They are part of this volume in spirit. Thanks also to Emma Gallon for the Routledge SOAS Musicology series, to the two anonymous reviewers and to Anna Contadini for her advice throughout the process.

A note on the orthography and transliteration

Editing a volume of this nature, spanning the music of Arab, Ottoman Turkish, Persian and Central Asian worlds and from the Middle Ages to the present day, involves some formidable orthographic and transliteration problems. Common names and technical terms are often rendered quite differently in the various (national and disciplinary) traditions of scholarship represented here and even over the course of time in the work of a single author. Scholarship demands accuracy in these matters, of course, but the general musicological reader, a reader who might not, for instance, be a scholar of Middle Eastern languages, also has legitimate demands – for recognizable and pronounceable versions of common terms and names, for instance, and for a measure of consistency across the text. We have aimed for a balance. Individual contributors have generally been guided by IJMES and stylistic norms in their own traditions of scholarship (philological, musicological, anthropological, ethnomusicological and so forth), as well as their own tastes in such matters. Common and recurrent names (Cantemir, Ali Ufkî, Maraghi) have been harmonized across the volume, favouring simple, recognizable versions. Technical terms have been a more complicated matter. Here, we have attempted to harmonize different versions regionally, but not impose uniformity
where the same term might mean something different in different contexts or simply look or feel out of place. So, in the Turkish contexts, makam and gazel, in South and Central Asian contexts, maqām and ghazal. We have also avoided, where possible, Arabic, Turkish and Persian plurals, preferring the English -s. So makams and maqāms rather than makamlar or maqāmāt. Inevitably there will be some inconsistencies and errors of judgement. But we ask the reader to be tolerant and, where in doubt, to consult the glossary or index where, we believe, many (if not most) of these matters will be resolved.
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Giambattista Toderini (Venice, 1728–1799) was a Jesuit abbot who arrived in Constantinople in October 1781, following a busy career as a scholar and teacher, to join the following of Venetian ambassador (bailo) Agostino Garzoni and his wife Pisana Quirini Stampalia, as theologian and tutor for their son. Here he stayed – as he writes in the Introduction of his Letteratura – between October 1781 and May 1786, living in Garzoni’s house. In the first months of his stay he began to use his free time with some absent-minded research that followed his earlier interests. Yet, little by little the idea came to life of writing a history of the print works in Constantinople, with a survey of all the existing published books. This idea enlarged and led him to the decision to study the whole of Turkish literature and to an investigation throughout the main archives and libraries of Constantinople that would take form in the huge essay Letteratura Turchesca (“Turkish Literature”) published in Venice in three tomes by Giacomo Storti in 1787, just one year after his return. Through the centuries this work gave him universal fame and became a point of reference for Ottoman studies. It also provides a source for Ottoman music studies; the reason for musicological interest is the long Chapter 16 (30 pages and two engravings) that concludes the first volume of the work, entitled, simply, Musica (“Music”) and entirely dedicated to Ottoman music. This is the topic of this chapter and, in its first English translation, a gift for Owen Wright.

If his last work is well known, the same cannot be said about Toderini’s life and the rest of his publications, so it is worth briefly remembering here the life and work of a world-renowned scholar. A thin biography of the author can be reconstructed, mostly thanks to the works of his Jesuit brethren. Born in Venice on 27 June 1728, to Domenico Maria Toderini and Anna Maria Cestari, he entered the Jesuit order at the age of 20 (al quarto lustro) and after a formative period he taught philosophy in Verona and Forlì. According to French scholar de Lalande, in 1765 he was in Verona working as an antiquarian, collecting old medals of Gothic kings.2

The scarcity of biographical information is redeemed by his consistent work: his most famous Letteratura Turchesca was preceded by a long series of essays on various topics, all far from Ottoman themes, as Dissertazione sopra un legno...

In *L’onesto uomo ovvero saggi di morale filosofia dai principii della ragione* (“The Honest Man or Essays in Moral Philosophy from the Principles of Reason”), published in 1781, Toderini exposes his position – typical of a cultivated and up-to-date man – of moderate hostility (given his background) to French Illuminismo. On the authority of the Greek and Latin Classics, he severely criticizes the *spiriti libertini* (“libertine spirits”) of Bayle, Helvetius, d’Alembert, whom he accused of undermining the foundations of the State with their irreligious ideas. Yet his position is not completely conservative and Toderini adopts a more moderate approach to some questions, such as his complete disapproval of torture. In view of his knowledge of French and his literary production in this language, together with his reputation as man of balanced judgement, the Republic of Venice gave him the appointment of censor, a task he accomplished with severity, except for some curious oversights, such as the permission granted to publish Voltaire’s *Candide* and *L’ingenu*.

While he was in Constantinople, already attending to his *Letteratura*, Toderini wrote three dissertations: *Nuove osservazioni sopra il camaleonte di Smirne*, *Sull’andamento dei quadrupedi* e *Dissertazione epistolare sopra due antichissimi Alcorani e alcune monete cufiche* (“New Observations Concerning the Chameleon of Smyrna”, “On the Manner of Walking of the Quadrupeds” and “Epistolary Dissertation on Two Very Old Qur’ans and some Kufic Coins”). It is of a certain biographical importance to note here that he dedicated this last dissertation – this time a study on an Oriental and Islamic topic – to the erudite Cardinal Stefano Borgia (1731–1804). This is of interest because we find the same Cardinal as a central actor in the only anecdote we possess on Toderini’s life, related by his Jesuit brother Giannantonio Moschini (1773–1840). This episode took place when Toderini had already returned to Venice from Constantinople:

This valorous wearer-of-purple (i.e. Cardinal Borgia) was in Venice for the conclave and, among many other lovers of science and letters, our abbot
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Toderini was presented to him. He introduced himself as the author of the *Letteratura Turchesca* and was answered, “Bravo! Bravo!” But the cardinal did not stop here and asked him if he knew the Turkish language perfectly; having understood that he did not have any idea, he replied to Toderini: “Oh, a thousand times bravo, to know how to write the history of the literature of a nation, not knowing its language, and to decide on the authors, not knowing their idiom.”

Modern readers’ sensibilities may require some comment on this anecdote. At that time, a scholar in the entourage of an ambassador, as Toderini served in the entourage of bailo Garzoni, did not serve as an expert Orientalist in the modern linguistic sense. Apart from the fact that Orientalism at that time was in its infancy, what was valued was rather the figure of a curious and intelligent man, i.e. capable of *intelligere* (“to find, to connect”) in the etymological Latin sense. As we will see, an ambassadorial milieu, with its contacts among the dragomans6 and the Ottoman intellectual elite, proved essential for the research of any European author. Venetian and, later, European scholars relied on a network of contacts that, in the old-fashioned anthropologist’s jargon, could be defined as “informants”. From this point of view we may agree with Cardinal Borgia: bravo! Toderini proved to be an intelligent man who served, as best he could, the Republic of Venice and the world of scholars. Be that as it may, after his return to Venice and the publication of the *Letteratura Turchesca*, Toderini closed the writing phase of his life and he passed away in July 1799 in the Santi Apostoli parish, near the Jesuit convent of Venice.7

The Venetian context

The *Letteratura Turchesca* has to be considered in the wider context of relations between Venice and Constantinople. Putting aside their close mutual relations in the Byzantine epoch, in the “new” Constantinople conquered by the Ottomans existed already from the fifteenth century a so-called *casa bailaggia* (“home of the bailo”) that the Venetians, by permission of Sultan Mehmed II “Fatih”, received from a merchant community from Ancona. Later, in the sixteenth century, the Venetian community moved to a part of Galata called “Pera”, preferred for the “more salubrious air”.8 Here they began by renting a house from a family that furnished them with interpreters. Subsequently, they bought and restored a house in Tomtom Sokak, which became what is nowadays the Italian consulate in Turkey. Thanks to this privileged vantage point, Venetian knowledge of the Ottoman world was more accurate compared to the rest of Europe, if only for the written accounts9 that Venetian ambassadors were obliged to give to the Senate at the end of their tenure. In general, such relations dealt almost exclusively with politics, diplomacy and war strategies, but with time a genuine interest in Ottoman culture developed in Venice, one that produced works such as *Gl’Annali Turcheschi* (“The Turkish annals”) by Francesco Sansovino (1573), dedicated to...
the Sultans and their histories, the milestone *Della letteratura de Turchi* ("On the Literature of the Turks") by *bailo* Giovan Battista Donado (1688) and finally our *Letteratura Turchesca*.

Despite the existing net of dragomans and translators, over time the formation of an original Venetian school of young interpreters became an important issue. This was a central theme under *bailo* Giovan Battista Donado (1627–1699), who encouraged the birth of a school of young interpreters called *giovani di lingua* and who himself studied Turkish language with Venetian-Armenian Giovanni Agop (1635–1691), author of one of the first Turkish grammars. From the Donado entourage we should remember the names of dragoman Gian Rinaldo Carli (1646–1720) and of the young Antonio Benetti (c.1640–1685), who wrote an interesting three-volume book on his sojourn in Constantinople in the following of *bailo* Donado. The four *giovani di lingua* (Benetti with Francesco Flangini, Stefano Fortis and Antonio Paoluzzi) produced a collective book of translations from the Ottoman and it is noteworthy that all these works were published at the end of Donado’s tenure, like a kind of manifesto, in the same year of 1688 and under the same Venetian publisher, Andrea Poletti.

Compared to a powerful ambassador like Donado, Toderini was a single scholar who could not rely on an entourage of men of letters dealing with Ottoman themes. Yet, as he states in his Introduction, *bailo* Agostino Garzoni provided him with connections in the Ottoman government and among Ottoman intellectuals. Thanks to his character, to the passion that animated his research, and maybe to his position as abbot, somehow similar to an Islamic scholar-cleric, Toderini became friends with *ulema* and *muftis*; he received their advice (even a *fetve*) and was granted access to the main libraries in Constantinople and in the Seraglio. On strictly linguistic matters, he dealt mostly with dragoman Giovanni Battista Calavrò Imberti, who was described as “valente nel turco e versato nelle belle lettere latine, ed italiane” (“able in Turkish language and versed in Latin and Italian *belles lettres*”), and he relied on documents translated into the main European languages, often asking advice from European colleagues and specialists.

In the context of this relationship between Venice and Constantinople, Toderini’s main work was sometimes considered a mere “diplomatic move” without circulation, as was certainly the case with Donado’s valuable and ground-breaking *Della Letteratura*. On the contrary, the circulation and good reception of Toderini’s main work among the European audience of intellectuals and colleagues (*la repubblica dei letterati*) of the late eighteenth century seems to be proved by the many reviews that the work received immediately after its publication and by the two translations, into the French and German languages, that were published in the brief three-year span after its issue. In sum, in the wider context of eighteenth-century curiosity for the *turcherie*, and a general interest in travel chronicles, the *Letteratura Turchesca* arrived as a solid point of reference that was more and more appreciated as a first-hand source of information on Ottoman culture.
European travellers and their observations of Ottoman music

Even if privileged from the beginning, the Republic of Venice was not the only nation dealing with the Ottoman Empire and having a seat in Constantinople so that, at least from the sixteenth century, there flourished a whole literature based on the observations of foreign travellers and scholars writing in French and English. Of interest to our particular musical perspective are the observations on the music and musical instruments of a Mevlevî ritual (semâ, âyin, mukabele) made through the centuries by many European travellers and scholars, such as the musician Pietro della Valle in 1614; the short musical transcription of a vocal composition on the verses Hey Ki Hezar Aferyn, also from a Mevlevî rite, that can be read in an account by du Loir; the observations on Turkish music and musical instruments made by the English writer, John Covel (1670–1679), and the Venetian, Donado, who appends in the conclusion of his essay some musical transcriptions that would be criticized by Toderini for being based on a Western 12 equal semitone system. We can read another description of a Mevlevî ritual, complete with a transcription made by Sieur Chabert, in Charles de Ferriol (1714–1715) that Toderini knew (and criticized). In 1716 the famous Gabinetto armonico pieno d’Instrumenti by Filippo Bonanni was published, in which we find a description and tables of Ottoman instruments: a first essay in modern organology. Toderini did not know of a long and detailed article written in 1751 in Constantinople by the young French jeune de langue Charles Fonton (1725–1793), with illustrations made by his friend Jean-Baptiste Adanson (1732–1803). He also did not seem to know the observations on music at the Seraglio, in their Italian translation published in 1679, made by music-pageboy Wojciech ‘Ali Ufkî Bobowski (1610–c.1675), better known to Western scholars by his Latinized name Albertus Bobovius Leopolitanus.

Toderini’s chapter on music

Chapter 16 Musica (30 pages, from 222 to 252, with two engravings) concludes the first volume of the Letteratura Turchesca. In it, Toderini deals with many topics that I will not comment on in view of space limits, preferring to present here the full text and leave the field open to other scholars. Its themes include Ottoman music history, which is traced following Cantemir; its theories as they relate to Arab–Persian musicology; alphabetical Greek and Arab/Persian notation; description of musical instruments; the division of the octave into 24 unequal microtones, and ending with epistolary exchanges with his Jesuit brethren Abbott Pizzati (1732–1803) and Abbott Juan Andrés (1740–1817). The chapter concludes with two engravings that became very famous: the first of a long-necked lute tanbûr, with its fretting and its immanent musical system, and the second of a piece called Concerto Turco Nominato Izia Semaisi, which is the well-known Hicaz Son Yûrük Semâ‘î that concludes at least three Mevlevî âyin.
The chapter, written in a rather antiquated Italian and with an obsolete syntax and punctuation, is nevertheless perfectly readable. I have maintained Toderini’s transliteration of Arab, Persian and Ottoman terms and names. All over the chapter, the author marks his footnotes with a Latin letter in italics, abbreviating the source in the footnote: I have restored these abbreviations for the sake of the reader.

Chapter XVI

Music

The Turks of Constantinople lived without the art, or the science, of music until Amurat IV in 1047 of Hegira. The cruel conqueror ordered that 30,000 Persians be murdered under his eyes. When the most part of the slaughter was finished, Schah Culi, the Orpheus of Persia, found a way to present himself to the fiery Sultan, singing on the harp the tragic Baghdad massacre and the triumph of the victorious, with an enthusiasm excited by the most passionate nature. The concert was so plaintive and wonderful that the hard heart of that barbarous sweetened, and he could not refrain from tears of compassion. The slaughter was suspended and the excellent player saved the life of an immense population. Amurat took him to Constantinople with four other players and they established among the Turks the sweetest science of music (a).24

Under Mahomet IV, writes Cantimir (b),25 we saw [Music] not only revive but arrive at its perfection by wonderful virtue of Osman Efendi, who trained many instrumentalists and singers. Among the players flourished with a great name in Constantinople, Dervisch Othman and his disciple Curuschunij Ogli, and two Greeks who taught this art to Cantimir. Among the singers, this metropolis counts four of the most illustrious Muslims. I observe, nevertheless, that before this epoch among the Turks lived with great fame Mustafâ Tchengni (a).26

From 1691 of the Christian era, the learned prince Cantimir dedicated himself to learning Turkish music on instruments, deeply studying its difficult theory. Then, at the request of Daul Ismael Efendi, treasurer of the Empire, and Latif Ktelebi, treasurer of the Seraglio, both experts in this art and great music lovers, he composed a scientific treatise on the art of music in Turkish language, and dedicated it to Sultan Acmet II. This treatise, even if he writes (b)27 “j’apprends qu’on s’en sert par-tout pour apprendre cet art”, is nowadays extremely rare. Nevertheless I found the Cantimir manuscript in the Turkish language, without introduction or dedication, entitled Tarifu ilmil-musikì ala veghi machus or “Explanation of Music in a More Detailed Way”.

To Cantimir the Turks owe musical notation, which he firstly applied when composing a rare book of Turkish melodies (original: arie turchesche).
These are listened to with pleasure even nowadays and are called Cantimir’s airs. After long and diligent research, I found a copy: I saw the notations, which consist of Turkish letters and numbers, like the alphabet letters that were in use by ancient Greeks (α) and by the Romans and Italians, before Benedictine Guido d’Arezzo found the points and, after 300 years, Giovanni Maria Parigino developed the musical notation that we use in the present day.

But finding the theory too difficult, the Turks put aside musical notation and returned to composing and playing everything by memory, as in the past.

Turkish music is based on solid principles and rules, so that it can really be defined as theoretical. Cantimir’s work is based upon such principles, as well as upon the Arab and Persian treatises that deal with the argument; the same Turkish Airs of Cantimir (original: Arie Turchescche del Cantimir) were composed according to these rules; Turkish music was written with European notes in proper time and measure in the old book Letteratura de’ Turchi and in the book of Ambassador Ferriol (a), as some connoisseurs showed me after I had posed many questions and made many requests. Finally, the essay on Turkish music is based on rules and principles that the reader can see at the end of the present chapter, which I was asked to prepare, and realized with great study and difficulty with European musical notation. In brief, the Turkish have all the tempos and tones (original: tutti li tempi e li tuoni) as well as all the intonations that we have, but they are richer in semitones and in melody.

Playing music without scores, as is done among the Turks, does not mean to be without principles and musical rules; the second proposition does not derive from the first. The Arabs, as the Persians, completely lack musical notation and yet they invented rules and studied with doctrine the science that they called Ilmi Musiki, which means “Science of Music”. There are in Constantinople some of the best masters who in order to compose music use some signs and notes a capriccio but always in the same way, to help the memory. So we cannot agree with the letter n. 38 of M. Guis, in which he tries to prove that the Turkish lack a theory of music (a). Turkish music comprehends the 12 semitones, like our music, but they know how to divide them in smaller parts on the instruments, as we will see, so that Turkish music abounds in melody. For this reason it is no wonder if the Turks, with ears accustomed to such melodious sounds (original: melodici concenti), do not delight themselves in European music, which they consider less melodious, even if consonant and harmonious.

The majority of upper-class and noble Turks take pleasure in music, which, as was among the Greeks, is a part of their whole system of education and culture. Niebuhr’s claim – that Turks of high social condition think that they would lose honour if they learn music – is false (a). In his Republic, Plato recommended that the young study the science of music for three years. The Turks study and practice music for a long time, moreover.
on string instruments and on the Nei. They keep male and female slaves who can play for their delectation. Yet, the lords do not want to be heard in public, unless playing the Nei among friends, because this is considered as an instrument of study.37 This I learned from Ibraimo Efendi in the meadows of Bojux-derë,38 when an Ulemà friend of mine played for me together with the best Dervis Mevlevi, who came that day in order to make me listen to the sweetest sound of that instrument.

Mr. Guer (a)39 wrote that the Seraglio infirmary resonates with music and voices from dawn to dusk, in order to cheer the melancholic and sad souls of the patients. The same error was reported in a recent Italian pamphlet. This is false, as many, above all Mr. Gobis, 20 years physician of the Seraglio, confirmed to me.

Many pageboys learn music and often they pretend to be sick in order to benefit from the freedom that the Infirmary allows them. In fact, this is remote from the inner part of the Seraglio, so that they can communicate more easily with the persons from outside: they make them call and then they drink wine together, indulging in excess. For exercise, or for pleasure, they often make music for hours on end; it is for this reason that music and singing can be heard in the Infirmary, and from this derives the error of the above-mentioned writers.

The music cultivated today by Greeks in Constantinople, except for the liturgical chant, which shows art, and the romeca, which is not of much interest, is entirely Turkish music. On the basis of this they adapt Greek songs that they notate with their horizontal, oblique, curved, half-circled and elliptical lines, with squared and round points, and other similar signs.

In Chalcedon I was looking for music books in the Greek church of Saint Euphemia: we will not write here that this is the church where the Council was held,40 as did Niebuhr (a)41 and Grelot (b),42 but in another one, not so far, more antique and more ruined, where there is an agiasma, or a source of baptismal water. The parson of the church, by tradition, believed that this was the real site of the Council, as we can find in Evagrio Scolastico (English: Evagrius Scholasticus), among the Gillio (c),43 the Turnefort (d)44 and the Spond (e),45 who mention a marble inscription in which the Council was quoted.

After many talks the Parson showed me a little book with a few pages in Greek musical notation, and gave it to me as a present, which I conserve among my manuscripts.

Turkish music, as we saw, is Persian modern. In fact, under the reign of Cosroe Parviz,46 in the time of Emperor Heraclius and Mohammed, music flourished among the Persians. Barbud,47 who lived at the court of that king, was considered the Orpheus of the Persia. In those days, on the contrary, the Arabs were uncouth in science, being good only at poetry, for the perfection and elegance of their tongue is evident in the Koran and in the early Arab poets. So it seems very probable to me that the Arab Muslims
learned this practice from the Persians. In fact the Soavi(a)\(^48\) writes that the Arabs learned music from the Persians, taking from them many musical terms.

When the arts and the sciences were finally taken and cultivated by the Arabs, many Arab authors who wrote on music flourished. This science was treated not only according to the mathematical sciences, but also according to the rules of musical taste in singing and playing. Many Arab authors are quoted by Hagi Calfah,\(^49\) by Herbelot and by Casiri \((a)\)\(^50\) and many treatises can be found in the Constantinopolitan libraries of Atif, Sultan Osman and Raghib Pascià, as the Muderis of the Valide told me.\(^51\) In the Index of the Seraglio Library I found the book entitled Introduction to Music by Kadaji. The work Medchalul Musikì Farabì, or Introduction to Music by Alfarabio, passed away in 343 of the Hegira, is in the catalogue of the Sultan Abullhamid library; yet, after meticulous and repeated searches, we could not find the text. The Farabian manuscript called Elements of Music, present at the Escurial, as Casiri writes \((a)\)\(^52\) deals with the principles of the art, with the composition of the voices and musical notation of the Arabs, completed by more than 30 illustrations of musical instruments.

I wrote to the very erudite Abbot Andres\(^53\) that in my opinion these illustrations were made in later times, after Farabi, and that the musical notation could not be considered similar to ours, neither in power nor in function, because no Muslim book on music was ever seen with such signs.

The Abbott kindly answered me that the codex was not the original manuscript nor contemporary to Farabi, and sent me the musical notations, which are rather signs indicating sound proportions, as we can see in Persian and Turkish treatises: we will come back to this argument later, because at the end of our chapter we will report his erudite letter in its entirety.

The Arabs do not lack treatises on musical instruments, quoted by Hagi Calfah, by Herbelot and Casiri \((a)\).\(^54\) Yet, among the Arabs, art music\(^55\) fell in a state of decline many years ago, while Persians, who wrote many treatises on this topic, always cultivated it. Among these authors, we would like to remember Abù Aluja Ibn Sahid, who deals with singing and instruments, distinguishing between wind instruments and plucked instruments (original: stromenti da fiato e da tasto).\(^56\) The Chevalier Chardin\(^57\) took the codex to Europe together with other treatises. From this author, he gave us some geometrical figures that surely deal with musical art.\(^58\)

Mr. Akerblad,\(^59\) a Swedish traveller very good in Oriental languages, told me that he saw in Constantinople a Turkish music treatise with circles and other geometrical figures, entitled Edvari Musikì (Circles of Music). These are the musical notations we find in Arab, Persian and Turkish books, as I also learned from the Muderis of the Valide.
On the musical instruments played by the Turks

In order to complete this chapter, I present to the reader some information on the musical instruments played by Turks and an essay on their music – to the best of my ability – in Western notation.

Chamber music instruments

1. Keman, a violin like ours.
2. Ajakli Keman, a type of a violin with a foot that is played like our bass.
3. Sinè Keman, a viola d’amore.
4. Rebab, a two-stringed bowed instrument, round as a sphere, with a small hole in the convex part, but for some years not much used by the Turks.
5. Tambur, an eight-stringed instrument, seven in steel and one in copper, with a very long neck. The setting of the pitches is on the frets. As I saw many times, it is played with a thin flexible plate of tortoise shell. There is no hole.
6. Neì, a type of a transverse flute of reed. It can produce a thin sound similar to the German flute, or a sound similar to the human voice.
7. Ghirif, a sort of smaller neì which does not produce a low voice like the bigger one.
8. There is also the neì piccolo, which is not used in performance.
9. Mescal, an instrument with many unequal reeds that plays many octaves, like the rustic panpipes. The Turkish version has up to twenty-three reeds and each can produce three different sounds, according to the blowing technique.
10. Santur, or psaltery, similar to ours, with metal strings which are hit with little rods.
11. Canun, a sort of psaltery with strings of sheep or cow gut. It is played by women in the Seraglio with tortoise-shell thimbles that have a piece of coconut on the point.
12. Dairè, a large circular [drum] three inches [in diameter] with the skin on one side only; thanks to small metal bars, a pair of small round brass plates are fixed in five places, that accompany the main percussive sound with their tinkling sound. The Dairè is used to mark the beat.

Mecter hanè, military music instruments

1. Zurnà, similar in its form and its sound to the oboe.
2. Kabà Zurnà, the same, but larger, with a baritone voice.
3. Borù, a type of small brass trumpet.
4. Zil, Moorish instrument. Two round brass plates with a small hemispherical cavity in the middle. On the convex side there are two handles to hold and beat the two plates against each other.

5. Daul, a larger than the ordinary drum, with a wooden resonating box. It is played with a large stick in one hand and a thinner one in the other, following the tempo of the piece.\(^{63}\)

6. Tombelek, or Naarà, a type of small wooden kettledrums; the diameter is little more than half a foot.

7. Kios, large copper kettledrums that are commonly placed on camels.

The supreme Vizier, and the Pashas, as well as the Captain Pasha, or the great Admiral of the fleet, all have a band of military instruments with many players. The princes of Wallachia and Moldavia have the same in their courts. The royal band of the Constantinople Sultan, composed of Turkish musicians, is grandiose; it plays during the two Bairam, for the Donalmà,\(^ {64}\) at the feasts for the birth of the sultanas, on other occasions for rejoicing, and at the Sultan’s will. All play in unison: some play the higher octave and others play the lower octave, because this is the nature of Turkish music.

In the Seraglio there is a numerous company of chamber musicians for the Sultan, which consists only of Turks. They play music for the Emperor’s delight several times a week. Outside the Seraglio live some of the best musicians who can be found in Constantinople: Greeks, Armenians, Turks and Jews. The Greek, Anastasio, and the Armenian, Rafael, are highly renowned for their excellence in music and on the violin: Rafael is very cultivated and plays the \textit{tambur} impressively, but the two Turks play wonderfully this instrument. Some dervishes from the Tekies play the \textit{nei} with great art; the instrument is very cultivated and appreciated by them.

After much research, I found the famous \textit{Sach culì}\(^ {65}\) sonata, which saved the life of many Persians: it is nowadays conserved by tradition and is played by the best masters in Constantinople. The \textit{Pescerfi Bagdati Fetichi}, or “Sonata on the taking of Baghdad”, is nowadays called “Muselic”, as I learned from the erudite player Rafael Armeno; he sweetly played for me on the string instrument called \textit{tambur}. It seemed to me capable of entering straight to the heart, and gave me a wonderful delight: I consider the Sonata as the most illustrious monument in all music, well deserving of the entire Humanity.\(^ {66}\)

The Mevelì\(^ {67}\) dervishes, named in this way after their founder, having introduced the dance, as a religious cult, in their Oratorio\(^ {68}\) (which in no way they would call a mosque) practise a great deal of music, and are among the best musicians. They play wind instruments and kettledrums, as I saw when
I was at their swirling dances, where they whirl rapidly like a spinning top. They play the *nei* finely, not using the top joint of the finger (apart from the little finger), as we do, but using the second extension, the phalanx, of the finger. It is an easy instrument, all open above, of a singular sweetness and similar to the human voice.

The air to which the dervishes dance can be seen in European notation in the illustrious work of the Ambassador de Ferriol (*a*); yet when it was read and played on the violin by a master, the Turkish listeners didn’t recognize it at all, and had a good laugh. In honesty, some pitches cannot be expressed by European notation. It is necessary to create new figures and notations and give them the correct values: this is a thorny matter that needs long meditation and uncommon skill, both in our own as well as in Turkish music.

**Essay in Turkish music**

In order to give a clear idea of the character and the theory of Turkish music, it seems convenient to compare it to our European music. The latter is composed of 12 semitones, while the Turkish has 24; 12 are the same semitones that we have, while the other 12 are parts of our semitones. Six are larger and six are smaller than our semitone. Thus, Turkish masters divide each European tone into four parts, or grades.

In order to distinguish more clearly, and to perceive the difference between our tones and the Turkish ones, I present the scales here in Table I, which was prepared and realized with much art by a good master of European music, with a certain knowledge of Turkish music, helped by two good musicians of Ottoman music. The sign of an “O” cross-cut denotes a tone smaller or larger than a sharp: smaller if it is before the sharp, larger if it is after the sharp. We have always to remember that Turks divide a single European tone in four parts. None of the notes marked with the sign “X” are used in our music, but are necessary in Turkish music, which is very rich in melody, though lacking in harmony, being played only in unison.

Not all the Turkish musical instruments are capable of such a division. Some of them are lacking in some parts, as the *tambur* that we can see in Table I, an instrument with a very long neck, provided with eight metallic strings: its division is depicted in the Table with its alterations, sharps, flats and naturals with the sign “O” cross-cut, that we have already described above. Yet, the instrument contains all the tones necessary for all its intonations. Playing the viola d’amore, they use these semitones in all the octaves.

Now, let us see Table I and II, which present to the reader a new endeavour, an attempt to express Turkish music in our European notation, approved
Figure 5.1. Comparison between Italian and Turkish pitches, with tanbûr tablature, from Toderini 1787.
by experts in this music. Even the non-experts, when listening to these
Ottoman arias and sonatas, will be capable of perceiving the genius and
the spirit of this music, which will not happen if they play from the musical
scores arranged by Mr. Ferriol, French Ambassador at the Sublime Door, or
in the earlier ones from the Letteratura de’ Turchi by Bailo Donado, cited
above.

This knowledge may open a new field for the masters, in order to enrich
and embellish Italian music, enlightening the scientific theory and the obscure
history of music in Greek and Latin authors. The Abbot Pizzati, illustrious
in the Literary Republic for his original work entitled “Science of Sounds
and Harmony”,71 is highly appreciated by the illustrious father Martini, who
asked for the portrait of the author and put it in his gallery among the great
scientific writers on music. When he saw the present chapter, he wrote to me
what follows in a friendly letter, after the usual greetings, honouring my little
work.

“I have read the exact and diligent exposition that You have made of the
musical scale of the Turks. And this proves the not-so-small errors commit-
ted by those who believe that only the musical intervals that we have in our
diatonic scale are necessary for musical practice; as if such practice should
depend only on our division of the scale, and as if a better one should not
exist. There is no doubt that the diatonic musical scale of the Turks, as we
can see in Your work, is more complete than ours, because it is richer in
voices,72 compared to our division, the Turks dividing the tone into more
parts; from this, we can see that their melodies are without doubt more varied
and graceful.

“As far as I have observed, among their musical intervals the Turks have an
interval which corresponds to the Seventh, and that consists of a ratio of 1:7,
or 9:15 ¾. In my work I call this interval the ‘Harmonic Seventh’; it is dif-
ferent from our usual Seventh that consists of the ratio 9:16. The first is with-
out doubt better than the second: the same resonance of the stretched string
manifests and suggests such an interval. If only we had that interval, we could
go with ease to the higher octave of a given tonic in our scale, thanks to the
harmonies of the fundamental strings of a tone, or musical mode, as I showed
in my work. The Turks should do the same, if their music was not limited, as
You teach us, to melody alone, as was Greek music. According to the opin-
ion of many, it is capable, due to this characteristic, of entering the soul and
producing the effects narrated by many stories. Putting aside any question
of Greek music, I will only say that where we deal with musical instruments
which have stable voices and where it would be impossible to multiply the
intervals of our usual diatonic scale, still it would be good and desirable that
our professors would study and practise a larger number of intervals than we
usually consider in our scales, in order to use them when necessary, as did the famous Tartini. But commonly in practical matters we follow the easier path, omitting the more perfect.” Etc.

Let me report here also the letter that the eminent Abbott Andres wrote me: speaking about the Farabi manuscript, with erudition and learning, he commented on an extract of my work that the immortal Casiri gave him, and his opinion on Arab music can conclude this chapter.

Mantua, 29 March 1785

“I finally had sight of Your very interesting work in the Novelle Letterarie di Firenze. I am delighted, and I congratulate you. If Leibnizio among all the works he saw in Venice, held in great esteem the slight and less well-processed work of Donado, what would he have said of the vast and erudite work that You are preparing on the same topic? I wish You health and ease in finishing it and publishing it, waiting with impatience. Thank You for the news You are giving me. About the clock of the Arabs (a pendulum-clock), I myself have suggested many books to Casiri, asking him to consult them, but his age did not permit it, and the fact that many manuscripts are at the Escurial, many miles from Madrid, render the thing rather difficult for him. Of the Farabi manuscript, You are right: it is not his own, but a copy that Kamel made from an antique manuscript from Zaragoza from Aben Pace, his master. Here are Casiri’s words:

“‘Manuscript in Kufic letters found in Cordoba, without a note on the year [of writing], in which we have a work from the most excellent philosopher Abi Nesser Mohamad Ben Mohamad Al Pharabi, whose life we quoted above, entitled Elements of Music and divided in three parts: the first deals with the principles of this art; the second with both vocal and instrumental compositions; the third deals with compositions of various genres, with musical notation and more than 30 figures of musical instruments. This manuscript, among other very ancient ones, (comes) from Cæsaraugusta [today’s Tarragona] by the ancient philosopher Abi Baker Ben Alsalegh Ebn Bageh, commonly known as Aben Pace; he described himself as a witness to Abilhassonus Ben Abi Kamel Cordubensis, a student of the same Aben Pace. So it is plausible that the manuscript was written within the year 525 Hegira, because it is said that in the same year Aben Pace passed away.75

“When I received an extract from this manuscript, I found in it illustrations of 30 musical instruments, and others of musical compositions. The instrument here is the Al-Chaherud, invented in Samarkand by Hakim Ben Ahrias, and we can see illustrations of many other string and wind instruments. The manuscript contains also the Tables of Farabi, where, by lines and letters, he explains the musical capabilities of some instruments. I thought it
good to make a copy for You, on the enclosed paper, of an instrument called Al-Rabab, and on another the harmony of the voices of 15 strings, on the Fourth, Fifth and Octave and never on the Third. This may shed some light on Greek music for You; moreover, looking at the entire manuscript, realizing how the entire Musical doctrine is taken from the Greeks, I also want to copy the Index of Your work, and send it to Spain: if this produces some news on the points You are dealing with, I will let You know. For the moment You can see that, even if some figures could be later additions, other must be from Farabi, and, in any case, they are used by the Arabs. I have appreciated very much the news You gave me of Mr. de Villoison and the Count de Choiseul Gouffier. If You have any similar news to give me, or other questions to ask me, it will honour me, with esteem, etc.”

_End of the First Volume_

Notes

2 de Lalande 1786: p. 229.
3 Berengo 1956: p. 204.
4 The three dissertations were later collected and edited by Domenico Maria Toderini (Toderini 1810).
6 From Arab *tarjumān*, derives Turkish *tercūman* and Italian *dragomanno* (antiquely also *turcimanno*): “translator”.
7 From this note by Moschini, this author learns that I am not only Toderini’s fellow citizen but also his parishioner.
8 Pedani 2010: p. 18.
9 Now collected in Firpo 1984, and in Pedani-Fabris 1996.
10 Agop 1685.
12 Benetti 1688.
13 Benetti et al. 1688.
14 Toderini 1789, 1790. According to Paolo Preto, a Spanish translation made by the Chilean Jesuit Warcisse Vas remained unpublished.
15 Observations transcribed, translated into English and annotated in De Zorzi 2015.
20 de Ferriol 1714–1715: p. 26. A text under the score says: “Air sur lequel tournent les Derviches de Pera, noté par le Sieur Chabert qui était avec M. de Ferriol et qui en a composé la Basse.”
22 The *Concerto* has been recorded several times: for example by Concerto Köln with the *Ensemble Sarband* in *Dream of the Orient*, Deutsche Grammophon, 2003, CD: 474 193–2. Track 4.
23 In chronological order, the anonymous *hicaz son yürük semâî* concludes the *âyin* in *maqam beyati* composed by Derviş Küşçek Mustafa Dede (d. 1683), from Edirne. The same *hicaz son yürük semâî* concludes the *âyin* in *maqam hicaz* composed by Musahip “Vardakosta” Ahmed Ağa (1724–1794) and the *âyin* in *maqam hicaz* composed by Abdurrahim Kunhi Dede (1769–1831). Toderini and/or his assistants could have listened to the *hicaz son yürük semâî* from one of the quoted *âyin*.
25 (b) L. c. T. II: p. 235 (Toderini’s footnote).
26 (a) Herb. alla voce Mostafà. (Toderini’s footnote). Herb. alludes here to Barthélémy d’Herbelot de Molainville (1625–1695) and the entry “Mostafà” from his enormous *Bibliothèque orientale, ou Dictionnaire universel contenant tout ce qui fait connaître les peuples de l’Orient (…)* (Paris: par la Compagnie des Libraires, 1697). Republished in Maastricht (1776), The Hague (4 vols, 1777–1799) and in Paris (6 vols, 1781–1783) with contributions by Orientalists such as Albert Schultens, Johann Jacob Reiske, Claude de Visdelou and Antoine Galland.
27 Histh. Oth. T II, page 327 (Toderini’s footnote). As above, the author refers here to the French version: Cantemir, 1743.
28 *Alipii Tabulae* (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author clearly refers to the Greek author Alypius (fourth century BC) and to the fragments in Greek of his *Εἰσαγωγὴ Μουσικῆ* (*Isagoghe musikè*, “Introduction to Music”) known in the West as the *Alipii Tabulae*. The manuscript, propriety of Dutch humanist Joseph Justus Scaliger (1540–1609), was translated and published for the first time in Leiden, Meursius, only in 1616.
In original Italian: “ritrovasse i punti”. In a rather obscure way, Toderini with “points” alludes here to the symbols of the notes in the musical staff notation.

A transcription made by Charles Fonton of a tune entitled Air de Cantemir can be read in Fonton 1989: p. 11.

Toderini alludes here to Donado 1688.

(a) Recueil des cent estampes, p. 26, à Paris, 1714; (Toderini’s footnote). Here and later on Toderini alludes to Ferriol 1714–1715.

I leave here the original Italian term a capriccio (“following one’s fancy”) indicating a free approach to the tempo (and possibly the style) of the piece.

(a) Voy. Littér. de la Grèce, T II; (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author alludes to Guys 1776.

Abbona di multiplice melodia: literally, “abounds in multiple melody”. Toderini seems to refer here to the richness that the “smaller” intervals give to the melos: a monophony with many (multiple) intervals inside the melody.

Niebuhr, Voy. En Arabie, T. I. p. 142 (Toderini’s footnote). The author alludes here, and below, to Karsten (or Carsten) Niebuhr (1733–1815) and to his Reisebeschreibung von Arabien und anderen umliegenden Ländern, first published in 1772. French and Dutch translations of Niebuhr’s narratives were published already during his lifetime; here Toderini very probably indicates his Voyage en Arabie & en d’autres pays circonvoisins (Niebuhr 1776).

In the Italian original, “stromento di studio”: it is unclear if Toderini meant by “study” the normal study and practice of a given instrument, or if he alluded to a form of inner practice, typical of the world of ney, and among Mevlevî dervishes.

Nowadays Buyûk Dere, although the meadows have disappeared.

Mœurs et usages des Turcs. T. II. P. 92 (Toderini’s footnote). The author alludes here to Guer 1746–1747.

Toderini refers here to the famous Council of Chalcedon that took place in 451 AD, probably in contemporary Kadiköy, on the Asian side of the Bosphorus.


(b) Relation d’un Voy. de Constantinop. p. 50 (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author alludes to Guillaume Joseph Grelot (c.1630–?) and to his Relation nouvelle d’un voyage de Constantinople. Enrichie de plans levez par l’auteur sur les lieux… (Paris: veuve Damien Foucault/Pierre Rocolet, 1680).

(c) De Bosphoro Thracio, L, III, cap. X (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author alludes to Pietro Gillio, or Gylius, De Bosphoro Thracio libri Tres (Lyon, 1561, 1st edn); republished Leiden: Elsevir, 1632 and 1635.


(e) Voy. par Spond. T. I. p. 267 à Lyon 1673 (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author alludes to Jacob Spon (not Spond; 1647–1685); (Spon 1678).

Current transcription from Persian: Khusraw Parviz (591–628 AD).

Current transcription from Persian: Bārbād.

(a) In Herbelot, entry “Soavi” (translation of Toderini’s footnote). For bibliographical reference on d’Herbelot, see above note 26.

Hagi Calfah is quoted many times by Toderini throughout the Letteratura: better known as Haji Khalifa or Kalfa, but also known as Kâtip Çelebi, and/or Mustafa
bin Abdullah (1609–1657). He was a geographer and the author of the huge *Kashf al-zunūn `an asāmī al-kutub wa al-funūn* (The Unveiling of the Shadows about the Titles of the Books and Arts), a bibliographical encyclopaedia in the Arab language with 14,500 books in alphabetical order, consulted very often by Toderini and his assistants.


51 Toderini’s “muderìs” stands probably for Turkish müderris, a term commonly applied to a lecturer at a medrese. *Validè Sultan*, literally “mother Sultan,” was the honorific title given to the mother of a Sultan.

52 (a) T. I. l.c. vedi l’Andres, T. I. c. XI (Toderini’s footnote).

53 Toderini refers here to the Spanish Jesuit Abbott Juan Andres (1740–1817).

54 (a) Abulfaragio Ali Ben Alhassani ben Moamed, in vol. 1 of the work entitled *Great Repository of Tones*, reports 150 small airs, together with the lives of 14 excellent musicians and four famous female singers: see Casiri, vol. 1, p. 347 (Toderini’s footnote translated).

55 In the original, literally, “learned music”. The best translation would be French *musique savante*, or *musique littérée*, I have opted for “art music”.

56 In the eighteenth century the Italian verb *tastare* (literally, “to touch”) was applied to both keyboards and chordophones, implying both the touching and the plucking of the finger on a key, on a neck, on a string.

57 The author refers here to Chardin 1686.


59 Johann David Åkerblad (1763–1819), was a Swedish diplomat and Orientalist, and student of Silvestre de Sacy. From 1784 he resided in Constantinople and travelled from there throughout the Middle East.

60 Original: *ne’ tasti si trova la divisione de’ tuoni*. The neck of the Ottoman *tanbûr* is fretted by movable ligatures, which made visible the setting of pitches and thus the theoretical musical system immanent in Ottoman music.

61 *Laminetta flessibile* “thin flexible plate” is a curious definition for what we call, and was called during Toderini’s times, a plectrum.

62 Here and below, when the author describes the zîl, we note a strange failure to use the term “cymbals” and its Italian organologic equivalent “piatti” that, nevertheless, existed from the sixteenth century.

63 Literally, “sonata”. In this period “sonata” meant simply “what is played”, from the verb *suonare* “to play”.

64 *Bairam* (modern *bayram*) is a Turkish term that nowadays refers to collective festivals; in Toderini’s times, the term applied to the well-known festivals for the end of *Ramadan* (Arabic, *aid-ul fitr*, Turkish, *ramazan bayramı*) and the Feast of Sacrifice (Arabic *aid al-adha*, Turkish *kurban bayramı*). Toderini (Letteratura, tome III, 43) explains that *donalmâ* is a term given to public feasts and rejoicings (pubbliche feste, ed allegrezze), such as the departure of the fleet under the kapudan paşa.

65 Sic in the original text: it may be a publisher’s error.

66 In the *Mecmû’a-yi Saz ü Söz* composed by Bobowski as a sketchbook for personal use under the reign of Sultan Murad IV (1612–1640), we certainly find a tune entitled *Semâ Sûltân Murâd Han Fâth-i Baghçâd*, beginning with the verses “Gelsene
Nesim-i Subh Ilı”, but this is not a Peşrev – commonly a serious and solemn instrumental genre – but rather a light, vocal semāî. Instead, we find an instrumental Pişrev-i Şah Qulu.

Toderini, or the publisher, writes here “Mevelī”, instead of the more common, and correct, Mevlevi that we can read elsewhere in this same chapter.

Here used in its Latin sense, a place or space where people pray (oratorius from Latin orāre), normally distinct from the church. Toderini distinguishes between a mosque, a Mevlevi lodge (mevlevihâne, tekke), and the place in which they practised their ritual (samā’), which was called samâhâne.

Recueil de cent estampes de Mr Ferriol, p. 26. à Paris, 1714 (Toderini’s footnote). Here the author refers to Ferriol 1714–1715. An analysis of the particular musical transcriptions quoted by Toderini would provide material for a separate article.

More appropriately in contemporary language: “microtones”.

Toderini refers here to Pizzati 1782.

In the original: perché più abbondante di voci. Here the “voices” are the microtones and the richness that their presence brings to the melody.

The reference is to the composer, theoretician and Istria violin virtuoso, Giuseppe Tartini (1692–1770), always in touch with Franciscan Padre Giovanni Battista Martini (1706–1784).

Of course, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646–1716) in a curious Italianization of his name.

This rather long passage by Miguel Casiri concerning the manuscripts is written in modern Latin.
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