1 **Can the effects of anthropogenic pressures and environmental variability**
2 **on nekton fauna be detected in fishery data? Insights from the monitoring**
3 **of the artisanal fishery within the Venice lagoon**
4

5 Zucchetta M[1*], Scapin L[1], Cavraro F[1], Pranovi F[1], Franco A[2], and Franzoi P[1]

6 1 CEMAS – Centre for Estuarine and coastal Marine Sciences. University Ca' Foscari Venice

7 2 Institute of Estuarine & Coastal Studies. University of Hull

9

10

11 * Corresponding Author: Matteo Zucchetta, Department of Environmental Sciences, Informatics and Statistics,

12 University Ca' Foscari Venice. Castello 2737/b, 30122 Venice, Italy.

13 e-mail: matzuc@unive.it

14

1. Introduction

Transitional waters are highly productive ecosystems that are affected by both a naturally high variability in environmental conditions and multiple anthropogenic pressures (Costanza et al., 1997; Elliott and Quintino, 2007; Vasconcelos et al., 2007). In order to effectively manage driving forces on European transitional water ecosystems, and thus preserve the services provided, the Water Framework Directive (WFD; Directive 2000/60/EC) requires the evaluation of ecological status, based on indices sensitive to anthropogenic pressures rather than to natural variability (Uriarte and Borja, 2009).

Fish are an ecologically and economically important component of transitional waters potentially influenced by the alteration of environmental conditions (Elliott and Dewailly, 1995; Elliott et al., 2007). As a result, several fish-based multi-metric indices have been developed to assess the ecological status of these ecosystems in Europe, and more recently anthropogenic pressures have been additionally incorporated in order to validate such indices (Aubry and Elliott, 2006; Cabral et al., 2012; Fonseca et al., 2013; Pasquaud et al., 2013). This process allows the evaluation of the sensitivity of fish-based multi-metric indices to human pressures and can promote the development of ecological status assessment methods that are fully compliant with WFD requirements (Hering et al., 2010). In this light, the choice of metrics to be included in an index is particularly relevant, as their sensitivity to human alteration should be verified (i.e. the metric should show a decrease with increasing pressure values), taking into account natural variability of the system while keeping such a relationship ecologically interpretable (Cabral et al., 2012; Pérez-Domínguez et al., 2012; Schoolmaster et al., 2012, 2013a, 2013b). In transitional waters, several human activities can generate pressures which may in turn lead to a number of direct or indirect disturbances to fish populations. The main anthropogenic pressures are related with chemical pollution, physical changes, energy and thermal pollution, radioactivity and biological pollution (species invasions and pathogens) (Marchand et al., 2002). As Vasconcelos et al. (2007) exemplified, multiple anthropogenic activities can lead to degradation of water and sediment quality (e.g. wastewater discharges and use of chemicals in agriculture), habitat loss (e.g. bank reclamation) or reduction of prey availability (e.g. sediment management). All these can potentially affect nekton fauna at various levels of biological organisation, e.g. by directly increasing mortality of individuals or indirectly causing shifts in community composition through alterations of ecosystem processes.

Fishing is one of the human activities which, while taking advantage of the high productivity of transitional water ecosystems, can also have a role in generating system pressures for example by removing nektonic biomass or by leading to modifications to the habitat (McHugh, 1967; Nixon, 1982; Blaber et al., 2000; Elliott, 2002). On the other hand, the species composition and biomass of fishery landings strongly depend on the ecological status of the system (Pérez-Ruzafa and Marcos, 2012). Although Mediterranean coastal lagoons support important fishery activities and maintain aquaculture exploitation (Kapetsky and Lasserre, 1984; Ardizzone et al., 1988), the role of fishery as a cause of pressure for fish communities is poorly investigated in such ecosystems. In Mediterranean transitional waters, fixed gears such as fyke nets and fishing barriers at the sea inlets are probably the most important fishery techniques (Cataudella and Ferlin, 1984; Ardizzone et al., 1988; Chauvet, 1988; Pérez-Ruzafa and Marcos, 2012). Such fisheries take advantage of fish movement within or between the transitional system and the adjacent marine and freshwater areas, and are highly seasonal due to the presence and migration of different species (Granzotto et al., 2001; Provincia di Venezia, 2009).

Monitoring of artisanal fisheries can provide useful information on fish assemblages or on the status and evolution of some populations, provided sampling effects are accounted for (e.g gear characteristics and selectivity) (Malavasi et al., 2004a; Provincia di Venezia, 2009; Pranovi et al., 2013). Nekton data from fishery monitoring could be in some cases routinely collected, enhancing the possibility of gathering a large and robust dataset. Moreover, if fishery data could be also used to contribute to the assessment of ecological status, it would help in containing sampling costs related to scientific monitoring.

In this study, data were analysed from a plurennial monitoring plan of the artisanal fishery (fyke nets) in the Venice lagoon in order to test the effects of human pressures on nekton assemblage, namely fish and invertebrates. We followed a model-based approach (Warton et al., 2014) in order to test *a priori*-formulated hypotheses about the different role of natural variability, anthropogenic pressures and the artisanal fishery in affecting the lagoon nekton assemblage, and to understand whether monitoring of the artisanal fishery can be used to assess the relationship between nekton assemblage and anthropogenic pressures in transitional waters. The issues addressed in this study represent a crucial step for setting up an ecological status evaluation system based on monitoring of the local fishery. This could be important to optimise the effort of collecting field data and to harmonise different monitoring programmes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Venice lagoon and study areas

The Venice lagoon is a large coastal lagoon (about 550 km^2) in the North-Adriatic Sea (Italy) (Figure 1) It is a microtidal system with mean tide amplitude of 1m (Umgiesser et al., 2004). It is a shallow system comprised of three sub-basins delimited by two main watersheds (Solidoro et al., 2004). There is a high spatial and temporal variability in morphological and physico-chemical parameters, as well as a mosaic of habitats, including saltmarshes, seagrass meadows, bare or sparsely vegetated mudflats and sandflats (Franzoi et al., 2010; Solidoro et al., 2010).

For the purpose of this study, five broad homogeneous areas were identified in the lagoon, based on the degree of confinement (Solidoro et al., 2004), main habitat types, salinity and sediment characteristics: Chioggia (CH), Ca' Zane (CZ), Lido (LD), Lago dei Teneri (LT) and Ponte della Libertà (PL) (Figure 1). CZ and PL both experience high water residence times (>20 days) with euhaline conditions and predominance of muddy substrata often covered by macroalgae, with some marsh areas only within CZ. LT is also very confined (>20 days water residence time), but it shows polyhaline conditions and dominance of saltmarsh habitats, with presence of some bare or macroalgae-covered mudflats. LD and CH are characterised by euhaline conditions, low residence times (<7 days) and seagrass-dominated sandy habitats.

Figure 1 about here.

2.2. Monitoring programme and nekton assemblage

Fyke nets represent the most important gear type of the fishery of the Venice lagoon (Granzotto et al., 2004; Provincia di Venezia, 2009; Pranovi et al., 2013). Fyke nets employed here consist of a barrier of about 50 m in length and 1.3 m in height, with a mesh size of 0.6 cm, which guides the fish towards four cone shaped, unbaited traps (Malavasi et al., 2004a). Artisanal fishery activity in the five lagoon areas was monitored on a monthly basis in two seasons (spring and autumn), corresponding to the two major fishing periods (Provincia di Venezia, 2009). Data were collected during the years 2001 to 2003 and 2009 to 2013 in order to obtain a representative view of species composition and biomass of nekton assemblage inside the lagoon. For each study area an average number of 79.38 (Standard Deviation: 42.25) traps were inspected during each season.

97   The content of the traps was inspected on board and samples were collected to confirm identification in the

98   laboratory. Catches (including target species and by catch) were identified at species level and weighted ($\pm$ 1

99   g), in order to obtain the cumulative biomass per species. This was expressed in terms of catch per unit effort

100 (CPUE), i.e. catch (in g) was standardised per trap and temporal unit, the latter accounting for the number of

101 days since the previous visit by the fishermen (Pranovi et al., 2013). Species richness (S) and biomass (B) were

102 calculated for each sample.

103 Both fish and cephalopods were considered due to their relevance to the local fisheries (Granzotto et al., 2004;

104 Provincia di Venezia, 2009; Pranovi et al., 2013). The green crab, *Carcinus aestuarii*, although representing a

105 very important target of the fishery (Pranovi et al., 2013), was not considered in the analyses, due to its benthic

106 habits and to peculiar fishing practices, based on the selection of the moulting specimens (Matozzo et al., 2013;

107 Pranovi et al., 2013). While decapods (including *Crangon crangon*, *Melicertus kerathurus*, *Processa*

108 *macrophthalma* and a number of species belonging to the genus *Palaemon*) can be relevant to the local fishery,

109 these were only recorded from 2009 onwards, and therefore they were excluded from the analysis (a

110 preliminary exploration of the 2009-2013 data indicated their minor contribution to the results).

111 The recorded species were categorised according to the role played with respect to the artisanal fishery: target

112 species, directly pursued by the fishery; incidental species, i.e. non-target species incidentally caught and with

113 commercial value; discarded species, with no commercial value (Pranovi et al., 2013). In addition, Estuarine

114 Use Functional Groups (EUFG) defining the main ecological utilisation of the lagoon by the species were

115 adopted and modified after Potter et al. (2013). The attribution of EUFG to the species was undertaken taking

116 into account the specific use of the Venice lagoon (Malavasi et al., 2004a; Franco et al., 2008; Franzoi et al.,

117 2010), as well as the type of habitat used by the species (e.g. some species were classified as marine stragglers,

118 and not estuarine residents or estuarine opportunists, due to their exclusive use of marine-like habitats included

119 within the lagoon boundaries, even if they were found frequently and with relatively high abundances). Feeding

120 Mode Functional Groups (FMFG) were adapted from Franco et al. (2008, 2009b), in order to characterise the

121 species in terms of feeding behaviour. The attribution of FMFG to the species was carried out taking into

122 account the life stage predominantly found in fyke nets. As one species can be allocated to multiple FMFG,

123 the species contribution to a single guild was assigned in proportion to the relevance of each feeding mode for

124 the species, by identifying the importance (%) of different guild allocations within the diet, on the basis of the

literature ("www.fishbase.org"; Froese and Pauly, 2015) and of available data for the Venice lagoon (Franzoi, unpublished data). Guilds for nektonic invertebrates were also defined on the basis of available information in the scientific literature (Blanc and Daguzan, 1998; Pinczon Du Sel et al., 2000; Alves et al., 2006; Palomares and Pauly, 2014). A complete list of the nekton species considered in the analysis and the respective categorisation in terms of EUFG, FMFG and fishery category is shown in Appendix (Table A.1).

2.3. Environmental conditions

In each study area a series of environmental variables was estimated using spatial data collected in previous studies or measured *in situ* during this study. Variables not collected *in situ* were considered fixed in time (i.e. temporal variability is assumed to be negligible over the study period), and are: % area covered by saltmarsh (Mag. Acque, 2002; marsh); % area covered by seagrass bed habitat (*Cymodocea nodosa, Nanozostera noltii* and *Zostera marina* monospecific and mixed assemblages; Rismondo et al., 2003; Curiel et al., 2014; seagcover); bottom depth averaged by study area (in metres; Mag. Acque, 2002; bat); average distance of grid cells composing each study area from the nearest sea inlet (metres; dist; evaluated on a regular grid with a cell size of 100m); sand content in bottom sediments averaged by study area (as % sand; Mag. Acque - SELC, 2005; Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2005; sab); water residence time averaged by study area (days; Cucco et al., 2009; restime); water speed averaged by study area (expressed as cm/s; Molinaroli et al., 2007; wsp). Variables including temporal variability are: water salinity averaged by area in each sampling occasion (±1 PSU – Practical Salinity Units; this study; sal); water turbidity averaged by area and in each sampling occasion (± 1 FTU – Formazin Turbidity Units; this study; torb); water temperature recorded in each sampling occasion (±0,1° C; this study; temp); anomalies in water temperature (°C; Mag. Acque - SAMA, 2013; anoT).

2.4. Anthropogenic pressures

A set of indicators of anthropogenic pressure was developed, adapting the scheme proposed by Aubry and Elliott (2006) according to the available knowledge for the Venice lagoon and to the relevance for nekton fauna of each indicator (Table 1). Three main categories of pressures were identified, which were related to: I) Morphology, II) Resource and Habitat Use and III) Environmental Quality.

Five indicators of Morphological pressure were selected (Table 1): intertidal area loss; seagrass habitat loss; gross change in bathymetry; interference with hydraulic circulation due to the presence of human infrastructures; relative sea level rise. The temporal variability of these indicators within the study period

6

153   (2001-2013) was assumed to be negligible and therefore only spatial variability (differences among areas) was

154   considered in the analysis. The Resource and Habitat Use category included five indicators (Table 1): artisanal

155   fishery; shellfish aquaculture; intensity of marina development; boat traffic; intensity of shipyards. The marina,

156   navigation and shipyard indicators were maintained fixed in time due to the lack of time series of data. Seven

157   indicators of Environmental Quality were selected (Table 1), representing: water chemical quality; sediment

158   chemical quality; sediment quality biological effects; ecological status of macrobenthos; chlorophyll-*a*

159   concentration; nutrients concentration; dissolved oxygen. Biological effects of sediment quality and benthic

160   state were kept fixed in time, due to lack of data available over time. When seasonal values were not available

161   for the other indicators, these were replaced with nearest-in-time values.

162   Values of each pressure indicator were standardised according to a five-level classification scoring (Table

163   A.2). Pressure levels were evaluated for each element of a 1km x 1km cell grid covering the lagoon extent and

164   the mean pressure level for each indicator in each study area was obtained by overlaying the grid maps

165   **2.5.   Data Analysis**

166   ***2.5.1.   Model calibration***

167   Generalized Linear Models (GLMs; McCullagh and Nelder, 1989) were fitted to link response variables to

168   temporal, environmental and pressure predictors, as described in the next paragraphs. Models for number of

169   species (S) and biomass (B) of functional groups (univariate analyses) and for presence-absence and biomass

170   of species (multivariate analyses) were fitted considering different combinations of predictor variables and the

171   most suitable error term (depending on the type of response variable), in order to build the set of models

172   representing the different hypotheses considered in this study.

173   <u>Response variables (univariate and multivariate analysis)</u>

174   Nekton data were analysed both in a univariate and a multivariate fashion. Firstly, nekton data were

175   summarised in a set of variables -or metrics- quantifying different characteristics of the assemblage. These

176   metrics were computed as biomass and species richness of Estuarine Usage Functional Groups (16 metrics),

177   Feeding Mode Functional Groups (16 metrics) and fishery categories (6 metrics). All metrics were

178   independently used as response variables in GLMs fitted using Table 2 formulas (univariate analysis), and

179   choosing the most appropriate distribution family, after the visual inspection of the mean-variance relationship

7

180 (Warton, 2008; Warton et al., 2012). Secondly, following the approach of the `manyglm` software package

181 (Wang et al., 2012), binomial GLMs were fitted using presence/absence information of each species and

182 following the same formulation proposed for univariate data (Table 2), and inferences were carried out at the

183 assemblage level (Wang et al., 2012; Warton et al., 2012) (multivariate analysis). A similar approach was

184 replicated on biomass data, developing negative binomial GLMs for each species contributing to 95% of total

185 biomass and combining results in a global analysis.

186 <u>Predictor variables</u>

187 In order to represent the effects of the temporal variability on the response variables, the interaction between

188 the factors *Season* and *Year* was considered as a predictor in the model. Environmental conditions were

189 summarised by performing a principal component analysis, and the first three axes were considered as

190 predictors of environmental variability in fitted models. The predictors used as indices of pressures for the

191 three considered categories were the average values of the indicators within each category (Morphology

192 pressures, Resource and Habitat Use pressures - excluding the fishery -, Environmental Quality pressures). As

193 in this study a particular attention was paid to the role of the artisanal fishery, its indicator (see 2.4) was

194 considered as a separate predictor variable in model building.

195 <u>Model structure</u>

196 Models were fitted using different structures in order to hypothesise different contributions of the predictor

197 variables (Table 2). Eight model formulations, belonging to four model categories, were built addressing the

198 following hypotheses: none of the considered predictors affects the response variable (category *m0*); response

199 variable is influenced by temporal factor alone (category *m1*); response variable is affected by temporal and

200 environmental factors (category *m2*); response variable is affected by anthropogenic pressures (with or without

201 environmental conditions, and excluding fishery pressure) (category *m3.X*); and response variable is affected

202 by the fishery (with or without environmental conditions and other anthropogenic pressures) (category *m4.X*)

203 (Table 2). Not all models were nested (e.g. one included within another more complex one), but the category

204 of models were conceptually hierarchically designed (e.g. environmental variables or anthropogenic pressures

205 cannot be included in a model if temporal factor has not been considered yet).

206

2.5.2. Comparison of models

208 Fitted models were analysed to understand if nekton data showed a relationship with the anthropogenic

209 pressure categories, by:

210 - comparing models (both for univariate and multivariate approaches) by means of Likelihood Ratio tests

211 between chosen sets of nested models (Table 2). These comparisons were carried out to disentangle the

212 contribution of the different type of predictor variables considered (temporal factors, environmental conditions,

213 anthropogenic pressures and artisanal fishery pressure). For example, for a given metric, the comparison (Test

214 2.1, Table 2) of a model fitted using temporal factor and environmental conditions (m2.0, Table 2) with another

215 one including also anthropogenic pressures (excluding artisanal fishery) (m3.1, Table 2), suggests if the

216 inclusion of anthropogenic pressures significantly improves the model in the case that temporal factor and

217 environmental conditions were already included;

218 - computing the deviance explained by each model, in order to estimate the magnitude of the effect in addition

219 to its significance (see previous point);

220 - averaging all the candidate models (Table 1) for each univariate metric following an Information Theory

221 Criterion, to carry out global inference on the estimated averaged parameters for pressure variables (univariate

222 analysis). In particular, models were averaged using the AIC_c weights, and considering the 'top-models', i.e.

223 the models representing the 95% confidence model set (Grueber et al., 2011);

224 - averaging (unweighted mean values) the parameters of the models of all species for each category of pressure,

225 to summarise the effects of pressure indicators on nekton assemblage (multivariate analysis);

3. Results

3.1. Nekton assemblage

228 During the study period, 59 fish and two cephalopod species were recorded from fyke nets and included in the

229 analysis (Table A.1). Gobiidae, Sparidae, Syngnathidae and Mugilidae were the most numerous families in

230 terms of species numbers (with 8, 8, 7 and 5 species respectively), while *Sepia officinalis* and *Sepiola rondeletii*

were the only two species of cephalopod recorded. Two fish species, *Atherina boyeri* and *Zosterisessor ophiocephalus*, accounted for 58% of the total biomass (39% and 19% respectively).

Nine species out of the 61 forming the whole nekton assemblage were targets of the fishery and accounted for 78% of the total biomass, with 11 incidental species accounting for an additional 16%. Thus, 94% of the total biomass caught with fyke nets was composed by species with some commercial value (target + incidental species), with the 41 discarded species representing only 6% of biomass of the analysed catches. Among nekton species, eight EUFG were identified: anadromous (A), catadromous (C), estuarine (ES), solely estuarine (ESs), freshwater stragglers (FS), marine estuarine-dependent (ME-D), marine estuarine-opportunist (ME-O) and marine stragglers (MS). MS was the richest EUFG, with 26 species, followed by ME-O (12), ES (11), ME-D (5) and ESs (4 species). A, C and FS EUFG were all represented by one species each. ES was the dominant guild in terms of biomass (60% of the total), with *A. boyeri* and *Z. ophiocephalus* accounting for 95% of the guild biomass (64% and 31% respectively). ESs accounted only for 0.4% of the total biomass.

Eight FMFGs were identified: macrobenthivores (Bma), microbenthivores (Bmi), detritivores (DV), hyperbenthivores/piscivores (HP), herbivores (HV), hyperbenthivores/zooplanktivores (HZ), omnivores (OV) and planktivores (PL). Bmi and Bma were the FMFG with more species among nekton assemblage. *Z. ophiocephalus*, *Solea solea* and *Platichthys flesus* accounted together for 73% of Bmi biomass, while *Z. ophiocephalus*, *S. officinalis* and *P. flesus* accounted for 79% of Bma biomass. Twenty species were allocated to the HP guild, with seven of them showing exclusively this feeding mode. *Z. ophiocephalus* and *S. officinalis* were the dominant species within the HP guild, accounting for 75% in terms of biomass. Fourteen species were HZ with only three of them being exclusive to this guild. *A. boyeri* alone accounted for 99% of the HZ guild biomass. Six omnivorous species were found, all being allocated in multiple FMFG except for *Diplodus puntazzo*. Mugilids accounted for the totality of the DV guild. Engraulids and clupeids entirely accounted for the PL guild.

3.2. Environmental conditions and anthropogenic pressures

The five study areas were clearly ordered along the first principal component (Figure 2a), which can thus be interpreted as a gradient from more dynamic and marine-like conditions, typically observed in proximity to the sea inlets (areas CH and LD), towards more confined areas (LT and CZ). In addition, the observations within each study area were scattered along the second principal component, due to the interannual and

10

259   seasonal variability (Figure 2a). The dispersion along the third component was similar to that of the second

260   axis with the points belonging to the different areas largely overlapping, but CH and LT - the least and the

261   most confined areas - indicated a lower turbidity level (Figure 2b). The first component of the PCA performed

262   on environmental variables identified a main spatial confinement gradient as ascribed to changes in water

263   residence time, seagrass cover, sediment grain size and water speed, while the second component was strongly

264   influenced by variables with a sharp seasonal variability (water temperature and dissolved oxygen) and the

265   third component was related to variables changing over time (water temperature anomaly) or in time and space

266   (turbidity) (Fig. 2b).

267   In the case of the PCA performed on anthropogenic pressures, it was not easy to recognise a geographical

268   pattern in the pressure gradient, as all three axes were influenced by variables representing pressures with a

269   strong spatial component (interference with hydrographic regime, relative sea level rise, gross change in

270   bathymetry) or variables changing over time both with a seasonal (e.g. average concentration of Dissolved

271   Inorganic Nitrogen) or inter-annual dynamic (area affected by aquaculture activities) (Fig. 2c and 2d).

272   However, the distribution of the areas along the first component partially corresponded to the one described

273   by the principal component analysis on environmental variables; with an ordination from the innermost area

274   (LT), where the loss of intertidal area, the sediment chemical quality and the macrobenthos state were the

275   greatest pressures, to the area closest to the sea inlet (CH), where pressures related to sea level rise, aquaculture,

276   interference with hydrographic regime pressures and the loss of seagrass were more important (Figure 2c).

277   However, on this ordination CZ differed in location compared to the previous PCA, as if pressures in CZ could

278   be associated with the ones of a less confined area (Figure 3a). The second axis combined LD and PL against

279   the others stations, due to a higher importance of pressures related to the intensity of navigation and density of

280   shipyards in these areas (Figure 2c), while the third component distinguished CZ and PL from the other areas

281   for being less affected by bathymetric change (Figure 2d).

282

283   **Figure 2 about here.**

284

11

3.3. Effects of temporal factors, environmental conditions, and

286 anthropogenic pressures upon nekton assemblage

287 *Univariate comparison*

288 All the univariate GLMs for biomass-related metrics were fitted using a negative binomial distribution, while

289 the models for the number of species metrics were fitted using a Poisson distribution. All the models explained

290 a low to moderate proportion of deviance (Figure 3), with few exceptions related to metrics with very sparse

291 (i.e. zero inflated) data matrix, probably due to overfitted models. All the metrics indicate a significant seasonal

292 pattern, as the effect of temporal factor alone (test 0) was significant ($p<0.001$) for all metrics, except for the

293 biomass of catadromous EUFG, and for the species richness of anadromous and freshwater straggler EUFG

294 and herbivore FMFG (Figure 3). It is worth noting that data for these groups of species were strongly zero

295 inflated. In general, the metrics show a significant seasonal pattern.

296 The inclusion of environmental conditions (test 1) had a significant effect ($p<0.01$) on all biomass metrics

297 except for catadromous EUFG and omnivorous FMFG, and on the same species richness metrics for which

298 temporal factor alone was significant. This means that for most of the metrics, the differences between

299 observations can in part be explained by environmental conditions, in addition to the contribution of temporal

300 factors.

301 When only temporal factor was already considered, the effect of including all anthropogenic pressures with

302 the exception of the fishery (test 2.0) proved significant ($p<0.05$) for most of the metrics (but see biomass of

303 catadromous EUFG and species richness of anadromous EUFG and herbivores FMFG; Figure 3).

304 Including pressures with both temporal and environmental conditions already considered (test 2.1)

305 significantly ($p<0.05$) contributed to explain the variance of many metrics, with the exception of the biomass

306 of incidental catches, catadromous, freshwater stragglers, marine estuary-dependent and detritivorous species

307 and the number of species of target, total catches, anadromous EUFG and of herbivorous FMFG. Hence, in a

308 large number of cases, adding the information on anthropogenic pressures to the temporal and environmental

309 factors assists in explaining the variance in the nekton assemblage data.

310 In general, the inclusion of artisanal fishery pressure resulted in significance for a smaller number of cases

311 (Figure 3). The inclusion of fishery pressures when temporal factors, environmental variables and the other

312   pressures were already included in models (test 3.2), resulted in significance of fewer metrics than in the case

313   of the inclusion of the fishery when temporal factor alone (test 3.1) or temporal factor and environmental

314   variables (test 3.0) were already included in the analysis. However, this is not true for all metrics: for those

315   metrics representing the biomass of the EUFG, significance was more likely for the fishery if all the other

316   factors were previously included in the model.

317

318   When considering the model averaged parameters associated to the different indicators of pressure (Figure 4),

319   the fishery showed a slightly negative effect only on biomass of discarded catches, anadromous and marine-

320   estuarine opportunist EUFGs and both detritivorous and planktivorous FMFGs. Similarly, the fishery

321   negatively affected the species number of incidental catches, estuarine and marine estuarine-opportunist

322   EUFGs and macrobenthivorous, detritivorous and hyperbenthivorous/piscivorous FMFGs. Overall though, the

323   fisheries effect on the considered metrics seemed to be negligible, since the coefficients associated with this

324   pressure were often very close to zero (Figure 4). Moreover, in many cases, these effects were characterised

325   by a small influence (low Akaike weights) on the averaged models. In addition, a positive effect of the fishery

326   was observed for biomass of target and total catches, of estuarine, solely estuarine and marine straggler EUFGs

327   and of benthivorous FMFGs (Figure 4).

328   Compared with the fishery, a stronger effect (larger β coefficients) of the other pressure categories was evident

329   (Figure 4). No common pattern could be identified among metrics, except for the biomass of the fisheries-

330   related metrics (discarded, incidental, target and total catches), which seemed to be negatively affected by both

331   morphology-, resource use- and environmental quality-related pressures. Overall, pressures related to

332   morphological alterations had marked negative effects on biomass of discarded, incidental, target and total

333   catches, as well as biomass of estuarine EUFG and of both microbenthivorous and omnivorous FMFGs.

334   Resource use pressures showed the most negative effects on biomass of discarded catches and

335   hyperbenthivorous/zooplanktivorous FMFG. Finally, environmental quality degradation had a particularly

336   negative effect on biomass of target and total catches, marine estuarine-opportunist EUFG and four FMFGs

337   (both macro- and microbenthivorous, hyperbenthivorous/psicivorous and planktivorous species).

338

339   **Figure 3 about here.**

340   **Figure 4 about here.**

341

342   ***Multivariate comparison***

343   Only the effect of temporal factor (test 0) was significant ($p<0.001$) when analysing GLMs performed on

344   species presence/absence in the whole nekton assemblage (Table 3). None of the pressure categories proved

345   significant in determining species composition of the assemblage as a whole.

346   In contrast, a higher number of significant effects ($p<0.01$) were detected when comparing GLMs performed

347   on the biomass distribution across the species in the assemblage. The effect of temporal factors (test 0) was

348   significant, as well as the effect of adding environmental conditions when temporal factors were already

349   considered (test 1), adding anthropogenic pressures excluding the fishery when temporal factors were already

350   considered (test 2.0), adding anthropogenic pressures excluding the fishery when both temporal factor and

351   environmental conditions were already considered (test 2.1) and adding fishery pressure when both temporal

352   factor and environmental conditions were already considered (test 3.0) (Table 3).

353   Five target species commonly found in fyke nets showed significant effects of anthropogenic pressures most

354   frequently across tests (see supplementary materials, Table A.3). *S. officinalis* showed a significant effect in

355   six tests (five of which performed on species presence/absence and one on biomass), while *A. boyeri* and *Liza*

356   *aurata* showed a significant effect in five tests (three of which performed on species presence/absence and two

357   on biomass). In addition, the effect of pressures also proved significant for the presence of *Pomatoschistus*

358   *minutus* and *Z. ophiocephalus* (three and two tests respectively). With both temporal and environmental factors

359   already considered (Test 2.1), pressures related to morphological alterations and resource use negatively

360   affected the probability of target species presence as *Anguilla anguilla*, *L. aurata*, *Sparus aurata* and *Z.*

361   *ophiocephalus,* with *A. boyeri* showing a negative effect only for resource use pressures. In contrast, the

362   presence of *P. flesus* and *P minutus* (target species) was affected positively or not affected by both these

363   pressure categories. Similarly, environmental degradation had a negative effect on the presence of *P. flesus,*

364   *P. minutus, S. aurata* and *S. officinalis* with both temporal and environmental factors already considered, while

365   it showed a positive effect on *A. anguilla*, *A. boyeri*, *L. aurata* and *Z. ophiocephalus*. The fishery affected the

366   likelihood of four target species presence when it was considered as the only pressure (Test 3), having a

367   positive effect on *A. boyeri*, *L. aurata* and *Mugil cephalus* and a negative impact on *S. officinalis*.

368 When considered together with other pressure category (Test 3.2), in contrast, the fishery negatively affected

369 the presence of *A. anguilla* and *S. Officinalis* only, while being negligible for other target species. Additionally,

370 test 2.1 indicated that morphological pressures had a negative effect upon biomass of *A. boyeri* and *L. aurata*,

371 while both resource use and environmental quality pressures were positively related to biomass of these

372 species.

373 On average, pressures related to morphological changes, resource and habitat use, as well as pressures on

374 environmental quality showed negative effects on the biomass of the assemblage, while only pressures on

375 environmental quality negatively affected the average probability of presence of the single species within the

376 assemblage (Figure 5). Both for presence/absence and for biomass, the effect of fishery pressure seemed to be

377 negligible (Figure 5).

378

379 **Figure 5 about here.**

380

4. Discussion

382 We investigated the potential use of data gathered during the monitoring of the artisanal fishery in the Venice

383 lagoon to describe the relationship between nekton assemblage (including fish and cephalopods) and

384 anthropogenic pressures in transitional waters.

385 The Venice lagoon represents a good case study, since a traditional form of fishing is carried out within its

386 boundaries by local fishermen throughout the year in most part of the basin (Provincia di Venezia, 2009;

387 Pranovi et al., 2013). Moreover, this lagoon, given its wide area and complex hydro-morphology, is

388 characterised by a mosaic of habitats and multiple environmental gradients that lead to a high level of

389 environmental variability (Solidoro et al., 2010). The Venice lagoon is also subjected to a variety of pressures,

390 and their variability in space and time is rather well documented (Franco et al., 2009a; Solidoro et al., 2009).

391 The combination of natural heterogeneity in time and space with the unevenness of anthropogenic pressures

392 and impacts can change ecosystem organisation and functioning (Brigolin et al., 2014). Therefore it was crucial

393 to take into account these sources of heterogeneity, evaluating anthropogenic pressures, environmental

394 conditions and nekton assemblage in different areas, different times of the year, and between years. For the

15

areas considered in this study, spatial variability in environmental conditions and anthropogenic pressures seems to be stronger than the temporal, as highlighted by the Principal Component Analysis (Figure 2). This is due to the marked spatial variability, but also due to the assumptions at the basis of this work (pressures definition), and the data availability constrains.

The main anthropogenic pressure gradients within the lagoon are associated with morphological changes such as habitat loss and alteration of the hydrodynamic conditions, as previously noted (Franco et al., 2009a; Molinaroli et al., 2009; Sarretta et al., 2010), with also alterations of environmental quality being an important pressure category. Indeed, despite the stricter regulations and the decline of industrial activities in the last decades, persistent contaminants such as heavy metals and organic compounds are still stored in lagoon sediments, directly affecting the associated benthic compartment (Secco et al., 2005; Bernardello et al., 2006). The whole nekton assemblage as assessed by using fyke net catches did not show a significant relationship with the environmental variability of the lagoon when considering its species composition, whereas the biomass structure of assemblages indicated the effect of temporal, environmental and pressure factors. Indeed, species occurrence in the catches changed significantly according only to season and year (test 0), as a result of the temporal dynamics in nekton populations such as recruitment and migrations (Elliott and Hemingway, 2002). Environmental variability and anthropogenic pressure did not have significant effects on catch composition, in terms of species richness and presence-absence of species.

On the contrary, anthropogenic pressures significantly explained part of the variability on the biomass of catches, in addition to both temporal variability alone (test 2.0) and with environmental conditions (test 2.1). Species biomass in the assemblage proved to be sensitive not only to pressures related with morphological change, resource use (excluding artisanal fishery) and environmental quality, but also in a smaller degree to the effects of the artisanal fishery. The latter may be due to changes in population structure related to the removal of individuals of target species, hence leading to the observed alteration in the biomass of the assemblage. Regarding the effects of morphological degradation, it is not easy to identify the effects of physical disturbance of the environment for mobile or migratory species, as they may change their distribution and behaviour, but this could be simpler for resident species (Marchand et al., 2002). Some authors have suggested that the degradation or loss of habitats affects fish species richness in estuaries (Harrison and Whitfield, 2004; Cabral et al., 2012; Harrison and Kelly, 2013). Our results confirm that the effects of pressures acting on the

423   lagoon morphology are stronger for resident species (e.g. ES biomass and biomass of *Z. ophiocephalus* and *S.*

424   *officinalis* see fig. 4 and Tab A.3), but they can be significant also for migrant species (e.g. ME-D biomass and

425   biomass of *A. anguilla* and *L. saliens*; see fig. 4 and Tab A.3). However, it is interesting to note that these

426   effects can be manifested in our study as impacts on biomasses (univariate and multivariate tests) rather than

427   on metrics accounting for species richness and assemblage composition (presence/absence tests). Many studies

428   (e.g. Brandão et al., 2013; Fonseca et al., 2014; Gonçalves et al., 2014) describe the effects of chemical

429   pollutants on fishes, but these effects usually cannot be easily observed and quantified at the population level,

430   especially if pollution is present at sub-lethal levels (Hamilton et al., 2015). Marchand et al. (2002) suggest

431   that for some types of pollutants, the effects are unlikely to be detrimental, because fishes avoid highly polluted

432   areas. The significant effects of pressures on quality of matrices observed in this study are probably more

433   related to enrichment in nutrients and organic matter, leading to anoxic crises, than to chemical pollution (in

434   agreement with the patterns observed, for example, by Uriarte and Borja, 2009).

435   Several of the metrics responded in a coherent way to the impacts of human pressures. As an example, biomass

436   of estuarine resident species, benthivores, hyperbenthivores/piscivores, target species and of total catches

437   indicated a clearly negative response to morphological alterations. This result could be related to the role within

438   these categories of some species, for example the grass goby *Z. ophiocephalus*, for which the impacts on the

439   essential habitat for its reproduction (i.e. seagrass meadows; Malavasi et al., 2004b) might determine a decrease

440   on the population biomass. However, biomass of both target and total catches demonstrated a strong negative

441   response to all three main pressure categories.

442   In this study, the biomass of marine estuarine-opportunists was negatively influenced by pressures acting on

443   quality of matrices. Similar results were found by Amara et al., (2009), who recorded lower growth and body

444   condition in juveniles of *P. flesus* (marine migrant) exposed to higher levels of chemical pollution in French

445   estuaries. On the other hand, the biomass of solely estuarine species revealed a positive relationship with

446   pressures related to environmental quality in the Venice lagoon. The species of this guild (e.g. the lagoon goby

447   *Knipowitshia panizzae*) are more likely to be adapted to high levels of natural variability typical of transitional

448   waters (Franco et al., 2008), and therefore might be also able to cope with poor environmental conditions.

449   Indeed, some studies suggest that estuarine resident species may be able to develop resistance to chemical

450   pollution as an adaptation to long-term exposure (Nacci et al., 1999; Matthiessen and Law, 2002; Fonseca et

451 al., 2013) and this might give them an additional competitive advantage in colonising and benefiting from

452 lower quality areas, where less tolerant species are excluded or of reduced abundance.

453 The partial differences in the response of different guild categories suggest a certain degree of complementarity

454 between them. Hence, this supports the value of the followed approach in interpreting nekton assemblage

455 structure, due to the use of functional rather than taxonomical categories (Elliott et al., 2007; Franco et al.,

456 2008; Mouillot et al., 2013; Henriques et al., 2014), suggesting the implementation of an indicator set (i.e.

457 metrics) of different aspects of the community potentially influenced by anthropogenic impacts.

4.1. Artisanal fishery

459 The role of the artisanal fishery in affecting the nekton assemblage was considered in conjunction with other

460 anthropogenic pressures, as suggested by Blaber et al. (2000). Overall, the fyke net fishery was less important

461 compared with other pressure categories. For biomass and species composition of most EUFG, FMFG and of

462 the whole assemblage, the effect of the artisanal fishery was negligible (univariate analysis, Figures 3 and 4).

463 A marginal positive response to the pressure was observed on biomass of estuarine and solely estuarine

464 residents, as well as on biomass of microbenthivores (a FMFG including a large variety of species belonging

465 to different EUFG and fishery categories). Remarkably, fishery pressure indicated a similar positive effect

466 upon biomass of both target and total catches, although with a high associated variability.

467 Fishing in the Venice lagoon is performed using a variety of traditional gears, with fyke nets being the most

468 important (Granzotto et al., 2001; Provincia di Venezia, 2009). The extraction of data from this activity is

469 similar in many ways to a scientific survey: it is carried out throughout the year and the basin using a single

470 type of gear, which has similar length, height and mesh size and is normally deployed for a comparable amount

471 of time (Provincia di Venezia, 2009; Pranovi et al., 2013). All these characteristics allow the spatial and

472 temporal comparison of collected samples by means of a standardised CPUE. Nevertheless, selection of fishing

473 sites is mainly made in order to maximise yields. This may explain both the positive effect on target species

474 and the negligible effect of the fishery in other cases, since a higher fishing effort is often justified in areas

475 where higher abundance and biomass of targeted species are known to be supported. However, this positive

476 link can persist in time only if the exploitation is carried out at biological sustainable levels. Indeed, the overall

477 effort of the artisanal fishery (average of the whole basin) was relatively stable over the study period, even if

478 it demonstrates a strong seasonality and high spatial variability (Provincia di Venezia, 2015). The present

479 levels of fishing activities are lower than the ones recorded in the past, and indications suggest that changes in

480 yields recorded in the last decades are not caused by overfishing, but rather by other human-induced changes

481 in environment quality (Libralato et al., 2004).

482 The results highlighted above suggest that the fyke net-based artisanal fishery in the Venice lagoon is possibly

483 undertaken at a sustainable level for the nekton fauna, considering that no significant effect was observed on

484 the species (or group of species) composition or biomass of the lagoon nekton assemblages. Furthermore

485 discarded levels are low, with up to 6% of the total biomass being discarded (Pranovi et al., 2013). However

486 it should be noted that benthic invertebrate species, not considered in this study, can also be caught by fyke

487 nets, and can even represent a significant share of the total biomass in the catch, both in terms of target species

488 (i.e. crabs; Pranovi et al., 2013) and discarded species (e.g. gastropods). Only *ad hoc* fishery-independent

489 surveys could fully investigate the overall sustainability of this type of artisanal fishery further. Such surveys

490 would need totake into account the relationship between fishing effort and the response of the ecosystem,

491 which is not necessarily linear. The effects of fishing effort could therefore be only evident after a certain

492 critical threshold has been reached (Henriques et al., 2014). It was important however, for the goals of the

493 present work, to understand if the activity used to gather information on nekton assemblage negatively affected

494 the assemblage itself. Fisheries in transitional waters are poorly studied, despite the fact that theyrepresents a

495 relevant economic activity in these ecosystems (Pérez-Ruzafa and Marcos, 2012). In particular, while many of

496 the existing studies focus on the assessment of fisheries within transitional waters in terms of its impact on fish

497 communities and overall ecological quality (Blaber et al., 2000; Rodríguez-Climent et al., 2012; Guillemot et

498 al., 2014), there has not been any research regarding the potential use of fishery data to assess ecological status,

499 even if it is clear that yields are strongly influenced by environmental conditions and anthropogenic pressures

500 (Pérez-Ruzafa and Marcos, 2012).

501 In Europe, appropriately modified fishing gears are widely employed in scientific monitoring of fish fauna in

502 transitional waters. Fine mesh, small beach seine nets are used in shallow water habitats within Italian coastal

503 lagoons (Franco et al., 2006, 2009b), with modified beach seine nets being used also for the WFD assessment

504 of transitional waters in the UK, where a multi-gear approach (i.e. including also the use of fyke nets, beam

505 trawls and otter trawls) is applied (WFD-UKTAG, 2009). In French Mediterranean lagoons, fyke nets similar

506 to those used by local fishermen are preferred (Mouillot et al., 2005; Brehmer et al., 2013). Beam and otter

trawls are widely used in deeper habitats across estuaries in northern Europe, such as in UK and Germany (Elliott and Hemingway, 2002), and are also employed in French and Portuguese Atlantic estuaries (Pasquaud et al., 2010; Fonseca et al., 2013).

All sampling methods are affected by a degree of selectivity and their catch efficiency depends on both target species and habitat characteristics. Hence, due to the spatial diversity of transitional water ecosystems and the different fish assemblages they support, the choice of sampling gear is critical (Rozas and Minello, 1997; Elliott and Hemingway, 2002). Franco et al. (2012) showed that active gears (i.e. seines) gather punctual information at small spatial and temporal scales, while passive gears (i.e. fyke nets) tend to integrate on larger time (e.g. day-night cycle) and space scales, without detecting eventual different roles of habitats. In this light fyke nets could be more suitable for the comparison of relatively large areas, such as the ones considered here (14 - 39 km^2), or for the assessment of whole water bodies, where multi-gear approach is unfeasible.

4.2.   Implications for the evaluation of ecological status

Our study supports the hypothesis that artisanal fishery data could represent an effective source for ecological status assessment of the Venice lagoon, since it provides a non-invasive sampling method and allows the use of pressure-sensitive metrics. Several fish-based multi-metric indices have been developed in recent years to assess the environmental status of European transitional waters under the Water Framework Directive (e.g. Coates et al., 2007; Franco et al., 2009b; Breine et al., 2010; Delpech et al., 2010; Cabral et al., 2011). As shown by Pérez-Dominguez et al. (2012), most of the metrics included in such indices are measures of community composition and structure, such as species richness and diversity. In addition, many of these indices follow the guild approach (Elliott and Dewailly, 1995; Elliott et al., 2007; Pérez-Domínguez et al., 2012). In contrast, biomass does not seem to be incorporated into published fish-based indices complying with WFD (Cabral et al., 2012; Pérez-Domínguez et al., 2012), even if a few proposed methods include fyke net sampling to obtain fish data (Coates et al., 2007; Breine et al., 2010). In fact, biomass could serve as a proxy indicator for the secondary production of a system, which can be affected by loss or degradation of transitional habitats (Deegan et al., 1997; Hughes et al., 2002).

Our study indicates that metrics calculated on biomass are more effective in detecting anthropogenic stressors compared with measures of species composition, when using fyke nets as sampling gear. In the absence of size related metrics, biomass also proved to be a good indicator of the effects of fishing activities, as recorded in

20

other aquatic environments (Vallès and Oxenford, 2014). Compared to biomass, the use of species presence/absence led to the coexistence of a variety of different responses (highly positive, negative and negligible) in our study, ultimately resulting in an ambiguous representation. The importance of the interpretability of response variables, their sensitivity to anthropogenic pressures and robustness against natural 'noise' is crucial when evaluating ecological status (Rice, 2003; Noges et al., 2009).

The use of biomass-based metrics to detect changes in nekton communities was previously proposed by other authors (Guillemot et al., 2014; Henriques et al., 2014; Vallès and Oxenford, 2014). Here, we suggest that an evaluation of ecological status based on fishery monitoring data should focus on biomass data grouped considering a functional guild approach. This work shows some metrics responding to anthropogenic pressures, but further considerations are needed to choose which metrics, or combination or metrics, would result in the most effective index. Here a wide range of characteristics of nekton assemblage were analysed in relation to anthropogenic pressures and it was not possible to analyse in detail the interpretation of each comparison, nor to examine the causal link with human activities or to search for a combination of metrics maximising the correlation with anthropogenic pressures. In fact, even if the objective is to develop an index with a strong responsiveness to human disturbance, if only information on bivariate correlations with pressures are available, it is not possible to say which combination will result in a sensitive multi-metric index (Schoolmaster et al., 2012).The selected method for data analysis was based on the building of a series of nested models for the observed data, and the subsequent comparison of chosen sets of such models. This allowed us to explicitly answer ecological questions (Warton et al., 2014) based on alternative *a priori* hypotheses about the different contribution of seasonal and annual variability, environmental heterogeneity and anthropogenic pressures in affecting the nekton assemblage. It was possible to test the effect of pressures on the nekton assemblage as a whole and on a series of macro-descriptors summarising important characteristics of the assemblage. This model-based approach is acknowledged to be a more interpretable, flexible and efficient way to handle ecological data, compared to other classical multivariate analysis techniques (Warton et al., 2014). This approach allowed us to disentangle the contribution the different types of predictor variables, ultimately enabling the discrimination between the effects of anthropogenic pressures and environmental variability on nekton assemblage. We argue that such an approach represents an effective way to cope with the "estuarine quality paradox", i.e. the difficulty to detect anthropogenically-induced stress

563 in estuaries due to the adaptation of the biota to the naturally high variability within such ecosystems (Elliott

564 and Quintino, 2007; Elliott and Whitfield, 2011). Since biological indicators must be able to measure the

565 'signal' of anthropogenic effects over the 'noise' of this natural variability (Whitfield and Elliott, 2002), the

566 estuarine quality paradox is regarded as a strong handicap to assess the ecological status of transitional waters

567 (Dauvin and Ruellet, 2009).

568 ## 5. Conclusions

569 The results of this study have major implications on several aspects of research and management of transitional

570 water ecosystems: (i) indicating the critical importance of evaluating the relationship between nekton

571 assemblage and anthropogenic pressures, and suggesting the most suitable metrics for this purpose considering

572 the estuarine quality paradox (Elliott and Quintino, 2007); (ii) confirming the effectiveness of the guild

573 approach in such a context, particularly by considering biomass-related metrics; (iii) exemplifying the

574 effectiveness of model based community analysis, which allows to explicit answers to ecological questions

575 and to test *a priori* formulated hypotheses; (iv) investigating a poorly researched subject in estuarine ecology

576 such as the role of the artisanal fishery in affecting nekton communities, and disentangling the contribution of

577 this activity from other anthropogenic pressures; (v) suggesting that an artisanal fishery performed with fyke

578 nets can represent a viable source of ecological data in coastal lagoons; (vi) suggesting that fyke net-based

579 artisanal fishery in the Venice lagoon, carried out at the present level of effort, is possibly sustainable with

580 regard to the nekton assemblage, since its role as a pressure is negligible compared with the other

581 anthropogenic stressors.

582

6. Acknowledgements

7. References

Alves, D.M., Cristo, M., Sendão, J., Borges, T.C., 2006. Diet of the cuttlefish Sepia officinalis (Cephalopoda: Sepiidae) off the south coast of Portugal (eastern Algarve). J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 86, 429. doi:10.1017/S0025315406013312

Amara, R., Selleslagh, J., Billon, G., Minier, C., 2009. Growth and condition of 0-group European flounder, Platichthys flesus as indicator of estuarine habitat quality. Hydrobiologia 627, 87–98. doi:10.1007/s10750-009-9717-9

Apitz, S., Barbanti, A., Bernstein, A.G., Bocci, M., Delaney, E., Montobbio, L., 2007. The assessment of sediment screening risk in Venice Lagoon and other coastal areas using international sediment quality guidelines. J. Soils Sediments 7, 326–341. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1065/jss2007.08.246

Ardizzone, G.D., Cataudella, S., Rossi, R., 1988. Management of coastal lagoon fisheries and aquaculture in Italy. AO Fish. Tech. Pap. 293, 1–103.

ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013. Monitoraggio della laguna di Venezia ai sensi della Direttiva 2000/60/CE. Valutazione dei dati acquisiti nel monitoraggio ecologico 2011-2012 ai fini della classificazione ecologica dei corpi idrici lagunari (elementi di qualità fisico-chimica e chimici, .

Aubry, A., Elliott, M., 2006. The use of environmental integrative indicators to assess seabed disturbance in estuaries and coasts: Application to the Humber Estuary, UK. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 53, 175–185.

Bernardello, M., Secco, T., Pellizzato, F., Chinellato, M., Sfriso, A., Pavoni, B., 2006. The changing state of contamination in the Lagoon of Venice. Part 2: heavy metals. Chemosphere 64, 1334–45. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.12.033

Blaber, S., Cyrus, D.P., Albaret, J.-J., Chong Ving Ching, Day, J.W., Elliott, M., Fonseca, M.S., Hoss, D.E., Orensanz, J., Potter, I.C., Silvert, W., 2000. Effects of fishing on the structure and functioning of estuarine and nearshore ecosystems. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57, 590–602. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0723

Blanc, A., Daguzan, J., 1998. Artificial surfaces for cuttlefish eggs (Sepia officinalis L.) in Morbihan Bay, france. Fish.Res. 38, 225–231.

Brandão, F., Correia, A.T., Gonçalves, F., Nunes, B., 2013. Effects of anthropogenic metallic contamination on cholinesterases of Gambusia holbrooki. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 76, 72–76. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.09.029

Brehmer, P., Laugier, T., Kantoussan, J., Galgani, F., Mouillot, D., 2013. Does coastal lagoon habitat quality affect fish growth rate and their recruitment? Insights from fishing and acoustic surveys. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 126, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.03.011

Breine, J., Quataert, P., Stevens, M., Ollevier, F., Volckaert, F.A.M., 2010. A zone-specific fish-based biotic index as a management tool for the Zeeschelde estuary (Belgium). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 1099–1112.

Brigolin, D., Facca, C., Franco, A., Franzoi, P., Pastres, R., Sfriso, A., Sigovini, M., Soldatini, C., Tagliapietra, D., Torricelli, P., Zucchetta, M., Pranovi, F., 2014. Linking food web functioning and habitat diversity for an ecosystem based management: A Mediterranean lagoon case-study. Mar. Environ. Res. 97, 58–66. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.02.006

Cabral, H.N., Fonseca, V.F., Gamito, R., Gonalves, C.I., Costa, J.L., Erzini, K., Gonalves, J., Martins, J., Leite, L., Andrade, J.P., Ramos, S., Bordalo, A., Amorim, E., Neto, J.M., Marques, J.C., Rebelo, J.E., Silva, C.,

632   Castro, N., Almeida, P.R., Domingos, I., Gordo, L.S., Costa, M.J., 2012. Ecological quality assessment
633   of transitional waters based on fish assemblages in Portuguese estuaries: The Estuarine Fish Assessment
634   Index (EFAI). Ecol. Indic. 19, 144–153. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.08.005

635   Caniglia, G., Borella, S., Curiel, D., Nascimbeni, P., Paloschi, A.F., Rismondo, A., Scarton, F., Tagliapietra,
636   D., Zanella, L., 1992. Distribuzione delle fanerogame marine [Zostera marina L., Zostera noltii Hornem,
637   Cymodocea nodosa (Ucria) Asch.] in laguna di Venezia. Lav. - Soc.Ven.Sc.Nat. 17, 137–150.

638   Carbognin, L., Teatini, P., Tomasin, A., Tosi, L., 2010. Global change and relative sea level rise at Venice:
639   What impact in term of flooding. Clim. Dyn. 35, 1055–1063. doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0617-5

640   Cataudella, S., Ferlin, P., 1984. Cataudella S., Ferlin P. (1984). Aspects de basse tecnologie dans
641   l'amenagement des ressources piscicoles et le developpement de l'aquaculture dans les lagunes. Stud.
642   Rev. GFCM/Etud. Rev. CGPM 2, 567–591.

643   Chauvet, C., 1988. Manuel sur l'aménagement des pêches dans lagunes côtieres: la bordigue méditerranéenee.
644   FAO Doc. Tech. Pêches 290, 77 p.

645   Coates, S., Waugh, A., Anwar, A., Robson, M., 2007. Efficacy of a multi-metric fish index as an analysis tool
646   for the transitional fish component of the Water Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 225–240.
647   doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.08.029

648   Costanza, R., Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farberk, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill,
649   R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Suttonkk, P., 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and
650   natural capital. Nature 387, 253–260.

651   Cucco, A., Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Perilli, A., Canu, D.M., Solidoro, C., 2009. Eulerian and lagrangian
652   transport time scales of a tidal active coastal basin. Ecol. Modell. In Press, , 913–922.
653   doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.01.008

654   Curiel, D., Checchin, E., Miotti, C., Pierini, A., Rismondo, A., 2014. Praterie a fanerogame marine della laguna
655   di Venezia - aggiornamento cartografico al 2010 e confronto storico. Lav. Soc. Ven. Sc. Nat. 39, 55–66.

656   D'Alpaos, L., 2010. Fatti e misfatti di idraulica lagunare. La laguna di Venezia dalla diversione dei fiumi alle
657   nuove opere delle bocche di porto. Istituto Veneto di Scienze Lettere ed Arti., Venice.

658   Dauvin, J.-C., Ruellet, T., 2009. The estuarine quality paradox: Is it possible to define an ecological quality
659   status for specific modified and naturally stressed estuarine ecosystems? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 59, 38–47.
660   doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.11.008

661   Deegan, L.A., Finn, J.T., Ayvazian, S.G., Ryder-Kieffer, C.A., Buonaccorsi, J., 1997. Development and
662   validation of an Estuarine Biotic Integrity Index. Estuaries 20, 601–617.

663   Delpech, C., Courrat, A., Pasquaud, S., Lobry, J., Le Pape, O., Nicolas, D., Boët, P., Girardin, M., Lepage, M.,
664   2010. Development of a fish-based index to assess the ecological quality of transitional waters: the case
665   of French estuaries. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 60, 908–18. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.01.001

666   Elliott, M., 2002. The role of the DPSIR approach and conceptual models in marine environmental
667   management: an example for offshore wind power. Mar.Poll.Bull. 44, iii–vii.

668   Elliott, M., Dewailly, F., 1995. The structure and components of European estuarine fish assemblages.
669   Neth.J.Aquat.Ecol. 29, 397–417.

670   Elliott, M., Hemingway, K.L., 2002. Fishes in Estuaries. Blackwell Science, Oxford.

671 Elliott, M., Quintino, V., 2007. The Estuarine Quality Paradox, Environmental Homeostasis and the difficulty
672   of detecting anthropogenic stress in naturally stressed areas. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54, 640–645.
673   doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.02.003

674 Elliott, M., Whitfield, A.K., Potter, I.C., Blaber, S.J.M., Cyrus, D.P., Nordlie, F.G., Harrison, T.D., 2007. The
675   guild approach to categorizing estuarine fish assemblages: A global review. Fish Fish. 8, 241–268.
676   doi:10.1111/j.1467-2679.2007.00253.x

677 Fonseca, V.F., Vasconcelos, R.P., França, S., Serafim, a., Lopes, B., Company, R., Bebianno, M.J., Costa,
678   M.J., Cabral, H.N., 2014. Modeling fish biological responses to contaminants and natural variability in
679   estuaries. Mar. Environ. Res. 96, 45–55. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.10.011

680 Fonseca, V.F., Vasconcelos, R.P., Gamito, R., Pasquaud, S., Gonçalves, C.I., Costa, J.L., Costa, M.J., Cabral,
681   H.N., 2013. Fish community-based measures of estuarine ecological quality and pressure–impact
682   relationships. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 134, 128–137. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.001

683 Franco, A., Elliott, M., Franzoi, P., Torricelli, P., 2008. Life strategies of fishes in European estuaries: the
684   functional guild approach. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 354, 219–228. doi:10.3354/meps07203

685 Franco, A., Franzoi, P., Malavasi, S., Riccato, F., Torricelli, P., 2006. Fish assemblages in different shallow
686   water habitats of the Venice Lagoon. Hydrobiologia 555, 159–174.

687 Franco, A., Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Drouineau, H., Franzoi, P., Koutrakis, E.T., Lepage, M., Verdiell-Cubedo, D.,
688   Bouchoucha, M., López-Capel, A., Riccato, F., Sapounidis, A., Marcos, C., Oliva-Paterna, F.J., Torralva-
689   Forero, M., Torricelli, P., 2012. Assessment of fish assemblages in coastal lagoon habitats: Effect of
690   sampling method. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 112, 115–125. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.08.015

691 Franco, A., Riccato, F., Torricelli, P., Franzoi, P., 2009a. Fish assemblage response pressures in the Venice
692   lagoon to environmental. Transitional Waters Bull. 3, 29–44. doi:10.1285/i1825229Xv

693 Franco, A., Torricelli, P., Franzoi, P., 2009b. A habitat-specific fish-based approach to assess the ecological
694   status of Mediterranean coastal lagoons. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 1704–1717.
695   doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.06.016

696 Franzoi, P., Franco, A., Torricelli, P., 2010. Fish assemblage diversity and dynamics in the Venice lagoon.
697   Rend. Lincei 21, 269–281. doi:10.1007/s12210-010-0079-z

698 Froese, R., Pauly, D., 2015. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. from http//www.fishbase.org.

699 Gonçalves, C., Martins, M., Diniz, M.S., Costa, M.H., Caeiro, S., Costa, P.M., 2014. May sediment
700   contamination be xenoestrogenic to benthic fish? A case study with Solea senegalensis. Mar. Environ.
701   Res. 99, 170–178. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.04.012

702 Granzotto, A., Franzoi, P., Longo, A., Pranovi, F., Torricelli, P., 2001. La pesca nella laguna di Venezia : un
703   percorso di sostenibilità nel recupero delle tradizioni Lo stato dell'arte. Rapp. Sullo Svilupp. Sostenibile.
704   Fond. Eni Enrico Mattei.

705 Granzotto, A., Libralato, S., Pranovi, F., Raichevich, S., Giovanardi, O., 2004. Comparison between artisanal
706   and industrial fisheries using ecosystem indicators. Chem. Ecol. 20, S43–449.

707 Grueber, C.E., Nakagawa, S., Laws, R.J., Jamieson, I.G., 2011. Multimodel inference in ecology and
708   evolution: challenges and solutions. J. Evol. Biol. 24, 699–711. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02210.x

709 Guillemot, N., Chabanet, P., Kulbicki, M., Vigliola, L., Léopold, M., Jollit, I., Le Pape, O., 2014. Effects of
710   fishing on fish assemblages in a coral reef ecosystem: From functional response to potential indicators.

711   Ecol. Indic. 43, 227–235. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.02.015

712   Hamilton, P.B., Cowx, I.G., Oleksiak, M.F., Griffiths, A.M., Grahn, M., Stevens, J.R., Carvalho, G.R., Nicol,
713   E., Tyler, C.R., 2015. Population-level consequences for wild fish exposed to sublethal concentrations of
714   chemicals - a critical review. Fish Fish. n/a–n/a. doi:10.1111/faf.12125

715   Harrison, T.D., Kelly, F.L., 2013. Development of an estuarine multi-metric fish index and its application to
716   Irish transitional waters. Ecol. Indic. 34, 494–506. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.06.018

717   Harrison, T.D., Whitfield, A.K., 2004. A multi-metric fish index to assess the environmental condition of
718   estuaries. J.Fish Biol. 65, 683–710.

719   Henriques, S., Pais, M.P., Vasconcelos, R.P., Murta, A., Azevedo, M., Costa, M.J., Cabral, H.N., 2014.
720   Structural and functional trends indicate fishing pressure on marine fish assemblages. J. Appl. Ecol. 51,
721   623–631. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12235

722   Hering, D., Borja, A., Carstensen, J., Carvalho, L., Elliott, M., Feld, C.K., Heiskanen, A.-S., Johnson, R.K.,
723   Moe, J., Pont, D., Solheim, A.L., de Bund, W. Van, 2010. The European Water Framework Directive at
724   the age of 10: a critical review of the achievements with recommendations for the future. Sci. Total
725   Environ. 408, 4007–19. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.05.031

726   Hughes, J., Deegan, L., Weaver, M., Costa, J., 2002. Regional application of an index of estuarine biotic
727   integrity based on fish communities. Estuaries and Coasts 25, 250–263.

728   Kapetsky, J.M., Lasserre, G. (Eds.), 1984. Management of coastal lagoon fisheries. FAO Studies and Reviews,
729   GFCM 61. FAO, Rome.

730   Libralato, S., Pranovi, F., Raicevich, S., Da Ponte, F., Giovanardi, O., Pastres, R., Torricelli, P., Mainardi, D.,
731   2004. Ecological stages of the Venice Lagoon analysed using landing time series data. J.Mar.Syst. 51,
732   331–344.

733   Losso, C., Volpi Ghirardini, A., 2010. Overview of ecotoxicological studies performed in the Venice Lagoon
734   (Italy). Environ. Int. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.07.017

735   Malavasi, S., Fiorin, R., Franco, A., Franzoi, P., Granzotto, A., Riccato, F., Mainardi, D., 2004a. Fish
736   assemblages of Venice Lagoon shallow waters: an analysis based on species, families and functional
737   guilds. J.Mar.Syst. 51, 19–31.

738   Malavasi, S., Fiorin, R., Franco, A., Torricelli, P., 2004b. Somatic energy storage and reproductive investment
739   in the grass goby Zosterisessor ophiocephalus. J.Mar.Biol.Ass.U.K. 84, 455–459.

740   Marchand, J., Codling, I., Drake, P., Elliott, M., Pihl, L., Rebelo, J., 2002. Environmental quality of estuaries.
741   Fishes in Estuaries 322–409. doi:10.1002/9780470995228.ch7

742   Matozzo, V., Boscolo, A., Marin, M.G., 2013. Seasonal and gender-related differences in morphometric
743   features and cellular and biochemical parameters of Carcinus aestuarii from the Lagoon of Venice. Mar.
744   Environ. Res. 89, 21–8. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.04.007

745   Matthiessen, P., Law, R.J., 2002. Contaminants and their effects on estuarine and coastal organisms in the
746   United Kingdom in the late twentieth century. Environ. Pollut. 120, 739–757. doi:10.1016/S0269-
747   7491(02)00175-6

748   McCullagh, P., Nelder, J.A., 1989. Generalized linear models. Second edition. London, UK.

749   McHugh, J.L., 1967. Estuarine nekton. Estuaries (Lauff, G.H., ed,).Amer.Assoc.Adv.Sci Spec Publ.,

750   Washington, DC 0, 581–619.

751   Molinaroli, E., Guerzoni, S., Sarretta, A., Cucco, A., Umgiesser, G., 2007. Links between hydrology and
752   sedimentology in the Lagoon of Venice, Italy. J. Mar. Syst. 68, 303–317.
753   doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.12.003

754   Molinaroli, E., Guerzoni, S., Sarretta, A., Masiol, M., Pistolato, M., 2009. Thirty-year changes (1970 to 2000)
755   in bathymetry and sediment texture recorded in the Lagoon of Venice sub-basins, Italy. Mar. Geol. 258,
756   115–125. doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2008.12.001

757   Mouillot, D., Graham, N.J., Villéger, S., Mason, N., Bellwood, D.R., 2013. A functional approach reveals
758   community responses to disturbances. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28, 167–77.

759   Mouillot, D., Laune, J., Tomasini, J.-A., Aliaume, C., Brehmer, P., Dutrieux, E., Do Chi, T., 2005. Assessment
760   of Coastal Lagoon Quality with Taxonomic Diversity Indices of Fish, Zoobenthos and Macrophyte
761   Communities. Hydrobiologia 550, 121–130. doi:10.1007/s10750-005-4368-y

762   Muxika, I., Borja, A., Bald, J., 2007. Using historical data, expert judgement and multivariate analysis in
763   assessing reference conditions and benthic ecological status, according to the European Water
764   Framework Directive. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55, 16–29. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.05.025

765   Nacci, D., Coiro, L., Champlin, D., Jayaraman, S., McKinney, R., Gleason, T.R., Munns, W.R., Specker, J.L.,
766   Cooper, K.R., 1999. Adaptations of wild populations of the estuarine fish Fundulus heteroclitus to
767   persistent environmental contaminants. Mar. Biol. 134, 9–17. doi:10.1007/s002270050520

768   Nixon, S.W., 1982. Nutrient dynamics, primary production and fisheries yelds of lagoons. Oceanol. Acta
769   Proceeding, 357–371.

770   Noges, P., van de Bund, W., Cardoso, A.C., Solimini, A., Heiskanen, A.-S., 2009. Assessment of the ecological
771   status of European surface waters, Developments in Hydrobiology. Springer.

772   Palomares, M.L.D., Pauly, D., 2014. SeaLifeBase. World Wide Web Electron. Publ. www.sealifebase.org,
773   version (11/2014).

774   Pasquaud, S., Courrat, A., Fonseca, V.F., Gamito, R., Gonçalves, C.I., Lobry, J., Lepage, M., Costa, M.J.,
775   Cabral, H., 2013. Strength and time lag of relationships between human pressures and fish-based metrics
776   used to assess ecological quality of estuarine systems. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.
777   doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.02.002

778   Pasquaud, S., Pillet, M., David, V., Sautour, B., Elie, P., 2010. Determination of fish trophic levels in an
779   estuarine system. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 86, 237–246.

780   Pérez-Domínguez, R., Maci, S., Courrat, A., Lepage, M., Borja, A., Uriarte, A., Neto, J.M., Cabral, H.,
781   St.Raykov, V., Franco, A., Alvarez, M.C., Elliott, M., 2012. Current developments on fish-based indices
782   to assess ecological-quality status of estuaries and lagoons. Ecol. Indic. 23, 34–45.
783   doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.03.006

784   Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Marcos, C., 2012. Fisheries in coastal lagoons: An assumed but poorly researched aspect of
785   the ecology and functioning of coastal lagoons. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 110, 15–31.
786   doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.05.025

787   Pinczon Du Sel, G., Blanc, A., Daguzan, J., 2000. The diet of the cuttlefish Sepia officinalis L. (mollusca:
788   cephalopoda) during its life cycle in the Northern Bay of Biscay (France). Aquat.sci. 61, 167–178.

789   Potter, I.C., Tweedley, J.R., Elliott, M., Whitfield, A.K., 2013. The ways in which fish use estuaries: A

790   refinement and expansion of the guild approach. Fish Fish. 16, 1–10. doi:10.1111/faf.12050

791   Pranovi, F., Caccin, A., Franzoi, P., Malavasi, S., Zucchetta, M., Torricelli, P., 2013. Vulnerability of artisanal
792   fisheries to climate change in the Venice Lagoona. J. Fish Biol. 83, 847–864. doi:10.1111/jfb.12124

793   Provincia di Venezia, 2009. Piano per la gestione delle risorse alieutiche delle lagune di Venezia e Caorle. Arti
794   Grafiche Zotelli, Dosson di Casier, TV.

795   Provincia di Venezia, 2015. Piano per la gestione delle risorse alieutiche delle lagune di Venezia e Caorle.
796   280p. Available at: http://pesca.provincia.venezia.it/ecm/faces/public/pesca/pprof.

797   Rice, J., 2003. Environmental health indicators. Ocean Coast. Manag. 46, 235–259. doi:10.1016/S0964-
798   5691(03)00006-1

799   Rismondo, A., Curiel, D., Scarton, F., Mion, D., Caniglia, G., 2003. A new seagrass map for the Venice
800   Lagoon. Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Mediterr. Coast. Environ. MEDCOAST 03, E.Ozhan (ed.), 7-11 Oct. 2003,
801   Ravenna, Italy 0, 844–852.

802   Rodríguez-Climent, S., Alcaraz, C., Caiola, N., Ibáñez, C., Nebra, A., Muñoz-Camarillo, G., Casals, F.,
803   Vinyoles, D., de Sostoa, A., 2012. Gillnet selectivity in the Ebro Delta coastal lagoons and its implication
804   for the fishery management of the sand smelt, Atherina boyeri (Actinopterygii: Atherinidae). Estuar.
805   Coast. Shelf Sci. 114, 41–49. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.09.008

806   Rozas, L.P., Minello, T.J., 1997. Estimating densities of small fishes and decapod crustaceans in shallow
807   estuarine habitats: a review of sampling design with focus on gear selection. Estuaries 20 (1), 199–213.

808   Sarretta, A., Pillon, S., Molinaroli, E., Guerzoni, S., Fontolan, G., 2010. Sediment budget in the Lagoon of
809   Venice, Italy. Cont. Shelf Res. 30, 934–949. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2009.07.002

810   Schoolmaster, D.R., Grace, J.B., Schweiger, E.W., Guntenspergen, G.R., Mitchell, B.R., Miller, K.M., Little,
811   A.M., 2013a. An algorithmic and information-theoretic approach to multimetric index construction. Ecol.
812   Indic. 26, 14–23. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.10.016

813   Schoolmaster, D.R., Grace, J.B., Schweiger, E.W., Mitchell, B.R., Guntenspergen, G.R., 2013b. A causal
814   examination of the effects of confounding factors on multimetric indices. Ecol. Indic. 29, 411–419.
815   doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.01.015

816   Schoolmaster, D.R., Grace, J.B., William Schweiger, E., 2012. A general theory of multimetric indices and
817   their properties. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 773–781. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00200.x

818   Secco, T., Pellizzato, F., Sfriso, A., Pavoni, B., 2005. The changing state of contamination in the Lagoon of
819   Venice. Part 1: organic pollutants. Chemosphere 58, 279–90. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.06.030

820   Solidoro, C., Bandelj, V., Bernardi, F., Camatti, E., Ciavatta, S., Cossarini, G., Facca, C., Franzoi, P., Libralato,
821   S., Canu, D., Pastres, R., Pranovi, F., Raicevich, S., Socal, G., Sfriso, A., Sigovini, M., Tagliapietra, D.,
822   Torricelli, P., 2010. Response of the Venice Lagoon Ecosystem to Natural and Anthropogenic Pressures
823   over the Last 50 Years, in: Coastal Lagoons Critical Habitats of Environmental Change. CRC Press, pp.
824   483–511. doi:10.1201/EBK1420088304-c19

825   Solidoro, C., Bandelj, V., Cossarini, G., Libralato, S., Melaku Canu, D., 2009. Challenges for ecological
826   modelling in a changing world: Global Changes, Sustainability and Ecosystem Based Management. Ecol.
827   Modell. 220, 2825–2827. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.08.018

828   Solidoro, C., Melaku canu, D., Cucco, A., Umgiesser, G., 2004. A partition of the Venice Lagoon based on
829   physical properties and analysis of general circulation. J.Mar.Syst. 51, 147–160.

830   Teatini, P., Tosi, L., Strozzi, T., Carbognin, L., Cecconi, G., Rosselli, R., Libardo, S., 2012. Resolving land
831   subsidence within the Venice Lagoon by persistent scatterer SAR interferometry. Phys. Chem. Earth 40-
832   41, 72–79. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2010.01.002

833   Umgiesser, G., Melaku canu, D., Cucco, A., Solidoro, C., 2004. A finite element model for the Venice Lagoon.
834   Development, set up, calibration and validation. J.Mar.Syst. 51, 123–145.

835   Uriarte, A., Borja, A., 2009. Assessing fish quality status in transitional waters, within the European Water
836   Framework Directive: Setting boundary classes and responding to anthropogenic pressures. Estuar.
837   Coast. Shelf Sci. 82, 214–224. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2009.01.008

838   Vallès, H., Oxenford, H. a, 2014. The utility of simple fish community metrics for evaluating the relative
839   influence of fishing vs. other environmental drivers on Caribbean reef fish communities. Fish Fish. n/a–
840   n/a. doi:10.1111/faf.12085

841   Vasconcelos, R.P., Reis-Santos, P., Fonseca, V., Maia, A., Ruano, M., Franca, S., Vinagre, C., Costa, M.J.,
842   Cabral, H., 2007. Assessing anthropogenic pressures on estuarine fish nurseries along the Portuguese
843   coast: A multi-metric index and conceptual approach. Sci. Total Environ. 374, 199–215.

844   Wang, Y., Naumann, U., Wright, S.T., Warton, D.I., 2012. mvabund - an R package for model-based analysis
845   of multivariate abundance data. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 471–474. doi:10.1111/j.2041-
846   210X.2012.00190.x

847   Warton, D.I., 2008. Raw data graphing: an informative but under-utilized tool for the analysis of multivariate
848   abundances. Austral Ecol. 33, 290–300. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2007.01816.x

849   Warton, D.I., Foster, S.D., De'ath, G., Stoklosa, J., Dunstan, P.K., 2014. Model-based thinking for community
850   ecology. Plant Ecol. doi:10.1007/s11258-014-0366-3

851   Warton, D.I., Wright, S.T., Wang, Y., 2012. Distance-based multivariate analyses confound location and
852   dispersion effects. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3, 89–101. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00127.x

853   Whitfield, A.K., Elliott, M., 2002. Fishes as indicators of environmental and ecological changes within
854   estuaries: a review of progress and some suggestions fot the future. J. Fish Biol. 61, 229–250.
855   doi:10.1006/jfbi.2002.2079

856   Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
857   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia). 2002. Carta Tecnica della laguna di Venezia. Prodotto dal
858   Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova

859   Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
860   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - COSES. 2002. Campagne di rilevazione traffico lagunare 2001/2002.
861   74 p.

862   Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
863   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Technital. 2002. Studio C.2.4 - Studio degli effetti della navigazione
864   interna sulla morfologia lagunare. Rapporto Finale - modello di traffico. Prodotto dal Concessionario,
865   Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

866   Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
867   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Agriteco. 2004. Studio C.4.3 – III Fase: "Monitoraggio delle attività
868   alieutiche e dell"avifauna in laguna aperta'. Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

869   Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto

870   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Thetis. 2004. Attività di monitoraggio ambientale della laguna di
871   Venezia MELa 1. Primo stralcio triennale (2000-2003). Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia
872   Nuova.

873 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
874   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Thetis. 2005. Programma generale delle attività di approfondimento del
875   quadro conoscitivo di riferimento per gli interventi ambientali. 2° stralcio triennale (2003–2006)
876   "Progetto ICSEL". Attività A. Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

877 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
878   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - SELC. 2005. Studio B.12.3/III. La funzionalità dell'ambiente lagunare
879   attraverso rilievi delle risorse alieutiche, dell'avifauna e dell'ittiofauna. Erodibilità del fondale e fattori di
880   disturbo:Rilievi dell'erodibilità del fondale. Rapporto intermedio. Prodotto dal Concessionario,
881   Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

882 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
883   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Thetis. 2005. Attività Attività di monitoraggio ambientale della laguna
884   di Venezia MELa 3. Prosecuzione delle attività di monitoraggio della qualità delle acque lagunari e loro
885   integrazione con le attività di monitoraggio e controllo condotte dalla Sezione Antinquinamento del
886   Magistrato alle Acque. Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

887 G.R.A.L., 2006. Piano d'uso sostenibile delle aree in concessione per venericoltura. Venice (In Italian).

888 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
889   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Thetis. 2006. Attività di monitoraggio ambientale della laguna di
890   Venezia MELa 4. Monitoraggio di mantenimento delle conoscenze sullo stato delle acque e del
891   macrobenthos. Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

892 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
893   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - Agriteco. 2007. Studio B.12.3/III: "La funzionalità dell"ambiente
894   lagunare attraverso rilievi delle risorse alieutiche, dell'avifauna e dell'ittiofauna – Pesca tradizionale'.
895   Prodotto dal Concessionario, Consorzio Venezia Nuova.

896 Water Framework Directive - United Kingdom Technical Advisory Group (WFD-UKTAG, 2009. UKTAG
897   Transitional Water Assessment Methods. Fish Fauna. Transitional Fish Classification Index (TFCI).
898   SNIFFER, Scotland. www.wfduk.org ISBN: 978-1-906934-10-1

899 G.R.A.L., 2009. Adeguamento del piano d'uso sostenibile delle aree in concessione per venericoltura. Venice
900   (In Italian).

901 Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia (ora Provveditorato Interregionale alle OO. PP. del Veneto- Trentino Alto
902   Adige – Friuli Venezia Giulia) - SAMA. 2013. Dati rete di monitoraggio SAMANET.

903 www.portolando.eu

904 www.pagineazzurre.com

905 www.port-venice.com

906 www.portodichioggia.it

907 www.gralvenezia.it

908 www.fishbase.org

909

8. Tables

Tab. 1 Anthropogenic pressures indices and references

Category	Description	Label	References
Morphological	intertidal area lost (% area between -0.5 and +0.5 m lost between 1972 and 2002)	Intert	Sarretta et al., 2010
Morphological	gross change in bathymetry (relative change in bottom depth between 1972 and 2002, taking a variation of $\pm$ 0.75m as minimum significant change)	Bathy	Sarretta et al., 2010
Morphological	seagrass habitat loss (relative loss between 1990 and 2002)	Seag	Caniglia et al., 1992; Rismondo et al., 2003
Morphological	relative sea level	Rise	Carbognin et al., 2010; Teatini et al., 2012
Morphological	interference with hydrographic regime (changes in sediment resuspension related to alteration of hydraulic circulation due to the presence of human infrastructures)	Hydro	D'Alpaos, 2010
resource/habitat use	aquaculture	Aqua	G.R.A.L., 2006, 2009; Provincia di Venezia, 2009
resource/habitat use	fisheries (density of fyke nets as no. traps/km2)	Fish_class	Mag. Acque - Agriteco, 2004, 2007; Provincia di Venezia, 2009; "www.gralvenezia.it," 2015
resource/habitat use	intensity of marina developments (no. berths/ km2)	Marina	"www.pagineazzurre.com," 2014, "www.portolando.eu," 2014
resource/habitat use	navigation (no. boat passages/day)	Traffic	Mag. Acque - COSES, 2002; Mag. Acque - Technital, 2002
resource/habitat use	intensity of shipyards	Shipyard	"www.portodichioggia.it," 2014; www.port-venice.com, 2014
environmental quality	water chemical quality (the number of substances whose concentrations did not comply with imperative concentration values set for the Venice lagoon - D.M. 23/04 1998)	WatChemQual	Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2004, 2005b; ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013; Mag. Acque - SAMA, 2013
environmental quality	sediment chemical quality	SedChemQual	(Apitz et al., 2007)
environmental quality	sediment quality biological effects (Weighted Average Toxicity Index (WATI), which integrates the results of different ecotoxicological tests on different matrices)	Wati	Losso and Volpi Ghirardini, 2010
environmental quality	Benthos (Multivariate-Azti Marine Biotic Index; M-AMBI)	Mambi	Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2006; Muxika et al., 2007; ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013
environmental quality	nutrients (DIN;RP mean)	DIN; RP	Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2004, 2005b; ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013
environmental quality	Chlorophyll	Chla	Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2004, 2005b; ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013; Mag. Acque - SAMA, 2013
environmental quality	Dissolved Oxygen	Od	Mag. Acque - Thetis, 2004, 2005b; ARPAV-ISPRA, 2013; Mag. Acque - SAMA, 2013

913 **Tab. 2 Structure of models used to assess the relation between nekton community temporal factor, environmental conditions**
914 **and anthropogenic pressures and scheme of comparison (Y_i: response variables; PC1, PC2, PC3: Principal Components of the**
915 **PCA on environmental data; P_morpho: Pressures acting on morphology; P_Use: Pressures related to the use of the resources**
916 **and habitat; P_Quality: Pressures affecting environmental quality of matrices; P_Fish: Pressures related to the activity of**
917 **artisanal fishery).**

| Label	Model structure /comparison	Description - Response variable affected by:
m0	$Y_i \sim$ constant + ε_i	None of the considered factors
m1	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + constant + ε_i	Temporal factor only
m2	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + constant+ε_i	Temporal factor and environmental conditions
m3.0	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + P_Morpho + P_Use + P_Quality + constant + ε_i	Temporal factor and anthropogenic pressures (artisanal fishery excluded)
m3.1	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year+ PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + P_Morpho + P_Use + P_Quality + constant + ε_i	Temporal factor, environmental conditions and anthropogenic pressures (artisanal fishery excluded)
m4.0	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + P_Fish + constant + ε_i	Temporal factor, environmental conditions and artisanal fishery pressure
m4.1	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + P_ Fish + constant + ε_i	Temporal factor and artisanal fishery pressure
m4.2	$Y_i \sim$ Season X Year + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + P_Morpho + P_Use + P_Quality + P_ Fish + constant+ε_i	Temporal factor, environmental conditions, anthropogenic pressures including the one related to artisanal fishery
		Testing the effect of:
Test0	m0 vs m1	Temporal factor
Test1	m1 vs m2	Inclusion of environmental conditions if only temporal factor was considered before
Test2.0	m1 vs m3.0	Inclusion of anthropogenic pressures (artisanal fishery excluded) if only temporal factor was considered before
Test2.1	m2 vs m3.1	Inclusion of anthropogenic pressures (artisanal fishery excluded) if temporal factor and environmental conditions were considered before
Test3.0	m2 vs m4.0	Inclusion of artisanal fishery pressure if temporal factor and environmental conditions were considered before
Test3.1	m1 vs m4.1	Inclusion of artisanal fishery pressure if temporal factor was considered before
Test3.2	m3.1 vs m4.2	Inclusion of artisanal fishery pressure if temporal factor, environmental conditions and other anthropogenic pressures were considered before

918

919

920

921 **Tab. 3 Summary of the significance results for the multivariate comparisons of nested models. Significant global p-values are**
922 **in bold and underlined. A complete framework of the results for the multivariate comparisons is presented in supplementary**
923 **materials (Table A.3), including species showing significant effect of anthropogenic pressures (if applicable).**

| Response variable	Test	Tested effect	p-value
Presence/Absence of all species	Test 0	Temporal factors	**0.001**
	Test 1	Environmental conditions (temporal factors already included)	p>0.05
	Test 2.0	Anthropogenic pressures (fishery excluded; temporal factors already included)	p>0.05
	Test 2.1	Anthropogenic pressures (fishery excluded; temporal factors and environmental conditions already included)	p>0.05
	Test 3.0	Fishery related pressures (temporal factors and environmental conditions already included)	p>0.05
	Test 3.1	Fishery (temporal factors already included)	p>0.05
	Test 3.2	Fishery related pressures (temporal factors, environmental conditions and other pressures already included)	p>0.05
Biomass (Biomass of species whose cumulative biomass represent the 95% of the total)	Test 0	Temporal factors	**0.001**
	Test 1	Environmental conditions (temporal factors already included)	**0.001**
	Test 2.0	Anthropogenic pressures (fishery excluded; temporal factors already included)	**0.001**
	Test 2.1	Anthropogenic pressures (fishery excluded; temporal factors and environmental conditions already included)	**0.001**
	Test 3.0	Fishery related pressures (temporal factors and environmental conditions already included)	**0.008**
	Test 3.1	Fishery (temporal factors already included)	p>0.05
	Test 3.2	Fishery related pressures (temporal factors, environmental conditions and other pressures already included)	p>0.05

924

Figures

Fig. 1 The Venice lagoon and the five study areas (CH: "Chioggia"; CZ: "Ca' Zane"; LD: "Lido"; LT: "Lago dei Teneri"; PL: "Ponte della Libertà").

930

Fig. 2 Biplots of the Principal Component Analysis on environmental variables: first two axes (A) and first and third axis (B). Biplot of the PCA on indicators of pressure: first two axes (C) and first and third axis (D).

933

Fig. 3 Panel plot summarising the results of the nested comparison of models for the community metrics (univariate comparison): the size of the square is inversely proportional to the p-value and the shade of grey is proportional to the explained deviance. Biomass (B; left panel) and number of species (S; right panel) for fishery-related metrics (discarded, incidental, target and total catches), Estuarine Usage Functional Groups (A: anadromous; C: catadromous; ES: estuarine; ESs: solely estuarine; FS: freshwater stragglers; ME-D: marine estuarine-dependent; ME-O: marine estuarine-opportunists; MS: marine stragglers) and Feeding Mode Functional Groups (Bma: macrobenthivores; Bmi: microbenthivores; DV: detritivores; HP: hyperbenthivores/piscivores; HV: herbivores; HZ: hyperbenthivores/zooplanktivores; OV: omnivores; PL: planktivores). For each comparison test, the effects tested are indicated as follows: t = temporal factor; e = environmental conditions; p = anthropogenic pressures (other than fishery); f = artisanal fishery pressure.

Fig. 4 Averaged coefficients (±C. I.) for the 4 considered pressure categories (x-axis) for the models of biomass (B; left column of plots) and number of species (S; right panel of plots) for (a) fishery-related metrics (discarded, incidental, target and total catches), (b) Estuarine Usage Functional Groups (A: anadromous; C: catadromous; ES: estuarine; ESs: solely estuarine; FS: freshwater stragglers; ME-D: marine estuarine-dependent; ME-O: marine estuarine-opportunists; MS: marine stragglers) and (c) Feeding Mode Functional Groups (Bma: macrobenthivores; Bmi: microbenthivores; DV: detritivores; HP: hyperbenthivores/piscivores; HV: herbivores; HZ: hyperbenthivores/zooplanktivores; OV: omnivores; PL: planktivores). The size of the dots are proportional to the Akaike weights used for model averaging.

954

Fig. 5 Averaged coefficients (±S. E.) for the four considered pressure categories (x-axis) for the models of

presence/absence (left panel) and biomass (right panel) for all considered species.