

# FIXED POINT FREE ACTIONS OF GROUPS OF EXPONENT 5

ENRICO JABARA

(Received 8 April 2002; revised 11 April 2003)

Communicated by R. B. Howlett

## Abstract

In this paper we prove that if  $V$  is a vector space over a field of positive characteristic  $p \neq 5$  then any regular subgroup  $A$  of exponent 5 of  $GL(V)$  is cyclic. As a consequence a conjecture of Gupta and Mazurov is proved to be true.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20E25, 20F50.

## 1. Introduction

A group  $G$  is called *periodic* if any element of  $G$  has finite order and of *finite exponent*  $e$  if, for any  $g \in G$ , we have  $g^e = 1$ . Obviously any group of finite exponent is periodic, but the contrary is not true in general. We also recall that a group  $G$  is called *locally finite* if each finite subset of  $G$  is contained in a finite subgroup of  $G$ .

A well-known conjecture of Burnside says that a finitely generated group of finite exponent  $e$  is necessarily finite (or, equivalently, that any group of finite exponent is locally finite).

This conjecture has been proved only for  $e = 2$  (in this case the group is abelian), for  $e = 3$  (Levi and van der Waerden [4], see also [8, 14.2.2]), for  $e = 4$  (Sanov [9], see also [8, 14.2.3]) and for  $e = 6$  (Hall [3]), while nothing is known for the case  $e = 5$ . In some classes of groups Burnside's conjecture is true; for example, Burnside proved that if  $F$  is a field of characteristic 0, then any subgroup of finite exponent of  $GL(n, F)$  is finite. However Burnside's conjecture is not true in general, as Novikov and Adjan proved in a series of papers of great length. Successively Adjan constructed infinite groups of exponent  $e$  with a finite numbers of generators for any odd exponent  $e \geq 665$  (see [1]).

It is therefore quite natural to ask if, given a natural number  $e$  and a vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic finite and coprime with  $e$ , there exists an infinite subgroup  $A$  of  $GL(V)$  of exponent  $e$  that is regular (that is, with the property that  $\alpha(v) \neq v$  for any  $v \neq 0$  and any  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ ). If  $e$  is a prime number, it can be conjectured that  $A$  is necessarily cyclic. This conjecture is certainly true if the dimension of  $V$  over  $F$  is finite (this fact was proved by Burnside; see [8, 10.5.6]).

In this paper, we consider the case  $e = 5$  and prove

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $V$  is a vector space over a field of positive characteristic  $p \neq 5$  then any regular subgroup  $A$  of exponent 5 of  $GL(V)$  is cyclic.*

We observe that the action of  $A$  is regular over  $V$  if and only if any non-identity element of  $A$  has minimal polynomial that divide  $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ . In group-theoretic terms, this means that in the semidirect product of  $V$  by  $A$  there are not elements of order  $5p$ .

## 2. Notation and preliminary results

We fix two distinct primes  $p$  and  $q$ . Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $V$  a vector space over  $F$  and  $A$  a subgroup of the automorphism group of  $V$  of exponent  $q$  and such that for any  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$  we have  $\text{Fix}_V(\alpha) = \{0\}$ . It is easy to verify that for any  $\alpha \in A \setminus \{1\}$  and any  $v \in V$  we have

$$(1) \quad v + \alpha(v) + \alpha^2(v) + \cdots + \alpha^{q-1}(v) = 0.$$

In the ring  $\text{End}_F(V)$  identity (1) can be written as follows

$$(2) \quad 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \cdots + \alpha^{q-1} = 0$$

for any  $\alpha \in A \setminus \{1\}$ .

**REMARK.** For any pair of elements  $\alpha, \beta \in A \setminus \{1\}$  with  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cap \langle \beta \rangle = \{1\}$  we have  $[\alpha, \beta] \neq 1$ .

If  $\alpha, \beta \in A \setminus \{1\}$  with  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cap \langle \beta \rangle = \{1\}$  commute, then  $\alpha\beta^i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ ) are all non identity elements of  $A$ . If we write the fundamental relation (2) for these elements, we get  $1 + \alpha\beta^i + \cdots + (\alpha\beta^i)^{q-1} = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$ . Summing term by term and using the fact  $[\alpha, \beta] = 1$  we get

$$q + \alpha(1 + \beta + \cdots + \beta^{q-1}) + \cdots + \alpha^{q-1}(1 + \beta + \cdots + \beta^{q-1}) = 0$$

but, by (2),  $1 + \beta + \cdots + \beta^{q-1} = 0$ , and therefore  $q = 0$  while  $p \neq q$ . This contradiction proves the statement.

The preceding remark shows that any finite subgroup of  $A$  must have order  $q$ . We observe that infinite groups in which any proper (non trivial) subgroup has order  $q$  have been constructed by Ol'shanskii ([7]). Groups of this type are called *Tarski monsters*.

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we want to expose the ideas behind the proof. We suppose for a moment that  $q = 3$  (and not knowing the theorem of Levi and van der Warden [4]); then we can write (2) as

$$(3) \quad 1 + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in A \setminus \{1\}.$$

If  $A$  is not cyclic, there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in A \setminus \{1\}$  with  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cap \langle \beta \rangle = \{1\}$  and from (3) we get

$$\begin{cases} 1 + \alpha + \alpha^{-1} = 0, \\ 1 + \alpha\beta + \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1} = 0, \\ 1 + \alpha\beta^{-1} + \beta\alpha^{-1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

summing each member we obtain

$$3 + \alpha(1 + \beta + \beta^{-1}) + (1 + \beta + \beta^{-1})\alpha^{-1} = 0$$

but, from (3),  $1 + \beta + \beta^{-1} = 0$ . From this we get the contradiction  $3 = 0$  while  $p \neq 3$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 ( $p = 2$ )

We suppose  $q = 5$ ; to prove Theorem 1.1 we suppose that there exists a counterexample, that is, a vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p \neq 5$  and a non cyclic group  $A$  of exponent 5 acting regularly on  $V$ .

We fix the following notation: the indices in the sums will always be from 0 to 4 and considered mod 5. We shall often use the fundamental relation (2) in the form

$$(4) \quad 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^{-1} = 0$$

or in the form

$$(5) \quad 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2} + \alpha^{-1} = 0.$$

We shall always denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  two non identity elements of  $A$  with  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cap \langle \beta \rangle = \{1\}$ .

The proof is in various steps.

STEP 1. We have  $\sum_{i,j} \beta^{i+j} \alpha \beta^{i+2j} \alpha \beta^{i+j} = 0$ .

PROOF. If we put  $i + j = r$  we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j} \beta^{i+j} \alpha \beta^{i+2j} \alpha \beta^{i+j} = \sum_r \left\{ \beta^r \alpha \beta^r \left( \sum_j \beta^j \right) \alpha \beta^r \right\}$$

and we conclude because  $\sum_j \beta^j = 0$ .  $\square$

We put  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i$  and  $\underline{\sigma} = \sum_i \beta^i \alpha^{-1} \beta^i$ .

STEP 2.  $\bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} = 0$ .

PROOF. If  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ , by (4) we get

$$1 + \alpha \beta^i + \alpha \beta^i \alpha \beta^i + \alpha \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \alpha \beta^i + \beta^{-i} \alpha^{-1} = 0$$

summing the five preceding equalities and recalling that

$$\alpha \left( \sum_i \beta^i \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \sum_i \beta^{-i} \right) \alpha^{-1} = 0$$

we get

$$(6) \quad \alpha \left( \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \right) + \alpha \left( \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \right) = -5$$

and

$$(7) \quad \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i + \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \alpha \beta^i = -5 \alpha^{-1}.$$

The sum  $\bar{\sigma} = \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i$  is invariant with respect to the substitutions  $\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta^j \alpha \beta^j$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ . If we make these substitutions in (7) and we take a sum, we get

$$5 \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i + \sum_{i,j} \beta^{i+j} \alpha \beta^{i+2j} \alpha \beta^{i+j} = -5 \sum_j \beta^{-j} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-j}.$$

By Step 1 we have  $\sum_{i,j} \beta^{i+j} \alpha \beta^{i+2j} \alpha \beta^{i+j} = 0$  and since  $\text{char } F = p \neq 5$  we obtain the relation we wanted.  $\square$

STEP 3.  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = -5$ .

PROOF. We observe that, since  $A$  has exponent 5, the relation (6) can be written as  $\alpha \left( \sum_i \beta^i \alpha \beta^i \right) + \left( \sum_i \beta^{-i} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-i} \right) \alpha^{-1} = -5$ .  $\square$

STEP 4.  $\bar{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\sigma}^2 = -25$ .

PROOF. We have observed before that  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\underline{\sigma}$  are invariant with respect to the substitutions  $\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta^j \alpha \beta^j$  with  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ . So we make these substitutions in  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = -5$ , we sum the five equalities and we get the desired result.  $\square$

STEP 5. Theorem 1.1 is true if  $p = 2$ .

PROOF. Let  $p = 2$ . By Step 2 we have  $\bar{\sigma} = \underline{\sigma}$  and, recalling Step 4 we obtain the following contradiction  $0 = 2\bar{\sigma}^2 = \bar{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\sigma}^2 = -25$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 ( $p = 3$ )

From now on, we suppose that  $p = 3$  and therefore the relations obtained in Steps 2–4 have the form:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} = 0, \\ \alpha \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = 1, \\ \bar{\sigma}^2 + \underline{\sigma}^2 = 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \underline{\sigma}^2 = 1$ .

STEP 6. We have

- (a)  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} = 1 + \bar{\sigma} \alpha^{-1}$ ;
- (b)  $\alpha^{-1} \bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma} \alpha - 1$ .

PROOF. From  $\bar{\sigma} = -\underline{\sigma}$  and from  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = 1$  we get (a).

Multiplying  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} + \underline{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = 1$  on the left by  $\alpha^{-1}$  and on the right by  $\alpha$  we obtain  $\alpha^{-1} \underline{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma} \alpha = 1$  that gives (b).  $\square$

STEP 7. If we put  $\rho = \alpha + \alpha^{-1}$  and  $\varphi = \alpha \bar{\sigma}$  we get

- (a)  $\rho \in GL(V)$  has order 8 and  $\rho^2 = 1 - \rho$ ;
- (b)  $\varphi \in GL(V)$  has order 8 and  $\varphi^2 = 1 + \varphi$ ;
- (c)  $[\rho, \varphi] = 1$ .

PROOF. From the relations obtained in Step 6, we get

$$\rho \bar{\sigma} = (\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) \bar{\sigma} = 1 + \bar{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} + \bar{\sigma} \alpha - 1 = \bar{\sigma}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) = \bar{\sigma} \rho$$

and therefore  $[\rho, \bar{\sigma}] = 1$ ; since  $[\rho, \alpha] = 1$  we also have  $[\rho, \varphi] = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 &= (\alpha + \alpha^{-1})^2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2} + 2 = -1 - \alpha - \alpha^{-1} + 2 = 1 - \rho \quad \text{and} \\ \rho^4 &= (1 - \rho)^2 = 1 - 2\rho + \rho^2 = 1 - 2\rho + 1 - \rho = -1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\rho \in GL(V)$  and  $\rho^8 = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^2 &= \alpha \bar{\sigma} \alpha \bar{\sigma} = \alpha(1 + \alpha^{-1} \bar{\sigma}) \bar{\sigma} = 1 + \alpha \bar{\sigma} = 1 + \varphi \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi^4 &= (1 + \varphi)^2 = 1 + 2\varphi + \varphi^2 = 1 + 2\varphi + 1 + \varphi = -1.\end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\varphi \in GL(V)$  and  $\varphi^8 = 1$ . □

STEP 8. The group  $B = \langle \rho^2, \varphi^2 \rangle \leq GL(V)$  is abelian and  $|B| \leq 4$ .

PROOF. By Step 7,  $B$  is certainly abelian, moreover  $\rho^2$  and  $\varphi^2$  have order 4 and therefore, since  $\rho^4 = -1 = \varphi^4$ ,  $|B| \leq 8$ . We prove that  $B$  has order (at most) 4 showing that  $\rho^2 \varphi^{-2}$ , which has order 2, acts fixed points freely over  $V$  and it is therefore equal to  $-1$ .

If we put  $V_0 = \text{Fix}_V(\rho^2 \varphi^{-2})$  we have that  $V_0$  is a  $\langle \rho, \varphi \rangle$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  (because  $\langle \rho, \varphi \rangle$  is abelian).

If, by contradiction,  $V_0 \neq \{0\}$  and using the same symbols for the restrictions of the automorphisms to  $V_0$ , from Step 7 we get  $1 - \rho = \rho^2 = \varphi^2 = 1 + \varphi$ , that is,  $\alpha \bar{\sigma} = \varphi = -\rho = -\alpha - \alpha^{-1}$ . Using Step 6 (a) we get  $1 + \bar{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = -\alpha - \alpha^{-1}$  and  $\bar{\sigma} = -1 - \alpha - \alpha^2$  and  $1 = \bar{\sigma}^2 = 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \alpha^4 + 2\alpha + 2\alpha^2 + 2\alpha^3 = 1 + 2\alpha + 2\alpha^3 + \alpha^4$ , that is,  $\alpha^4 = \alpha + \alpha^3$  and  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + \alpha^{-1} = \rho$  which gives the required contradiction:  $1 = \rho^8 = (\alpha^2)^8 = \alpha$ . □

STEP 9. Theorem 1.1 is true if  $p = 3$ .

PROOF. By Step 8 we have  $|B| \leq 4$  and since  $\rho^4 = -1 = \varphi^4$ , this is possible only in two ways:

(I)  $\rho^2 = \varphi^2$  but this gives a contradiction, because in the proof of Step 8 we have seen that  $\rho^2 \varphi^{-2}$  acts fixed points freely on  $V$ .

(II)  $\rho^2 = -\varphi^2$  then, by Step 7,  $1 - \rho = -1 - \varphi$  and  $\varphi = 1 + \rho$ . Then, recalling Step 6,  $1 + \bar{\sigma} \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \bar{\sigma} = \varphi = 1 + \rho$  and  $\bar{\sigma} = \rho \alpha = 1 + \alpha^2$ ; this implies  $1 = \bar{\sigma}^2 = (1 + \alpha^2)^2 = 1 + 2\alpha^2 + \alpha^4$  and  $\alpha^2 = 1$ : a contradiction. □

## 5. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $p \geq 7$

We remark that if  $\text{char } F = p \geq 7$ , we can obtain the same result in a way similar to the one used for  $p = 3$ , but using arguments *ad hoc* for any prime number  $p$ .

We can always find commuting elements  $\rho$  and  $\varphi$  (as defined in Step 7), satisfying  $\rho^2 + \rho - 1 = 0$  and  $\varphi^2 + 5\varphi + 2^{-1} \cdot 25 = 0$ . The orders of these automorphisms are divisors of  $p^2 - 1$  and depends on the prime  $p$ , as Table 1 shows, but we haven't been able to find a method of proof valid for any  $p$ .

It seems hard to prove the same conjecture for  $A$  in the case in which  $q = 7$  (or greater), with the methods used in this paper.

TABLE 1.

$p$	3	7	11	13	17	19
$ \rho $	8	16	10	28	36	18
$ \varphi $	8	24	40	12	4	72

## 6. An application

If  $G$  is a periodic group, we denote by  $\omega(G)$  the set of the orders of the elements of  $G$ . In [2] Gupta and Mazurov proved that if  $\omega(G)$  is a proper subset of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , then either  $G$  is locally finite or there exists a normal nilpotent  $5'$ -subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is a group of exponent 5. The same authors have conjectured that if  $N \neq \{1\}$  then  $G$  is locally finite. This conjecture is equivalent to

CONJECTURE ([2]). *Let  $A$  be an automorphism group of an elementary abelian  $\{2, 3\}$ -group  $G$  such that every non-trivial element of  $A$  fixes in  $G$  only the trivial element. If  $A$  is of exponent 5 then  $A$  is cyclic.*

The conjecture is true by Theorem 1.1; hence we have proved:

THEOREM 6.1. *If  $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and  $\omega(G) \neq \{1, 5\}$  then the group  $G$  is locally finite.*

To establish Theorem 6.1, we need (in addition to the results of [2]) the following facts:

- The groups of exponent 4 are locally finite ([9]).
- If  $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  then  $G$  is locally finite ([5]).
- If  $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$  then  $G \simeq A_5$  ([10]).

We recall that if  $\omega(G) = \{1, 2\}$  then  $G$  is elementary abelian, if  $\omega(G) = \{1, 3\}$  then  $G$  is nilpotent of class at most 3 ([4]), and that the groups  $G$  with  $\omega(G) = \{1, 2, 3\}$  are described in [6].

## References

- [1] S. I. Adyan, 'Periodic groups of odd exponent', in: *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Theory of Groups, Australian Nat. Univ., 1973*, Lecture Notes in Math. 372 (Springer, Berlin, 1974) pp. 8–12.
- [2] N. D. Gupta and V. D. Mazurov, 'On groups with small orders of elements', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999), 197–205.
- [3] M. Hall, 'Solution of the Burnside problem for exponent six', *Illinois J. Math.* **2** (1958), 764–786.

- [4] F. W. Levi and B. L. van der Waerden, 'Über eine besondere Klasse von Gruppen', *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **9** (1932), 154–158.
- [5] V. D. Mazurov, 'Groups of exponent 60 with prescribed orders of elements', *Algebra i Logika* **39** (2000), 329–346; English translation: *Algebra and Logic* **39** (2000), 189–198.
- [6] B. H. Neumann, 'Groups whose elements have bounded orders', *J. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1937), 195–198.
- [7] A. Ju. Ol'shanskiĭ, 'An infinite group with subgroups of prime order', *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **44** (1980), 309–321.
- [8] D. J. S. Robinson, *A course in the theory of groups* (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- [9] I. N. Sanov, 'Solution of Burnside's problem for exponent 4', *Leningrad Univ. Ann. Math. Ser.* **10** (1940), 166–170.
- [10] A. K. Zhurlov and V. D. Mazurov, 'A recognition of simple groups  $L_2(2^m)$  in the class of all groups', *Sibirsk. Math. Zh.* **40** (1999), 75–78; English translation: *Siberian Math. J.* **40** (1999), 62–64.

Dipartimento di Informatica  
Università di Ca' Foscari  
Via Torino 155–30174 Venezia  
Italy  
e-mail: jabara@dsi.unive.it